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Easier to read
- Passages with Old English “thee’s,” “thou’s,” etc. have been updated to modern English.
- Words and phrases that could be misunderstood due to changes in their meaning during the past 20 years have been updated to current English.
- Verses with difficult word order or vocabulary have been retranslated into smoother English.
- Sentences beginning with “And” have often been retranslated for better English, in recognition of differences in style between the ancient languages and modern English. The original Greek and Hebrew did not have punctuation as is found in English, and in many cases modern English punctuation serves as a substitute for “And” in the original. In some other cases, “and” is translated by a different word such as “then” or “but” as called for by the context, when the word in the original language allows such translation.

More accurate than ever
- Recent research on the oldest and best Greek manuscripts of the New Testament has been reviewed, and some passages have been updated for even greater fidelity to the original manuscripts.
- Parallel passages have been compared and reviewed.
- Verbs that have a wide range of meaning have been retranslated in some passages to better account for their use in the context.

Yet still the NASB
- The NASB update is not a change-for-the-sake-of-change translation. The original NASB stands the test of time, and change has been kept to a minimum in recognition of the standard that has been set by the New American Standard Bible.
- The NASB update continues the NASB's tradition of literal translation of the original Greek and Hebrew without compromise. Changes in the text have been kept within the strict parameters set forth by the Lockman Foundation's Fourfold Aim.
- The translators and consultants who have contributed to the NASB update are conservative Bible scholars who have doctorates in Biblical languages, or theology, or other advanced degrees. They represent a variety of denominational backgrounds.

Continuing a tradition:
The original NASB has earned the reputation of being the most accurate English Bible translation. Other translations in recent years have sometimes made a claim to both accuracy and ease of reading, but any reader with an eye for detail eventually discovers that these translations are consistently inconsistent. While sometimes literal, they frequently resort to paraphrase of the original, often gaining little in readability and sacrificing much in terms of fidelity. Paraphrasing is not by nature a bad thing; it can and should clarify the meaning of a passage as the translators understand and interpret. In the end, however, a paraphrase is as much a commentary on the Bible as it is a translation. The NASB update carries on the NASB tradition of being a true Bible translation, revealing what the original manuscripts actually say—not merely what the translator believes they mean.

—The Lockman Foundation
A WORD FROM THE AUTHOR: HOW CAN THIS COMMENTARY HELP YOU?

Biblical interpretation is a rational and spiritual process that attempts to understand an ancient inspired writer in such a way that the message from God may be understood and applied in our day.

The spiritual process is crucial but difficult to define. It does involve a yieldedness and openness to God. There must be a hunger (1) for Him, (2) to know Him, and (3) to serve Him. This process involves prayer, confession and the willingness for lifestyle change. The Spirit is crucial in the interpretive process, but why sincere, godly Christians understand the Bible differently, is a mystery.

The rational process is easier to describe. We must be consistent and fair to the text and not be influenced by our personal or denominational biases. We are all historically conditioned. None of us are objective, neutral interpreters. This commentary offers a careful rational process containing three interpretive principles structured to help us overcome our biases.

First Principle

The first principle is to note the historical setting in which a biblical book was written and the particular historical occasion for its authorship. The original author had a purpose! a message to communicate. The text cannot mean something to us that it never meant to the original, ancient, inspired author. His intent—not our historical, emotional, cultural, personal or denominational need—is the key. Application is an integral partner to interpretation, but proper interpretation must always precede application. It must be reiterated that every biblical text has one and only one meaning. This meaning is what the original biblical author intended through the Spirit's leadership to communicate to his day. This one meaning may have many possible applications to different cultures and situations. These applications must be linked to the central truth of the original author. For this reason, this study guide commentary is designed to provide an introduction to each book of the Bible.

Second Principle

The second principle is to identify the literary units. Every biblical book is a unified document. Interpreters have no right to isolate one aspect of truth by excluding others. Therefore, we must strive to understand the purpose of the whole biblical book before we interpret the individual literary units. The individual parts—chapters, paragraphs, or verses—cannot mean what the whole unit does not mean. Interpretation must move from a deductive approach of the whole to an inductive approach to the parts. Therefore, this study guide commentary is designed to help the student analyze the structure of each literary unit by paragraphs. Paragraph and chapter divisions are not inspired, but they do aid us in identifying thought units.

Interpreting at a paragraph level—not sentence, clause, phrase or word level—is the key in following the biblical author’s intended meaning. Paragraphs are based on a unified topic, often called the theme or topical sentence. Every word, phrase, clause, and sentence in the paragraph relates somehow to this unified theme. They limit it, expand it, explain it, and/or question it. A real key to proper interpretation is to follow the original author’s thought on a paragraph-by-paragraph basis through the individual literary units that make up the biblical book. This study guide commentary is designed to help the student do that by comparing modern English translations. These translations have been selected because they employ different translation theories:

1. The United Bible Society's Greek text is the revised fourth edition (UBS4). This text was paragraphed by modern textual scholars.
2. The New King James Version (NKJV) is a word-for-word literal translation based on the Greek manuscript tradition known as the Textus Receptus. Its paragraph divisions are longer than the other translations. These longer units help the student to see the unified topics.
3. The New Revised Standard Version (NRSV) is a modified word-for-word translation. It forms a midpoint between the following two modern versions. Its paragraph divisions are quite helpful in identifying subjects.
4. The Todays English Version (TEV) is a dynamic equivalent translation published by the United Bible Society. It attempts to translate the Bible in such a way that a modern English reader or speaker can understand the meaning of the Greek text. Often, especially in the Gospels, it divides paragraphs by speaker rather than by subject, in the same way as the NIV. For the interpreter's purposes, this is not helpful. It is interesting to note that both the UBS4 and TEV are published by the same entity, yet their paragraphing differs.
5. The Jerusalem Bible (JB) is a dynamic equivalent translation based on a French Catholic translation. It is very helpful in comparing the paragraphing from a European perspective.
6. The printed text is the 1995 Updated New American Standard Bible (NASB), which is a word for word translation. The verse by verse comments follow this paragraphing.
Third Principle

The third principle is to read the Bible in different translations in order to grasp the widest possible range of meaning (semantic field) that biblical words or phrases may have. Often a Greek phrase or word can be understood in several ways. These different translations bring out these options and help to identify and explain the Greek manuscript variations. These do not affect doctrine, but they do help us to try to get back to the original text penned by an inspired ancient writer.

This commentary offers a quick way for the student to check his interpretations. It is not meant to be definitive, but rather informative and thought-provoking. Often, other possible interpretations help us not be so parochial, dogmatic, and denominational. Interpreters need to have a larger range of interpretive options to recognize how ambiguous the ancient text can be. It is shocking how little agreement there is among Christians who claim the Bible as their source of truth.

These principles have helped me to overcome much of my historical conditioning by forcing me to struggle with the ancient text. My hope is that it will be a blessing to you as well.

Bob Utley
East Texas Baptist University
June 27, 1996
A GUIDE TO GOOD BIBLE READING:  
A PERSONAL SEARCH FOR VERIFIABLE TRUTH

Can we know truth? Where is it found? Can we logically verify it? Is there an ultimate authority? Are there absolutes which can guide our lives, our world? Is there meaning to life? Why are we here? Where are we going? These questions—questions that all rational people contemplate—have haunted the human intellect since the beginning of time (Eccl. 1:13-18; 3:9-11). I can remember my personal search for an integrating center for my life. I became a believer in Christ at a young age, based primarily on the witness of significant others in my family. As I grew to adulthood, questions about myself and my world also grew. Simple cultural and religious clichés did not bring meaning to the experiences I read about or encountered. It was a time of confusion, searching, longing, and often a feeling of hopelessness in the face of the insensitive, hard world in which I lived.

Many claimed to have answers to these ultimate questions, but after research and reflection I found that their answers were based upon (1) personal philosophies, (2) ancient myths, (3) personal experiences, or (4) psychological projections. I needed some degree of verification, some evidence, some rationality on which to base my world-view, my integrating center, my reason to live.

I found these in my study of the Bible. I began to search for evidence of its trustworthiness, which I found in (1) the historical reliability of the Bible as confirmed by archaeology, (2) the accuracy of the prophecies of the Old Testament, (3) the unity of the Bible message over the sixteen hundred years of its production, and (4) the personal testimonies of people whose lives had been permanently changed by contact with the Bible. Christianity, as a unified system of faith and belief, has the ability to deal with complex questions of human life. Not only did this provide a rational framework, but the experiential aspect of biblical faith brought me emotional joy and stability.

I thought that I had found the integrating center for my life—Christ, as understood through the Scriptures. It was a heady experience, an emotional release. However, I can still remember the shock and pain when it began to dawn on me how many different interpretations of this book were advocated, sometimes even within the same churches and schools of thought. Affirming the inspiration and trustworthiness of the Bible was not the end, but only the beginning. How do I verify or reject the varied and conflicting interpretations of the many difficult passages in Scripture by those who were claiming its authority and trustworthiness?

This task became my life’s goal and pilgrimage of faith. I knew that my faith in Christ had (1) brought me great peace and joy. My mind longed for some absolutes in the midst of the relativity of my culture (post-modernity); (2) the dogmatism of conflicting religious systems (world religions); and (3) denominational arrogance. In my search for valid approaches to the interpretation of ancient literature, I was surprised to discover my own historical, cultural, denominational and experiential biases. I had often read the Bible simply to reinforce my own views. I used it as a source of dogma to attack others while reaffirming my own insecurities and inadequacies. How painful this realization was to me!

Although I can never be totally objective, I can become a better reader of the Bible. I can limit my biases by identifying them and acknowledging their presence. I am not yet free of them, but I have confronted my own weaknesses. The interpreter is often the worst enemy of good Bible reading!

Let me list some of the presuppositions I bring to my study of the Bible so that you, the reader, may examine them along with me:

I. Presuppositions
   A. I believe the Bible is the sole inspired self-revelation of the one true God. Therefore, it must be interpreted in light of the intent of the original divine author (the Spirit) through a human writer in a specific historical setting.
   B. I believe the Bible was written for the common person—for all people! God accommodated Himself to speak to us clearly within a historical and cultural context. God does not hide truth—He wants us to understand! Therefore, it must be interpreted in light of its day, not ours. The Bible should not mean to us what it never meant to those who first read or heard it. It is understandable by the average human mind and uses normal human communication forms and techniques.
   C. I believe the Bible has a unified message and purpose. It does not contradict itself, though it does contain difficult and paradoxical passages. Thus, the best interpreter of the Bible is the Bible itself.
   D. I believe that every passage (excluding prophesies) has one and only one meaning based on the intent of the original, inspired author. Although we can never be absolutely certain we know the original author’s intent, many indicators point in its direction:
      1. the genre (literary type) chosen to express the message
      2. the historical setting and/or specific occasion that elicited the writing
      3. the literary context of the entire book as well as each literary unit
      4. the textual design (outline) of the literary units as they relate to the whole message
      5. the specific grammatical features employed to communicate the message
II. Inappropriate Methods

A. Ignoring the literary context of the books of the Bible and using every sentence, clause, or even individual words as statements of truth unrelated to the author’s intent or the larger context. This is often called “proof-texting.”

B. Ignoring the historical setting of the books by substituting a supposed historical setting that has little or no support from the text itself.

C. Ignoring the historical setting of the books and reading it as the morning hometown newspaper written primarily to modern individual Christians.

D. Ignoring the historical setting of the books by allegorizing the text into a philosophical/theological message totally unrelated to the first hearers and the original author’s intent.

E. Ignoring the original message by substituting one’s own system of theology, pet doctrine, or contemporary issue unrelated to the original author’s purpose and stated message. This phenomenon often follows the initial reading of the Bible as a means of establishing a speaker’s authority. This is often referred to as “reader response” (“what-the-text-means-to-me” interpretation).

At least three related components may be found in all written human communication:

- The Original Author’s Intent
- The Written Text
- The Original Recipients

III. Possible Approaches to Good Bible Reading

At this point I am not discussing the unique techniques of interpreting specific genres but general hermeneutical principles valid for all types of biblical texts. A good book for genre-specific approaches is *How To Read The Bible For All Its Worth*, by Gordon Fee and Douglas Stuart, published by Zondervan.

My methodology focuses initially on the reader allowing the Holy Spirit to illumine the Bible through four personal reading cycles. This makes the Spirit, the text and the reader primary, not secondary. This also protects the reader from being unduly
influenced by commentators. I have heard it said: “The Bible throws a lot of light on commentaries.” This is not meant to be a
depreciating comment about study aids, but rather a plea for an appropriate timing for their use.

We must be able to support our interpretations from the text itself. Five areas provide at least limited verification:
1. the original author’s
   a. historical setting
   b. literary context
2. the original author’s choice of
   a. grammatical structures (syntax)
   b. contemporary work usage
   c. genre
3. our understanding of appropriate
   a. relevant parallel passages

We need to be able to provide the reasons and logic behind our interpretations. The Bible is our only source for faith and
practice. Sadly, Christians often disagree about what it teaches or affirms. It is self-defeating to claim inspiration for the Bible
and then for believers not to be able to agree on what it teaches and requires!

The four reading cycles are designed to provide the following interpretive insights:
A. The first reading cycle
   1. Read the book in a single sitting. Read it again in a different translation, hopefully from a different translation
theory
      a. word-for-word (NKJV, NASB, NRSV)
      b. dynamic equivalent (TEV, JB)
      c. paraphrase (Living Bible, Amplified Bible)
   2. Look for the central purpose of the entire writing. Identify its theme.
   3. Isolate (if possible) a literary unit, a chapter, a paragraph or a sentence which clearly expresses this central
      purpose or theme.
   4. Identify the predominant literary genre
      a. Old Testament
         (1) Hebrew narrative
         (2) Hebrew poetry (wisdom literature, psalm)
         (3) Hebrew prophecy (prose, poetry)
         (4) Law codes
      b. New Testament
         (1) Narratives (Gospels, Acts)
         (2) Parables (Gospels)
         (3) Letters/epistles
         (4) Apocalyptic literature
B. The second reading cycle
   1. Read the entire book again, seeking to identify major topics or subjects.
   2. Outline the major topics and briefly state their contents in a simple statement.
   3. Check your purpose statement and broad outline with study aids.
C. The third reading cycle
   1. Read the entire book again, seeking to identify the historical setting and specific occasion for the writing from
      the Bible book itself.
   2. List the historical items that are mentioned in the Bible book
      a. the author
      b. the date
      c. the recipients
      d. the specific reason for writing
      e. aspects of the cultural setting that relate to the purpose of the writing
      f. references to historical people and events
   3. Expand your outline to paragraph level for that part of the biblical book you are interpreting. Always identify
      and outline the literary unit. This may be several chapters or paragraphs. This enables you to follow the original
      author’s logic and textual design.
   4. Check your historical setting by using study aids.
D. The fourth reading cycle
   1. Read the specific literary unit again in several translations
      a. word-for-word (NKJV, NASB, NRSV)
      b. dynamic equivalent (TEV, JB)
      c. paraphrase (Living Bible, Amplified Bible)
   2. Look for literary or grammatical structures
      a. repeated phrases, Eph. 1:6,12,13
      b. repeated grammatical structures, Rom. 8:31
      c. contrasting concepts
   3. List the following items
      a. significant terms
      b. unusual terms
      c. important grammatical structures
      d. particularly difficult words, clauses, and sentences
   4. Look for relevant parallel passages
      a. look for the clearest teaching passage on your subject using
         (1) “systematic theology” books
         (2) reference Bibles
         (3) concordances
      b. Look for a possible paradoxical pair within your subject. Many biblical truths are presented in dialectical pairs; many denominational conflicts come from proof-texting half of a biblical tension. All of the Bible is inspired, and we must seek out its complete message in order to provide a Scriptural balance to our interpretation.
      c. Look for parallels within the same book, same author or same genre; the Bible is its own best interpreter because it has one author, the Spirit.
   5. Use study aids to check your observations of historical setting and occasion
      a. study Bibles
      b. Bible encyclopedias, handbooks and dictionaries
      c. Bible introductions
      d. Bible commentaries (at this point in your study, allow the believing community, past and present, to aid and correct your personal study.)

IV. Application of Bible interpretation
   At this point we turn to application. You have taken the time to understand the text in its original setting; now you must apply it to your life, your culture. I define biblical authority as “understanding what the original biblical author was saying to his day and applying that truth to our day.”

   Application must follow interpretation of the original author’s intent both in time and logic. We cannot apply a Bible passage to our own day until we know what it was saying to its day! A Bible passage should not mean what it never meant!

   Your detailed outline, to paragraph level (reading cycle #3), will be your guide. Application should be made at paragraph level, not word level. Words have meaning only in context; clauses have meaning only in context; sentences have meaning only in context. The only inspired person involved in the interpretive process is the original author. We only follow his lead by the illumination of the Holy Spirit. But illumination is not inspiration. To say “thus saith the Lord,” we must abide by the original author’s intent. Application must relate specifically to the general intent of the whole writing, the specific literary unit and paragraph level thought development.

   Do not let the issues of our day interpret the Bible; let the Bible speak! This may require us to draw principles from the text. This is valid if the text supports a principle. Unfortunately, many times our principles are just that, “our” principles—not the text’s principles.

   In applying the Bible, it is important to remember that (except in prophecy) one and only one meaning is valid for a particular Bible text. That meaning is related to the intent of the original author as he addressed a crisis or need in his day. Many possible applications may be derived from this one meaning. The application will be based on the recipients’ needs but must be related to the original author’s meaning.

V. The Spiritual Aspect of Interpretation
   So far I have discussed the logical and textual process involved in interpretation and application. Now let me discuss briefly the spiritual aspect of interpretation. The following checklist has been helpful for me:

   B. Pray for personal forgiveness and cleansing from known sin (cf. I John 1:9).
C. Pray for a greater desire to know God (cf. Ps. 19:7-14; 42:1ff.; 119:1ff).
D. Apply any new insight immediately to your own life.
E. Remain humble and teachable.

It is so hard to keep the balance between the logical process and the spiritual leadership of the Holy Spirit. The following quotes have helped me balance the two:

A. from James W. Sire, Scripture Twisting, pp. 17-18:
   “The illumination comes to the minds of God’s people—not just to the spiritual elite. There is no guru class in biblical Christianity, no illuminati, no people through whom all proper interpretation must come. And so, while the Holy Spirit gives special gifts of wisdom, knowledge and spiritual discernment, He does not assign these gifted Christians to be the only authoritative interpreters of His Word. It is up to each of His people to learn, to judge and to discern by reference to the Bible which stands as the authority even to those to whom God has given special abilities. To summarize, the assumption I am making throughout the entire book is that the Bible is God’s true revelation to all humanity, that it is our ultimate authority on all matters about which it speaks, that it is not a total mystery but can be adequately understood by ordinary people in every culture.”

B. on Kierkegaard, found in Bernard Ramm, Protestant Biblical Interpretation, p. 75:
   According to Kierkegaard the grammatical, lexical, and historical study of the Bible was necessary but preliminary to the true reading of the Bible. “To read the Bible as God’s word one must read it with his heart in his mouth, on tip-toe, with eager expectancy, in conversation with God. To read the Bible thoughtlessly or carelessly or academically or professionally is not to read the Bible as God’s Word. As one reads it as a love letter is read, then one reads it as the Word of God.”

C. H. H. Rowley in The Relevance of the Bible, p. 19:
   “No merely intellectual understanding of the Bible, however complete, can possess all its treasures. It does not despise such understanding, for it is essential to a complete understanding. But it must lead to a spiritual understanding of the spiritual treasures of this book if it is to be complete. And for that spiritual understanding something more than intellectual alertness is necessary. Spiritual things are spiritually discerned, and the Bible student needs an attitude of spiritual receptivity, an eagerness to find God that he may yield himself to Him, if he is to pass beyond his scientific study unto the richer inheritance of this greatest of all books.”

VI. This Commentary’s Method

The Study Guide Commentary is designed to aid your interpretive procedures in the following ways:

A. A brief historical outline introduces each book. After you have done “reading cycle #3” check this information.
B. Contextual insights are found at the beginning of each chapter. This will help you see how the literary unit is structured.
C. At the beginning of each chapter or major literary unit the paragraph divisions and their descriptive captions are provided from several modern translations:
   1. The United Bible Society Greek text, fourth edition revised (UBS’)
   2. The New American Standard Bible, 1995 Update (NASB)
   3. The New King James Version (NKJV)
   4. The New Revised Standard Version (NRSV)
   5. Today’s English Version (TEV)
   6. The Jerusalem Bible (JB)

Paragraph divisions are not inspired. They must be ascertained from the context. By comparing several modern translations from differing translation theories and theological perspectives, we are able to analyze the supposed structure of the original author’s thought. Each paragraph has one major truth. This has been called “the topic sentence” or “the central idea of the text.” This unifying thought is the key to proper historical, grammatical interpretation. One should never interpret, preach or teach on less than a paragraph! Also remember that each paragraph is related to its surrounding paragraphs. This is why a paragraph level outline of the entire book is so important. We must be able to follow the logical flow of the subject being addressed by the original inspired author.

D. Bob’s notes follow a verse-by-verse approach to interpretation. This forces us to follow the original author’s thought. The notes provide information from several areas:
   1. literary context
   2. historical, cultural insights
   3. grammatical information
   4. word studies
   5. relevant parallel passages

E. At certain points in the commentary, the printed text of the New American Standard Version (1995 update) will be supplemented by the translations of several other modern versions:
   1. The New King James Version (NKJV), which follows the textual manuscripts of the “Textus Receptus.”
2. The New Revised Standard Version (NRSV), which is a word-for-word revision from the National Council of Churches of the Revised Standard Version.
3. The Today’s English Version (TEV), which is a dynamic equivalent translation from the American Bible Society.
4. The Jerusalem Bible (JB), which is an English translation based on a French Catholic dynamic equivalent translation.

F. For those who do not read Greek, comparing English translations can help in identifying problems in the text:
   1. manuscript variations
   2. alternate word meanings
   3. grammatically difficult texts and structure
   4. ambiguous texts
   Although the English translations cannot solve these problems, they do target them as places for deeper and more thorough study.

G. At the close of each chapter relevant discussion questions are provided which attempt to target the major interpretive issues of that chapter.
INTRODUCTION TO LUKE

I. OPENING STATEMENTS

A. Luke is the longest Gospel. Luke-Acts contain the largest number of verses by any author in the New Testament (if one rejects Hebrews as Pauline). He is a Gentile and a second-generation Christian (someone who did not see or know Jesus during His earthly life).

B. Luke writes the most grammatically correct and polished $koine$ Greek of all the New Testament writers, with the possible exception of the author of Hebrews. Greek apparently was his mother tongue. He was also highly educated and a physician (cf. Col. 4:14).

C. Luke’s Gospel emphasizes Jesus’ love and care for those whom the Jewish leaders never even noticed
1. women (e.g. Mary, Elizabeth, Anna, Mary and Martha, etc.)
3. the socially, racially, and religiously ostracized
   a. immoral women (cf. 7:36-50)
   b. Samaritans (cf. 9:51-56; 10:29-37; 17:11-16)
   c. lepers (cf. 17:11-19)
   d. tax collectors (cf. 3:12-13; 15:1-2; 18:9-14;19:1-10)
   e. criminals (cf. 23:35-43)
   f. rebellious family members (cf. 15:11-32)
   g. the poor (cf. 6:20; 16:19-31)
   h. Gentiles (cf. 13:29; 14:23)

D. Luke records the eye witness memories of Mary and also possibly her genealogy (i.e. 3:23-38). His Gospel is based on interviews and research (cf. 1:1-4).

II. AUTHOR

A. Unanimous early church tradition says Luke, Paul’s missionary companion
1. Irenaeus (A.D. 175-195, Against Heresies, 3.1.1; 3.14.10) says specifically that Luke recorded in a book the gospel preached by Paul.
3. Tertullian (A.D. 150/160-220/240 in Against Marcion 4.2,3; 4.5,3) says Luke wrote a digest of Paul’s gospel
   Also it says that he wrote his account by hearsay (meaning he interviewed eye witnesses).

B. Internal evidence for Luke’s authorship
1. This Gospel, like so many biblical works, is anonymous
2. If Luke-Acts is a two volume set, which seems true from the similar introduction, then the “we” sections of Acts (cf. 16:10-17; 20:5-16; 21:1-18; 27:1-28:16) imply an eye witness account of Paul’s missionary activity.

III. LUKE, THE MAN

A. Anti-Marcion Prologue to Luke (A.D. 175) says of him that he
1. was a native of Antioch of Syria
2. was a physician
3. was single
4. was a follower of Paul
5. wrote from Achaia
6. died at age 84 in Boeotia

B. Eusebius of Caesarea (A.D. 275-339) in *Hist. Eccl.* III.4.2 says of him that he
1. was from Antioch
2. was a missionary companion of Paul
3. wrote a Gospel and Acts

C. Jerome (A.D. 346-420 in *Magna* XXVI. 18) says of him that he
1. wrote from Achaia
2. died in Boeotia

D. He was a highly educated man who
1. used good *Koiné* Greek grammar
2. had a large vocabulary (esp. medical and nautical)
3. knew and applied research methods (cf. 1:1-4)

E. He was a Gentile
1. Paul seems to make a distinction in his list of helpers in Col. 4:10-11 (i.e. “who are from the circumcision”) and other helpers (i.e. Epaphras, Luke and Demas).
2. In Acts 1:9 Luke says “in their own language,” referring to Aramaic, which implies it was not his language.

F. Of all people to be the writer of the longest Gospel and Acts and thereby to have written more of the NT than any other author, it is surprising that a little-known, non-eyewitness (i.e. non-Apostle) Gentile would be chosen. Yet, this is the unanimous tradition of the early church.

IV. DATE OF WRITING

A. One never knows the exact relationship between (1) Luke’s original research notes (probably done while Paul was in prison at Caesarea [cf. Acts 23-26 and specifically 24:27]); (2) his final draft (i.e. the Gospel of Luke as we know it using Mark and “Q”); and (3) the circulation of Luke - Acts (to or for Theophilus).

B. It must be before A.D. 95 if I Clement has quotes or illusions from Acts and Acts is subsequent to the Gospel
1. Acts 13:22 - I Clement, 18:1
2. Acts 20:36 - I Clement, 2:1

C. Acts must be before the destruction of Jerusalem (A.D. 70) by the Roman general Titus
1. no mention of the death of Paul the Apostle (A.D. 64-68)
2. Stephen’s sermon in Acts 7 does not include the destruction of the Temple, which would powerfully illustrate God’s judgment on Judaism
3. Paul visits Jerusalem in Acts 21 and Luke, if he wrote after A.D. 70, would probably have mentioned the destruction of Jerusalem in his Gospel

D. If Luke used the Gospel of Mark as an outline and/or Luke wrote close to the time of his research in Palestine, then the book probably dates to the late fifties and early sixties (with Acts written soon after while Paul was still in prison in Rome, A.D. 62-63).

V. RECIPIENTS

A. It is dedicated to Theophilus (cf. Luke 1:1-4; Acts 1:1). There are several theories as to his identity:
2. a wealthy patron (Theophilus was a common name among Jews and Greeks) who helped pay the expenses of writing, copying and distributing Luke and Acts.
3. his name means “God loved,” “lover of God,” so it is possibly a cryptic reference to some new believer.

B. Luke’s Gospel is targeted to Gentiles
1. It explains Jewish customs.
2. The gospel is for all people (cf. 2:10).
3. It quotes prophecies which refer to “all flesh” (cf. 3:5-6 which is a quote from Isaiah 40).
4. The genealogy goes back to Adam (i.e. all humans, cf. 3:38).
5. It has many examples of God’s love for Gentiles (e.g. Luke extends the boundaries of those welcomed to the Messianic banquet, 13:29).
7. Luke’s Great Commission asserts that forgiveness must be preached to all nations (cf. 24:47).

VI. PURPOSE(S) OF LUKE’S WRITINGS

A. All of the Gospels were written to target specific groups of people for the purpose of evangelism (cf. John 20:30-31)
1. Matthew to Jews
2. Mark to Romans
3. Luke to Gentiles
4. John to all peoples

Luke uniquely mentions the mission of the Seventy (cf. 10:1-24). For the rabbis, 70 was the number denoting the languages of the world (cf. Gen 10). By Jesus sending out 70 preachers of the good news would communicate that the gospel is for all people.

B. Other possible purposes
1. to deal with the delayed Second Coming
   a. Luke 21 is similar to, but slightly different from, Matt. 24 and Mark 13, concerning the imminent return of Christ and the end of the world.
   b. However, Luke speaks of world evangelization, which takes time for the church to accomplish (cf. 24:47).
   c. Also Luke (like Paul) emphasizes that the Kingdom of God is here now (cf. 10:9, 11; 11:20; 17:21) as well as a future consummation.
   d. The parable in 19:11-27 uses a delayed return of a master in an eschatological setting.
   e. A good summary of the opinions and discussions of biblical scholars can be seen in The Anchor Bible Commentary, vol. 28, pp. 231-235.
2. to explain that Christianity is not a threat to the Roman government (as does Acts)
   a. title “most excellent” in introduction
   b. Luke 23 has Pilate saying three times, “I find no fault in this man” (cf. 23:4, 14-15, 22)
   c. the government officials in Acts are presented in a good light. Paul’s addresses to Roman officials show respect to them and they in return respond positively to him (cf. Acts 26:31-32)
   d. the Roman centurion, at the crucifixion also gives a positive witness to Jesus (cf. Luke 23:47)

C. There are some unique theological themes that play a role in the purposes for Luke’s writings
1. Luke shows special care to certain groups of people.
   a. The poor vs. the rich (e.g. Luke’s Beatitudes, 6:20-23)
   b. The outcasts
      (1) immoral women (cf. 7:36-50)
      (2) Samaritans (cf. 9:51-56; 10:29-37)
      (3) rebellious runaways (cf. 15:11-32)
      (4) tax collectors (cf. 19:1-10)
      (5) lepers (cf. 17:11-19)
      (6) criminals (cf. 23:39-43)
2. Luke mentions the Temple in Jerusalem. The Gospel begins with the Jews and their Scriptures (i.e. Jesus fulfills OT prophesy) but they reject Him (cf. 11:14-36) and He becomes the Savior of the entire world (cf. 10:1-24) and replaces their temple with Himself (cf. Mark 14:58; 15:29; John 2:19-22).
VII. THE SOURCES FOR LUKE’S GOSPEL

A. Several theories have been advanced concerning the relationship between Matthew, Mark and Luke (the Synoptic Gospels)
   1. The uniform tradition of the early church is that Luke, a Gentile physician and missionary companion of the Apostle Paul, wrote the Gospel.
   2. Around 1776 A. E. Lessing (and later Gieseler in 1818) theorized an oral stage in the development of the Synoptic (“to see together”) Gospels. He asserted that they were all dependent on earlier oral traditions which the writers modified for their own target audiences
      a. Matthew: Jews
      b. Mark: Romans
      c. Luke: Gentiles
      Each was related to a separate geographical center of Christianity
      a. Matthew: Antioch, Syria or Judea
      b. Mark: Rome, Italy
      c. Luke: Caesarea by the Sea, Palestine or Achaia
      d. John: Ephesus, Asia Minor
   3. In the early nineteenth century J. J. Griesbach theorized that Matthew and Luke wrote separate accounts of Jesus’ life, completely independent of each other. Mark wrote a brief Gospel trying to mediate between these other two accounts.
   4. In the early twentieth century H. J. Holtzmann theorized that Mark was the first written Gospel and that both Matthew and Luke used his Gospel structure plus a separate document containing the sayings of Jesus called Q (German quelle or “source”). This was labeled the “two source” theory (endorsed by Fredrick Schleiermacher in 1832).
      Some speculate that this list of quotes from Jesus, structured like OT wisdom literature, may be what Papias records that Matthew wrote. The problem is that not one copy of this list of sayings survived. If the church cherished the Gospels so much, how could they lose a list of the sayings of the Founder of the Faith used by both Matthew and Luke?
   5. Later, B. H. Streeter offered a modified “two source” theory that he called “the four source” theory which posited a “proto Luke” plus Mark plus Q.
   6. The above theories of the formation of the Synoptic Gospels are only speculation. There is no historical or actual manuscript evidence of either a “Q” source or a “proto Luke.”
      Modern scholarship simply does not know how the Gospels developed or who wrote them (the same is true of the OT Law and former Prophets). However, this lack of information does not affect the Church’s view of their inspiration or trustworthiness as historical as well as faith documents.
   7. There are obvious similarities in structure and wording between the Synoptics, but there are also many arresting differences. Differences are common in eyewitness accounts. The early church was not bothered by the divergence of these three eyewitness accounts of Jesus’ life.
      It may be that the target audience, the style of the author and the different languages involved (Aramaic and Greek) account for the seeming discrepancies. It must be stated that these inspired writers, editors or compilers had the freedom to select, arrange, adapt and summarize the events and teachings of Jesus’ life (How to Read the Bible for All Its Worth by Fee and Stuart, pp. 113-148).

B. Luke specifically claims that he did research (Luke 1:1-4) into Jesus’ life from eye witnesses. Paul’s imprisonment at Caesarea by the Sea in Palestine allowed Luke time and access to these people. Chapters 1-2 may reflect Mary’s memories (See Sir William Ramsay, Was Christ Born at Bethlehem?), as may the genealogy of chapter 3.

C. Several of the early church sources mention that Luke was a traveling missionary companion of the Apostle Paul. Some of these early sources also assert that Luke’s Gospel was affected by Paul’s preaching. It cannot be denied that the worldwide mission of the gospel is clearly identified as fulfilled prophecy in Luke, Acts, and Paul’s writings.

VIII. THE UNIQUENESS OF LUKE

A. The first two chapters are unique to Luke and may have come from Mary, as may the genealogy of 3:23-28.

B. Miracles unique to Luke
   1. son of the widow of Nain resuscitated, 7:12-17
2. sick woman in synagogue healed on the Sabbath, 13:10-17
3. sick man in synagogue healed on the Sabbath, 14:1-6
4. ten lepers healed. Only one, a Samaritan, returns to give thanks, 17:11-18

C. Parables unique to Luke
   1. the good Samaritan, 10:25-37
   2. the persistent friend, 11:5-13
   3. the rich fool, 12:13-21
   4. the lost coin, 15:8-10
   5. the two sons, 15:11-32
   6. the unrighteous steward, 16:1-8
   7. the rich man and Lazarus, 16:19-31
   8. the unrighteous judge, 18:1-8
   9. the Pharisee and Publican, 18:9-14

D. Parables in Luke that are also in Matthew, but in a different form and context
   1. 12:39-46 (Matt. 24:43-44)
   2. 14:16-24 (Matt. 22:2-14)

E. Other unique accounts
   1. the events of the first two chapters
   2. Zaccheus the tax-collector, 19:1-10
   3. Jesus sent to Herod by Pilate to be examined, 23:8-12
   4. the two on the road to Emmaus, 24:13-32

F. The most unique elements in Luke are found in 9:51-18:14. Here Luke does not rely on Mark or “Q” (i.e. sayings of Jesus possibly written by Matthew). Even similar events and teachings are put into a different form. The unifying theme (literary structure) of this section is “on the way to Jerusalem” (cf. 9:51; 13:22, 33; 17:11; 18:31; 19:11,28) which is really His journey to the cross.

READING CYCLE ONE (see p. vi)

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the entire biblical book at one sitting. State the central theme of the entire book in your own words.

1. Theme of entire book
2. Type of literature (genre)

READING CYCLE TWO (see pp. vi-vii.)

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the entire biblical book a second time at one sitting. Outline the main subjects and express the subject in a single sentence.

1. Subject of first literary unit
2. Subject of second literary unit
3. Subject of third literary unit
4. Subject of fourth literary unit
5. Etc.
# LUKE 1

## PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paragraph Division</th>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dedication to Theophilus</td>
<td>Dedication to Theophilus</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>Prologue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Births of John and Jesus</td>
<td>The Births of John and Jesus</td>
<td>The Birth of John the Baptist Foretold</td>
<td>The Birth of John the Baptist is Announced</td>
<td>The Birth of John the Baptist Foretold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:5-20</td>
<td>1:5-25</td>
<td>1:5-7</td>
<td>1:5-7</td>
<td>1:5-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:8-20</td>
<td>1:8-17</td>
<td>1:8-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:11-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:21-25</td>
<td></td>
<td>1:21-23</td>
<td>1:21-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:23-25</td>
<td>1:23-25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Birth of Jesus Foretold</td>
<td>Christ’s Birth Announced to Zacharias</td>
<td>The Birth of Jesus is Announced</td>
<td>The Annunciation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:26-28</td>
<td>1:26-38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:29-33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:35-37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Visits Elizabeth</td>
<td>Mary Visits Elizabeth</td>
<td>Mary Visits Elizabeth</td>
<td>The Visitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary’s Song of Praise</td>
<td>The Song of Mary</td>
<td>Mary’s Song of Praise</td>
<td>The Magnificat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:56</td>
<td>1:56</td>
<td>1:56</td>
<td>1:56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Birth of John the Baptist</td>
<td>Birth of John the Baptist</td>
<td>The Birth of John the Baptist</td>
<td>The Birth of John the Baptist and Visit of the Neighbors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:57-58</td>
<td>1:57-58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Parentheses indicate multiple references.*
RE\textbf{ADING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)}

\textit{FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR\textquotesingle S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL}

This is a study {\textit{guide}} commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author\textquotesingle s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

\textbf{CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS}

A. Luke the Historian

1. Verses 1–4 have several rare Greek words that relate to Hellenistic historiography. Luke is trying to express his purpose of accurately recording what his research discovered about Jesus\textquotesingle s life and ministry. Luke is a well educated citizen of the first century Greco-Roman world.

2. The problem about Luke\textquotesingle s Greek orientation has to do with the nature of Greek history. Often it was very selective and written more for entertainment and propaganda than modern history. Luke uses Hellenistic terms to describe his methods, but records Jesus\textquotesingle s life in a Hebrew historical fashion. The best historians in the ancient Near East (i.e. most accurate) were Hittites and Hebrews.

3. The real question is what is history? Modern western history is chronological and cause/effect driven. Yet even modern history is significantly colored by who writes it and why! History, by its very nature, is a biased selection and description of past events.

4. Luke\textquotesingle s Gospel is not modern history or biography, but it is good and true history. The four Gospels are, in reality, gospel tracts, written for evangelistic purposes and targeting selected groups. Events and their relationship to each other are not necessarily chronologically arranged and interpreted for maximum impact. This is not to imply they are concoctions or fabrications, but they are eastern, not western; they are theological as well as historical. Different does not mean bad or false!

\textbf{Note that all technical terms and abbreviations are explained fully in Appendices One, Two and Three.}
5. Luke has used the best traditions of his day to present Jesus Christ as God’s promised Messiah, sent to redeem all humanity. He is surely influenced by Paul’s Gentile mission. Luke is writing to convert Gentiles (as well as encourage believers), not just historically inform them.

6. The four Gospels are different, very different, yet they are true—true eye witness accounts, true summaries of Jesus’ words and activities—but they are not modern histories.

7. Luke clearly wants to put Jesus’ life and ministry into a Palestinian and Roman historical framework.
   a. a vision of Zacharias in reign of Herod, King of Judea (cf. 1:5)
   b. birth connected to a decree of Caesar Augustus (cf. 2:1)
   c. birth connected to Quirinius’ presence in Syria (cf. 2:2)
   d. preaching of John (cf. 3:1-2)
      (1) Tiberius Caesar’s (fifteenth year of his reign)
      (2) Pontius Pilate governor of Judea
      (3) Herod tetrarch of Galilee
      (4) Phillip tetrarch of Ituraea
      (5) Lysanias tetrarch of Abilene
      (6) Annas and Caiaphas were high priests

B. The Blessings of Chapter One
1. It must be remembered that they are given in a mindset of OT prophecies. Jesus surely fulfilled these OT expectations, but moved beyond them. These blessings must be seen as precursors of the gospel. They are OT pictures which will be universalized (i.e. not Jew vs. Gentile, but believer vs. unbeliever; not Israel, but all the world). The Gospel affects more than Israel and Palestine (cf. 24:47).

2. It needs to be remembered that Luke, in chapter one, is recording the blessing of those who were first informed about and impacted by Jesus’ birth. These blessings are in OT poetic form (cf. vv. 46-55 and 67-79) and contain OT content. OT poetry is a genre called wisdom literature. It has special interpretive procedures (See Fee and Stuart, How to Read the Bible for All Its Worth, pp. 206-230).

C. The parallels between John the Baptist and Jesus
1. devout parents
2. birth announced by Gabriel
3. supernatural conceptions
4. mothers both glorify God
5. babies named by an angel
6. both babies fulfill prophecy
7. the circumcision of both under Mosaic Law is specifically mentioned
8. normal physical and emotional growth, but superior spiritual growth

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:1-4

1In as much as many have undertaken to compile an account of the things accomplished among us, 2just as they were handed down to us by those who from the beginning were eyewitnesses and servants of the word, 3it seemed fitting for me as well, having investigated everything carefully from the beginning, to write it out for you in consecutive order, most excellent Theophilus; 4so that you may know the exact truth about the things you have been taught.

1:1
NASB, NKJV “In as much as”
NRSV “Since”
NJB “Seeing that”

The Greek word epeidé per is used only here in the NT. It is not used at all in the Septuagint, but is used in the koine papyri found in the garbage dumps of Egypt. It basically means “since indeed” or “considering that.” Luke is setting out the reason he is writing an account of Jesus’ life when there are several already in existence. This probably includes Mark’s Gospel (which forms the literary outline of much of Matthew and Luke), as well as “Q” (sayings of Jesus used by both
Matthew and Luke). It also implies that by the A.D. 60s there were several written accounts of Jesus’ life circulating in the churches.

This is another Greek term (diēgōsis) found only here in the NT. It is used twice in the Septuagint in Judges (cf. 5:14; 7:15) for a scribe recording something. In Greek literature it has the connotation of a full and complete narrative. Luke is describing the careful, historical research of Jesus’ life that preceded his own writing (cf. v. 3).

This VERB is a PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICIPLE, which denotes the abiding results of the fulfilled promises concerning Jesus, His teachings, His actions and His death and resurrection. The PASSIVE VOICE surely implies that God the Father’s eternal purposes were fully accomplished in Christ.

The term plērophoreō has a dual connotation.
1. Paul uses it for being fully persuaded in Rom. 4:21; 14:5; Col. 2:2; 4:12 (cf. NKJV).
2. The Papyri uses it of “accomplished” or “fully completed” (cf. NASB, NRSV, NJB).

Theophilus needs to accept both connotations! The OT promises have come to completion in Jesus of Nazareth.

1:2 “they were handed down to us” This is the Greek word paradidōmi, often translated “traditions” (cf. Mark 7:3,5,8,9,13; I Cor. 11:2,23; 15:3). Luke is asserting that he had received information about the life of Jesus from eyewitnesses. This implies (1) the accuracy of his accounts and (2) the admission that he was a second-generation believer.

“those who from the beginning” This refers to a larger number than the Twelve Apostles. Some examples would be (1) the women who followed Jesus and the Apostles (cf. 8:2-3); (2) the one hundred and twenty disciples in the upper room on the day of Pentecost (cf. Acts 1:15); and (3) the several men to choose from in replacing Judas (cf. 1:21-22).

These “from the beginning” eyewitnesses were responsible proclaimers of the gospel (cf. I Cor. 4:1). To know truth is to be a steward of that truth!

The term logos (word) is used of Jesus Himself in John 1:1, but here (cf. Luke 8:12) and in Acts 6:4; 8:4; 10:36; 11:19; 14:25 it refers to the gospel about Jesus.

1:3 This verse is the main clause of verses 1-4 and has several key terms that relate to Luke’s research method.
1. “having investigated.” This is a PERFECT ACTIVE PARTICIPLE of a word that means “to follow.” The metaphorical usage of this word meant “to make an extensive effort to learn the details and truth about something” (See Louw and Nida, Greek-English Lexicon, vol. 1, p. 331 and Josephus, Against Apion 1.10).
2. “carefully.” This is the Greek term akribōs, which is translated “accurately,” “diligently,” or “precisely” (cf. Josephus Against Apion 1.10). Louw and Nida, vol. 1, p. 674, “pertaining to strict conformity to a norm or standard; involving both detail and completeness.” It is used several times in the Septuagint (cf. Deut. 19:18; Dan. 7:19). Possibly the most relevant usage is that this term was used by Galen (a physician) for the careful checking of symptoms.
3. “from the beginning.” This means from the source. In this case (1) the beginning events of John and Jesus’ births or (2) the eyewitnesses from the beginning of Jesus’ ministry (i.e. the Apostles, cf. Acts 1:21-22).
4. “in consecutive order.” This term means “in a continual order,” “successively,” or “consecutively.” Luke is piling up word after word describing his faithful, accurate, and sequential arrangement of facts about Jesus’ life and ministry. This account is not a fabrication or exaggeration.
“most excellent” This is a first century title of honor and respect. This man may have been Luke’s literary patron. The title is used in Acts of Felix (23:26; 24:3) and Festus (26:25), who were Roman regional officials. It is also used in the Septuagint as “best” (cf. I Sam. 15:15; Ps. 15:6; 22:5) or “chief” (cf. Amos 6:2), but not of people.

“Theophilus” This was a common name in the Mediterranean area, used of both Jews and Gentiles. Theo means God, plus philos which means (1) loved, thus “God lover,” “loved by God,” or (2) friend, thus, “friend of God.”

“carefully investigated” This is also a medical term used by Galen to denote the careful checking of symptoms.

1:4 “in order” This is a PURPOSE CLAUSE (hina with the SUBJUNCTIVE).

“so that you may know” This is an intensified form (epiginēskō) which usually denotes full and complete knowledge by experience. It may well imply that Theophilus already knew something of the gospel.

“the things” This is the Greek word logos, which has a wide semantic range. In v. 2 it refers to (1) the truths about Jesus (cf. 7:17) recorded by Luke or (2) the content of what Theophilus has been taught. This may be an additional evidence he was a new believer (although we must be careful of reading too much into the words of this introduction which only much later take on a technical usage in the church).

“taught” From this Greek word we get the English “catechism.” This may imply that Theophilus was a new convert, but this is uncertain because the word is a general, common term.

NASB “the exact truth about the things”
NKJV “the certainty of those things”
NRSV “the truth concerning the things”
TEV “the full truth about everything”
NJB “how well founded the teaching is”

The term asphaleia is used in two related senses in the Koine Greek Paypri found in Egypt: (1) safety, security and (2) certainty as to a belief.

Luke is trying to reassure Theophilus, his first century readers, and later readers of his diligence and accuracy as a researcher and Gospel author. Luke’s presentation is accurate and trustworthy. Believers can fully rely on God, on Christ, on the Gospels!

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:5-7**

5In the days of Herod, king of Judea, there was a priest named Zacharias, of the division of Abijah; and he had a wife from the daughters of Aaron, and her name was Elizabeth. 4They were both righteous in the sight of God, walking blamelessly in all the commandments and requirements of the Lord. 5But they had no child because Elizabeth was barren, and they were both advanced in years.

1:5 “Herod” This refers to Herod the Great (37-4 B.C.), an Idumean (from Edom), who, through political maneuvering and the support of Mark Antony, managed to be appointed ruler of a large part of Palestine (Canaan) by the Roman Senate in 40 B.C.

“Zechariah” His name meant “remembered by YHWH.” This was John the Baptist’s father.

“the division of Abijah” Only four of the twenty-four divisions of Levites returned from the Exile (cf. I Chr 24:7-18). They were then sub-divided (cf. Ezra 2:36-39) so that different ones officiated at the Temple on different weeks. The Abijah division was considered to be the least prestigious of the divisions. For a complete discussion of the priestly divisions see Encyclopaedia Judaica, vol. 12, pp. 89-93.

“he had a wife from the daughters of Aaron” His wife, Elizabeth, was also from a priestly family. Her Hebrew name could mean (1) “God is swearer” or (2) “God fully satisfies.”

1:6 “they were both righteous in the sight of God” “righteous” is used in this context like Matthew’s definition (cf. Matt. 6:1), not Paul’s (cf. Rom. 4). This does not imply sinlessness, but someone who faithfully responds to their understanding of God’s will and ways (cf. Deut. 6:25. Old Testament examples are Noah, Gen. 6:9; 7:1 and Job, Job 1:1). This phrase is
included to show that this couple was experiencing childlessness because of physical problems, not spiritual ones. See Special Topic below.

SPECIAL TOPIC: RIGHTEOUSNESS

“Righteousness” is such a crucial topic that a Bible student must make a personal extensive study of the concept.

In the OT God’s character is described as “just” or “righteous.” The Mesopotamian term itself comes from a river reed which was used as a construction tool to judge the horizontal straightness of walls and fences. God chose the term to be used metaphorically of His own nature. He is the straight edge (ruler) by which all things are evaluated. This concept asserts God’s righteousness as well as His right to judge.

Man was created in the image of God (cf. Gen. 1:26-27; 5:1,3; 9:6). Mankind was created for fellowship with God. All of creation is a stage or backdrop for God and mankind’s interaction. God wanted His highest creation, mankind, to know Him, love Him, serve Him, and be like Him! Mankind’s loyalty was tested (cf. Gen. 3) and the original couple failed the test. This resulted in a disruption of the relationship between God and humanity (cf. Gen. 3; Rom. 5:12-21).

God promised to repair and restore the fellowship (cf. Gen. 3:15). He does this through His own will and His own Son. Humans were incapable of restoring the breach (cf. Rom. 1:18-3:20).

After the Fall, God’s first step toward restoration was the concept of covenant based on His invitation and mankind’s repentant, faithful, obedient response. Because of the Fall, humans were incapable of appropriate action (cf. Rom. 3:21-31; Gal. 3). God Himself had to take the initiative to restore covenant-breaking humans. He did this by

1. declaring sinful mankind righteous through the work of Christ (forensic righteousness).
2. freely giving mankind righteousness through the work of Christ (imputed righteousness).
3. providing the indwelling Spirit who produces righteousness (ethical righteousness) in mankind.
4. restoring the fellowship of the garden of Eden by Christ restoring the image of God (cf. Gen. 1:26-27) in believers (relational righteousness).

However, God requires a covenantal response. God decrees (i.e. freely gives) and provides, but humans must respond and continue to respond in

1. repentance
2. faith
3. lifestyle obedience
4. perseverance

Righteousness, therefore, is a covenantal, reciprocal action between God and His highest creation. It is based on the character of God, the work of Christ, and the enabling of the Spirit, to which each individual must personally and continually respond appropriately. The concept is called “justification by faith.” The concept is revealed in the Gospels, but not in these terms. It is primarily defined by Paul, who uses the Greek term “righteousness” in its various forms over 100 times.

Paul, being a trained rabbi, uses the term dikaiosunē in its Hebrew sense of the term SDQ used in the Septuagint, not from Greek literature. In Greek writings the term is connected to someone who conformed to the expectations of deity and society. In the Hebrew sense it is always structured in covenantal terms. YHWH is a just, ethical, moral God. He wants His people to reflect His character. Redeemed mankind becomes a new creature. This newness results in a new lifestyle of godliness (Roman Catholic focus of justification). Since Israel was a theocracy, there was no clear delineation between the secular (society’s norms) and the sacred (God’s will). This distinction is expressed in the Hebrew and Greek terms being translated into English as “justice” (relating to society) and “righteousness” (relating to religion).

The gospel (good news) of Jesus is that fallen mankind has been restored to fellowship with God. Paul’s paradox is that God, through Christ, acquires the guilty. This has been accomplished through the Father’s love, mercy, and grace; the Son’s life, death, and resurrection; and the Spirit’s wooing and drawing to the gospel. Justification is a free act of God, but it must issue in godliness (Augustine’s position, which reflects both the Reformation emphasis on the freeness of the gospel and Roman Catholic emphasis on a changed life of love and faithfulness). For Reformers the term “the righteousness of God” is an OBJECTIVE GENITIVE (i.e. the act of making sinful mankind acceptable to God [positional sanctification]), while for the Catholic it is a SUBJECTIVE GENITIVE, which is the process of becoming more like God (experiential progressive sanctification). In reality it is surely both!!

In my view all of the Bible, from Gen. 4 - Rev. 20, is a record of God’s restoring the fellowship of Eden. The Bible starts with God and mankind in fellowship in an earthly setting (cf. Gen. 1-2) and the Bible ends with the same setting (cf. Rev. 21-22). God’s image and purpose will be restored!
To document the above discussions, note the following selected NT passages illustrating the Greek word group.

1. God is righteous (often connected to God as Judge)
   a. Romans 3:26
   b. II Thessalonians 1:5-6
   c. II Timothy 4:8
   d. Revelation 16:5

2. Jesus is righteous
   b. Matthew 27:19
   c. I John 2:1,29; 3:7

3. God’s will for His creation is righteousness
   a. Leviticus 19:2
   b. Matthew 5:48 (cf. 5:17-20)

4. God’s means of providing and producing righteousness
   a. Romans 3:21-31
   b. Romans 4
   c. Romans 5:6-11
   d. Galatians 3:6-14
   e. Given by God
      1) Romans 3:24; 6:23
      2) I Corinthians 1:30
      3) Ephesians 2:8-9
   f. Received by faith
      1) Romans 1:17; 3:22,26; 4:3,5,13; 9:30; 10:4,6,10
      2) I Corinthians 5:21
   g. Through acts of the Son
      1) Romans 5:21-31
      2) II Corinthians 5:21
      3) Philippians 2:6-11

5. God’s will is that His followers be righteous
   a. Matthew 5:3-48; 7:24-27
   b. Romans 2:13; 5:1-5; 6:1-23
   c. II Corinthians 6:14
   d. I Timothy 6:11
   e. II Timothy 2:22; 3:16
   f. I John 3:7
   g. I Peter 2:24

6. God will judge the world by righteousness
   a. Acts 17:31
   b. II Timothy 4:8

Righteousness is a characteristic of God, freely given to sinful mankind through Christ. It is
1. a decree of God
2. a gift of God
3. an act of Christ

But it is also a process of becoming righteous that must be vigorously and steadfastly pursued; it will one day be consummated at the Second Coming. Fellowship with God is restored at salvation, but progresses throughout life to become a face-to-face encounter at death or the Parousia!

Here is a good quote taken from *Dictionary of Paul and His Letters* from IVP
“Calvin, more so than Luther, emphasizes the relational aspect of the righteousness of God. Luther’s view of the righteousness of God seems to contain the aspect of acquittal. Calvin emphasizes the marvelous nature of the communication or imparting of God’s righteousness to us” (p. 834).

For me the believer’s relationship to God has three aspects:
1. the gospel is a person (the Eastern Church and Calvin’s emphasis)
2. the gospel is truth (Augustine’s and Luther’s emphases)
3. the gospel is a changed life (Catholic emphasis)

They are all true and must be held together for a healthy, sound, biblical Christianity. If any one is over emphasized or depreciated, problems occur.

We must welcome Jesus!
We must believe the gospel!
We must pursue Christlikeness!

1:7 Barrenness was considered a divine curse in Jewish culture (cf. Gen. 20:18; 29:31; 30:2; Exod. 23:26; Lev. 20:20-21; Deut. 7:14; I Sam. 1:5; Jer. 22:30). There are several barren women mentioned in the Bible: (1) Sarah, Gen. 11:30; 16:1; (2) Rebekah, Gen. 25:21; (3) Rachel, Gen. 29:31; 30:1; (4) Manoah’s wife, Jdgs. 13:2,3; and (5) Hannah, I Sam. 1:2,5. Not only was Elizabeth barren, but now she was past the time of conception (like Sarah). This condition is theologically used as a way of asserting God’s direct control in the affairs of men. This was not a virgin birth, but a birth with supernatural assistance (like Isaac, cf. Gen. 18; like Joseph, cf. Gen. 30:22-24; like Samson, cf. Judg. 13; like Samuel, cf. I Sam. 1). John will fulfill Old Testament prophecy about the one who would prepare the way for the Messiah (cf. Isaiah 40 and Malachi 3).

NASB (UPDAT ED) TEXT: 1:8-17

8Now it happened that while he was performing his priestly service before God in the appointed order of his division, 9according to the custom of the priestly office, he was chosen by lot to enter the temple of the Lord and burn incense. 10And the whole multitude of the people were in prayer outside at the hour of the incense offering. 11And an angel of the Lord appeared to him, standing to the right of the altar of incense. 12Zacharias was troubled when he saw the angel, and fear gripped him. 13But the angel said to him, "Do not be afraid, Zacharias, for your petition has been heard, and your wife Elizabeth will bear you a son, and you will give him the name John. 14You will have joy and gladness, and many will rejoice at his birth. 15For he will be great in the sight of the Lord; and he will drink no wine or liquor, and he will be filled with the Holy Spirit while yet in his mother's womb. 16And he will turn many of the sons of Israel back to the Lord their God. 17It is he who will go as a forerunner before Him in the spirit and power of Elijah, TO TURN THE HEARTS OF THE FATHERS BACK TO THE CHILDREN, and the disobedient to the attitude of the righteous, so as to make ready a people prepared for the Lord."

1:8 “while he was performing his priestly service before God” The Mishnah (part of the Talmud) says there were so many priests at this time that each offered incense only once in his lifetime; others, not at all.

1:9 “he was chosen by lot” In the OT the “lot” originally referred to the Urim and Thummim (cf. Lev. 16:8), which was carried behind the breastplate of the High Priest. It was a mechanical way of determining the will of God, usually for the King. It was used as a way to divide the Promised Land among the tribes in Josh. 13-19. The casting of lots was used by the early church to choose a new apostle to replace Judas in Acts 1.

[to burn the incense” This procedure is described in Exod. 25:6; 30:7; 31:11. The incense itself is described in Exod. 30:34-38.

1:10 Obviously this was a set time of prayer associated with the sacrifice of the Continual (a twice daily sacrifice and burnt offering of a lamb) at 9 a.m. and 3 p.m. Jewish sources advocate the offering of incense twice a day in connection to “the Continual” sacrifice (cf. Exod. 30:7-8). Incense was a physical symbol of prayer rising to God.

1:11 “an angel of the Lord” This phrase is used two ways in the OT.

- “standing to the right of the altar of incense” The altar of incense was located in the Holy Place, next to the veil of the Holy of Holies. The description of this golden incense altar is found in Exod. 30:1-10. This would place the angel between the incense altar and the seven pointed candle stand (Menorah).

1:12 Fear is the common human response in the presence of the spiritual realm (cf. Gen. 15:1; 21:17; Exod. 14:13,31; Josh. 8:1; 10:8; Dan. 10:12,19; Rev. 1:17). However, again and again the divine message is a clear “fear not” (ex. 1:13,30; 2:10).

1:13 “Do not be afraid” This is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually means stop an act already in progress. We get the English term “phobia” from this Greek term (phobos).

- “your petition has been heard” Zacharias was still praying for a child. The incense he was offering to God was a symbol of prayer. In Zacharias’ priestly circle the offering of incense was considered a great honor. It was also considered to be a special time to request prayer.

- “John” In Hebrew it means “one whom God has graciously given” or “YHWH is gracious.”

1:14 John’s birth will be a blessing, not only to Zacharias and his family, but to Israel and to all the earth.

1:15 “he will be great in the sight of the Lord” This is an idiom for “he will serve God’s plan and kingdom in a special way.” He is the promised precursor of the Messiah (cf. Isa. 40:3; Mal. 3:1; 4:5,6). In 1:32 this same term “great” is used of Jesus.

- “he will drink no wine or liquor” This is a strong DOUBLE NEGATIVE with an AORIST ACTIVE SUBJUNCTIVE. He was to be a Nazarite (cf. Num. 6), which was a special dedicatory life given completely to God’s service.

- “he will be filled with the Holy Spirit” This was an OT way of affirming God’s power and giftedness (cf. Exod. 28:3; 31:3; 35:31,35, where it refers to those who helped build the tabernacle). This special presence and activity of the Spirit had been missing for 400 years. The new age of the Spirit had come.


- “while yet in his mother’s womb” This shows God’s initiation and blessing, not only in conception, but even fetal spiritual development (cf. 1:41). This phrase also parallels God’s affirmation to Jeremiah in Jer. 1:4 (cf. Isa. 49:1; Ps. 139:13-16).

1:16 John’s primary task was to spiritually prepare Israel for her Messiah (cf. Mark 1:15). His baptism of repentance was a message of repentance and restoration. He was the first true prophet (i.e. filled with the Spirit) since Malachi and huge numbers of Israelis flocked to him.


- “the Lord their God” This probably reflects the OT combination of the names for deity, as in Gen. 2:4,5,7,8 and many other verses.

2. God - Elohim (creator, provider, and sustainer of all life, cf. Gen. 1:1)

1.16 John’s primary task was to spiritually prepare Israel for her Messiah (cf. Mark 1:15). His baptism of repentance was a message of repentance and restoration. He was the first true prophet (i.e. filled with the Spirit) since Malachi and huge numbers of Israelis flocked to him.

2. God - Elohim (creator, provider, and sustainer of all life, cf. Gen. 1:1)

This seems to reflect Luke’s usage in 1:16,32,68.

1:17 “in the spirit and power of Elijah” This verse is an allusion to the prophecies of Mal. 3:1 and 4:5-6. Elijah was to precede the Messiah. However, John fills the role of Elijah (cf. Matt. 11:14; 17:10-13).

1:17 “in the spirit and power of Elijah” This verse is an allusion to the prophecies of Mal. 3:1 and 4:5-6. Elijah was to precede the Messiah. However, John fills the role of Elijah (cf. Matt. 11:14; 17:10-13).

As Elisha received the Spirit of Elijah to become a prophet (cf. I Kgs. 19:16), Elisha asks for a double portion of Elijah’s spirit (cf. II Kgs. 2:9). In a sense Elisha continued the ministry of Elijah. This is what John does; he extends the eschatological ministry of Elijah foretold in Mal. 3 and 4.
NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:18-20

18Zacharias said to the angel, "How will I know this for certain? For I am an old man and my wife is advanced in years." 19The angel answered and said to him, "I am Gabriel, who stands in the presence of God, and I have been sent to speak to you and to bring you this good news. 20And behold, you shall be silent and unable to speak until the day when these things take place, because you did not believe my words, which will be fulfilled in their proper time."

1:18 “How will I know” This sounds very much like Abraham (cf. Gen. 17:17; 18:11-12) and Mary (cf. 1:34).

1:19 “Gabriel” This Hebrew name means “God’s strong man,” “man of God,” or “God is my warrior.” This is God’s messenger angel (cf. 1:26; Dan. 8:16; 9:21). There are only two angels named in the Bible: (1) Gabriel, who is God’s messenger angel to Daniel, Zacharias, and Mary, and (2) Michael, who is the national archangel (cf. Dan. 10:13,21; 12:1; Jude 9; Rev. 12:7).

■ “who stands in the presence of God” Angeology became a major element of rabbinical theology and speculation following Israel’s contact with Zoroastrianism (Persian religion). The Bible is silent and vague about the spiritual realm. What is presented is often in metaphors or symbols. From the OT there are two types of angels surrounding YHWH’s throne—Seraphim (cf. Isa. 6) and Cherubim (cf. Ezek. 1,10).

Gabriel’s authority rests on his relationship with and proximity to God. This is an important message from God for His purposes (i.e. good news).

1:20 This verse serves as a powerful warning about rejecting, or at least not fully believing, the message from God. Humans may fear the spiritual realm (angels), but they must respect their message! The consequences of rejection are potent.

■

NASB, NKJV “behold”
NRSV, TEV “But”
NJB “Look”

This is the Greek term idou, which was an IMPERATIVE of eidein, “to see.” For Luke it has become a literary technique to draw attention to a statement. It is used many times in the Luke’s writings and the Revelation.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:21-23

21And the people were waiting for Zacharias, and were wondering at his delay in the temple. 22But when he came out, he was unable to speak to them; and they realized that he had seen a vision in the temple; and he kept making signs to them, and remained mute. 23When the days of his priestly service were ended, he went back home.

1:21 “the temple” This is the term naos. Literally it is from the term “to dwell.” It came to be used of the central shrine made up of the Holy of Holies and the Holy Place.

1:22 “when he came out, he was unable to speak” According to rabbinical tradition it was customary for the priest to bless the people when he came out from burning incense, using the Aaronic blessing of Num. 6:24-26.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:24-25

24After these days Elizabeth his wife became pregnant, and she kept herself in seclusion for five months, saying, 25"This is the way the Lord has dealt with me in the days when He looked with favor upon me, to take away my disgrace among men."

1:25 This shows how she felt about being barren. It was viewed as a curse from God. See note at 1:7.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:26-38

26Now in the sixth month the angel Gabriel was sent from God to a city in Galilee called Nazareth, 27to a virgin engaged to a man whose name was Joseph, of the descendants of David; and the virgin's name was Mary. 28And coming in, he said to her, "Greetings, favored one! The Lord is with you." 29But she was very perplexed at this statement, and kept pondering what kind of salutation this was. 30The angel said to her, "Do not be afraid, Mary; for you have found favor with God. 31"And behold, you will conceive in your womb and bear a son, and you shall name Him Jesus. 32He will..."
be great and will be called the Son of the Most High; and the Lord God will give Him the throne of His father David; 33and He will reign over the house of Jacob forever, and His kingdom will have no end." 34Mary said to the angel, "How can this be, since I am a virgin?" 35The angel answered and said to her, "The Holy Spirit will come upon you, and the power of the Most High will overshadow you; and for that reason the holy Child shall be called the Son of God. 36And behold, even your relative Elizabeth has also conceived a son in her old age; and she who was called barren is now in her sixth month. 37For nothing will be impossible with God." 38And Mary said, "Behold, the bondslave of the Lord; may it be done to me according to your word." And the angel departed from her.

1:26 “sixth month” This refers to Elizabeth’s pregnancy (cf. v. 36).

“Gabriel” See note at 1:19.

“a city in Galilee called Nazareth” Galilee was known as a Gentile area although many Jews lived there (apparently a community from the royal tribe of Judah lived in Nazareth). The name Nazareth itself may be related to the Messianic title “Branch” (nezêr, cf. Isa. 11:1; Matt. 2:23). See Special Topic: Jesus the Nazarene at 4:34. People from this area were generally looked down upon by Judean Jews. This is related to the prophecy of Isa. 9:1.

1:27 “a virgin” The Greek word here is not ambiguous as the Hebrew Almah is in Isa. 7:4. It specifically means virgin. Matthew and Luke assert that Jesus had no human father (cf. v. 34), that He was the ultimate fulfillment of Isa. 7:14 and Gen. 3:15! This child is of God, not of man.

Outside of the birth accounts of Matthew and Luke the virgin birth is not specifically mentioned in the NT. It does not appear in any of the sermons of Acts or the later Epistles. This is not because it is not true, but because it might be misunderstood by a polytheistic Greek culture, which believed that the gods at Olympus regularly took human women and produced offspring. The uniqueness of the biblical account would be lost in this cultural context.

“engaged” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICIPLE. In Jewish culture of the first century, this was legally binding. Only divorce or death could break this arrangement. Girls became marriageable at 12 years of age (bat mitzvah) with a one year betrothal period (Ketubot 4.4-5). For OT background see Deut. 22:23-27.

“Joseph, of the descendants of David” Whether Joseph and Mary both were of Davidic descent or just Joseph is uncertain (cf. 2:5). The issue is significant because of the promises and prophecies of II Sam. 7:12-16; Ps. 89:19ff). The obvious differences between the genealogies of Matthew and Luke are probably due to different lineages. Many commentators assume that Luke records the royal genealogy of Mary (Jesus’ actual lineage), while Matthew records Joseph’s royal lineage (Jesus’ Jewish legal lineage). However, this is only speculation.

“name was Mary” In Hebrew this is the name Mara (i.e. “bitter” of Ruth 1:20). In the Septuagint it is Mariam. Luke (like all the Synoptic Gospels) spells the name Maria (cf. 1:27).

1:28 This is a form of the normal term for “greeting” (chairein, cf. Acts 15:23; James 1:1) in the Greco-Roman world of the first century. Its grammatical form is PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. Its basic meaning is “be full of joy” or “continue to rejoice.”

There is the added possibility that this phrase was used in the Septuagint in contexts where

1. the phrase “do not be afraid” is used
2. God’s people are told to rejoice because God is about to deliver them
   a. Lamentations 4:21-22
   b. Zephaniah 3:14-20
   c. Joel 2:21-27
   d. Zechariah 9:9

“greetings” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICIPLE from the root chairô. God’s gift of favor and blessing came to and remained on Mary.

The angel’s first words are a sound play on chaire and kecharitômenê, which is “be glad, favored one.” These words have different etymological roots, but they sound alike.

“favored one” The Vulgate has “Hail, Mary, full of grace.” This is a good translation if we see that Mary is the recipient of God’s grace, not the giver of grace. There is only one mediator, Jesus (cf. I Tim. 2:5). However, this was a great and unique honor for a young, peasant woman (cf. v. 48). This greeting shocked her (cf. v. 29).
“There are several ancient Greek uncial manuscripts (MSS A, C, D) which add the phrase “blessed are you among women.” This illustrates one of the recurrent tendencies of copyists (i.e. scribes) to harmonize phrasing (cf. v. 42). The UBS criticism apparatus gives the absence of the phrase in v. 28 an “A” rating (certain).

1:30 “Do not be afraid” This is a PRESENT IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE negated, which usually means to stop an act in process. This is a common angelic message to humans (cf. v. 13).

“you have found favor with God” This seems to be an OT idiom for God’s special activity in someone’s life (cf. Gen. 6:8; 18:3; 19:19; 30:27; Exod. 33:12,17; Acts 7:46). God chooses to use imperfect, but available, humans who choose to trust Him to accomplish His purposes in this world.

1:31 “you will conceive in your womb” Mary could have been stoned for pregnancy outside of marriage (cf. Deut. 22:24-25).

A virgin-born child fulfills the prophecy and promise of Gen. 3:15 (cf. Gal. 4:4). Until this point in progressive relation, neither Isa. 7:14 nor Gen. 3:15 made sense. But now John 1:1-14; Rom. 1:3; 8:3; Phil. 2:6-11 make perfect sense. God became a human to deal with human sin.

In Jesus, God’s justice, (“the soul that sins it will surely die”) and God’s grace (“For God so loved the world He gave His only begotten Son”) meet in a redemptive, loving, sacrificial climax (cf. Isa. 52:13-53:12; II Cor. 5:21!)

“you shall name Him Jesus” Jesus is the Aramaic equivalent of the Hebrew “Joshua.” Both are a compound of “YHWH” and “salvation.” In the Matthew parallel (1:21) the name is explained by the angel.

1:32-33 These two verses describe who this male child is and what he will do.

1. He will be great (cf. Micah 5:4).
2. He will be called the Son of the Most High (cf. II Sam. 7:14; Ps. 2:7).
3. The Lord God will give Him the throne of His father David (cf. II Sam. 7:12; Ps. 132:11; Micah 5:2)
4. He will reign over the house of Jacob (cf. Micah 5:3-4)
5. His kingdom will have no end (cf. Dan. 2:44; 7:14,18,27).
These phrases must have shocked Mary because
1. The Jews were not expecting an incarnation, but an empowering (like the Judges).
2. Her child will be the promised Messiah (cf. Isa. 9:7)
3. His kingdom would be universal and eternal (cf. II Sam. 7:13,16; Isa. 9:7; Dan. 2:44; 7:14,18,27; Micah 5:4).

1:32 “the Son of the Most High” In the OT the King is called a “son” (cf. II Sam. 7:14; Ps. 2:7). For a note on “Most High” see 1:76.

“the Lord God will give Him the throne of His father David” That Jesus is a descendant of David is a major Messianic affirmation (cf. II Sam. 7; Ps. 89:3-4; 132:11; Isa. 9:6-7; 11:1; Jer. 23:5; 33:15-18; Micah 5:2); it is a recurrent theme of the Gospels (both Matthew’s and Luke’s genealogies, cf. Luke 1:32,69; 2:4; 3:31; Acts 2:29-31; 13:23; Matt. 15:22; 20:3; 21:9,15; John 7:42), Paul’s Epistles (cf. Rom. 1:3; II Tim. 2:8), and the book of the Revelation (cf. 3:7; 22:16).

1:33 “He will reign...forever, and His kingdom will have no end” This obviously is not a reference to a millennial reign (cf. Rev. 20:1-6), but an eternal kingdom (cf. Isa. 9:7; Dan. 7:14,18; and possibly implied in Mic. 5:2-5a).

1:34 NASB, NRSV,
TEV “Since I am a virgin”
NKJV “since I do not know a man”
NJB “since I have no knowledge of a man”
The word “know” in Hebrew implies an intimate, personal relationship (cf. Jer. 1:5), even a sexual union (cf. Gen. 4:1). This same idiom is used in the same sense in the Septuagint (cf. Jdgs. 11:39; 21:12).

1:35 “overshadow you” This was not a sexual experience for God or Mary. The Spirit does not have a physical, human body. There is a parallel relationship between “The Holy Spirit will come upon you” and “the power of the Most High will overshadow you.” In this context it is not the person of the Spirit that is emphasized, but that OT concept of Him as the power of God that goes forth to do God’s bidding (cf. Gen. 1:2). As the power of God came upon Mary (eperchomai) to accomplish His purposes, so too did it come upon the early church (cf. Acts 1:8).

We must be careful not to involve Greek polytheistic ideas here. This may be the very reason that this truth does not appear in the sermons of Acts or in the NT Epistles.
“Overshadow” (*episkiazó*) seems to be related to the OT Shekinah cloud of the Wilderness Wanderings which symbolized God’s presence (cf. Septuagint of Exod. 40:35). The same Greek term is used of God’s presence overshadowing the inner circle of Apostles on the Mount of Transfiguration (cf. Matt. 17:5; Mark 7:9; Luke 9:34). It showed His personal presence and power.

**“holy child”** This is used in the sense of “sinless” (cf. Acts 3:14; 7:12; 22:14), but also “given to accomplish God’s tasks” (cf. Acts 4:27).

Holiness is a family characteristic of God (“holy child” is parallel to “Son of God”). See Special Topic below.

---

### SPECIAL TOPIC: HOLY

#### I. The Old Testament

A. The etymology of the term *kadosh* is uncertain, possibly Canaanite. It is possible that part of the root (i.e. *kd*) means “to divide.” This is the source of the popular definition “separated (from Canaanite culture, cf. Deut. 7:6; 14:2,21; 26:19) for God’s use.”

B. It relates to cultic things, places, times, and persons. It is not used in Genesis, but becomes common in Exodus, Leviticus, and Numbers.

C. In the Prophetic literature (esp. Isaiah and Hosea) the personal element previously present, but not emphasized comes to the fore. It becomes a way of designating the essence of God (cf. Isa. 6:3). God is holy. His name representing His character is Holy. His people who are to reveal His character to a needy world are holy (if they obey the covenant in faith).

D. God’s mercy and love are inseparable from the theological concepts of covenants, justice, and essential character. Herein is the tension in God toward an unholy, fallen, rebellious humanity. There is a very interesting article on the relationship between God as “merciful” and God as “holy” in Robert B. Girdlestone, *Synonyms of the Old Testament*, pp. 112-113.

#### II. The New Testament

A. The writers of the NT (except Luke) are Hebrew thinkers, but are influenced by Koine Greek (i.e. the Septuagint). It is the Greek translation of the OT, not Classical Greek literature, thought, or religion that controls their vocabulary.


C. Because God is holy, His children are to be holy (cf. Lev. 11:44-45; 19:2; 20:7,26; Matt. 5:48; I Pet. 1:16). Because Jesus is holy, His followers are to be holy (cf. Rom. 8:28-29; II Cor. 3:18; Gal. 4:19; Eph. 1:4; I Thess. 3:13; 4:3; I Pet. 1:15). Christians are saved to serve in Christlikeness (holiness).

---

**NASB, TEV** “the holy Child”  
**NKJV** “that Holy One who is to be born”  
**NRSV** “the child to be born will be holy”  
**NJB** “the child will be holy”

It is obvious from these English translations there is a problem in the Greek text. Literally the phrase is “wherefore also the thing being born holy.” To the PARTICIPLE “being born” (PRESENT PASSIVE) some ancient Greek manuscripts add “out of (or “from”) you” (i.e. Mary, cf. MS C’). There have been several possible explanations.

1. The two previous clauses have “you.”
2. The addition follows the Matthew parallel (cf. Matt. 1:20).
3. This is one of several purposeful theological additions by scribes to deter ancient Christological heresies (cf. Bart D. Ehrman, *The Orthodox Corruption of Scripture*, p. 139).

**“the Son of God”** See Special Topic below.
SPECIAL TOPIC: SON OF GOD

This is one of the major NT titles for Jesus. It surely has divine connotations. It included Jesus as “the Son” or “My Son” and God addressed as “Father.” It occurs in the NT over 124 times. Even Jesus’ self-designation as “Son of Man” has a divine connotation from Dan. 7:13-14.

In the OT the designation “son” could refer to three specific groups.
1. angels (usually in the PLURAL, cf. Gen. 6:2; Job 1:6; 2:1)
2. the King of Israel (cf. II Sam. 7:14; Ps. 2:7; 89:26-27)
3. the nation of Israel as a whole (cf. Exod. 4:22-23; Deut. 14:1; Hos. 11:1; Mal. 2:10)
4. Israeli judges (cf. Ps. 82:6)

It is the second usage that is linked to Jesus. In this way “son of David” and “son of God” both relate to II Sam. 7 and Ps. 2 and 89. In the OT “son of God” is never used specifically of the Messiah, except as the eschatological king as one of the “anointed offices” of Israel. However, in the Dead Sea Scrolls the title with Messianic implications is common (see specific references in Dictionary of Jesus and the Gospels, p. 770). Also “Son of God” is a Messianic title in two interbiblical Jewish apocalyptic works (cf. II Esdras 7:28; 13:32,37,52; 14:9 and I Enoch 105:2).

Its NT background as it refers to Jesus is best summarized by several categories:
1. His pre-existence (cf. John 1:1-18)
3. His baptism (cf. Matt. 3:17; Mark 1:11; Luke 3:22). God’s voice from heaven unites the royal king of Ps. 2 with the suffering servant of Isa. 53).
4. His satanic temptation (cf. Matt. 4:1-11; Mark 1:12,13; Luke 4:1-13). He is tempted to doubt His sonship or at least to accomplish its purpose by different means than the cross.
5. His affirmation by unacceptable confessors
   b. unbelievers (cf. Matt. 27:43; Mark 14:61; John 19:7)
6. His affirmation by His disciples
   b. John 1:34,49; 6:69; 11:27
7. His self affirmation
   a. Matthew 11:25-27
   b. John 10:36
8. His use of the familial metaphor of God as Father
   a. His use of “abba” for God
      1) Mark 14:36
      2) Romans 8:15
      3) Galatians 4:6
   b. His recurrent use of Father (pater) to describe His relationship to deity

In summary, the title “Son of God” had great theological meaning for those who knew the OT and its promises and categories, but the NT writers were nervous about its use with Gentiles because of their pagan background of “the gods” taking women with the resulting offspring being “the titans” or “giants.”

1:37 “For nothing will be impossible with God” This statement refers to v. 36, but also to Gabriel’s message to Mary (vv. 26-35). Elizabeth’s pregnancy was a way of confirming God’s supernatural actions in human conception (cf. LXX of Gen. 18:14). This phrase is an OT idiom of God’s power accomplishing His purposes (cf. Job 42:2; Jer. 32:17; Zech. 8:6). It may be an allusion to Gen. 18:14 which deals specifically with the birth of Isaac (another supernatural, but not virgin, birth). It also occurs in a similar affirmation in Mark 10:27 and Luke 18:27.
1:38 “the bondslave of the Lord” The term “Lord” obviously refers to YHWH here, but in 1:43 Elizabeth uses the term for Jesus. See fuller note at v. 43.

“may it be done to me according to your word” This is an AORIST MIDDLE (deponent) OPTATIVE, which is a prayer or expressed desire. What great faith this young girl displayed! She is not sinless, but has great faith (cf. v. 45). This verse shows the theological balance between God’s sovereignty and His covenant mandate (i.e. “if. . .then”) of human response. God planned and initiated; Mary cooperated!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:39-45

39Now at this time Mary arose and went in a hurry to the hill country, to a city of Judah, 40and entered the house of Zacharias and greeted Elizabeth. 41When Elizabeth heard Mary’s greeting, the baby leaped in her womb; and Elizabeth was filled with the Holy Spirit. 42And she cried out with a loud voice and said, "Blessed are you among women, and blessed is the fruit of your womb! 43And how has it happened to me, that the mother of my Lord would come to me? 44For behold, when the sound of your greeting reached my ears, the baby leaped in my womb for joy. 45And blessed is she who believed that there would be a fulfillment of what had been spoken to her by the Lord."

1:39 Zacharias and Elizabeth did not live in Jerusalem, but close by.

1:41 “baby leaped in my womb” This is not unusual for the sixth month of pregnancy, but the timing is miraculous! The eye of faith saw great meaning in a common occurrence. The rabbis asserted that the unborn child could respond to spiritual things (cf. Gen. 25:22). John, who was conceived with God’s help, was in the presence of Jesus (both yet unborn).

“filled with the Holy Spirit” Notice that this phrase (so common in Acts) occurs here in a pre-Pentecostal setting. However, Elizabeth’s resulting prophetic insight is far-reaching and obviously supernatural.

1:42 “Blessed. . .blessed” These are both exclamatory forms (Hebrew and Aramaic), like Ps. 1:1 (no VERBS). These are also both PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICIPLES. Mary is blessed for a divine purpose. The world is blessed because of her child (cf. Gen. 3:15).

1:43 “my Lord” Elizabeth is using the theologically significant term “Lord” (cf. Exod. 3:14; Ps. 110:1) to refer to the unborn Messiah (cf. 2:14). The filling of the Spirit has clearly opened her eyes as He did for Simeon in Luke 2:26; for Anna in Luke 2:36-38; for Nathanael in John 1:49; and for Peter in Matt. 16:16.

Elizabeth uses the same Greek term, “Lord,” of YHWH in vv. 45 and 46-47 (by means of His messenger angel). In later Jewish worship the Jews were nervous of pronouncing the covenant name for God, YHWH, so they substituted the Hebrew term Adon (husband, owner, master, lord) for it in their reading of Scripture. This in turn affected later translations like the English ones, which use LORD for YHWH and Lord for Adon (cf. Ps. 110:1).

1:45 “blessed” This is a different Greek word for blessed (makaria) than v. 42 (twice), which is eulogeo. The term in v. 42 is used only of God (once of Mary in 1:42), while the term in v. 45 is used of humans (cf. Luke 6:20-23; Matt. 5:3-11).

“is she who believed” This seems to be a purposeful comparison with Zacharias’ expressed doubts and resulting dumbness. However, it could also function as a way to denote the evangelistic purpose of all the Gospels (cf. John 20:30-31). Luke wants his Gentile readers/hearers to also exercise faith in God’s word and promises!

“that there would be a fulfillment” This is the Greek term teleiastis, which means “completion” or “accomplishment.” Mary believed God’s word to her. This is the key to the biblical concept of faith. Throughout the OT God spoke to human beings. Those who would be believers must believe! They must respond to God’s word by yielding to His will and purpose. Many surely fit this “faith” category (cf. Heb. 11).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:46-55

46And Mary said: "My soul exalts the Lord, 47And my spirit has rejoiced in God my Savior. 48For He has had regard for the humble state of His bondslave; For behold, from this time on all generations will count me blessed. 49For the Mighty One has done great things for me; And holy is His name. 50AND HIS MERCY IS UPON GENERATION AFTER GENERATION TOWARD THOSE WHO FEAR HIM. 51He has done mighty deeds with His arm; And scattered those who were proud in the thoughts of their heart. 52He has brought down rulers from their thrones, And has exalted those who were humble. 53HE HAS FILLED THE HUNGRY WITH GOOD THINGS; AND SENT AWAY THE RICH EMPTY-HANDED. 54He has given help
to Israel His servant, In remembrance of His mercy, *As He spoke to our fathers, To Abraham and his descendants forever."

1:46-47 “soul. . .spirit” These two terms (ψυχή and πνεῦμα) are in a parallel relationship; therefore these are synonymous (as are “Lord” and “God my Savior”). Man is a unity, not a dichotomy or trichotomy (cf. Gen. 2:7). This is a controversial issue, so I would like to insert the note from my commentary on I Thess. 5:23:

“This is not an ontological dichotomy in mankind, but a dual relationship to both this planet and to God. The Hebrew word נפש is used of both mankind and the animals in Genesis, while spirit (רוח) is used uniquely of mankind. This is not a proof-text on the nature of mankind as a three-part (trichotomous) being. Mankind is primarily represented in the Bible as a unity (cf. Gen. 2:7). For a good summary of the theories of mankind as trichotomous, dichotomous, or a unity, see Millard J. Erickson’s *Christian Theology* (second edition) pp. 538-557; Frank Stagg’s *Polarities of Man’s Existence in Biblical Perspective* (p. 133) and W. T. Conner, *Revelation and God*, pp. 50-51.”

□ “exalts. . .rejoiced” The first is PRESENT TENSE. The second is AORIST TENSE. It is possible that the first phrase refers to the unborn Messiah and the second phrase to Mary’s faith in YHWH.

1:46 “Mary” There is an interesting discussion about which name—(1) Mary, (2) Elizabeth, or (3) no name at all—appeared in the original autograph. All Greek witnesses have “Mary” (spelled two different ways), but three Latin texts and comments by Irenaeus and Jerome, commenting on Origen’s notes, have given rise to speculation. For further information, see Bruce M. Metzger, *A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament*, pp. 130-131.

1:47 “God my Savior” Mary recognizes her need for a savior!

As there has been an ambiguity in the use of Lord (kurios), possibly referring to YHWH or the Messiah, it is interesting to me how this possibly “purposeful” ambiguity continues throughout the NT. The Trinitarian aspect of God’s nature unifies the Father and the Son.

In Paul’s letter to Titus he calls the Father “Savior” three times (cf. Titus 1:3; 2:10; 3:4). In every context he also calls Jesus “Savior” (cf. Titus 1:4; 2:13; 3:6).

1:48 “humble state” God chose a young peasant girl to be the Messiah’s mother (cf. Gen. 3:15). Isn’t that just like God! He is in control. He will be magnified. He does not need human merit or performance. He will bring redemption!

□ “will call me blessed” Elizabeth has already blessed her younger relative twice (cf. vv. 42,45). This will be repeated throughout time because of the significance of her Son!

1:49 “the Mighty One” This reflects the Patriarchal name of God, *El Shaddai* (cf. Exod. 6:3).

□ “holy is His name” See Special Topic at 1:35.

1:50 “His mercy is upon generation after generation” This is an OT allusion to YHWH’s unchanging character of mercy and covenant loyalty toward those who believe (cf. Deut. 5:10; 7:9; Ps. 103:17).

□ “fear Him” This means to respect or revere Him, to keep Him in a place of awe (of God in Acts 9:31; of government officials in Rom. 13:7; of slave owners in I Pet. 2:18).

1:51 “He has done mighty deeds with His arm” This is an anthropomorphic phrase. God does not have a physical body. It is used in the Bible to describe God’s power to act (cf. Ps. 98:1; 118:15-16; Isa. 51:9; 52:10). Often Jesus is depicted at the Father’s right hand (cf. Matt. 22:44; 26:64; Luke 20:42; 22:69; Acts 2:33,34; 5:31; 7:55,56).

□ “He has scattered those who were proud in the thoughts of their heart” This reflects YHWH’s actions in the OT recorded in the Septuagint (cf. Num. 10:35; Deut. 30:1,3; Jer. 51:20-22). God’s ways are so different from mankind’s ways (cf. Isa. 55:8-9). He exalts those who are weak, powerless, and humble, like Mary (cf. Luke 10:21).

The Greek term for “proud” (ὕπερφήνας) is used often in Isaiah (cf. LXX 1:25; 2:12; 13:11; 29:20).

□ “heart” See Special Topic below.
SPECIAL TOPIC: THE HEART

The Greek term *kardia* is used in the Septuagint and NT to reflect the Hebrew term *lēb*. It is used in several ways (cf. Bauer, Arndt, Gingrich and Danker, *A Greek-English Lexicon*, pp. 403-404).

1. the center of physical life, a metonymy for the person (cf. Acts 14:17; II Corinthians 3:2-3; James 5:5)
2. the center of spiritual (moral) life
   a. God knows the heart (cf. Luke 16:15; Rom. 8:27; I Cor. 14:25; I Thess. 2:4; Rev. 2:23)
   b. used of mankind’s spiritual life (cf. Matt. 15:18-19; 18:35; Rom. 6:17; I Tim. 1:5; II Tim. 2:22; I Pet. 1:22)
3. the center of the thought life (i.e. intellect, cf. Matt. 13:15; 24:48; Acts 7:23; 16:14; 28:27; Rom. 1:21; 10:6; 16:18; II Cor. 4:6; Eph. 1:18; 4:18; James 1:26; II Pet. 1:19; Rev. 18:7) “heart” is synonymous with mind in II Cor. 3:14-15 and Phil. 4:7)
4. the center of the volition (i.e. will, cf. Acts 5:4; 11:23; I Cor. 4:5; 7:37; II Cor. 9:7)
5. the center of the emotions (cf. Matt. 5:28; Acts 2:26,37; 7:54; 21:13; Rom. 1:24; II Cor. 2:4; 7:3; Eph. 6:22; Phil. 1:7)
6. unique place of the Spirit’s activity (cf. Rom. 5:5; II Cor. 1:22; Gal. 4:6 [i.e. Christ in our hearts, Eph. 3:17])
7. The heart is a metaphorical way of referring to the entire person (cf. Matt. 22:37, quoting Deut. 6:5). The thoughts, motives, and actions attributed to the heart fully reveal the type of individual. The OT has some striking usages of the terms
   a. Gen. 6:6; 8:21, “God was grieved to His heart” (also notice Hosea 11:8-9)
   b. Deut. 4:29; 6:5, “with all your heart and all your soul”
   c. Deut. 10:16, “uncircumcised heart” and Rom. 2:29
   d. Ezek. 18:31-32, “a new heart”
   e. Ezek. 36:26, “a new heart” vs. “a heart of stone”

1:52 This is parallel to v. 51, as is v. 53.

1:53 This is a quote from Ps. 107:9. The same concept is found in Ps. 146:7-9. God’s ways are not man’s ways (cf. Isa. 55:8-11).

1:54 “to Israel His servant” The term “servant” was originally used in the OT as an honorific title for leaders (e.g. Moses, Joshua, David).

   It came to be used in a collective sense for Israel, especially in the Servant Songs of Isaiah (cf. 41:8-9; 42:18-19; 43:10). This collective sense is personified in an ideal Israelite (the Messiah in Isa. 42:1; 52:13-53:12).

[In remembrance of His mercy] God is and has been faithful to Israel because of His unchanging character (cf. Mal. 3:6) of mercy and covenant love (Hebrew *hesed*).

1:55 This verse emphasizes the call of Abraham (cf. Gen. 12, 15, 17) and his descendants who will provide a family and a nation for the Messiah. Jesus is the fulfillment of “the seed” of Abraham (cf. Rom. 2:28-20; Gal. 3:15-19).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:56

56And Mary stayed with her about three months, and then returned to her home.

1:56 “then returned to her home” Obviously to face ridicule. Belief always costs!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:57-58

57Now the time had come for Elizabeth to give birth, and she gave birth to a son. 58Her neighbors and her relatives heard that the Lord had displayed His great mercy toward her; and they were rejoicing with her.

1:57 For Jews the birth of a child, especially a son, was a blessing from God. Elizabeth had been childless for so long and now had delivered a healthy boy!
And it happened that on the eighth day they came to circumcise the child, and they were going to call him Zacharias, after his father. 66But his mother answered and said, "No indeed; but he shall be called John." 67And they said to her, "There is no one among your relatives who is called by that name." 68And they made signs to his father, as to what he wanted him called. 69And he asked for a tablet and wrote as follows, "His name is John." And they were all astonished. 70And at once his mouth was opened and his tongue loosed, and he began to speak in praise of God. 71Fear came on all those living around them; and all these matters were being talked about in all the hill country of Judea. 72All who heard them kept them in mind, saying, "What then will this child turn out to be? For the hand of the Lord was certainly with him.

### Notes

#### 1:59 “on the eighth day they came to circumcise the child”
This was practiced by all of Israel’s neighbors except the Philistines (Greek Aegean people). For most cultures it was usually a rite of passage into manhood, but not for Israel (it was instead an initiation rite into the covenant People). It was a sign of a special faith relationship with YHWH (Gen. 17:9-14). Each Patriarch circumcised his own sons (i.e. acted as priest for his own family). Robert Girdlestone, *Synonyms of the Old Testament*, p. 214, says the rite of circumcision connected the rite of blood-shedding with the act of circumcision. Blood was connected to covenant forming (cf. Gen. 15:17), covenant breaking (cf. Gen. 2:17), and covenant redemption (cf. Isa. 53).

The eighth day was the set time for male Jews to have the foreskin of their penises removed (cf. Lev. 12:3; Gen. 17:12). It was so important that even if the eighth day occurred on the Sabbath the ritual was still performed.

#### 1:60 “he shall be called John”
Naming was usually the choice of the father, but for both Jesus (cf. Matt. 1:21) and John (cf. 1:13) the messenger angel gave their names.

#### 1:63 “they were all astonished”
This is the Greek term *thaumazo*, which is used often by Luke (cf. 1:21,63; 2:18,33; 4:22; 7:9; 8:25; 9:43; 11:13,38; 20:26; 24:12,41; Acts 2:7; 3:12; 4:13; 7:31; 13:41). Luke’s vocabulary is influenced by the Septuagint. This word is also found in several OT contexts (cf. Gen. 19:21; Lev. 19:25; 26:32; Deut. 10:17; 28:50; Job 41:4; Dan. 8:27). The NOUN form is used of God’s miracles (cf. Exod. 3:20; Deut. 34:12; Jgs. 6:13; and I Chr. 16:9).

#### “fear”
These neighbors, family, and friends recognized God’s special presence and divine purpose (cf. v. 66) in this conception and birth. This fear (a better word, “awe,” cf. NJB) is the common human response to the presence of the supernatural.

#### 1:66 “For the hand of the Lord was certainly with him”

This was a Semitic idiom for God’s presence, power, and plan for individuals who become part of His design for the Kingdom (cf. I Chr. 28:19; Ezek. 1:3). By analogy it would apply to the mind-set and world-view of all believers. God is with us, for us, and has a plan and purpose for our lives.

### NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:59-66

59And it happened that on the eighth day they came to circumcise the child, and they were going to call him Zacharias, after his father. 60But his mother answered and said, "No indeed; but he shall be called John." 61And they said to her, "There is no one among your relatives who is called by that name." 62And they made signs to his father, as to what he wanted him called. 63And he asked for a tablet and wrote as follows, "His name is John." And they were all astonished. 64And at once his mouth was opened and his tongue loosed, and he began to speak in praise of God. 65Fear came on all those living around them; and all these matters were being talked about in all the hill country of Judea. 66All who heard them kept them in mind, saying, "What then will this child turn out to be? For the hand of the Lord was certainly with him.

### NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:67-79

67And his father Zacharias was filled with the Holy Spirit, and prophesied, saying: 68“Blessed be the Lord God of Israel, For He has visited us and accomplished redemption for His people, 69And has raised up a horn of salvation for us In the house of David His servant – 70As He spoke by the mouth of His holy prophets from of old – 71Salvation FROM OUR ENEMIES, And FROM THE HAND OF ALL WHO HATE US; 72To show mercy toward our fathers, And to remember His holy covenant, 73The oath which He swore to Abraham our father, 74To grant us that we, being rescued from the hand of our enemies, Might serve Him without fear, 75In holiness and righteousness before Him all our days. 76And you, child, will be called the prophet of the Most High; For you will go on BEFORE THE LORD TO PREPARE HIS WAYS; 77To give to His people the knowledge of salvation By the forgiveness of their sins, 78Because of the tender mercy of our God, With which the Sunrise from on high will visit us, 79TO SHINE UPON THOSE WHO SIT IN DARKNESS AND THE SHADOW OF DEATH, To guide our feet into the way of peace.”

#### 1:67 “was filled with the Holy Spirit”
This shows the presence and the power of the Holy Spirit who was active in the world before Pentecost. Be careful about making too radical a distinction between the OT actions of the Spirit and the NT actions of the Spirit. The difference is in the NT personification of the Spirit, not His actions.

#### “prophesied”
See Special Topic below.
SPECIAL TOPIC: NEW TESTAMENT PROPHECY

I. It is not the same as OT prophecy, which has the rabbinical connotation of inspired revelations from YHWH (cf. Acts 3:18,21; Rom. 16:26). Only prophets could write Scripture.
   A. Moses was called a prophet (cf. Deut. 18:15-21).
   B. History books (Joshua - Kings [except Ruth]) were called the “former prophets” (cf. Acts 3:24).
   C. Prophets replace the place of High Priest as the source of information from God (cf. Isaiah - Malachi).

II. In the NT the concept is used in several different ways.
   A. referring to OT prophets and their inspired message (cf. Matt. 2:23; 5:12; 11:13; 13:14; Rom. 1:2)
   B. referring to a message for an individual rather than a corporate group (OT prophets spoke primarily to Israel)
   D. other prophets in the NT
      1. early life of Jesus as recorded in Luke’s Gospel (i.e. Mary’s memories)
         d. Anna (cf. Luke 2:36)
      2. ironic predictions (cf. Caiaphas, John 11:51)
   E. referring to one who proclaims the gospel (the lists of proclaiming gifts in I Cor. 12:28-29; Eph. 4:11)
   G. referring to the apocalyptic book of Revelation (cf. Rev. 1:3; 22:7,10,18,19)

III. NT prophets
   A. They do not give inspired revelation (i.e. Scripture) in the same sense as did the OT prophets. This statement is possible because of the use of the phrase “the faith” (i.e. a sense of a completed gospel) used in Acts 6:7; 13:8; 14:22; Gal. 1:23; 3:23; 6:10; Phil. 1:27; Jude 3,20.
      This concept is clear from the full phrase used in Jude 3, “the faith once and for all handed down to the saints.” The “once for all” faith refers to the truths, doctrines, concepts, world-view teachings of Christianity.
      This once-given emphasis is the biblical basis for theologically limiting inspiration to the writings of the NT and not allowing later or other writings to be considered revelatory. There are many ambiguous, uncertain, and grey areas in the NT, but believers affirm by faith that everything that is “needed” for faith and practice is included with sufficient clarity in the NT. This concept has been delineated in what is called “the revelatory triangle.”
      1. God has revealed Himself in time-space history (REVELATION)
      2. He has chosen certain human writers to document and explain His acts (INSPIRATION)
      3. He has given His Spirit to open the minds and hearts of humans to understand these writings—not definitively, but adequately for salvation and an effective Christian life (ILLUMINATION). The point of this is that inspiration is limited to the writers of Scripture. There are no further authoritative writings, visions, or revelations. The canon is closed. We have all the truth we need to respond appropriately to God.
      This truth is best seen in the agreement of biblical writers versus the disagreement of sincere, godly believers. No modern writer or speaker has the level of divine leadership that the writers of Scripture did.
   B. In some ways NT prophets are similar to OT prophets.
      3. symbolic acts which vividly portray an event (cf. Agabus, Acts 21:11)
C. They do proclaim the truths of the gospel sometimes in predictive ways (cf. Acts 11:27-28; 20:23; 21:10-11), but this is not the primary focus. Prophesying in I Corinthians is basically communicating the gospel (cf. 14:24,39).

D. They are the Spirit’s contemporary means of revealing the practical applications of God’s truth to each new situation, culture, or time period (cf. I Cor. 14:3).

E. They were active in the early Pauline churches (cf. I Cor. 11:4-5; 12:28,29; 13:29; 14:1,3,4,5,6,22,24,29,31, 32,37,39; Eph. 2:20; 3:5; 4:11; I Thess. 5:20) and are mentioned in the Didache (written in the late first century or in the second century, date uncertain) and in Montanism of the second and third centuries in northern Africa.

IV. Have the NT gifts ceased?
A. This question is difficult to answer. It helps to clarify the issue by defining the purpose of the gifts. Are they meant to confirm the initial preaching of the gospel or are they ongoing ways for the church to minister to itself and a lost world?

B. Does one look at the history of the church to answer the question or to NT itself? There is no indication in the NT that the spiritual gifts were temporary. Those who try to use I Cor. 13:8-13 to address this issue abuse the authorial intent of the passage, which asserts that everything but love will pass away.

C. I am tempted to say that since the NT, not church history, is the authority, believers must affirm that the gifts continue. However, I believe that culture affects interpretation. Some very clear texts are no longer applicable (i.e., the holy kiss, women wearing veils, churches meeting in homes, etc). If culture affects texts, then why not church history?

D. This is simply a question that cannot be definitively answered. Some believers will advocate “cessation” and others “non-cessation.” In this area, as in many interpretative issues, the heart of the believer is the key. The NT is ambiguous and cultural. The difficulty is being able to decide which texts are affected by culture/history and which are eternal (Fee and Stuart’s How to Read the Bible for All Its Worth, pp. 14-19 and 69-77). Here is where the discussions of freedom and responsibility, which are found in Rom. 14:1-15:13 and I Cor. 8-10, are crucial. How we answer the question is important in two ways.

1. Each believer must walk in faith in the light they have. God looks at our heart and motives.

2. Each believer must allow other believers to walk in their faith understanding. There must be tolerance within biblical bounds. God wants us to love one another as He does.

V. To sum up the issue, Christianity is a life of faith and love, not a perfect theology. A relationship with Him which impacts our relationship with others is more important than definitive information or creedal perfection.

1:68-70 Zacharias, John the Baptist’s father, thanks “the Lord God of Israel” for sending His promised Messiah. The context does not mention his own son (i.e. John) until vv. 76-77. In this same section, vv. 71-75 and 78-79 are really a psalm of thanksgiving to YHWH for the salvation He has brought in His Messiah (cf. Eph. 1:3-12).

1:68 “Blessed” See note at 1:45.

[“the Lord God of Israel” This phrase contains a Greek translation of the two most common names for deity.

1. “Lord” reflects YHWH of Exod. 3:14, which denotes God as Savior, Redeemer, and Covenant-making God.

2. “God” reflects the general name for God, Elohim (cf. Gen. 1:1), which denotes God as creator, provider, and sustainer of all life on this planet.

The creator and redeeming God (cf. Gen. 2:4) reveals Himself to the world through His dealings with Abraham and his descendants (cf. Gen. 12,15,17). Israel will be the source of God’s promised Messiah.

[“For He has visited us” This visit of YHWH was in the person and work of Jesus. Jesus has brought redemption, not only for Israel, but for the world (cf. Gen. 3:15; 12:3; Exod. 19:5-6).

[“redemption” See Special Topic below.]
SPECIAL TOPIC: RANSOM/REDEEM

I. OLD TESTAMENT

A. There are primarily two Hebrew legal terms which convey this concept.
   1. Gaal, which basically means “to free by means of a price paid.” A form of the term go’el adds to the concept a personal intermediary, usually a family member (i.e. kinsman redeemer). This cultural aspect of the right to buy back objects, animals, land (cf. Lev. 25:27), or relatives (cf. Ruth 4:15; Isa. 29:22) is transferred theologially to YHWH’s deliverance of Israel from Egypt (cf. Exod. 6:6; 15:13; Ps. 74:2; 77:15; Jer. 31:11). He becomes “the redeemer” (cf. Job 19:25; Ps. 19:14; 78:35; Prov. 23:1; Isa. 41:14; 43:14; 44:6,24; 47:4; 48:17; 49:7,26; 54:5,8; 59:20; 60:16; 63:16; Jer. 50:34).
   2. Padah, which basically means “to deliver” or “to rescue”
      a. the redemption of the first born (Exod. 13:13,14 and Num. 18:15-17)
      b. Physical redemption is contrasted with spiritual redemption (Ps. 49:7,8,15)
      c. YHWH will redeem Israel from their sin and rebellion (Ps. 130:7-8)

B. The theological concept involves several related items
   1. There is a need, a bondage, a forfeiting, an imprisonment.
      a. physical
      b. social
      c. spiritual (cf. Ps. 130:8)
   2. A price must be paid for freedom, release, and restoration.
      a. of the nation of Israel (cf. Deut. 7:8)
      b. of the individual (cf. Job 19:25-27; 33:28)
   3. Someone must act as intermediary and benefactor. In gaal this one is usually a family member or near kin (i.e. go’el).
   4. YHWH often describes Himself in familial terms.
      a. Father
      b. Husband
      c. Near Kin
   Redemption was secured through YHWH’s personal agency; a price was paid, and redemption was achieved!

II. NEW TESTAMENT

A. There are several terms used to convey the theological concept.
   1. Agorazô (cf. I Cor. 6:20; 7:23; II Pet. 2:1; Rev. 5:9; 14:34). This is a commercial term which reflects a price paid for something. We are blood-bought people who do not control our own lives. We belong to Christ.
   2. Exagorazô (cf. Gal. 3:13; 4:5; Eph. 5:16; Col. 4:5). This is also a commercial term. It reflects Jesus’ substitutionary death on our behalf. Jesus bore the “curse” of a performance-based law (i.e. Mosaic Law), which sinful humans could not accomplish. He bore the curse (cf. Deut. 21:23) for us all! In Jesus, God’s justice and love merge into full forgiveness, acceptance, and access!
   3. Luô, “to set free”
      a. Lutron, “a price paid” (cf. Matt. 20:28; Mark 10:45). These are powerful words from Jesus’ own mouth concerning the purpose of His coming, to be the Savior of the world by paying a sin-debt He did not owe (cf. John 1:29).
      b. Lutroô, “to release”
         (1) to redeem Israel (Luke 24:21)
         (2) to give Himself to redeem and purify a people (Titus 2:14)
         (3) to be a sinless substitute (I Pet. 1:18-19)
      c. Lutroôsis, “redemption,” “deliverance,” or “liberation”
         (1) Zacharias’ prophecy about Jesus, Luke 1:68
(2) Anna’s praise to God for Jesus, Luke 2:38
(3) Jesus’ better, once offered sacrifice, Heb. 9:12

4. **Apolýtrōsis**
   a. redemption at the Second Coming (cf. Acts 3:19-21)
      (1) Luke 21:28
      (2) Romans 8:23
      (3) Ephesians 1:14; 4:30
      (4) Hebrews 9:15
   b. redemption in Christ’s death
      (1) Romans 3:24
      (2) I Corinthians 1:30
      (3) Ephesians 1:7
      (4) Colossians 1:14

5. **Antilytron** (cf. I Tim. 2:6). This is a crucial text (as is Titus 2:14) which links release to Jesus’ substitutionary death on the cross. He is the one and only acceptable sacrifice, the one who dies for “all” (cf. John 1:29; 3:16-17; 4:42; I Tim. 2:4; 4:10; Titus 2:11; II Pet. 3:9; I John 2:2; 4:14).

B. The theological concept in the NT
   1. Mankind is enslaved to sin (cf. John 8:34; Rom. 3:10-18; 6:23).
   2. Mankind’s bondage to sin has been revealed by the OT Mosaic Law (cf. Gal. 3) and Jesus’ Sermon on the Mount (cf. Matt. 5-7). Human performance has become a death sentence (cf. Col. 2:14).
   3. Jesus, the sinless lamb of God, has come and died in our place (cf. John 1:29; II Cor. 5:21). We have been purchased from sin so that we might serve God (cf. Rom. 6).
   4. By implication both YHWH and Jesus are “near kin” who act on our behalf. This continues the familial metaphors (i.e. father, husband, son, brother, near kin).
   5. Redemption was not a price paid to Satan (i.e. Medieval theology), but the reconciliation of God’s word and God’s justice with God’s love and full provision in Christ. At the cross peace was restored, human rebellion was forgiven, the image of God in mankind is now fully functional again in intimate fellowship!
   6. There is still a future aspect of redemption (cf. Rom. 8:23; Eph. 1:14; 4:30), which involves our resurrection bodies and personal intimacy with the Triune God.

1:69 “horn of salvation” In the OT an animal’s horns were a symbol of that animal’s power (cf. Deut. 33:17; Ps. 92:10; Zech. 1:18-21). It was used to describe the power of the wicked (cf. Ps. 75:10) and the righteous (cf. I Sam. 2:1; Ps. 75:10; 89:17; 148:14). This cultural idiom came to be used for the efficacious power of the altar of sacrifice (cf. Exod. 27:2; 30:10; I Kgs. 1:50; 2:28). From this developed the concept of God as the efficacious protector of one’s salvation (cf. II Sam. 22:2; Ps. 18:2).

“in the house of David His servant” This phrase brings several OT connotations.
   1. The key term is “house” and the key context is II Sam. 7. From this Messianic promise comes Ps. 132:17 and Isa. 11:1. The Messiah will be from the tribe of Judah (cf. Gen. 49) and the family of Jesse (cf. Matt. 1:1; Luke 1:32; John 7:42; Acts 13:23; Rom. 1:3; Rev. 22:16).
   2. “Servant” was an OT title of honor and of Moses and Joshua.

1:70 The “He” of this verse refers to the “Spirit” of v. 67. This is the NT affirmation of the inspiration and relevance of OT prophecy (cf. Rom. 1:2; 3:21; 16:26). It is also an affirmation of the personality of the Spirit. See Millard J. Erickson, *Christian Theology*, 2nd Ed., pp 875-878.

NASB, NRSV “from of old”
NKJV “who have been since the world began”
TEV “long ago”
NJB “from ancient times”
This phrase relates to the OT prophets. It was inserted between “holy” and “prophets” (cf. Acts 3:21). The theological thrust is that the Messiahship of Jesus was not a recent invention, but an ancient, inspired prophecy. He would bring physical and spiritual salvation to Israel and beyond.

1:71 “Salvation” In the OT the word “salvation” has a primary meaning of physical deliverance (cf. v. 74). This introduces a quote from Ps. 106:10.

1:72
NASB “to show mercy toward our fathers”
NKJV “to perform the mercy promised to our fathers”
NRSV “thus he has shown the mercy promised to our ancestors”
TEV “He said He would show mercy to our ancestors”
NJB “and show faithful love to our ancestors”

The two lines of v. 72 are parallel. The covenant to Abraham (cf. Gen. 12, 15, 17) is specifically mentioned in vv. 73-75. This was a conditional covenant based on God’s promise in vv. 73-74a and the appropriate faith response in vv. 74b-75.

☐ “holy covenant” See Special Topic below.

SPECIAL TOPIC: COVENANT

The OT term *berith*, covenant, is not easy to define. There is no matching VERB in Hebrew. All attempts to derive an etymological definition have proved unconvincing. However, the obvious centrality of the concept has forced scholars to examine the word usage to attempt to determine its functional meaning.

Covenant is the means by which the one true God deals with His human creation. The concept of covenant, treaty, or agreement is crucial in understanding the biblical revelation. The tension between God’s sovereignty and human free-will is clearly seen in the concept of covenant. Some covenants are based on God’s character, actions, and purposes.

1. creation itself (cf. Gen. 1-2)
2. the call of Abraham (cf. Gen. 12)
3. the covenant with Abraham (cf. Gen. 15)
4. the preservation and promise to Noah (cf. Gen. 6-9)

However, the very nature of covenant demands a response

1. by faith Adam must obey God and not eat of the tree in the midst of Eden (cf. Gen. 2)
2. by faith Abraham must leave his family, follow God, and believe in future descendants (cf. Gen. 12, 15)
3. by faith Noah must build a huge boat far from water and gather the animals (cf. Gen. 6-9)
4. by faith Moses brought the Israelites out of Egypt and received specific guidelines for religious and social life with promises of blessings and cursings (cf. Deut. 27-28)

This same tension involving God’s relationship to humanity is addressed in the “new covenant.” The tension can be clearly seen in comparing Ezek. 18 with Ezek. 36:27-37. Is the covenant based on God’s gracious actions or mandated human response? This is the burning issue of the Old Covenant and the New. The goals of both are the same: (1) the restoration of fellowship lost in Gen. 3 and (2) the establishment of a righteous people who reflect God’s character.

The new covenant of Jer. 31:31-34 solves the tension by removing human performance as the means of attaining acceptance. God’s law becomes an internal desire brought by the Holy Spirit instead of an external performance. The goal of a godly, righteous people remains the same, but the methodology changes. Fallen mankind proved themselves inadequate to be God’s reflected image (cf. Rom. 3:9-18). The problem was not the covenant, but human sinfulness and weakness (cf. Rom. 7; Gal. 3).

The same tension between OT unconditional and conditional covenants remains in the NT. Salvation is absolutely free in the finished work of Jesus Christ, but it requires repentance and faith (both initially and continually). It is both a legal pronouncement and a call to Christlikeness, an indicative statement of acceptance and an imperative to holiness! Believers are not saved by their performance, but unto obedience (cf. Eph. 2:8-10). Godly living becomes the evidence of salvation, not the means of salvation.

1:73 “The oath which He swore to Abraham our father” God’s covenant with Abraham is recorded in chapters 12 and 15, but this specific oath is recorded in Gen. 22:16-18. Paul mentions this oath/promise several times in Rom. 4, where he documents that God’s salvation has always been based on (1) God’s mercy and covenant initiation and (2) mankind’s faith response.
The purpose of human redemption is human service to God. This is Paul’s very point in Romans 6!
Fear of God is caused by sin. The Messiah removes the penalty of sin and restores the “image of God” (cf. Gen. 1:26-27) in fallen mankind, so fellowship without fear is possible again.

1:75 “holiness” See Special Topic: Holy at 1:35.


1:76 “you, child, will be called the prophet of the Most High” This was a startling statement since there had been no prophet in Israel since Malachi, over 400 years earlier.
The title “Most High” (hupsistos) comes from the Septuagint’s translation of the Hebrew Elion. It is first applied to God in Gen. 14:18,19,20,22 in connection with Melchizedek (cf. Heb. 7:1) and again in Num. 24:16 in connection with Balaam.
Moses uses it of God in Deut. 32:8 (cf. Acts 17:26). It is used several times in the Psalms (cf. 18:13; 78:35; 89:27).
The Gospel writers use it several times in connection to Jesus being called the Son of the Most High (cf. 1:32; Mark 5:7; and parallel Luke 8:28) and here in this text, John the Baptist as prophet of the Most High. See Robert B. Girdlestone, *Synonyms of the Old Testament*, p. 35.

“you will go on BEFORE THE LORD TO PREPARE HIS WAYS” The Jews were expecting a forerunner to the Messiah and because of Mal. 4:5, they were expecting Elijah to be reincarnated. John the Baptist dressed and lived much like Elijah.

1:77 John’s ministry of preparation had a threefold purpose.
1. to accentuate a spiritual sense of need (i.e. baptism of repentance)
2. to bring knowledge of salvation (i.e. repent and believe) in God’s mercy, God’s Messiah, God’s soon-coming provision (cf. Luke 1:15)
3. to point toward Jesus (cf. John 1:29-34, 35-37)
John cannot bring salvation by the forgiveness of sins, but he points toward One who can and will—Jesus of Nazareth.

1:78 “Because of the tender mercy of our God” It was the unchanging character of God the Father (cf. Mal. 3:6), which sent the Messiah (cf. John 3:16). Mercy is the key to “predestination” (cf. Rom. 9:15,16,18; 11:30,31,32).
The Greek term translated “tender” is literally splaychnon, which denoted “the inward parts” of a sacrifice, which the Canaanites ate, but the Jews offered to YHWH on the altar of sacrifice at the Tabernacle (cf. Exod. 29:13; Lev. 3:3-4,10,15; 4:8-9; 7:3-4; 8:16,25; 9:10,16).
The Ancients located the feelings in these “lower organs” (liver, kidneys, intestines, cf. Isa. 63:15; Jer. 4:19; and the metaphor is continued in the NT, cf. II Cor. 6:12; 7:15; Phil. 1:8; 2:1; Col. 3:12; Philemon 7,12,20).

NASB “with which the Sunrise from on high will visit us”
NKJV “with which the Dayspring from on high has visited us”
NRSV “the dawn from on high will break upon us”
TEV “He will cause the bright dawn of salvation to rise on us”
NJB “in which the rising Sun has come from on high to visit us”

Because there have been several allusions to Malachi in this praise of Zacharias, this is probably an allusion to Mal. 4:2a. “But for you who fear My name the sun of righteousness will rise with healing in its wings.”
The word “sun” does not appear in the Greek text, but only the term “rising” (as it does in the LXX of Mal. 4:2). The term “sun” has two possible origins.
1. The Zoroastrians’ (i.e. Persian religion during the captivity of Israel) symbol of their high, good god (Ahura Masda) was the sun disk with wings.
2. The title for God, “Most High” (cf. 1:32,76) is a way of referring to God’s gift of light/sun (cf. Ps. 19:1-6). Malachi 4 speaks of a day of salvation coming symbolized by light/healing.
There are Greek manuscript variations as to the VERB tense (PRESENT/FUTURE). The Malachi prophecy is future, but the Christ event for John the Baptist was present.

1:79 This is a quote from Isa. 9:1-2. There have been several quotes from Malachi that have had parallels in Isaiah (i.e. prepare the way of the Lord). This seems to be another (i.e. a rabbinical wordplay on “sun rise” and “shine”).
Originally the Isaiah prophecy referred to the first defeated tribes in the north of Israel who were taken captive first by the northern invasion of Assyria in the eighth century B.C. Isaiah asserts they will be the first to have good news presented to them. Jesus’ first area of ministry was Galilee!

**“to guide our feet into the way of peace”** This is an AORIST ACTIVE INFINITIVE of the Greek term “to direct.” It is only used three times in the NT: here and twice by Paul in his letters to Thessalonica (cf. I Thess. 3:11; II Thess. 3:5). In all three occurrences it emphasizes God’s guidance. In the Septuagint it is linked to “keep one straight” (i.e. on God’s path).

OT faith is characterized as a clear path. God’s people are to follow the path, stay on the straight path. It is not by accident the early church in Acts is called “the Way.”

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 1:80**

And the child continued to grow and to become strong in spirit, and he lived in the deserts until the day of his public appearance to Israel.

1:80 “the child continued to grow and to become strong” This is very similar to the description of Jesus’ development, both physically and spiritually (cf. 2:40).

**“in spirit”** As is often the case, the interpretive issue is, does this refer to the Holy Spirit or to John’s human spirit? Possibly to both, based on an allusion to Isa. 11:1-2.

### DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why is the virgin birth an important biblical concept?
2. How did the Spirit accomplish this task?
3. How do we balance the greatness of Mary’s faith with the normalcy of her humanity?
4. What is the important truth revealed in vv. 51-53?
5. Why are the titles of the godly king listed in v. 6 so significant in our understanding of Jesus of Nazareth?
6. Why can Zacharias be filled with the Holy Spirit before Pentecost?
7. Why is the Davidic origin of the Messiah so important?
8. Why were the Jews expecting Elijah to be reincarnated?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paragraphs</th>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Birth of Jesus</td>
<td>Christ Born of Mary</td>
<td>The Birth of Jesus</td>
<td>The Birth of Jesus</td>
<td>The Birth of Jesus and Visit of the Shepherds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Shepherds and the Angels</td>
<td>Glory in the Highest</td>
<td>The Shepherds and the Angels</td>
<td>2:8-12</td>
<td>2:13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:8-14a</td>
<td>2:8-13</td>
<td>2:8-14</td>
<td>2:14</td>
<td>2:14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:14b-20</td>
<td>2:15-20</td>
<td>2:15</td>
<td>2:15-20</td>
<td>2:16-20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumcision of Jesus</td>
<td>Jesus Is Named</td>
<td>The Circumcision of Jesus</td>
<td>2:21</td>
<td>2:21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:21</td>
<td>2:21</td>
<td>2:21</td>
<td>2:21</td>
<td>2:21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Presentation of Jesus in the Temple</td>
<td>Jesus Presented in the Temple</td>
<td>Jesus is Presented in the Temple</td>
<td>Jesus is Presented in the Temple</td>
<td>2:22-24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simeon Sees God’s Salvation</td>
<td>2:25-35</td>
<td>2:25-32</td>
<td>2:25-32</td>
<td>The Nunc Dimittis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:36-38</td>
<td>2:36-38</td>
<td>2:36-38</td>
<td>2:36-38</td>
<td>2:36-38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return to Nazareth</td>
<td>The Family Returns to Nazareth</td>
<td>The Return to Nazareth</td>
<td>The Hidden Life of Jesus at Nazareth</td>
<td>2:39-40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Boy Jesus in the Temple</td>
<td>The Boy Jesus Amazes the Scholars</td>
<td>The Boy Jesus at Jerusalem</td>
<td>The Boy Jesus in the Temple</td>
<td>Jesus Among the Doctors of the Law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesus Advances in Wisdom and Favor</td>
<td>2:49-50</td>
<td>2:49-50</td>
<td>2:49-50</td>
<td>The Hidden Life at Nazareth Resumed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 2:1-7

1Now in those days a decree went out from Caesar Augustus, that a census be taken of all the inhabited earth. 2This was the first census taken while Quirinius was governor of Syria. 3And everyone was on his way to register for the census, each to his own city. 4Joseph also went up from Galilee, from the city of Nazareth, to Judea, to the city of David which is called Bethlehem, because he was of the house and family of David, 5in order to register along with Mary, who was engaged to him, and was with child. 6While they were there, the days were completed for her to give birth. 7And she gave birth to her firstborn son; and she wrapped Him in cloths, and laid Him in a manger, because there was no room for them in the inn.

2:1 “decree” These Roman enrollments ran in fourteen-year cycles which began under Caesar Augustus (30 B.C. to A.D. 14, cf. 3:1; Matt. 22:17). We learn of these cycles from Egyptian papyri. They took years to finish. A second census is mentioned in Acts 5:37 and in the writings of Josephus, which says that it was done in A.D. 6; therefore, the first was begun about 8 B.C. (cf. Acts 5:37).

“census” This registration was for the purpose of taxation and military conscription. Jews, however, were exempt from military service. It also included, possibly, an oath of loyalty to Caesar.

“the inhabited earth” This refers to the Roman Empire or the known civilized world (cf. 4:5; 21:26; Acts 11:28; 17:6,31; 19:27; 24:5; Matt. 24:14; Acts 17:31; and Heb. 1:6; 2:5).

2:2 “This was the first census” A second census is mentioned in Acts 5:37. These Roman censuses took many years to complete, possibly up to fourteen years (i.e. evidence from Egypt).

“Quirinius” There is a problem with this statement and secular history. Quirinius was the civil governor of Syria in A.D. 6. He was the military leader in Syria, of which Judea was a part, from 10-7 B.C.; however, he did not become the political leader until A.D. 6. He came to Judea in A.D. 6/7 for the explicit purpose of registration for taxation (Josephus, Antiq. 18.1-2,26). The footnote in the NRSV gives the information that Quirinius was a special legate of Augustus to deal with a rebellious tribe (Homonadenses, cf. Tacitus, Annals 13.48) and, therefore, was the military governor of Syria while Varus was the civil governor (Oxford, 1991, edited by Bruce M. Metzger and Roland Murphy, pp. NT 79-80).

A Translator’s Handbook on the Gospel of Luke, p. 105, asserts that Quirinius acted as a special representative of the Emperor from 12 B.C. to A.D. 16, which included an administrative charge related to the census. It also asserts that he was twice governor of Syria, from 3-2 B.C. and again in A.D. 6-16. The authors, Reiling and Swellengsegel, cite Interpreter’s Dictionary of the Bible, vol. 3, pp. 975-977.

2:3 The “everyone” refers to males, possibly males with taxable property (land, businesses, etc.).
“each to his own city” This was the unique aspect related to Jewish culture. Nazareth had a clan from the tribe of Judah living there, but for several families Bethlehem was their ancestral city.

2:4 “Bethlehem” This was a small Judean village about six miles southwest of Jerusalem and, therefore, about seventy miles south of Nazareth. It was known in the OT as Ephrath (cf. Gen. 35:19), which became Bethlehem Ephrathah of Micah 5:2. This was a way to distinguish it from a Bethlehem in the north of Israel.

This city is known as the city where Boaz and Ruth, who were ancestors of King David, lived (cf. Ruth 4:11). David’s father, Jesse, lived here also (cf. I Sam. 17:12). Because it was the ancestral home of David, it was the prophesied site of Jesus’ birth (cf. Mic. 5:2; Matt. 2:5,6; John 7:42).

2:5 “engaged” Matthew 1:24-25 implies that they were married, but the marriage had not been consummated. In Jewish culture engagement was legally binding. Marriages were arranged by families and this engagement period usually lasted up to a year.

2:6 “While they were there” This may imply an extended period in Bethlehem, possibly to keep Mary from the derision in Nazareth.

2:7 “firstborn” This is used in the OT sense of “heir.” It also strongly suggests that Mary had other children (cf. Matt. 13:55-56; John 7:35).

“wrapped Him in cloths” The KJV has “swaddling cloth.” This was a square cloth which was held in place with strips of cloth so as to make it easy to change. This was the norm for all children of this period and culture.

“manger” This was a feeding trough (cf. LX, Isa. 1:3; Prov. 14:4) for domestic animals. These were very crude, non-hygienic conditions, but so was all of the ancient, peasant world.

“inn” The term kataluma is indefinite and could refer to a guest room (animals often lived in close proximity to their owners, cf. Mark 14:14; Luke 22:11). Justin Martyr (A.D. 110-162/168) says that Jesus was born in a cave used as an animal corral (common in this area). Others say it was in an open-air courtyard of the Inn. The more traditional interpretation is in a room shared with animals of the Inn’s guests.

Bethlehem was a very small village. I am not sure there would be enough travelers to warrant an inn. Jewish culture stressed the cultural obligation of hosting relatives. There were so many relatives in town for the enrollment that no guest room was available. Luke uses this same word in 22:11 for a “guest room” (cf. Mark 14:14).

The term is used in a wide variety of meanings in the Septuagint, but one of them is a room in one’s house, usually on the roof (cf. I Sam. 1:18; II Sam. 7:6; I Chr. 17:5).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 2:8-14

8In the same region there were some shepherds staying out in the fields and keeping watch over their flock by night. 9And an angel of the Lord suddenly stood before them, and the glory of the Lord shone around them; and they were terribly frightened. 10But the angel said to them, “Do not be afraid; for behold, I bring you good news of great joy which will be for all the people; 11for today in the city of David there has been born for you a Savior, who is Christ the Lord. 12This will be a sign for you: you will find a baby wrapped in cloths and lying in a manger.” 13And suddenly there appeared with the angel a multitude of the heavenly host praising God and saying, 14“Glory to God in the highest, And on earth peace among men with whom He is pleased.”

2:8 “In the same region” This refers to Bethlehem.

“shepherds” The rabbis considered them to be religious outcasts and their testimony was not admissible in court. This was because they lived with the sheep and could not keep all the rules and regulations of the rabbis. There may be some symbolic connection with David’s being a shepherd in this same area. The Messiah’s birth was announced first to Jewish outcasts!

“their flock” There is no way to fix the time of the year of Jesus’ birth because the Temple flocks were kept in that area all year. God’s Lamb (cf. John 1:29) was born in the same area that the sacrificial lambs used year round in the daily temple sacrifice. If so, these shepherds may have been Levites.

2:9 “an angel of the Lord” This angel seems to be separate from the heavenly hosts who later speak or sing. The KJV has the DEFINITE ARTICLE, but it is not in the Greek text. This exact Greek phrase is used of the angel who appeared to Zacharias in the Holy Place (cf. 1:11).
“the glory of the Lord” This phrase is often used in the Septuagint to denote the glorious personal presence of YHWH (cf. Exod. 16:7-10; 24:16; 40:34-38; Num. 16:19).

**SPECIAL TOPIC: GLORY**

The biblical concept of “glory” is difficult to define. Believers’ glory is that they understand the gospel and glory in God, not in themselves (cf. 1:29-31; Jer. 9:23-24).

In the OT the most common Hebrew word for “glory” (kbd) was originally a commercial term relating to a pair of scales (“to be heavy”). That which was heavy was valuable or had intrinsic worth. Often the concept of brightness was added to the word to express God’s majesty (cf. Exod. 19:16-18; 24:17; Isa. 60:1-2). He alone is worthy and honorable. He is too brilliant for fallen mankind to behold (cf. Exod 33:17-23; Isa. 6:5). YHWH can only be truly known through Christ (cf. Jer. 1:14; Matt. 17:2; Heb. 1:3; James 2:1).

The term “glory” is somewhat ambiguous: (1) it may be parallel to “the righteousness of God”; (2) it may refer to the “holiness” or “perfection” of God; or (3) it could refer to the image of God in which mankind was created (cf. Gen. 1:26-27; 5:1; 9:6), but which was later marred through rebellion (cf. Gen. 3:1-22). It is first used of YHWH’s presence with His people during the wilderness wandering period in Exod. 16:7,10; Lev. 9:23; and Num. 14:10.

“stood before them” This same VERB is used of the two angels at the Ascension (cf. 24:4).

“shone around them” This same word is used by Paul of his Damascus road experience in Acts 26:13. These are the only two occurrences of the term in the NT; it does not appear at all in the Septuagint. I wonder if Luke got the term which describes God’s glorious presence from hearing Paul’s testimony so many times?

NASB “they were terribly frightened”
NKJV “they were greatly afraid”
NRSV, NJB “they were terrified”
NJB “they were terribly afraid”

The Greek phrase is literally “they feared a great fear.” The VERB and the OBJECT are the same term. This is called a “COGNATE ACCUSATIVE.” Sight of the spiritual realm always frightens fallen humanity.

2:10 “Do not be afraid” This is a PRESENT IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually means to stop an act already in process. This is a very common angelic greeting to frightened humanity (cf. 1:13,30).

“good news of great joy” Their “great fear” is now balanced with “great joy.”

The word translated “good news” (euangelizë, cf. 1:19) is a combination of the words “good” and “message.” It is used often in the Septuagint for preaching a glad message (cf. I Sam. 31:9; II Sam. 1:20; 4:10; 18:19-20,31; I Kgs. 1:42; Ps. 39:10). It came to be used in a technical sense for preaching the gospel of Jesus Christ (cf. 3:18; 4:18,43; 7:22; 8:1; 9:6; 16:16; 20:1; Acts 5:42; 8:4,12,25,35,40; 10:36; 11:20; 13:32; 14:2,15,21; 15:35; 16:10; 17:18).

“for all the people” This was the promise of Gen. 3:15; 12:3; Exod. 19:5-6; and of the eighth century prophets. This is the mystery hidden in ages past, but now fully revealed in Christ (cf. Eph. 2:11-3:13). This good news even reaches to outcast shepherds (and by implication to Luke’s Gentile readers)! This same universal emphasis is repeated and defined in v. 32.

2:11 “the city of David” This refers to Bethlehem. See note at 2:4.

“Savior” This title was used of YHWH in the OT (cf. Isa. 43:3,11; 45:15,21; 49:26; 60:16). It is used of Caesar. In the Roman Empire it was used. The word in Hebrew means “deliverer” and is part of the name of Jesus (i.e. Hosea). This is the only use of this term in the Synoptic Gospels.

The fact that Jesus the carpenter from Nazareth is called by two major OT titles of YHWH (Savior and Lord) is striking. When you add the title Messiah (Christ), it is obvious that Luke is piling affirmation on affirmation of the deity of Jesus. The Synoptics, especially Mark, tend to hide Jesus’ deity until the end. John clearly and forcefully asserts Jesus’ pre-existence and deity in John 1:1-18. Luke, by using these titles, sets the theological stage for Gentiles (the audience for both John’s and Luke’s Gospels) to comprehend who Jesus was/is.
“Christ” The literal meaning is “Anointed One” from the verb chriō. It refers to the Coming King (Mashiach, Ps. 2:2; 18:50; 84:9; 89:49-51; 132:10,17) who will be called and equipped to do God’s will in initiating the restoration and the New Age. The Hebrew term is translated in Greek as “Christ.”

### SPECIAL TOPIC: ANOINTING IN THE BIBLE

A. Used for beautification (cf. Deut. 28:40; Ruth 3:3; II Sam. 12:20; 14:2; II Chr. 28:1-5; Dan. 10:3; Amos 6:6; Mic. 6:15)
B. Used for guests (cf. Ps. 23:5; Luke 7:38,46; John 11:2)
C. Used for healing (cf. Isa. 6:1; Jer. 51:18; Mark 6:13; Luke 10:34; James 5:14) [used in hygienic sense in Ezek. 16:9]
D. Used for preparation for burial (cf. Gen. 50:2; II Chr. 16:14; Mark 16:1; John 12:3,7; 19:39-40)
E. Used in a religious sense (of an object, cf. Gen. 28:18,20; 31:13 [a pillar]; Exod. 29:36 [the altar]; Exod. 30:36; 40:9-16; Lev. 8:10-13; Num. 7:1 [the tabernacle])
F. Used for installing leaders
   1. Priests
      a. Aaron (cf. Exod. 28:41; 29:7; 30:30)
      b. Aaron’s sons (cf. Exod. 40:15; Lev. 7:36)
      c. standard phrase or title (cf. Num. 3:3; Lev. 16:32)
   2. Kings
      a. by God (cf. I Sam. 2:10; II Sam. 12:7; II Kgs. 9:3,6,12; Ps. 45:7; 89:20)
      b. by the prophets (cf. I Sam. 9:16; 10:1; 15:1,17; 16:3,12-13; I Kgs. 1:45; 19:15-16)
      c. by priests (cf. I Kgs. 1:34,39; II Kgs. 11:12)
      d. by the elders (cf. Jdgs. 9:8,15; II Sam. 2:7; 5:3; II Kgs. 23:30)
      e. of Jesus as Messianic king (cf. Ps. 2:2; Luke 4:18 [Isa. 61:1]; Acts 4:27; 10:38; Heb. 1:9 [Ps. 45:7])
      f. Jesus’ followers (cf. II Cor. 1:21; I John 2:20,27 [chrisma])
   3. possibly of prophets (cf. Isa. 61:1)
   4. unbelieving instruments of divine deliverance
      a. Cyrus (cf. Isa. 45:1)
      b. King of Tyre (cf. Ezek. 28:14)
   G. term or title “Messiah” means “an Anointed One”

“Lord” The Greek term “Lord” (kurios) can be used in a general sense or in a developed theological sense. It can mean “mister,” “sir,” “master,” “owner,” “husband” or “the full God-man” (cf. John 9:36, 38). The OT (Hebrew, adon) usage of this term came from the Jews’ reluctance to pronounce the covenant name for God, YHWH, which was the CAUSATIVE form of the Hebrew verb “to be” (cf. Exod. 3:14). They were afraid of breaking the Commandment which said, “Thou shalt not take the name of the Lord thy God in vain” (cf. Exod. 20:7; Deut. 5:11). They thought if they did not pronounce it, they could not take it in vain. So, they substituted the Hebrew word adon, which had a similar meaning to the Greek word kurios (Lord). The NT authors used this term to describe the full deity of Christ (ex. Luke 2:11; John 20:28; Acts 10:36; I Cor. 2:8; Phil. 2:11; James 2:1; Rev. 19:16). The phrase “Jesus is Lord” was the public confession of faith and a baptismal formula of the early church (cf. Rom. 10:9-13; I Cor. 12:3; Phil. 2:11). In Acts 2:36 both Christ and Lord are used of Jesus.

2:12 “This will be a sign for you” One wonders if this was an intentional allusion to Isa. 7. Zacharias and Mary had to believe without immediate confirmation, but these shepherds are given immediate confirmation. I wonder if they followed Jesus’ life and ministry, if they were in the crowds that followed Him. I am surprised we do not hear more about their eyewitness testimony.

“in a manger” There was nothing unusual about His clothing, but there was something unusual about the Messiah lying in an animal feeding trough!

2:13 “heavenly host” This is literally “army of heaven.” It reflects the Hebrew “sabbaoth,” which also has a military connotation (cf. Josh. 5:14).
2:14 “Glory to God in the highest” God is given glory for His person (“in the highest”), His good news (“peace among men”), the sending of His Son, and the good news of His finished work of redemption of fallen mankind. God deserves glory (see Special Topic at 2:9) and praise from creation and from His redeemed children!

There is some confusion as to the physical location of these angels. The first angel seems to have appeared on the earth next to the shepherds, but the large number of angels may have appeared in the sky. The text is ambiguous. The phrase “in the highest” refers to God, not the angels.

SPECIAL TOPIC: ELECTION/PREDESTINATION AND THE NEED FOR A THEOLOGICAL BALANCE

Election is a wonderful doctrine. However, it is not a call to favoritism, but a call to be a channel, a tool, or a means of others’ redemption! In the Old Testament the term was used primarily for service; in the New Testament it is used primarily for salvation which issues in service. The Bible never reconciles the seeming contradiction between God’s sovereignty and mankind’s free will, but affirms them both! A good example of the biblical tension would be Romans 9 on God’s sovereign choice and Romans 10 on mankind’s necessary response (cf. 10:11,13).

The key to this theological tension may be found in Ephesians 1:4. Jesus is God’s elect man and all are potentially elect in Him (Karl Barth). Jesus is God’s “yes” to fallen mankind’s need (Karl Barth). Ephesians 1:4 also helps clarify the issue by asserting that the goal of predestination is not heaven, but holiness (Christlikeness). We are often attracted to the benefits of the gospel and ignore the responsibilities! God’s call (election) is for time as well as eternity!

Doctrines come in relation to other truths, not as single, unrelated truths. A good analogy would be a constellation versus a single star. God presents truth in eastern, not western, genres. We must not remove the tension caused by dialectical (paradoxical) pairs of doctrinal truths (God as transcendent versus God as immanent; security vs. perseverance; Jesus as equal with the Father vs. Jesus as subservient to the Father; Christian freedom vs. Christian responsibility to a covenant partner; etc.).

The theological concept of “covenant” unites the sovereignty of God (who always takes the initiative and sets the agenda) with a mandatory initial and continuing repentant, faith response from humans (cf. Mark 1:15; Acts3:16,19; 20:21). Be careful of proof-texting one side of the paradox and depreciating the other! Be careful of asserting only your favorite doctrine or system of theology!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 2:15-20

15When the angels had gone away from them into heaven, the shepherds began saying to one another, “Let us go straight to Bethlehem then, and see this thing that has happened which the Lord has made known to us.” 16So they came in a hurry and found their way to Mary and Joseph, and the baby as He lay in the manger. 17When they had seen this, they made known the statement which had been told them about this Child. 18And all who heard it wondered at the things which were told them by the shepherds. 19But Mary treasured all these things, pondering them in her heart. 20The shepherds went back, glorifying and praising God for all that they had heard and seen, just as had been told them.
2:15 These shepherds recognized the prophetic aspect of the angels’ message and wanted (both VERBS are SUBJUNCTIVE) to go and confirm this great revelation in the nearby village.  
  I would have hated to be the one shepherd who had to stay and watch the sheep!  
  This verse uses ἔργα as “thing” (cf. v. 19) instead of “word” or “statement” (cf. v. 17).

2:16 It was not hard to find Mary, Joseph, and the baby in the small village of Bethlehem. The scene was exactly as the angels had said.

2:17-18 To whom does the “all” refer? It could be the people and visitors in Bethlehem or, because of the proximity of Jerusalem and the importance and source of the message, it may refer to the religious leaders in Jerusalem. However, notice that we do not hear of the message again anywhere else in the NT. Possibly the bias of the Jewish leadership against shepherds caused them to discredit the whole account.

2:19 “But Mary treasured all these things, pondering them in her heart” Mary thought about these events again and again (cf. 2:51). Luke’s source for these early years seems to have been Mary. He possibly visited her while Paul was in prison at Caesarea for two years.

2:20 It must have been hard to return to life as usual. I wonder how many of these shepherds were still alive when Jesus began His public ministry some thirty years later.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why was the Roman world enrolled?
2. Is there a problem with Luke’s chronology?
3. Why is Jesus’ birth in Bethlehem so important? What does this say about God’s control of history?
4. Why was Jesus born in a stable?
5. What is the significance of God’s angel announcing Christ’s birth to shepherds?
6. Why are the titles “Savior,” “Messiah,” and “Lord” so important?
LUKE 2:21-52

CONTEXTUAL BACKGROUND TO LUKE 2:21-52

There are several Jewish rituals referred to in this passage.

A. The Ritual of Circumcision
   1. The sign of YHWH’s covenant with Abraham (cf. Gen. 17:1-14)
      a. every male
      b. eight days old (cf. Lev. 12:3)
      c. for all generations
      d. for domestic servants (cf. Exod. 12:44)
      e. the uncircumcised male is to be cut off from the faith community
   2. flint knives used
      a. Exodus 4:25
      b. Joshua 5:2-3
   3. no special place, but done by the father (cf. Gen. 17:23-27), usually locally (not in the Tabernacle)
   4. done by Patriarchs (cf. Gen. 34:13-24), but neglected in captivity (cf. Exod. 4:24-26) and restarted in conquest (cf. Josh. 5:4-9)

B. The Ritual of Childbirth Purification
   1. period of uncleanness
      a. any fluid that leaked from the body caused one to be ceremonially unclean
      b. the mother was unclean for seven days after the birth of a son (cf. Lev. 12:2)
      c. the mother was unclean for fourteen days after the birth of a daughter (cf. Lev. 12:5)
      d. she remains unclean for forty days for a son (cf. Lev. 12:3-4) and eighty for a daughter (cf. Lev. 12:6)
      e. this ceremonial uncleanness is compared to the monthly menstrual cycle
   2. rite of purification
      a. after a waiting period the mother comes to the tabernacle and brings an offering of
         (1) a one year old lamb for a burnt offering (cf. Lev. 12:6)
         (2) a young pigeon or turtledove for a sin offering (cf. Lev. 12:6)
         (3) if the person is poor, then two pigeons or turtle doves are acceptable (cf. Lev. 12:8)
      b. these procedures result in a ceremonial cleansing

C. The Rite of Redemption of the Firstborn
   1. Because of the death of the firstborn of Egypt, the firstborn of non-priestly families was given to serve YHWH (cf. Exod. 13).
   2. The Levites and Priests as a tribe took the place of the firstborn males in serving YHWH (cf. Num. 3:12,45; 8:14).
   3. The priest (any priest) had to be paid a set price by the parents to buy back their firstborn male child (cf. Exod. 34:20).
   4. This seems to be reflected in Luke 2:23 and 27b, while the mother’s rite of purification is in 2:22,24.
   5. The rabbis say that this redemption can be done with any priest on the thirty-first day. This does not fit the timing of Mary’s forty-day uncleanness. Some scholars would see only two rituals in this context.

D. The command that all males (and by implication, their families) come to the tabernacle/Temple at least on the three annual feast days (cf. Exod. 23:14,17; Lev. 23)
   1. The three main feasts
      b. Feast of Harvest/Pentecost (cf. Exod. 23:16; 34:22-34; Lev. 23:15-21; Num. 28:26-31)
      c. Feast of Ingathering/Booths (cf. Exod. 23:16; Lev. 23:34-36; Deut. 16:13-17)
   2. Jesus’ parents brought Him to Jerusalem for the Feast of Passover when He was twelve (cf. Luke 2:41-43) just before His bar mitzvah at thirteen
   3. Jesus fulfilled all aspects of the Mosaic Law (cf. 2:39)
E. It is surprising how few OT quotes are in Luke’s Gospel (2:23, 24; 3:4-6). This is also true of Mark (who wrote for Romans). These three occur in Luke’s first three chapters, which are possibly from his interviews with (or documents from) Mary. Luke, writing for Gentiles, does not feel the need to document OT prophecies as does Matthew (cf. 1:23; 2:15,18,23; 3:3; 4:15; 8:17; 12:18-21; 13:25; 21:5; 27:9), who writes for Jews.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 2:21**

21 And when eight days had passed, before His circumcision, His name was then called Jesus, the name given by the angel before He was conceived in the womb.

2:21 “eight days old” This was the Jewish time for circumcision (cf. Gen. 17:12; Lev. 12:3). It was considered so important that it was even performed on the Sabbath. All of the people in the Mediterranean area were circumcised (except the Philistines). The Romans performed this rite on the ninth day, the Greeks on the seventh or tenth day, and the Arabs on the thirteenth birthday (cf. Gen. 17:23-26). For the Jews it was a sign of YHWH’s Covenant with Abraham. Jesus was born under the Law.

**His name was then called Jesus** The parents usually named their children, but this child’s name had been revealed by Gabriel (cf. 1:31; Matt. 1:21).

Jesus (Greek) and Joshua or Yeshua (Hebrew) are the same Hebrew names. They are a combination of the covenant name for God, YHWH, and the NOUN “help” or “deliver.” The exact way to combine these two NOUNS--“YHWH saves,” “salvation is of YHWH,” “YHWH delivers,” or “YHWH is the deliverer” is uncertain.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 2:22-24**

22 And when the days for their purification according to the law of Moses were completed, they brought Him up to Jerusalem to present Him to the Lord 23 (as it is written in the Law of the Lord, “EVERY FIRSTBORN MALE THAT OPENS THE WOMB SHALL BE CALLED HOLY TO THE LORD”), 24 and to offer a sacrifice according to what was said in the Law of the Lord, “A PAIR OF TURTLEDOVES OR TWO YOUNG PIGEONS.”

2:22 “the days for their purification” The PRONOUN “their” has bothered Bible students because it involves a sin offering for both the mother and the child. Jesus was born under the law (cf. vv. 21-22,27; Gal. 4:4-5) and He was to fulfill all things (cf. Matt. 3:15). He completely identified with the Jewish customs of His day. The period of purification was forty days after birth for a son and eighty days for a daughter (cf. Lev. 12:1-5).

**up to Jerusalem** Bethlehem is higher than Jerusalem physically, but to the Jews, no place on earth was spiritually higher than Jerusalem. In the Bible one must always go “up to Jerusalem.” There are two or three Jewish rituals mentioned in vv. 22-44. The first was performed locally (circumcision), the others at the Temple at a later time. Mary’s purification after forty days and buying back the firstborn male child was done according to later rabbinical traditions on the thirty-first day.

2:23 “EVERY FIRSTBORN MALE” This Jewish rite (cf. Exod. 13:2,12,13,15) was instituted at Passover (cf. Exod. 12). The Levites as a group took the place of the firstborn as God’s special servants. The price of redemption in Jesus’ day was five shekels, which was given to any priest (cf. Num. 18:16). This was the normal price of a sacrificial lamb.

2:24 “A PAIR OF TURTLEDOVES OR TWO YOUNG PIGEONS” This was the cheapest purification offering one could make. One bird was for a sin offering and the other was for a burnt offering (cf. Lev. 12:6-8). This rite is in reference to the purification of v. 22. Any bodily emission made a Jewish person ceremonially unclean; therefore, birth was something that had to be dealt with by sacrifice. The women could watch the ritual by looking from the Nicor gate, but they could not enter into the inner court of the Temple because they were considered ceremonially unclean.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 2:25-32**

25 And there was a man in Jerusalem whose name was Simeon; and this man was righteous and devout, looking for the consolation of Israel; and the Holy Spirit was upon him. 26 And it had been revealed to him by the Holy Spirit that he would not see death before he had seen the Lord’s Christ. 27 And he came in the Spirit into the temple; and when the parents brought in the child Jesus, to carry out for Him the custom of the Law, 28 then he took Him into his arms, and blessed God, and said, 29 Now Lord, You are releasing Your bond-servant to depart in peace, According to Your word;
For my eyes have seen Your salvation, Which You have prepared in the presence of all peoples, A LIGHT OF REVELATION TO THE GENTILES, And the glory of Your people Israel."

2:25 “Simeon” This is a very common name. Some ancient sources suppose that he might have been the son of Rabbi Hillel and the father of Gamaliel, but this is very doubtful. Others suppose that he was the president of the Sanhedrin. These suggestions are proposed so that Simeon would be a priest and this would be the rite of the buying back of the firstborn male child, but this not in the text! Tradition says that he was old, but the text is silent.

“righteous” See full note and Special Topic at 1:6.

“devout” This term literally means “taking hold well.” It refers to one who is careful about religious matters, therefore, a pious person. It was used in the Septuagint in Lev. 15:31 and Micah 7:2. It is found only in Luke’s writings in the NT (cf. 2:25; Acts 2:5; 8:2; 22:12).

“consolation of Israel” This term is used several times in Luke’s writings (cf. 2:25; 6:24; Acts 4:36; 9:31; 13:15; 15:31), but it is not used in the other Gospels. It seems to be parallel to “the redemption of Jerusalem” in v. 38 and possibly “the kingdom of God” in 23:51 (cf. Mark 15:43). Therefore, it has an eschatological orientation. It is a favorite word of Paul’s. In one paragraph in II Corinthians 1 it is used six times.

The following is taken from my commentary on II Cor. 1:4-11.

“comfort” This term, paraklēsis, in its different forms, is used ten times in vv. 3-11. It is the key term throughout the entire passage and also in chapters 1-9, where it is used twenty-five times. The word means “to call alongside.” It was often used in a judicial sense as an advocate who rendered legal aid, comfort, and guidance.

In this context it is used in the sense of encouragement and consolation. A related term, paraklētos, is used of the Holy Spirit in John 14:16,26; 15:26; 16:7; and of Jesus in I John 2:1. In this context it is used of the Father.

The verb form of parakaleō is used in several senses.

A. the Septuagint
1. exhort, Deut. 3:28
2. comfort, Gen. 24:67; 37:35; Ps. 119:50 (in a Messianic sense in Isa. 40:1; 49:13; 51:3; 61:2)
3. have compassion, Deut. 32:36; Jdgs. 2:18; Ps. 135:14
4. console, Isa. 35:4
5. call, Exod. 15:13

B. Paul’s writings to Corinth
1. exhort, I Cor. 1:10; 4:16; 14:30-31; 16:15-16; II Cor. 2:8; 5:20; 6:1; 8:4; 10:1
2. comfort, cheer up, II Cor. 1:4,6; 2:7; 7:6,7,13; 13:11
3. have compassion, console, I Cor. 4:13
4. implore, entreat, request, I Cor. 16:12; II Cor. 9:5; 12:18

“the Holy Spirit was upon him” The gospel is not the result of human research or discovery. It is the supernatural revelation of the Spirit of God (cf. vv. 26-27).

The Spirit is the source of the revelations of the working out of the redemptive plan of God in these opening chapters of Luke:

1. Elizabeth, 1:41
2. Zacharias, 1:67
3. Simeon, 2:25,26

Notice the IMPERFECT TENSE. The Spirit did not come and go, but remained upon him.

2:26 “Lord’s Christ” The Spirit had promised Simeon that he would not experience physical death until he saw God’s Redeemer, the Anointed One, the Messiah with his own eyes (sounds like Job 19:25-27).

The term “revealed” is a PERIPHRASTIC PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE. God did it and the revelation remains. The verb is used in the Septuagint of God’s revealing Himself (cf. Jer. 32:30; 33:2; 36:23).

The term “Lord” obviously refers to YHWH and “Christ” to baby Jesus. Jesus did not earn His Messiahship; He was born the Christ (no adoptionism, no gnosticism).

2:27 “the parents” This is simply the language of description. This says nothing about the doctrine of the virgin birth (cf. 1:34; Matt. 1:18-25).

“to carry out for Him the custom of the Law” This seems to refer to the Jewish rite of the redemption of the firstborn (cf. Exod. 13). See Contextual Backgrounds to this chapter.
2:28 “and blessed God” Simeon’s blessing is directed toward YHWH for sending His promised redeemer (for all people, cf. 2:29-32).

2:29
NASB, NKJV, “Lord”
TEV
NRSV, NJB “Master”

The term despotás is used often in the Septuagint for YHWH (cf. Gen. 15:2,8; Josh. 15:14; Isa. 1:24; 3:1). In English we get the word “despot” from this Greek word. It is used of one who has supreme authority and power. It is used of YHWH in Acts 4:24 and Rev. 6:10 and of Jesus in II Pet. 2:1 and Jude 4.

“to depart in peace” This is an OT idiom of physical death after a long, happy life (cf. Gen. 15:15; Jer. 34:5). Death is not an enemy to those who know God!

“according to Your word” This refers in context to v. 26. The Greek term “revealed” (PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICIPLE) is regularly used in the PASSIVE VOICE of divine revelations (cf. Matt. 2:12,22; Luke 2:26; Acts 10:22; Heb. 8:5; 11:7).

2:30 This emphasis on seeing God’s salvation may come from OT prophecy (cf. Isa. 52:10) or reflect the same message. In these opening chapters of Luke the term “salvation” has two connotations:
1. In the OT texts quoted it refers to the physical deliverance of Israel.
2. In light of the gospel it refers to spiritual salvation, which is brought through faith in Jesus’ person and work. In the OT, Israel is saved from the nations, but now Israel’s Messiah will save the nations!

2:31-32 “all peoples. . .light. . .Gentiles” This is the universal gospel, which must have been very shocking to the Jews (I wonder if Simeon fully understood these prophecies in light of Christ), but was thrilling to be heard by Luke’s Gentile readers (cf. Isa. 9:2; 42:6; 49:6; 51:4; 60:1-3). This phrase could mean “in the presence of the Gentiles” (cf. Ps. 98:1-3; Isa. 52:1-10); however, this does not fit the context. It is amazing how many allusions there are to Isaiah’s prophecies in the first two chapters of Luke. Isaiah, of all the prophets, saw this universal salvation.

2:33-35
NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 2:33-35

33And His father and mother were amazed at the things which were being said about Him. 34And Simeon blessed them and said to Mary His mother, "Behold, this Child is appointed for the fall and rise of many in Israel, and for a sign to be opposed— 35and a sword will pierce even your own soul—to the end that thoughts from many hearts may be revealed."

2:33 “were amazed” This is a PERIPHRASTIC IMPERFECT. They marveled again and again. This possibly refers to the Gentiles being included in God’s salvation! Mary already had the testimony of Gabriel and Elizabeth and both Mary and Joseph had the shepherd’s message.

2:34
NASB “is appointed”
NKJV, NRSV, “is destined”
NJB “is chosen”
TEV “is chosen”

This term is literally “to lie upon” or “be placed upon,” but it came to have a metaphorical extension of “an appointed sign” in the Septuagint of Josh. 4:6. It is used in this sense several times in the NT (cf. I Thess. 3:3, which is also a PRESENT PASSIVE [deponent] INDICATIVE).

“the fall” God’s universal redemptive plan, which will be manifested by a suffering Messiah, will not be easy to believe for many people. But, how they respond will determine their spiritual destiny and eternity (cf. John 1:12; 3:16-19; 9:39).

It is possible that “fall” refers to unbelieving Jews tripping over Jesus. He is the stone which the builders rejected (cf. Isa 8:14; Matt. 21:42,44; Rom. 9:33; I Cor. 1:23; I Pet. 2:8). Hearers of the gospel must make a choice about Jesus. There is no neutral ground about Him (cf. Matt. 10:34-39). He is the Christ or He is a false Messiah (cf. John 14:6).

“and rise” This same Greek term is translated “resurrection” in other texts. This special Child, the Messiah, will be the only way to be right with God. Trusting Him will determine one’s eternal state. The mystery of evil is that even with the Holy Spirit and the good news of Christ, many will reject Him (cf. 8:11-12; II Cor. 4:4).
NASB “for a sign to be opposed”
NKJV “for a sign which will be spoken against”
NRSV “to be a sign that will be opposed”
TEV “He will be a sign from God which many people will speak against”
NJB “destined to be a sign that is opposed”

One of the evidences which affirms Jesus’ Messiahship is His rejection. This may be an allusion to OT texts like Isa. 6:9-10, of which Jesus says is the purpose of parables (i.e. to hide meaning, cf. Luke 8:10; Matt. 13:13; Mark 4:12; John 12:36b-43). The OT predicts again and again that only a faith remnant will be saved (delivered).

2:35 “a sword” This refers to the large sword which was carried by the Romans. This is a metaphorical reference to Jesus’ rejection and crucifixion. Mary was present at Jesus’ crucifixion (cf. John 19:26-27).

“thoughts from many hearts may be revealed” There is no middle ground with Jesus. He polarizes every group and by each one’s response, his/her heart is revealed (cf. Luke 8:17-18). Being Jewish never did make one automatically right with God (neither do church membership or religious activities).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 2:36-38

36And there was a prophetess, Anna the daughter of Phanuel, of the tribe of Asher. She was advanced in years and had lived with her husband seven years after her marriage, 37and then as a widow to the age of eighty-four. She never left the temple, serving night and day with fastings and prayers. 38At that very moment she came up and began giving thanks to God, and continued to speak of Him to all those who were looking for the redemption of Jerusalem.

2:36 “prophetess” There had not been any truly inspired spokesperson for God since Malachi (or the writer of Chronicles). Women in places of leadership were not a new or unusual thing in the OT. Miriam, Hannah, Deborah, Ruth, Huldah, and Esther are examples (cf. Acts 2:17; 21:9). See Special Topic: NT Prophecy at 1:67.

SPECIAL TOPIC: WOMEN IN THE BIBLE

I. The Old Testament
   A. Culturally, women were considered property.
      1. included in list of property (Exodus 20:17)
      2. treatment of slave women (Exodus 21:7-11)
      3. women’s vows annullable by a socially responsible male (Numbers 30)
      4. women as spoils of war (Deuteronomy 20:10-14; 21:10-14)
   B. Practically, there was a mutuality
      1. male and female made in God’s image (Genesis 1:26-27)
      2. honor father and mother (Exodus 20:12 [Deut. 5:16])
      3. reverence mother and father (Leviticus 19:3; 20:9)
      4. men and women could be Nazarites (Numbers 6:1-2)
      5. daughters have right of inheritance (Numbers 27:1-11)
      6. part of covenant people (Deuteronomy 29:10-12)
      7. observe teaching of father and mother (Proverbs 1:8; 6:20)
      8. sons and daughters of Heman (Levite family) led music in Temple (I Chronicles 25:5-6)
      9. son and daughter will prophesy in new age (Joel 2:28-29)
   C. Women were in leadership roles
      1. Moses’ sister, Miriam, called a prophetess (Exodus 15:20-21)
      2. women gifted by God to construct the Tabernacle (Exodus 35:25-26)
      3. a woman, Deborah, also a prophetess (cf. Jdgs. 4:4), led all the tribes (Judges 4:4-5; 5:7)
      4. Huldah was a prophetess whom King Josiah called on to read and interpret the newly-found “Book of the Law” (II Kings 22:14; II Chr. 34:22-27)
5. Ruth, a godly woman, was an ancestress of David
6. Queen Esther, a godly woman, saved Jews in Persia

II. The New Testament
A. Culturally, women in both Judaism and the Greco-Roman world were second class citizens with few rights or privileges (the exception was Macedonia).

   B. Women in leadership roles
      1. Elizabeth and Mary, godly women, were available to God (Luke 1-2)
      2. Anna, godly woman, serving at the Temple (Luke 2:36)
      3. Lydia, believer and leader of a house church (Acts 16:14,40)
      4. Philip’s four daughters were prophetesses (Acts 21:8-9)
      5. Phoebe, deaconess of church at Cenchrea (Rom. 16:1)
      6. Prisca (Priscilla), Paul’s fellow-worker and teacher of Apollos (Acts 18:26; Rom. 16:3)
      7. Mary, Tryphaena, Tryphosa, Persis, Julia, Nereus’ sister, several women co-workers of Paul (Rom. 16:6-16)
      8. Junia (KJV), possibly a woman apostle (Rom. 16:7)
      9. Euodia and Syntyche, co-workers with Paul (Phil. 4:2-3)

III. How does a modern believer balance the divergent biblical examples?
A. How does one distinguish historical or cultural truths, which only apply to the original context, from eternal truths valid for all churches, all believers of all ages?
   1. We must take the intent of the original inspired author very seriously. The Bible is the Word of God and the only source for faith and practice.
   2. We must deal with the obviously historically conditioned inspired texts
      a. the cultus (i.e. ritual and liturgy) of Israel (cf. Acts 15; Gal. 3)
      b. first century Judaism
      c. Paul’s obviously historically conditioned statements in I Corinthians
         (1) the legal system of pagan Rome (I Cor. 6)
         (2) remaining a slave (I Cor. 7:20-24)
         (3) celibacy (I Cor. 7:1-35)
         (4) virginity (I Cor. 7:36-38)
         (5) food sacrificed to an idol (I Cor. 10:23-33)
         (6) unworthy actions at Lord’s Supper (I Cor. 11)
   3. God fully and clearly revealed Himself to a particular culture, a particular day. We must take seriously the revelation, but not every aspect of its historical accommodation. The Word of God was written in His own words addressed to a particular culture at a particular time.

B. Biblical interpretation must seek the original author’s intent. What was he saying to his day? This is foundational and crucial for proper interpretation. But then we must apply this to our own day. Now, here is the problem with women in leadership (the real interpretive problem may be defining the term). Were there more ministers than pastors who were seen as leaders? Were deaconesses (cf. Rom. 16:1) seen as leaders? It is quite clear that Paul, in I Cor. 14:34-35 and I Tim. 2:9-15, is asserting that women should not take the lead in public worship! But how do I apply that today? I do not want Paul’s culture or my culture to silence God’s Word and will. Possibly Paul’s day was too limiting, but also my day may be too open. I feel so uncomfortable saying that Paul’s words and teachings are conditional, first century, local situational truths. Who am I that I should let my mind or my culture negate an inspired author?!

   However, what do I do when there are biblical examples of women leaders (even in Paul’s writings, cf. Rom. 16)? A good example of this is Paul’s discussion of public worship in I Cor. 11-14. In 11:5 he seems to allow women to preach and pray in public worship if their heads are covered, yet in 14:34-35 he demands that they remain silent! There were deaconesses (cf. Rom. 16:1) and prophetesses (cf. Acts 21:9). It is this diversity that allows me freedom to identify Paul’s comments (related to restrictions on women) as limited to first century Corinth and
Ephesus. In both churches there were problems with women exercising their newfound freedom (see Bruce Minter, *Corinth After Paul Left*), which could have caused difficulty for their church in reaching their society for Christ. Their freedom had to be limited so that the gospel could be more effective.

My day is just the opposite of Paul’s. In my day the gospel might be limited if trained, articulate women are not allowed to share the gospel, not allowed to lead! What is the ultimate goal of public worship? Is it not evangelism and discipleship? Can God be honored and pleased with women leaders? The Bible as a whole seems to say “yes”!

I want to yield to Paul; my theology is primarily Pauline. I do not want to be overly influenced or manipulated by modern feminism! However, I feel the church has been slow to respond to obvious biblical truths, like the inappropriateness of slavery, racism, bigotry, and sexism. It has also been slow to respond appropriately to the abuse of women in the modern world. God in Christ set free the slave and the woman. I dare not let a culture-bound text reshackle them.

One more point: as an interpreter I know that Corinth was a very disrupted church. The charismatic gifts were prized and flaunted. Women may have been caught up in this. I also believe that Ephesus was being affected by false teachers who were taking advantage of women and using them as surrogate speakers in the house churches of Ephesus (cf. I & II Timothy).

C. Suggestions for further reading
1. *How to Read the Bible For All Its Worth* by Gordon Fee and Doug Stuart (pp. 61-77)
3. *Hard Sayings of the Bible* by Walter C. Kaiser, Peter H. Davids, F. F. Bruce and Manfred T. Branch (pp. 613-616; 665-667)

SPECIAL TOPIC: PAUL’S USE OF WOMEN IN MINISTRY

Luke’s view of women was obviously influenced by his relationship and association with Paul.

Notice all of the women in Rom. 16 who were fellow-workers with Paul in the gospel (cf. Phil. 4:3): Phoebe in v. 1; Prisca in v. 3; Mary in v. 6; Junia (or Junias—if so it was a man) in v. 7; Tryphaena and Tryphosa in v. 12; Persis in v. 12; “his mother” in v. 13; Julia in v. 15; and “his sister” in v. 15. Be careful of dogmatism in the area of women in ministry. All believers are gifted (cf. I Cor. 12:7,11); all believers are full-time ministers (cf. Eph. 4:12). In this list we have a deaconess, Phoebe, and possibly a woman apostle, Junia (cf. Joel 2:28; Acts 2:16-21). It is difficult to know how to handle this issue biblically because of the seemingly paradoxical statements of Paul, such as I Cor. 11:4-5 compared with 14:34.

“the tribe of Asher” This shows the presence of a tribe out of the northern ten tribes who were exiled by Assyria in 722 B.C. in Jesus’ day; some tribes returned.

2:37 “widow to the age of eighty-four” This woman had dedicated her life to God after the early death of her husband.

2:38 “to speak of Him” We are not told what she said; therefore, why would Luke mention her at all? It was to give the evidence of two witnesses required by Mosaic Law (cf. Num. 35:30; Deut. 17:6; 19:15). Both the young (unborn John) and the old (Simeon and Anna) as well as male and female recognized who He was.

“looking for the redemption of Jerusalem” See note at “consolation of Israel” at 2:25. Zacharias also speaks of this in 1:68-74. For “redemption,” see Special Topic at 1:68.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 2:39-40

39When they had performed everything according to the Law of the Lord, they returned to Galilee, to their own city of Nazareth. 40The Child continued to grow and become strong, increasing in wisdom; and the grace of God was upon Him.
2:39 “When they had performed everything according to the Law of the Lord” Jesus and His parents were orthodox Jews in every sense. They completely fulfilled the Mosaic requirements due at the temple for themselves and their child.

“returned to Galilee” This was Jesus’ major area of ministry, which was predicted in the OT (cf. Isa. 11:1). This would have been the first part of the Promised Land, which was invaded and defeated by Babylon and Persia.

“Nazareth” The village where Jesus grew up was called Nazareth. It is not mentioned in the OT, the Talmud, or in Josephus. It apparently was not settled until the time of John Hycanus (i.e. Hasmonaen), who ruled from 134-104 B.C. The presence of Joseph and Mary from this village implies that a clan of David’s line settled here.

There may be an etymological connection between the names Nazareth and the Messianic title Branch (cf. Matt. 2:23, “called a Nazarene”), which is netser in Hebrew (cf. Isa. 11:1; Jer. 23:5; 33:15; Zech. 3:8; 6:12; Rev. 5:5; 22:16). See Special Topic at 4:34.

It was apparently a term of reproach because of its location far from Jerusalem in a Gentile area (cf. John 1:46 and Acts 24:5, even though this, too, was prophecy [cf. Isa. 9:1]). This may be why “Jesus of Nazareth, King of the Jews” was inscribed on the placard which was placed on the cross above Jesus’ head.

2:40 “The Child continued to grow” Jesus developed normally as a human child (as did John, cf. 1:80) physically, emotionally, and spiritually (cf. v. 52). This may be an anti-gnostic statement. He obviously attended synagogue school with the other children. See Special Topic below.

SPECIAL TOPIC: GNOSTICISM

A. Most of our knowledge of this heresy comes from the gnostic writings of the second century. However, its ideas were present in the first century (Dead Sea Scrolls) and the Apostle John’s writings.

B. The problem at Ephesus (I Timothy), Crete (Titus) and Colossae was a hybrid of Christianity, incipient gnosticism, and legalistic Judaism.

C. Some tenets of Valentinian and Cerinthian Gnosticism of the second century
   1. Matter and spirit were co-eternal (an ontological dualism). Matter is evil; spirit is good. God, who is spirit, cannot be directly involved with molding evil matter.
   2. There are emanations (eons or angelic levels) between God and matter. The last or lowest one was YHWH of the Old Testament, who formed the universe (kosmos).
   3. Jesus was an emanation, like YHWH, but higher on the scale, closer to the true God. Some put Him as the highest, but still less than God and certainly not incarnate deity (cf. John 1:14). Since matter is evil, Jesus could not have a human body and still be divine. He appeared to be human, but was really only a spirit (cf. I John 1:1-3; 4:1-6).
   4. Salvation was obtained through faith in Jesus plus special knowledge, which is only known by special persons. Knowledge (passwords) was needed to pass through heavenly spheres. Jewish legalism was also required to reach God.

D. The gnostic false teachers advocated two opposite ethical systems
   1. For some, lifestyle was totally unrelated to salvation. For them, salvation and spirituality were encapsulated into secret knowledge (passwords) through the angelic spheres (eons).
   2. For others, lifestyle was crucial to salvation. In this book, the false teachers emphasized an ascetic lifestyle as evidence of true salvation (cf. 2:16-23).


“the grace of God was upon Him” The Greek term charis has a wide semantic range. Louw and Nida, Greek-English Lexicon, vol. 2, p. 262, list “kindness, gift, thanks, and good will” as possible translations. The sense in which it is used in this verse is unique to the Synoptic Gospels. The term is used several times in Luke’s Gospel, but only here in the sense of “grace.” Here is a good example of the theological concept being pervasive, but the typical Pauline term is rare.

The same could be said of the surprising absence of “faith” as a NOUN in John’s Gospel.
NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 2:41-51

Now His parents went to Jerusalem every year at the Feast of the Passover.  And when He became twelve, they went up there according to the custom of the Feast; and as they were returning, after spending the full number of days, the boy Jesus stayed behind in Jerusalem. But His parents were unaware of it, but supposed Him to be in the caravan, and went a day’s journey; and they began looking for Him among their relatives and acquaintances. When they did not find Him, they returned to Jerusalem looking for Him. Then, after three days they found Him in the temple, sitting in the midst of the teachers, both listening to them and asking them questions. And all who heard Him were amazed at His understanding and His answers. When they saw Him, they were astonished; and His mother said to Him, "Son, why have You treated us this way? Behold, Your father and I have been anxiously looking for You." And He said to them, "Why is it that you were looking for Me? Did you not know that I had to be in My Father’s house?" But they did not understand the statement which He had made to them. And He went down with them and came to Nazareth, and He continued in subjection to them; and His mother treasured all these things in her heart.

2:41 “went to Jerusalem every year at the Feast of the Passover” Jewish males over 21 years of age were required to attend all three major annual feasts (cf. Ex. 23:14-17; 34:23; Deut. 16:16). In the first century this was reduced to one feast because of the number of Jews living outside of Palestine. This is another evidence of Jesus’ parents’ dedication to the law of Moses. Mary was not required by law to attend, but she wanted to.

2:42 “He became twelve” Jesus was coming very close to His Bar Mitzvah, which made a Jewish boy a “son of the Law.” This occurred at age thirteen. It is possible that Luke recorded His age as twelve to show how fully developed He was in the Scriptures. Jesus obviously, by this time, recognized who He was (cf. v. 49).

2:43 “spending the full number of days” These bands of pilgrims came in groups and usually stayed either two or seven days (cf. Exod. 12:15-16; Lev. 23:6-8; Deut. 16:3).  

2:44 “went a day’s journey, and they began looking for Him” Usually these caravans left Jerusalem and stopped at Beereoth, about eight to ten miles from Jerusalem, for the night. The normal distance of a day’s journey was over twenty miles.

2:46 “after three days” This includes their one day travel away from Jerusalem, the one-day journey back, and one day to search for Jesus.

2:47 “And all who heard Him were amazed at His understanding and His answers” This is an IMPERFECT MIDDLE INDICATIVE, which suggests a repeated experience. This was Jesus’ only known chance to hear the great rabbis in Jerusalem and discuss the Law with them. These would be the same type of leadership that would not listen to Him as an adult

2:48 “were astonished” This literally is “struck with a blow” (cf. 2:48; 4:32; 9:43; Acts 13:12).

2:49 “Did you not know that I had to be in My Father’s house” These are the first recorded words of Jesus. This shows that Jesus knew something of His origin and purpose, even at this early age. This also may be Luke’s attempt to refute the heresy of “adoptionism.”
For an interesting discussion of “adoptionism” and how early scribes modified their texts so as to reject this heretical Christology see Bart D. Ehrman, *The Orthodox Corruption of Scripture*, pp. 47-118. For a brief definition see my glossary in the appendices.

2:51 “He went down with them and came to Nazareth” This is the last mention of Joseph. Apparently he died at an early age, but the couple had several other children first (cf. Matt. 12:46; 13:55; Mark 6:3; John 2:12; 7:3,5,10; Acts 1:14; I Cor. 9:5; Gal. 1:19).

“continued in subjection to them” This is a PERIPHRASTIC IMPERFECT PASSIVE, “He was continually subject to them.” The law was very strict on this (cf. Deut. 21:18-21). Jesus grew up in a normal Jewish household, obeying and following the normal Jewish rules for children.

“And His mother treasured all these things in her heart” Mary remembered these early events (cf. 2:19), but did not understand them (cf. v 50) until after the resurrection. Luke apparently interviewed Mary and she is one of the sources of his Gospel. Possibly this interview took place during Paul’s two-year imprisonment at Caesarea by the Sea.

**DISCUSSION QUESTIONS**

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why did Jesus need a sin offering to be offered for Him and Mary?
2. What is so unusual about Simeon’s message?
3. Why is Hannah mentioned if her words about Jesus are not recorded?
4. Did Jesus have a “normal” childhood?
5. List the three Jewish rites which are discussed in vv. 21-41.
6. Why did Luke omit the account of the wise men and the flight to Egypt?
7. What was orthodox Judaism’s attitude toward Jesus?
8. What do vv. 40 and 52 imply?
9. Was the occurrence in v. 40 Jesus’ Var Mitzvah?
10. Describe what a pilgrim caravan was like. Does this explain how Jesus’ parents could have missed Him for a whole day?
11. Why were Jesus’ parents astonished? Why was Jesus astonished at their reaction?
LUKE 3:1-38

PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS4</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Preaching of John the Baptist</td>
<td>John the Baptist Prepares the Way</td>
<td>Activity of John the Baptist</td>
<td>The Preaching of John the Baptist</td>
<td>The Proclamation of John the Baptist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Preaches to the People</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:7-14</td>
<td>3:7-20</td>
<td>3:7-9</td>
<td>3:7-9</td>
<td>3:7-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:10-14</td>
<td>3:10</td>
<td>3:10-14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3:11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3:12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3:13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3:14a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3:14b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15-20</td>
<td>3:15-20</td>
<td>3:15-17</td>
<td>3:15-18</td>
<td>3:15-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3:18-20</td>
<td>John the Baptist Imprisoned</td>
<td>3:19-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Baptism of Jesus</td>
<td>John Baptizes Jesus</td>
<td>Jesus’ Baptism</td>
<td>The Baptism of Jesus</td>
<td>Jesus is Baptized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Genealogy of Jesus Christ</td>
<td>The Genealogy of Jesus</td>
<td>The Genealogy of Jesus</td>
<td>The Ancestors of Jesus</td>
<td>The Ancestry of Jesus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.
Now in the fifteenth year of the reign of Tiberius Caesar, when Pontius Pilate was governor of Judea, and Herod was tetrarch of Galilee, and his brother Philip was tetrarch of the region of Ituraea and Trachonitis, and Lysanias was tetrarch of Abilene, in the high priesthood of Annas and Caiaphas, the word of God came to John, the son of Zacharias, in the wilderness. And he came into all the district around the Jordan, preaching a baptism of repentance for the forgiveness of sins; as it is written in the book of the words of Isaiah the prophet, "The voice of one crying in the wilderness, 'Make ready the way of the Lord, make his paths straight.' Every ravine will be filled, and every mountain and hill will be brought low; the crooked will become straight, and the rough roads smooth; and all flesh will see the salvation of God.'"

3:1 “fifteenth year of the reign of Tiberius Caesar” The exact date is unsure, but a date between A.D. 27 to A.D. 29 is possible. It is obvious that vv. 1-2 are Luke’s way of precisely dating this event. Luke is far more concerned with corroborating the gospel events with secular history than any other NT author. Christianity is a historically based religion. It stands or falls on the “eventness” which the Bible records.

“Pontius Pilate was governor of Judea” See Special Topic below.

SPECIAL TOPIC: PONTIUS PILATE

I. The Man
   A. Place and time of birth unknown
   B. Of the Equestrian order (upper middle class of Roman society)
   C. Married, but no known children
   D. Earlier administrative appointments (of which there must have been several) unknown

II. His Personality
   A. Two different views
      1. Philo (Legatio and Gaium, 299-305) and Josephus (Antiq. 18.3.1 and Jewish Wars 2.9.2-4) depict him as a cruel and uncompassionate dictator.
      2. The NT (Gospels, Acts) presents a weak, easily manipulated Roman procurator
   B. Paul Barnett, Jesus and the Rise of Early Christianity, pp. 143-148 gives a plausible explanation of these two views.
      1. Pilate was not appointed procurator in A.D. 26 under Tiberius, who was pro-Jewish (cf. Philo, Legatio and Gaium, 160-161), but by Sejanus, Tiberius’ anti-Jewish, chief advisor.
      2. Tiberius suffered a loss of political power to L. Aelius Sejanus, his praetorian prefect who became the real power behind the throne and who hated Jews (Philo, Legatio land Gaium, 159-160).
      3. Pilate was a protege of Sejanus and tried to impress him by:
         a. bringing Roman standards into Jerusalem (A.D. 26), which other procurators had not done. These symbols of Roman gods inflamed the Jews (cf. Josephus’ Antiq. 18.3.1; Jewish Wars 2.9.2-3).
         b. minting coins (A.D. 29-31) which had images of Roman worship engraved on them. Josephus says he was purposefully trying to overturn Jewish laws and customs (cf. Josephus, Antiq. 18.4.1-2).
         c. taking money from the Temple treasury to build an aqueduct in Jerusalem (cf. Josephus, Antiq. 18.3.2; Jewish Wars 2.9.3).
         d. having several Galileans killed while offering a sacrifice at Passover in Jerusalem (cf. Luke 13:12).
         e. bringing Roman shields into Jerusalem in A.D. 31. Herod the Great’s son appealed to him to remove them, but he would not, so they wrote Tiberius, who demanded they be removed back to Caesarea by the sea (cf. Philo, Legatio and Gaium, 299-305).
f. having many Samaritans slaughtered on Mt. Gerizim (A.D. 36/37) as they searched for sacred objects of their religion, which had been lost. This caused Pilate’s local superior (Vitellius, Prefect of Syria) to remove him from office and send him to Rome (cf. Josephus, Antiq. 18.4.1-2).

4. Sejanus was executed in A.D. 31 and Tiberius was restored to full political power; therefore, #a, b, c and d were possibly done by Pilate to earn Sejanus’ trust; #e and f could have been attempts to earn Tiberius’ trust, but may have backfired.

5. It is obvious with a pro-Jewish emperor restored, plus an official letter to procurators from Tiberius to be kind to Jews (cf. Philo, Legatio and Gaium, 160-161), that the Jewish leadership in Jerusalem took advantage of Pilate’s political vulnerability with Tiberius and manipulated him to have Jesus crucified. This theory of Barnett brings the two views of Pilate together in a plausible way.

III. His Fate
   A. He was recalled and arrived in Rome just after Tiberius’ death (A.D. 37).
   B. He was not reappointed.
   C. His life is unknown after this. There are many later theories, but no secure facts.

“Herod was tetrarch of Galilee” Herod Antipas, 4 B.C. - A.D. 39, was called governor or tetrarch. He was removed by Caligula for changing his title to “King.” See Special Topic below.

SPECIAL TOPIC: THE FAMILY OF HEROD THE GREAT

A. Herod the Great
   1. King of Judea (37-4 B.C.), an Idumean (from Edom), who, through political maneuvering and the support of Mark Antony, managed to be appointed ruler of a large part of Palestine (Canaan) by the Roman Senate in 40 B.C.
   3. His sons
      a. Herod Philip (son of Mariamne of Simon)
         (1) husband of Herodias (4 B.C. - A.D. 34)
         (2) mentioned in Matt. 14:3; Mark 6:17
      b. Herod Philip (son of Cleopatra)
         (1) Tetrarch of area north and west of the Sea of Galilee (4 B.C. - A.D. 34)
         (2) mentioned in Luke 3:1
      c. Herod Antipas
         (1) Tetrarch of Galilee and Perea (4 B.C. - A.D. 39)
      d. Archelaus, Herod the Ethnarch
         (1) ruler of Judea, Samaria, and Idumea (4 B.C. - A.D. 6)
         (2) mentioned in Matt. 2:22
      e. Aristobulus (son of Mariamne)
         (1) mentioned as father of Herod Agrippa I who was
            (a) King of Judea (A.D. 37-44)
            (b) mentioned in Acts 12:1-24; 23:35
               (i) his son was Herod Agrippa II
                - Tetrarch of northern territory (A.D. 50-70)
               (ii) his daughter was Bernice
                - consort of her brother
                - mentioned in Acts 25:13-26:32
(iii) his daughter was Drusilla
   - wife of Felix
   - mentioned Acts 24:24

B. Biblical References to the Herods
1. Herod the Tetrarch, mentioned in Matthew 14:1ff.; Luke 3:1; 9:7; 13:31, and 23:7, was the son of Herod the Great. At the death of Herod the Great, his kingdom was divided among several of his sons. The term “Tetrarch” meant “leader of the fourth part.” This Herod was known as Herod Antipas, which is the shortened form of Antipater. He controlled Galilee and Perea. This meant that much of Jesus’ ministry was in the territory of this second generation Idumean ruler.

2. Herodias was the daughter of Herod Antipas’ brother, Aristobulus. She had also been previously married to Philip, the half brother of Herod Antipas. This was not Philip the Tetrarch who controlled the area just north of Galilee, but the other brother Philip, who lived in Rome. Herodias had one daughter by Philip. On Herod Antipas’ visit to Rome he met and was seduced by Heroditas, who was looking for political advancement. Therefore, Herod Antipas divorced his wife, who was a Nabatean princess, and Herodias divorced Philip so that she and Herod Antipas could be married. She was also the sister of Herod Agrippa I (cf. Acts 12).

3. We learn the name of Herodias’ daughter, Salome, from Flavius Josephus in his book *The Antiquities of the Jews* 8:5:4. She must have been between the ages of twelve and seventeen at this point. She was obviously controlled and manipulated by her mother. She later married Philip the Tetrarch, but was soon widowed.

4. About ten years after the beheading of John the Baptist, Herod Antipas went to Rome at the instigation of his wife Herodias to seek the title of king because Agrippa I, her brother, had received that title. But Agrippa I wrote Rome and accused Antipas of correption with the Parthians, a hated enemy of Rome from the Fertile Crescent (Mesopotamia). The Emperor apparently believed Agrippa I and Herod Antipas, along with his wife Herodias, was exiled to Spain.

5. It may make it easier to remember these different Herods as they are presented in the New Testament by remembering that Herod the Great killed the children in Bethlehem; Herod Antipas killed John the Baptist; Herod Agrippa I killed the Apostle James; and Herod Agrippa II heard Paul’s appeal recorded in the book of Acts.

C. For background information on the family of Herod the Great, consult the index of Flavius Josephus in *Antiquities of the Jews*.
“the word of God” This is an OT formula for God speaking to the prophets (e.g. Jer. 1:2). Here it is used for God’s message through the last OT prophet, John the Baptist.

“in the wilderness” He was possibly a member of or a visitor to the Essene community (cf. Mark 1:4; Matt. 3:1). The wilderness was also the regular habitation of Elijah. John looked, acted, and lived like Elijah. Jesus will say he fulfills the prophecies of Mal. 3-4 about the coming of the Messiah before the Messiah (cf. Matt. 11:14; 17:10-13).

3:3 “baptism” The first century Palestinian background to water baptism was possibly (1) the Essene community (i.e. Dead Sea Scrolls); (2) proselyte baptism for Gentiles converts; or (3) a symbol of cleansing in Judaism (cf. Isa. 1:16).

“repentance” See Special Topic below.

### SPECIAL TOPIC: REPENTANCE

Repentance (along with faith) is a covenant requirement of both the Old Covenant (Nacham, I Kgs. 8:47; Shuv, I Kgs. 8:48; Ezek. 14:6; 18:30; Joel 2:12-13; Zech. 1:3-4) and the New Covenant.

1. John the Baptist (Matt. 3:2; Mark 1:4; Luke 3:3,8)
4. Paul (Acts 13:24; 17:30; 20:21; 26:20; Rom. 2:4; II Cor. 2:9-10)

But what is repentance? Is it sorrow? Is it a cessation of sin? The best chapter in the NT for understanding the different connotations of this concept is II Corinthians 7:8-11, where three different, but related, Greek terms are used.

1. “sorrow” (lupē, cf. vv. 8 [twice], 9 [thrice], 10 [twice], 11). It means grief or distress and has a theologically neutral connotation.
2. “repentance” (metanoeō, cf. vv. 9,10). It is a compound of “after” and “mind,” which implies a new mind, a new way of thinking, a new attitude toward life and God. This is true repentance.
3. “regret” (metamelomai, cf. vv. 8 [twice], 10). It is a compound of “after” and “care.” It is used of Judas in Matt. 27:3 and Esau in Heb. 12:16-17. It implies sorrow over the consequences, not over the acts.


The definitions of both the Hebrew and Greek terms are required to grasp the full meaning of repentance. The Hebrew demands “a change of action,” while the Greek demands “a change of mind.” The saved person receives a new mind and heart. He thinks differently and lives differently. Instead of “What’s in it for me?,” the question is now “What is God’s will?” Repentance is not an emotion that fades or a total sinlessness, but a new relationship with the Holy One who progressively transforms the believer into a holy one.

“forgiveness” This is a form of the common Greek term αφιέμ, often used of forgiving sin (cf. 5:20,21,23,24; 7:47,48). This was also a medical term (aphesis) for the relaxing of disease (cf. 4:39). Luke uses aphasis often in his writings but it appears only once in Matthew, twice in Mark, not in John at all, and only twice in Paul’s writings).

John’s task was to call Israel back from sin and faithless ritual to personal faith. His message was targeted to the covenant people who had repeatedly broken and misunderstood YHWH’s covenant mercy and love. John accentuated the spiritual need that only Jesus could meet!

3:4-6 This is a quote from Isa. 40:3-5. Only Luke gives the full quote of vv. 4 and 5; the other Gospels quote only v. 3. This shows Luke’s consistent universalism of the gospel for all people.

- Notice the relevant aspects of the OT quote:
  1. John was from the “wilderness.”
  2. John was to prepare the people for the message and ministry of Jesus the Messiah.
  3. All obstacles to God, here symbolized by physical barriers, are to be removed.
  4. “All flesh” will see and have available God’s salvation.
3:4 “it is written” This PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE of graphē was a Hebrew idiom used to introduce a quote from the OT. The Greek graphē was often used to describe Scripture in the NT (cf. 4:21; 24:27,32).

“in the book” This is the Greek word biblos (cf. 20:42), from which we get the English word “book,” and later “Bible,” but here it refers to a parchment scroll (cf. 4:20; Rev. 5:1-5).

“MAKE READY THE WAY” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE, which denotes urgency. In the Masoretic Hebrew text, Lord (i.e. adon) is read, but YHWH is in the text. The phrase originally referred to physical preparation for a royal visit (cf. Isa. 57:14; 62:10). It came to refer metaphorically to the ministry of John the Baptist spiritually preparing the way for Jesus the Messiah, who is also called “Lord” (i.e. kurios).

“OF THE LORD” New Testament writers regularly attribute OT writings about YHWH to Jesus.

“MAKE HIS PATHS STRAIGHT” The Masoretic Text and Septuagint have “make straight the paths of our God.” Mark (or Peter) modified the text (or quotes an unknown textual form) to make it specifically relate to Jesus, not YHWH (Luke uses Mark’s Gospel here).

3:5 The imagery of this verse can be understood in two ways:
1. Historically it is used of preparing a road for a royal visit.
2. Eschatologically it is used of all physical barriers being removed for God’s people to be gathered to Himself.

3:6 “ALL FLESH WILL SEE THE SALVATION OF GOD” “Salvation” is from the Septuagint; Matthew has “glory” (cf. 3:30-32). Universal salvation (i.e. for all who repent and believe) is being emphasized by Luke, who is writing for a Gentile audience.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 3:7-9

So he began saying to the crowds who were going out to be baptized by him, "You brood of vipers, who warned you to flee from the wrath to come? 8Therefore bear fruits in keeping with repentance, and do not begin to say to yourselves, 'We have Abraham for our father,' for I say to you that from these stones God is able to raise up children to Abraham. 9Indeed the axe is already laid at the root of the trees; so every tree that does not bear good fruit is cut down and thrown into the fire."

3:7 “saying” This IMPERFECT TENSE shows John the Baptist’s repeated message.

“the crowds who were going out” This is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) PARTICIPLE emphasizing that the crowds continued to come. There was a spiritual hunger in Israel.

“you brood of vipers” There was also the presence of a spiritual disease (cf. Matt. 12:34; 23:23). This is used in Matt. 3:7 of self-righteous Sadducees. We must remember that the people looked up to and admired these religious leaders (i.e. Sadducees and Pharisees). John did not admire them at all, but called them to personal repentance and faith (cf. Mark 1:15).

“the coming wrath” Eschatological fulfillment calls for a new day of the Spirit, but also a day of judgment (cf. Matt. 24-25). To those who have much, much will be required (cf. 12:48).

3:8 “bear fruits” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. John demanded a lifestyle change to give evidence of a true change of heart (repentance). This concept of spiritual fruit can be seen in Matt. 7:15-23; 12:33; Luke 6:39-45; Gal. 5:22-23 (contrasted to vv. 19-21). Eternal life has observable characteristics.

“we have Abraham for our father” These Jewish leaders were trusting in their racial lineage (cf. John 8:37-59; Gal. 3:29). The rabbis believed that God’s promises to Abraham and his descendants (cf. Gen. 12,15,17) were unconditional promises, but the OT prophets clearly declare they are conditioned on a faith response. Neither the merit of the Patriarchs nor the covenants of the OT can replace repentance, personal faith, obedience, and perseverance. The gospel does not focus on genealogy, but on faith (cf. Rom. 2:17-29).

“descendants. stones” These two words have very similar sounds in Aramaic (sons - banayyā and stone - ’abnayyā). Jesus regularly spoke Aramaic, not Koine Greek. This may be an intentional word play. It could possibly allude to the New Age prophecy of Isa. 56:1-2.
This same metaphor of fruitlessness and the destruction of the tree is found in Matt. 7:19. This surely has an eschatological flavor. Although the Kingdom came in Jesus, it is not yet fully consummated. At the consummation a separation of judgment will occur (cf. Matt. 25:31-46 and Rev. 20:11-15). There is a spiritual principle, OT and NT—we reap what we sow (cf. Job 34:11; Ps. 28:4; 62:12; Prov. 24:12; Eccl. 12:14; Jer. 17:10; 32:19; Matt. 16:27; 25:31-46; Rom. 2:6; 14:12; I Cor. 3:8; II Cor. 5:10; Gal. 6:7-10; II Tim. 4:14; I Pet. 1:17; Rev. 2:23; 20:12; 22:12).


NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 3:10-14

10And the crowds were questioning him, saying, "Then what shall we do?" 11And he would answer and say to them, "The man who has two tunics is to share with him who has none; and he who has food is to do likewise." 12And some tax collectors also came to be baptized, and they said to him, "Teacher, what shall we do?" 13And he said to them, "Collect no more than what you have been ordered to." 14Some soldiers were questioning him, saying, "And what about us, what shall we do?" And he said to them, "Do not take money from anyone by force, or accuse anyone falsely, and be content with your wages."

3:10 “Then what shall we do?” Obviously the rules, rites, and liturgies of rabbinical Judaism were not enough. The personal application of truth is crucial in biblical faith. We must live what we believe (cf. James 2:14-26). The gospel is a person to welcome (Jesus), truths about that person to be believed (the NT), and a life like that person to be lived (daily Christlikeness).

3:11 “tunics” This Greek word (chitōn) is thought to be a loan word from Hebrew. It is used in the Septuagint for:
1. a woman’s undergarment, Gen. 3:21
2. a man’s undergarment, Jdgs. 14:19
3. a priest’s undergarment, Lev. 6:3

Moulton and Milligan, in their study of Koine Greek writings from the Egyptian papyri, *The Vocabulary of the Greek Testament*, p. 688, believe it is a term native to Asia Minor. It had two related meanings:
1. inner garment worn next to the skin by men and women (cf. LXX, Matt. 5:40; 10:10; Mark 6:9
2. used generically for clothing (cf. Mark 14:63)

In this context the idea is that if people have more than they need, let them share it with others who have need (no clothes, no food).

3:12-14 “tax collectors...soldiers” Here are just two examples of John’s ethical imperatives. Notice they (people in occupations considered unclean or evil) are not encouraged to change jobs, but to be fair and content. John is following in the OT ethical tradition of the prophets.

The VERBS directed to the soldiers in v. 14b are IMPERATIVES.
1. no one intimidates (AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE)
2. no one accuse falsely (AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE)
3. be satisfied with your pay (PRESENT PASSIVE IMPERATIVE)

Were these Jewish soldiers? Jews often served as mercenaries (Elephantine Papyri), but most Jews under Roman occupation would not serve. The VERBS used imply a heavy-handed treatment of the populace. Would Jews living in the same community treat fellow Jews this way? Rome gave Jews an exemption from serving in the military. It is possible that these were Jews who served in Herod’s service and collected his taxes.

Could these be Roman soldiers or conscripts who worked with the tax collectors? The presence of kai in v. 14 came to be interpreted as “even.” If so, this shows Luke’s interests in Gentiles hearing the good news very early, even in John’s ministry. This may be another aspect of Luke’s universal gospel.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 3:15-17

15Now while the people were in a state of expectation and all were wondering in their hearts about John, as to whether he was the Christ, 16John answered and said to them all, "As for me, I baptize you with water; but One is coming who is mightier than I, and I am not fit to untie the thong of His sandals; He will baptize you with the Holy Spirit and fire. 17His winnowing fork is in His hand to thoroughly clear His threshing floor, and to gather the wheat into His barn; but He will burn up the chaff with unquenchable fire."

“as to whether he was the Christ” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE OPTATIVE. Messianic expectations were kindled by John’s ministry. These disclaimers serve two theological purposes:

1. to lift up and exalt Jesus

3:16 “One is coming who is mightier than I” This message is repeated in all three Synoptic Gospels (cf. Matt. 3:11; Mark 1:7-8). John knew who he was and what his message was to be (cf. Isa. 40:3; Mal. 3:1; 4:5-6). He was the forerunner (cf. Isa. 40:4-5).

“I am not fit to untie the thong of His sandals” The rabbis said that their disciples should do for them what slaves do for their masters, except untie their shoes. John uses this cultural detail to show his humility and the greatness of the Messiah.

“with the Holy Spirit and fire” This phrase is used in the NT only in contexts which contrast John’s water baptism with Jesus’ spirit baptism (cf. 3:16; Matt. 3:11; Mark 1:8; John 1:33; Acts 1:5; 11:16). Therefore it is a way to show and magnify the spiritual effectiveness of Jesus’ ministry. The Spirit and fire are synonymous. This phrase should not be proof texted to denote a separate work of the Spirit. It refers to initial salvation through the gospel. Fire is probably a metaphor of cleansing (cf. Lev. 13:52,55,57), which is the forgiveness of sins (cf. v. 3). John was sent to prepare, but Jesus to accomplish.

3:17 “winnowing fork” This is an OT metaphor of judgment, where one separates the grain from the husk (which is burned, cf. Job 21:17-18; Ps. 1:4; 35:5; 83:13; Isa. 17:13; 29:5; 41:15; Hos. 13:3; Zeph. 2:2).

“gather the wheat into His barn” This is an eschatological metaphor of the righteous being gathered from an evil world to be at home with God. Notice only two possible outcomes—God’s barn or the fire! Many of Jesus’ parables play on these agricultural themes.

“unquenchable fire” This is the Greek word for extinguish or quench with the ALPHA PRIVATIVE, which negates it. This theme is repeated several times in the Gospels (cf. Matt. 3:12; 25:41; Mark 9:43-48). It may be an allusion to Isa. 66:24.

The theological question which this raises is not the eternal consequences of rejecting Christ, but the presence of pain and torment without a redemptive hope (i.e. hell). An interesting book by Edward Fudge, The Fire That Consumes, deals with the option of permanent annihilation for the lost after judgment. I do not want to compromise or diminish in any way the eternal consequences of unbelief. It is hard to know for sure how much of the Bible which deals with the after-life (good and bad) is metaphorical and how much is literal. Jesus is the person who emphasizes the consequences of hell. Most of Jesus’ metaphors of Gehenna come from the garbage dump in the valley and the sons of Hinnom, just south of Jerusalem where the fire god, Molech, was worshiped by the sacrifice of children. Hell is a serious reality, far worse than human languages’ ability to communicate. Hell is the isolating and permanent purging of evil from God’s creation!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 3:18-20

18 So with many other exhortations he preached the gospel to the people. 19 But when Herod the tetrarch was reprimanded by him because of Herodias, his brother’s wife, and because of all the wicked things which Herod had done, 20 Herod also added this to them all: he locked John up in prison.

3:18 “the gospel” It must be remembered that John the Baptist was the last OT prophet, not a NT gospel preacher. He did not know the full gospel. Here the sense is the “good news” (i.e. gospel) of God’s willingness to judge sin and God’s coming full provision for sin through repentance and faith in the work of the Messiah (cf. Mark 1:15).

3:19 “Herodias” This text tells us that Herod had John killed at the instigation of Herodias. Josephus tells us he had him killed because he feared a riot (cf. Antiquities of the Jews, 18.5.2). She had been the wife of Philip, the brother of Herod Antipas (cf. Matt. 14:3). They had lived in Rome. She was also Antipas’ niece through Aristobulus. Antipas had wooed her away from Philip and married her.

According to Josephus (i.e. Antiquities of the Jews 18.5.4), Herodias was married to Herod the Great’s son, Herod (whose mother was Marianne, the high priest’s daughter). He also says Herodias’ daughter, Salome, later married Philip. It is possible that Herod was known as Herod Philip.

3:20 “locked John up in prison” Josephus tells us it was Machaerus (cf. Antiq. 18.5.2,4). This was one of nine fortresses Herod the Great built throughout his kingdom which he used as dungeons for his enemies. Three of these nine were also palaces (Machaerus, Masada, and Herodium). Machaerus was located in the mountain on the eastern side of the Dead Sea (cf. Jewish Wars 7.6.2).
NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 3:21-22

"Now when all the people were baptized, Jesus was also baptized, and while He was praying, heaven was opened, and the Holy Spirit descended upon Him in bodily form like a dove, and a voice came out of heaven, "You are My beloved Son, in You I am well-pleased."

3:21 “Now when all the people were baptized” This implies either (1) how successfully John’s preaching affected the lives of his hearers or (2) that out of a larger crowd all those who responded stayed to be baptized.

“Jesus was also baptized” Why Jesus was baptized has always been a concern for believers because John’s baptism was a baptism of repentance. Jesus did not need forgiveness for He was sinless (cf. II Cor. 5:21; Heb. 4:15; 7:26; I Pet. 2:22; I John 3:5). The theories have been: (1) it was an example for believers to follow; (2) it was His identification with believers’ need; (3) it was His ordination and equipping for ministry; (4) it was a symbol of His redemptive task; (5) it was His approval of the ministry and message of John the Baptist; or (6) it was a prophetic foreshadowing of His death, burial, and resurrection (cf. Rom. 6:4; Col. 2:12).

Whatever the reason, this was a defining moment in Jesus’ life. Although it does not imply that Jesus became the Messiah at this point, which is the early heresy of adoptionism (cf. The Orthodox Corruption of Scripture by Bart D. Ehrman, pp. 47-118), it held great significance for Him.

“while He was praying” Luke’s Gospel, more than the others, emphasizes Jesus’ prayer life (cf. 3:21; 5:16; 6:12; 9:18, 28-29; 11:1; 22:41). If Jesus, the sinless Son of God, sensed the need to pray often, how much more should we!

3:22 “Holy Spirit. . .Him. . .a voice out of heaven” This is one of several passages in the NT where all three persons of the Trinity are mentioned.

SPECIAL TOPIC: THE TRINITY

Notice the activity of all three Persons of the Trinity in vv. 21-22. The term “trinity,” first coined by Tertullian, is not a biblical word, but the concept is pervasive.

1. the Gospels
   a. Matthew 3:16-17; 28:19 (and parallels)
   b. John 14:26
3. Paul
   a. Romans 1:4-5; 5:1,5; 8:1-4,8-10
   b. I Corinthians 2:8-10; 12:4-6
   c. II Corinthians 1:21; 13:14
   d. Galatians 4:4-6
   e. Ephesians 1:3-14,17; 2:18; 3:14-17; 4:4-6
   f. I Thessalonians 1:2-5
   g. II Thessalonians 2:13
   h. Titus 3:4-6
4. Peter - I Peter 1:2
5. Jude - vv. 20-21

It is hinted at in the OT
1. Use of plurals for God
   a. name Elohim is plural, but when used of God always has a singular verb
   c. “one” in Deuteronomy 6:4 is plural (as it is in Gen. 2:24; Ezek. 37:17)
2. The angel of the Lord as a physical representative of deity
b. Exodus 3:2,4; 13:21; 14:19
c. Judges 2:1; 6:22-23; 13:3-22
d. Zechariah 3:1-2
3. God and Spirit are separate (Genesis 1:1-2; Psalm 104:30; Isa. 63:9-11; Ezek. 37:13-14)
4. God (YHWH) and Messiah (Adon) are separate (Psalm 45:6-7; 110:1; Zechariah 2:8-11; 10:9-12)
5. Messiah and Spirit are separate (Zechariah 12:10)
6. All three mentioned in Isa. 48:16; 61:1

The deity of Jesus and the personality of the Spirit caused problems for the strict, monotheistic, early believers:
1. Tertullian - subordinated the Son to the Father
2. Origen - subordinated the divine essence of the Son and the Spirit
3. Arius - denied deity to the Son and Spirit
4. Monarchianism - believed in a successive manifestation of God

The trinity is historically developed formulation informed by the biblical material
1. The full deity of Jesus, as equal to the Father, was affirmed in A.D. 325 by the Council of Nicea
2. The full personality and deity of the Spirit equal to the Father and Son was affirmed by the Council of Constantinople (A.D. 381)
3. The doctrine of the trinity is fully expressed in Augustine’s work De Trinitate

There is truly mystery here. But the NT seems to affirm one divine essence with three eternal personal manifestations.

| “dove” | This is an unusual symbol for the Spirit. God wanted all to see a physical manifestation of His Spirit on His Messiah. Some think it is related to (1) the Spirit brooding over the waters in Gen. 1:2; (2) Noah’s sending out a dove in Gen. 8:8-10; or (3) the rabbis’ using it as a symbol for Israel (cf. Hos. 11:11). John is surely mixing his metaphors to describe the Spirit’s work from cleansing fire to the peace and innocence of a dove. Luke is the only Gospel that has “in bodily form.” Apparently Luke is trying to emphasize the physical manifestation of the unseen Spirit. This visible descent was not only an affirmation to Jesus, but a witness to the crowd of just-baptized hearers. |
| “a voice came out of heaven” | This is called a bath kol. It was an interbiblical rabbinical method to communicate that a message was from God (cf. Ps. 2:7; Isa. 42:1). God used a mechanism to which these Jewish hearers were accustomed to reveal His presence and power in Jesus. |
| “You are My beloved Son” | This shows (1) the Father’s affirmation to the Son and (2) a witness to the crowd. This is an allusion to Ps. 2, which is a royal Psalm of God’s victory on behalf of the Davidic king (i.e. Son, cf. 2:7). This title (Son) is repeated at Jesus’ transfiguration (cf. 9:35). George E. Ladd, A Theology of the New Testament, p. 164, has an interesting comment about “Beloved” (agapētos), where he asserts that it appears in the Septuagint as the translation of the Hebrew yachid, “only” (i.e. only Son, cf. Gen. 22:2; Jer. 6:26). Based on this he further asserts that it is synonymous with monogenēs (cf. John 3:16), thus making this quote refer to Jesus as God’s only, unique, one-of-a-kind Son (i.e. Messiah). |
| “in You I am well-pleased” | This is an allusion to Isa. 42:1 (LXX), which is one of the Servant Songs of Isaiah. In this verbal affirmation to Jesus and before the believing crowd God unites the OT concepts of royal king and suffering servant (cf. Isa. 52:13-53:12). |

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 3:23-38**

23When He began His ministry, Jesus Himself was about thirty years of age, being, as was supposed, the son of Joseph, the son of Eli, 24the son of Matthat, the son of Levi, the son of Melchi, the son of Jannai, the son of Joseph, 25the son of Mattathias, the son of Amos, the son of Nahum, the son of Hesli, the son of Naggai, 26the son of Maath, the son of Mattathias, the son of Semein, the son of Josech, the son of Joda, 27the son of Joanan, the son of Rhesa, the son of Zerubbabel, the son of Shealtiel, the son of Neri, 28the son of Melchi, the son of Addi, the son of Cosam, the son of Elmadam, the son of Er, 29the son of Joshua, the son of Eliezer, the son of Jorim, the son of Matthath, the son of Levi, 30the son of Simeon, the son of Judah, the son of Joseph, the son of Jonam, the son of Eliakim, 31the son of Melea, the son of Menna, the son of Mattatha, the son of Nathan, the son of David, 32the son of Jesse, the son of Obed, the son of Boaz, the
son of Salmon, the son of Nahshon, the son of Amminadab, the son of Admin, the son of Ram, the son of Hezron, the son of Perez, the son of Judah, the son of Jacob, the son of Isaac, the son of Abraham, the son of Terah, the son of Nahor, the son of Serug, the son of Reu, the son of Peleg, the son of Heber, the son of Shelah, the son of Cainan, the son of Arphaxad, the son of Shem, the son of Noah, the son of Lamech, the son of Methuselah, the son of Enoch, the son of Jared, the son of Mahalaleel, the son of Cainan, the son of Enosh, the son of Seth, the son of Adam, the son of God.

3:23 “about thirty years of age” The exact dating of NT events is uncertain, but by comparing other NT texts, other secular histories, and modern archaeology, these dates are moving more and more in a narrow range. This text is not asserting thirty years old exactly, but in His thirties.

“being, as was supposed, the son of Joseph” Joseph is mentioned to fulfill Jewish legal requirements. The term “supposed” validates Luke’s understanding and affirmation of the virgin birth.

NASB “the son of Eli”
NKJV, NRSV, TEV, NJB “the son of Heli”

The only difference in spelling is the rough breathing mark. The real question is, who was Joseph’s father? Luke’s genealogy has Eli/Heli and Matthew’s genealogy has Jacob.

There are several differences in the list of ancestors between Matthew and Luke. The best guess is that Luke records Mary’s lineage.

3:38 “the son of Adam” Matthew, written for Jews, takes the lineage back to Abraham. Luke, written for Gentiles, takes it back to Adam for the beginning of the human race.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why does Luke make such an effort to date John the Baptist’s ministry?
2. Why was John’s message so radical in its day?
3. Why were vv. 7-9 so striking to the Jews of John’s day?
4. Why did Herod have John killed?
5. Why was Jesus baptized?
6. Why is the genealogy in Luke different from Matthew’s?
### LUKE 4

#### PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Temptation of Jesus</td>
<td>Satan Tempts Jesus</td>
<td>Jesus Temptation</td>
<td>The Temptation of Jesus</td>
<td>Testing in the Desert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:5-8</td>
<td>4:5-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:9-13</td>
<td>4:9-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Events and Teachings in Galilee</td>
<td>4:13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4:14-9:50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Beginning of the Galilean Ministry</td>
<td>Jesus Begins His Galilean Ministry</td>
<td>Jesus Returns to Galilee</td>
<td>Jesus Begins His Work in Galilee</td>
<td>Jesus Begins to Preach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rejection of Jesus at Nazareth</td>
<td>Jesus Rejected at Nazareth</td>
<td>In the Synagogue at Nazareth</td>
<td>Jesus is Rejected at Nazareth</td>
<td>Jesus at Nazareth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:20-21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:23-27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:28-30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:25-27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:28-30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Man with an Unclean Spirit</td>
<td>Jesus Casts Out an Unclean Spirit</td>
<td>The Synagogue at Capernaum</td>
<td>A Man with an Evil Spirit</td>
<td>Jesus Teaches in Capernaum and Cures a Demonic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:33-35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:36-37</td>
<td>4:36-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Healing of Many People</td>
<td>Peter's Mother-in-law Healed</td>
<td>Healing and Preaching</td>
<td>Jesus Heals Many People</td>
<td>Cure of Simon's Mother-in-law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Many Healed After Sabbath Sunset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A Number of Cures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:40-41</td>
<td></td>
<td>4:40-41a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS TO 4:1-13

A. It is extremely significant that immediately following God's affirmation of the Messianic Sonship of Jesus (cf. Luke 3:22) the Spirit "drives" Jesus into the desert to be tempted (cf. Mark 1:12). Temptation was in the will of God for the Son. Temptation can be defined as the enticement of a God-given desire beyond God-given bounds. Temptation is not a sin. This temptation was initiated by God. The agent was Satan (cf. II Kgs. 22:13-23; Job 1-2; Zech. 3).

B. Could Christ really have sinned? This is really the mystery of the two natures of Christ. The temptation was real. Jesus, in His human nature, could have violated the will of God. This was not a puppet show. Jesus is truly human though without a fallen nature (cf. Phil. 2:7-8; Heb. 4:15; 7:26). In this respect He was like Adam. We see this same true, but weak, human nature in the Garden of Gethsemane, where Jesus prayed three times for another way of redemption other than the cross (cf. 26:36-46; Mark 14:32-42). This tendency is the essence of each one of Satan's temptations in Matt. 4, cf. James S. Stewart, *The Life and Teachings of Jesus Christ*. How will Jesus use His Messianic gifts to redeem mankind? Any way other than substitutionary atonement was the temptation!

C. Jesus must have told this experience to His disciples later because He was alone in the desert. This implies that this account not only teaches us about Christ's temptation, but also helps us in our temptations (cf. Heb. 2:18; 4:15).

D. The Synoptic parallels of Luke 4 are found in Mark 1:12-13 and Matt. 4:1-11. Since only Matthew and Luke record this full event, and it does not occur except briefly in Mark (1:12-13), scholars say this comes from a list of Jesus' sayings (possibly written by Matthew in Aramaic), which they call *Quelle*, the German for "source." The account of the temptations is similar (order of temptations vary, but same three temptations and dialogue) that there "must" have been a common source. The problem is that "Q" has never been found, not even a part of it. It is assumed because of logic and church tradition.

READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph

2. Second paragraph

3. Third paragraph

4. Etc.
NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 4:1-4

1Jesus, full of the Holy Spirit, returned from the Jordan and was led around by the Spirit in the wilderness for forty days, being tempted by the devil. And He ate nothing during those days, and when they had ended, He became hungry. 2And the devil said to Him, "If You are the Son of God, tell this stone to become bread." 4And Jesus answered him, "It is written, 'MAN SHALL NOT LIVE ON BREAD ALONE.'"

4:1 “full of the Holy Spirit” Luke’s emphasis on the Spirit can be seen by the Spirit being mentioned twice in the opening clauses as well as verses 14 and 18. Notice that the temptations occurred while Jesus was filled with the Spirit, even led by the Spirit (cf. Mark 1:12) into this time of testing (as well as spiritual preparation and mental clarification).

Some theologians try to contrast “full of the Spirit” with “filling of the Spirit” as if the first were permanent (which is surely true of Jesus, cf. v. 14; 4:18). However, the “filling” is used of several in Luke’s writings: (1) Luke (a) Elizabeth in 1:41; (b) Zacharias in 1:67; and (2) Acts (a) the Seven in Acts 6:3; (b) Stephen, one of the Seven in Acts 7:55; (c) Barnabas in Acts 11:24.

Several times in Acts the disciples are said to “be filled”:

1. all those in the upper room, 2:4
2. Peter, 4:8
3. the group, 4:31

Even Jesus has a previous experience of the Spirit in 3:22.

“forty days” Mark (Peter) chose a motif from the OT of (1) Moses’ forty days and forty nights on Mt. Sinai (cf. Exod. 24:18; 34:28; Deut. 9:9; 10:10) and (2) Israel wandering in the wilderness for forty years (cf. Num. 14:26-35). Matthew saw Jesus as the New Law giver and deliverer.

The term “forty” was used often in the Bible, implying that it could function both literally (forty years from Egypt to Canaan) and figuratively (the flood). The Hebrews used a lunar calendar. “Forty” implied a long, indefinite period of time longer than a lunar cycle, not exactly forty twenty-four hour periods.

“was led by the Spirit” This phrase is different in each of the Synoptics.

1. Mark (1:12) has ekballō (“to throw out”) in its PRESENT ACTIVE INDICATIVE form, which would denote an ongoing experience. This was a strong term, used of exorcism (cf. Mark 1:34,39; 3:15,22,23; 6:13; 7:26; 9:18,28,38).
2. Matthew (4:1) has anagō, a compound from ana - “up” and agō - “to go” or “to lead.” In its AORIST PASSIVE INDICATIVE form, which denotes a finished, one-time event. This term is also used of offering sacrifices (cf. Acts 7:41).
3. Luke (4:1) has agō in its IMPERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE form, which emphasizes the beginning of an action.

All three assert the action of the Spirit in this inaugural preparation experience for public ministry.

“the wilderness” This refers, not to the desert, but to the uninhabited pastureland south and east of Jerusalem. It was during the wilderness wandering of Israel (i.e. the Exodus) that YHWH was uniquely present, powerful, and constantly providing for Israel’s needs. The rabbis later called this forty-year wandering the honeymoon between YHWH and Israel. This was the very region where John had spent his time of preparation.

It is also possible that “the wilderness” symbolizes the dwelling place of evil spirits (azazel, cf. Lev. 16:8,10). These spirits were denoted by certain animals (cf. Isa. 13:21; 34:14-15). There is an allusion to this in Matt. 12:43.

4:2 “forty” There seems to be some literary attempt by the Gospel writers to connect Jesus’ experience in the wilderness with Moses in the wilderness (cf. Exod. 16:35; Num. 14:33-34; Duet. 8:2) or Moses on the mountain of God (cf. Exod. 24:18; 34:28; Deut. 9:18; 10:10). This play on Moses is much more obvious in the Matthean parallel (cf. Matt. 4).

“tempted” See Special Topic below.

SPECIAL TOPIC: GREEK TERMS FOR TESTING AND THEIR CONNOTATIONS

There are two Greek terms which have the idea of testing someone for a purpose.

1. Dokimazō, dokimion, dokimasia
This term is a metallurgist term for testing the genuineness of something (metaphorically, someone) by fire. The fire reveals the true metal by burning off (purifying) the dross. This physical process became a powerful idiom for God and/or humans testing others. This term is used only in a positive sense of testing with a view towards acceptance.

It is used in the NT of testing.

a. oxen, Luke 14:19
b. ourselves, I Cor. 11:28
c. our faith, James 1:3
d. even God, Heb. 3:9

The outcomes of these tests were assumed to be positive (cf. Rom. 1:28; 14:22; 16:10; II Cor. 10:18; 13:3; Phil. 2:27; I Pet. 1:7). Therefore, the term conveys the idea of someone being examined and proven to be

a. worthwhile
b. good
c. genuine
d. valuable
e. honored

2. Peirazō peirasmos

This term has the connotation of examination for the purpose of fault finding or rejection. It is often used in connection with Jesus’ temptation in the wilderness.

b. This term (peirazō) is used as a title for Satan in Matt. 4:3; I Thess. 3:5.
c. It (in its compound form, ekpeirazō) is used by Jesus of not testing God (cf. Matt. 4:7; Luke 4:12; also see I Cor. 10:9)
d. It is used in connection with the temptation and trials of believers (cf. I Cor. 7:5; 10:9,13; Gal. 6:1; I Thess. 3:5; Heb. 2:18; James 1:2,13,14; I Pet. 4:12; II Pet. 2:9).

“by the devil” This is the Greek term diabolos, for the OT Satan.

SPECIAL TOPIC: SATAN

This is a very difficult subject for several reasons.

1. The OT reveals not an archenemy of good, but a servant of YHWH who offers mankind an alternative and also accuses mankind of unrighteousness. There is only one God (monotheism), one power, one cause in the OT—YHWH.

2. The concept of a personal archenemy of God developed in the interbiblical (non-canonical) literature under the influence of Persian dualistic religions (Zoroastrianism). This, in turn, greatly influenced rabbinical Judaism and the Essene community (i.e. Dead Sea Scrolls).

3. The NT develops the OT themes in surprisingly stark, but selective, categories.

If one approaches the study of evil from the perspective of biblical theology (each book or author or genre studied and outlined separately), then very different views of evil are revealed.

If, however, one approaches the study of evil from a non-biblical or extra-biblical approach of world religions or eastern religions, then much of the NT development is foreshadowed in Persian dualism and Greco-Roman spiritism.

If one is presuppositionally committed to the divine authority of Scripture, then the NT development must be seen as progressive revelation. Christians must guard against allowing Jewish folklore or western literature (Dante, Milton) to further influence the concept. There is certainly mystery and ambiguity in this area of revelation. God has chosen not to reveal all aspects of evil, its origin, its development, its purpose, but He has revealed its defeat!

In the OT the term “satan” or “accuser” can relate to three separate groups.

1. human accusers (cf. I Sam. 29:4; II Sam. 19:22; I Kgs. 11:14,20,29; Ps. 109:6)
2. angelic accusers (cf. Num. 22:22-23; Job 1-2; Zech. 3:1)
3. demonic accusers (cf. 1 Chr. 21:1; 1 Kgs. 22:21; Zech. 13:2)

Only later in the intertestamental period is the serpent of Genesis 3 identified with Satan (cf. *Book of Wisdom* 2:23-24; *II Enoch* 31:3), and even later does this become a rabbinical option (cf. *Sot* 9b and *Sanh.* 29a). The “sons of God” of Gen. 6 become angels in *I Enoch* 54:6. I mention this, not to assert its theological accuracy, but to show its development. In the NT these OT activities are attributed to angelic, personified evil (cf. I Cor. 11:3; Rev. 12:9).

The origin of personified evil is difficult or impossible (depending on your point of view) to determine from the OT. One reason for this is Israel's strong monotheism (cf. I Kgs. 22:20-22; Eccl. 7:14; Isa. 45:7; Amos 3:6). All causality was attributed to YHWH to demonstrate His uniqueness and primacy (cf. Isa. 43:11; 44:6,8,24; 45:5-6,14,18,21,22).

Sources of possible information are (1) Job 1-2, where Satan is one of the “sons of God” (i.e. angels) or (2) Isa. 14 and Ezek. 28, where prideful near-eastern kings (Babylon and Tyre) are possibly used to illustrate the pride of Satan (cf. I Tim. 3:6). I have mixed emotions about this approach. Ezekiel uses Garden of Eden metaphors, not only for the king of Tyre as Satan (cf. Ezek. 28:12-16), but also for the king of Egypt as the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil (Ezek. 31). However, Isa. 14, particularly vv. 12-14, seems to describe an angelic revolt through pride. If God wanted to reveal to us the specific nature and origin of Satan, this is a very oblique way and place to do it. We must guard against the trend of systematic theology of taking small, ambiguous parts of different testaments, authors, books, and genres and combining them as pieces of one divine puzzle.

I agree with Alfred Edersheim (*The Life and Times of Jesus the Messiah*, vol. 2, appendices XIII [pp. 748-763] and XVI [pp.770-776]) that rabbinical Judaism has been overly influenced by Persian dualism and demonic speculation. The rabbis are not a good source for truth in this area. Jesus radically diverges from the teachings of the Synagogue in this area. I think that the concept of an archangelic enemy of YHWH developed from the two high gods of Iranian dualism, *Ahkiman* and *Ormaza*, and were then developed by the rabbis into a biblical dualism of YHWH and Satan.

There is surely progressive revelation in the NT as to the personification of evil, but not as elaborate as the rabbis. A good example of this difference is the “war in heaven.” The fall of Satan is a logical necessity, but the specifics are not given. Even what is given is veiled in apocalyptic genre (cf. Rev. 12:4,7,12-13). Although Satan is defeated in Jesus and exiled to earth, he still functions as a servant of YHWH (cf. Matt. 22:31-32; I Cor. 5:5; I Tim. 1:20).

We must curb our curiosity in this area. There is a personal force of temptation and evil, but there is still only one God and we are still responsible for our choices. There is a spiritual battle, both before and after salvation. Victory can only come and remain in and through the Triune God. Evil has been defeated and will be removed!

“*He ate nothing*” Fasting for spiritual sensitivity was practiced regularly in Judaism of the first century. Although Jesus ate nothing (double negative) this does not mean He did not drink fluids. Physically life cannot be sustained after the third or fourth day without fluids. See Special Topic: Fasting at 5:33.

“*He became hungry*” Jesus was a normal man with bodily needs. Scholars still debate whether Luke’s emphasis on Jesus’ humanity was in any way connected to the early church heresy of Gnosticism, which denied His true humanity. (See Special Topic at 2:40).

It is possible that Satan/Devil waited until the end of the fast, when Jesus was weak and hungry, to start the temptations, the first of which deals with bread.

4:3, 9 “*if*” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE and may have several meanings: (1) the statement is assumed true so that the author can make his point or (2) the author is assenting the truthfulness of the statement. In this context I am assuming #2. The Devil was not doubting who He was, but how He would accomplish His God-given Messianic task (cf. James S. Stewart, *The Life and Teachings of Jesus Christ*).

4:3 “*stone. . .bread*” Apparently these rocks in the Judean desert were shaped like loaves of baked bread. Satan was tempting Jesus to use His Messianic powers both to meet His personal needs and to win men by feeding them. In the OT the Messiah was described as feeding the poor (cf. Isa. 58:6-7,10). These temptation experiences, to some extent, continued to occur during Jesus’ ministry. The feeding of the five thousand (Matt. 14:13-21) and of the four thousand (Matt. 15:29-33) showed how men would, and did, abuse God’s provision of physical food. This again was similar to the problems of Israel’s wilderness experience. Matthew saw a parallel between Moses and Jesus. The Jews were expecting the Messiah to perform many of the functions of Moses (cf. John 6).
4:4 “it is written” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE. This was the standard way of introducing an inspired quotation from the OT (cf. vv. 4,7,10), in this case, from Deut. 8:3 from the Septuagint (LXX). This particular quote relates to God providing manna to the children of Israel during the wilderness period.

All of Jesus’ responses to Satan’s temptations were quotes from Deuteronomy. This must have been one of His favorite books.
2. It is possibly the outline behind the Sermon on the Mount, Matt. 5-7.
4. Jesus quoted this section of the OT (Gen. - Deut.) most often because the Jews of His day considered it the most authoritative section of the canon.

Jesus memorized God’s word and hid it in His heart that He might not sin against God (cf. Ps. 119:11). If Jesus needed God’s word in His mind and heart to face temptation, how much more do we?

4:5-8

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 4:5-8

5 And he led Him up and showed Him all the kingdoms of the world in a moment of time. 6 And the devil said to Him, “I will give You all this domain and its glory; for it has been handed over to me, and I give it to whomever I wish. 7 Therefore if You worship before me, it shall all be Yours.” 8 Jesus answered him, “it is written, ‘You shall worship the Lord your God and serve Him only.’”

4:5 “he led Him up” This is the term ἀναγέννητος, which was used in Matt. 4:1, where Luke has ἀγέννητος. The PREPOSITION ana means up. The Matthew parallel has the temptations in a different order, but the parallel adds “to a very high mountain” (cf. Matt. 4:8).

4:6 “this domain” See Special Topic at 20:2.

4:7 “if” This is a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which denotes potential action but with an element of contingency.

4:8 “worship before me” The Bible presents Satan as the ruler (god) of this world (cf. John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11; II Cor. 4:4; Eph. 2:2; I John 5:19). However, he is not the owner.

This is a PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE, which denotes something that has become a settled position and was given by an unnamed agent. The crux of the interpretation is “is this statement true” or “is it a lie by the great liar?”

If true, it is a result of Gen. 3. If true, this time of sin and rebellion may have been allowed by God to test His human creation. There is surely mystery here! If false, it just fits into so many other lies of Satan, the accuser and father of lies.

Theologically they may be parallel. Satan successfully tricked Adam and Eve, but he will not be able to trick Jesus, the second Adam (cf. Rom. 5:12-21; II Cor. 15:45-49; Phil. 2:6-11). Satan “claims” all authority here, but Jesus has all authority (cf. Matt. 28:18, as well as Matt. 11:27; John 3:35; 13:3; 17:2).

4:7 “I give it to whomever I wish” This was a lie. Satan can do only what God allows (cf. I Kgs. 22:19-23; Job 1-2; Zech. 3).

4:8 “it is written, ‘You shall worship the Lord your God and serve Him only.’” Theologians have assumed that Satan wants to replace God. This is often based on (1) Isa. 14 and Ezek. 28 or (2) Dan. 11:36-39 and Rev. 13. The rabbis say Satan, a created angel, rebelled when he was told he must serve fallen humanity. Now he wants to supplant God.

In the OT Satan is a servant of god, but an enemy of man. There is a progressive development of evil in the Bible (cf. A. B. Davidson, An Old Testament Theology, pp. 300-306).
This is a quote from Duet. 6:13. Jesus answers the devil’s temptations with another quote from Deuteronomy. This was a significant book for Him. He must have memorized it. He quoted it three times to Satan in this context.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 4:9-12

9 And he led Him to Jerusalem and had Him stand on the pinnacle of the temple, and said to Him, "If You are the Son of God, throw Yourself down from here; 10 for it is written, 'HE WILL COMMAND HIS ANGELS CONCERNING YOU TO GUARD YOU,' 11 and, 'ON THEIR HANDS THEY WILL BEAR YOU UP, SO THAT YOU WILL NOT STRIKE YOUR FOOT AGAINST A STONE.'” 12 And Jesus answered and said to him, "It is said, 'YOU SHALL NOT PUT THE LORD YOUR GOD TO THE TEST.'"

4:9 “pinnacle of the temple” This was the corner that overlooked the Kidron Valley where the priest announced the morning and evening sacrifices. The temptation here was to win the world by the miraculous and spectacular. Many Jews expected the Messiah to appear suddenly in the Temple (cf. Mal. 3:1).

4:10 Satan quotes from Ps. 91:11-12. He misquotes it slightly but still in context. This is a good example of how proof-texting is a poor method of biblical interpretation (even Satan can make the Bible say what he wants it to using this method).

4:12 This is a quote from Deut. 6:16. Every response of Jesus to Satan in this context is from Deuteronomy, and all from the sections where Israel was in the wilderness. Jesus refused to force God to act (cf. Dan. 3:16-18).

CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS, LUKE'S GALILEAN MINISTRY OF JESUS


B. Luke takes a day in the life of Jesus (both in Nazareth and Capernaum) and uses it to reveal His whole life and ministry. The themes of “gladly welcomed” and “rejection, even murder” are repeated. Readers see the whole reflected in a part.

C. Remember, as Jesus used typological Christology to reveal Himself from the OT (cf. 24:13-35, esp. 25-27), so too, Luke, writing long after Jesus’ death, resurrection, and the spread of the gospel gives us hints of the main points of Jesus’ life and message very early in his account. Only a backwards view fully reveals Luke’s perspective. Luke’s Gospel is as much theology as chronological, sequential history. True historical events are selected, adapted, and arranged for theological impact!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 4:13

13 When the devil had finished every temptation, he left Him until an opportune time.

4:13 “When the devil had finished every temptation” Matthew and Luke record these same temptations in a different order. This phrase may imply there were others. However, this may be a summary statement so common in Luke’s writings. Jesus knows our temptations and loves us anyway (cf. Heb. 2:18; 4:15-16) because He’s been there!

“he left Him until an opportune time” This phrase has implications.

1. temptation is not a once-and-for-all event
2. Satan looks for times of vulnerability (cf. Matt. 16:22-33)

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 4:14-15

14 And Jesus returned to Galilee in the power of the Spirit, and news about Him spread through all the surrounding district. 15 And He began teaching in their synagogues and was praised by all.

4:14 “returned to Galilee” Both Matthew and Luke move directly from the temptation experience in Judea into the Galilean ministry which runs from 4:14 to 9:50. Only John 1:35-4:44 describes the intervening ministry in Judea. Galilee, which in Hebrew means “circle,” was interpreted by the rabbis as meaning encircled by the Gentiles. This area was despised by the
Orthodox Jews from Judea; however, Jesus’ ministry here was a fulfillment of predictive prophecy (cf. Isa. 9:1). Josephus describes this region in *Jewish Wars* 3.3.1-2.

Mark (1:14) and Matthew (4:12) mention that Jesus’ return to Galilee coincided with John the Baptist’s arrest by Herod.

“in the power of the Spirit” Temptation does not cause the loss of the Spirit. Jesus spoke the Father’s words and acted in the Spirit’s power. The fluidity between the ministries of the three persons of the Trinity is evident throughout the NT (cf. vv. 18-19). See Special Topic: The Trinity at 3:22.

4:15 “synagogues” This local Jewish institution developed during the Babylonian Exile to offer the Jews who were estranged from their Temple a place of prayer, worship, study, and ministry. It was probably the single most significant means of the Jews retaining their culture. Even after they returned to Palestine they continued this local institution.

“was praised by all” The Gospels record Jesus’ popularity with the common people in the local Galilean synagogues. But they also record a growing opposition from the religious leaders.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 4:16-30**

16And He came to Nazareth, where He had been brought up; and as was His custom, He entered the synagogue on the Sabbath, and stood up to read. 17And the book of the prophet Isaiah was handed to Him. And He opened the book and found the place where it was written, 18“THE SPIRIT OF THE LORD IS UPON ME, BECAUSE HE ANOINTED ME TO PREACH THE GOSPEL TO THE POOR. HE HAS SENT ME TO PROCLAIM RELEASE TO THE CAPTIVES, AND RECOVERY OF SIGHT TO THE BLIND, TO SET FREE THOSE WHO ARE OPPRESSED, 19TO PROCLAIM THE FAVORABLE YEAR OF THE LORD.” 20And He closed the book, gave it back to the attendant and sat down; and the eyes of all in the synagogue were fixed on Him. 21And He began to say to them, "Today this Scripture has been fulfilled in your hearing." 22And all were speaking well of Him, and wondering at the gracious words which were falling from His lips; and they were saying, "Is this not Joseph's son?" 23And He said to them, "No doubt you will quote this proverb to Me, 'Physician, heal yourself! Whatever we heard was done at Capernaum, do here in your hometown as well.'" 24And He said, "Truly I say to you, no prophet is welcome in his hometown. 25But I say to you in truth, there were many widows in Israel in the days of Elijah, when the sky was shut up for three years and six months, when a great famine came over all the land; 26and yet Elijah was sent to none of them, but only to Zarephath, in the land of Sidon, to a woman who was a widow. 27And there were many lepers in Israel in the time of Elisha the prophet; and none of them was cleansed, but only Naaman the Syrian." 28And all the people in the synagogue were filled with rage as they heard these things; 29and they got up and drove Him out of the city, and led Him to the brow of the hill on which their city had been built, in order to throw Him down the cliff. 30But passing through their midst, He went His way.

4:16 “Nazareth” This was Jesus’ hometown (cf. 2:39,51). There is some question as to whether Mark 6:1-6 and Matt. 13:53-58 are parallel or this is a second trip to Nazareth. For me, the similarities are too overwhelming to be a second visit. Luke purposefully places this event first as a summary of Jesus’ whole life-ministry.

It must be remembered that the Bible is not a western history. Near Eastern history is selective, but not inaccurate. The Gospels are not biographies, but gospel tracts written to different groups of people for the purpose of evangelism and discipleship, not just history. Often Gospel writers selected, adapted, and arranged the material for their own theological and literary purposes (cf. Gordon Fee and Douglas Stuart's *How To Read the Bible For All Its Worth*, pp. 94-112, 113-134). This does not mean to imply they falsify or make up events or words. The differences in the Gospels do not deny inspiration. They affirm eyewitness accounts and the unique evangelistic purpose of each author.

“as was His custom, He entered the synagogue” Jesus grew up participating in public worship. I’m sure he learned the OT in synagogue school (starting at age 5). Habits are a vital, healthy part of our religious life.

“Sabbath” This is from the Hebrew word meaning “rest” or “cessation.” It is connected to the seventh day of creation where God ceased His labor after finishing initial creation (cf. Gen. 2:1-3). God did not rest because He was tired, but because (1) creation was complete and good (cf. Gen. 1:31) and (2) to give mankind a regular pattern for worship and rest. The Sabbath begins like all the days of Gen. 1, at twilight; therefore, twilight on Friday to twilight on Saturday was the official time period. All the details of its observance are given in Exodus (especially chapters 16, 20, 31, and 35) and Leviticus (especially chapters 23-26). The Pharisees had taken these regulations and, by means of their oral discussions, interpreted them to include many rules (the Oral Traditions, later the written Talmud). Jesus often performed miracles, knowingly violating their picky rules so as to enter into a dialogue with them. It was not Sabbath that Jesus rejected or belittled, but the self-righteous legalism and lack of love exhibited by the religious elite.
“stood up to read” The general order of worship in the synagogue service is as follows: (1) prayer; (2) a reading from the Pentateuch; (3) a reading from the Prophets; and (4) exposition of the texts (this order was followed by the early church, but they added the reading of the NT). As was the custom of the Jews, Jesus stood up to read the Scripture, but sat down to teach (cf. v. 20). See Alfred Edersheim, *The Life and Times of Jesus the Messiah*, chapter 10, pp. 430-450.

Jesus quoted Deuteronomy several times during His temptation experience. All were quotes from the Greek translation of the OT, called the Septuagint. Here in the synagogue of Nazareth His reading seems to also come from the Septuagint. Most Jews of Jesus’ day had lost the ability to read Hebrew. They spoke Aramaic, but most could also use Koine Greek as a second language.

I would assume, along with F. F. Bruce, *Answers to Questions*, p. 175, that Jesus could read and speak (everyone read aloud) Hebrew. If so, Jesus was trilingual. The real question is what text of the Scriptures was used in the synagogue of Galilee? Most Jewish sources would assert that the reading of the Scriptures be in Hebrew, then an Aramaic translation would be provided.

4:17 “the book of the prophet Isaiah” The Hebrew Scriptures are written on long parchment scrolls that had to be turned to find the right place. A good book on this type of background information is F. F. Bruce’s *The Books and the Parchments*.

4:18 “This is a partial quote of Isa. 61:1-2 from the Septuagint with the omission of verses 61c and 62b, but with an insertion of a verse from Isa. 58:6d. The combining and editing of OT texts was common in rabbinical Judaism.

4:19 “To proclaim the favorable year of the Lord” This originally referred to the year of Jubilee (cf. Lev. 25:8-17), but in this context (Isa. 61:2), it applies to the eschatological fulfillment of Jesus’ ministry. Clement and Origen of Alexandria said that this means that Jesus ministered only one year, but this is far too literal in understanding how this OT passage is fulfilled in Christ.

4:20 Joseph A. Fitzmyer, in his commentary on Luke 1-9 in the *Anchor Bible*, has an interesting comment on the VERB atenizo (fixed intently). He notes that it is a term used often by Luke, especially in Acts.

“in most instances it expresses a steadfast gaze of esteem and trust—the nuance intended here. It is part of the assembly's initial reaction of admiration or pleasant surprise” (p. 533).

4:21 “Today this Scripture has been fulfilled in your hearing” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE. It speaks of the eschatological fulfillment of the promise of the coming of the Kingdom of God, which was now present in Jesus. What a shocking statement! The Kingdom of God is the focus of Jesus’ preaching. It is the reign of God in men’s hearts now that will one day be consummated over all the earth as it is in heaven (cf. Matt. 6:10). It is both here and now and yet future!

**SPECIAL TOPIC: THE KINGDOM OF GOD**

In the OT YHWH was thought of as the King of Israel (cf. I Sam. 8:7; Ps. 10:16; 24:7-9; 29:10; 44:4; 89:18; 95:3; Isa. 43:15; 4:4,6) and the Messiah as the ideal king (cf. Ps. 2:6). With the birth of Jesus at Bethlehem (6-4 B.C.) the kingdom of God broke into human history with new power and redemption (new covenant, cf. Jer. 31:31-34; Ezek. 36:27-36). John the Baptist proclaimed the nearness of the kingdom (cf. Matt. 3:2; Mark 1:15). Jesus clearly taught that the kingdom was present in Himself and His teachings (cf. Matt. 4:17,23; 9:35; 10:7; 11:11-12; 12:28;16:19; Mark 12:34; Luke 10:9,11; 11:20; 12:31-32; 16:16; 17:21). Yet the kingdom is also future (cf. Matt. 16:28; 24:14; 26:29; Mark 9:1; Luke 21:31; 22:16,18).

In the Synoptic parallels in Mark and Luke we find the phrase, “the kingdom of God.” This common topic of Jesus’ teachings involved the present reign of God in men’s hearts which one day will be consummated over all the earth. This is reflected in Jesus’
This is such a key phrase in the Synoptic gospels. Jesus’ first and last sermons, and most of His parables, dealt with this topic. It refers to the reign of God in human hearts now! It is surprising that John uses this phrase only twice (and never in Jesus’ parables). In John’s gospel “eternal life” is a key term and metaphor.

This tension is caused by the two comings of Christ. The OT focused only on one coming of God’s Messiah—a military, judgmental, glorious coming—but the NT shows that He came the first time as the Suffering Servant of Isa. 53 and the humble king of Zech. 9:9. The two Jewish ages, the age of wickedness and the new age of righteousness, overlap. Jesus currently reigns in the hearts of believers, but will one day reign over all creation. He will come like the OT predicted! Believers live in “the already” versus “the not yet” of the kingdom of God (cf. Gordon D. Fee and Douglas Stuart’s How to Read The Bible For All Its Worth, pp. 131-134).

4:22 “all were speaking well of Him” Jesus’ initial popularity continued (cf. v. 15), but it will be short-lived at Nazareth!

“‘Is this not Joseph’s son’” This question in Greek expects a “yes” answer. This shows the normalcy of Jesus’ childhood in Nazareth. It was a statement of pride in a hometown boy.

4:23 “proverb” This is literally “parable,” which means “to throw alongside of.” It was a method of teaching which used a common occurrence of life to illustrate or highlight a spiritual truth.

“‘Physician, heal yourself’” The point Jesus is making is obvious: to these townspeople of Nazareth, Jesus held no special place in their minds. They wanted Jesus to do the miracles that He had done in Capernaum in His hometown also. We learn from Mark 6:1-6 that because of their unbelief, He did not do many mighty miracles here (cf. v. 24).

“we heard what was done at Capernaum” This is a good place to see how Luke uses Mark’s Gospel. Mark 1:21ff records Jesus’ ministry in Capernaum. In Mark, the healing at Capernaum found in Luke 4:31-37 is placed in chapter 1.

The difficulty modern western readers and commentators face in trying to understand the Gospels is that we assume they are chronological, detailed, sequential, cause-and-effect, modern histories, which they are not. For a good discussion on interpreting the Gospels, see Fee and Stuart, How to Read the Bible for All Its Worth, pp. 113-134.

4:24 “‘Truly I say to you’” This is literally “amen.” Only Jesus uses this as a literary technique to introduce a significant statement.

SPECIAL TOPIC: AMEN

I. OLD TESTAMENT

A. The term “Amen” is from a Hebrew word for “truth” (emeth) or “truthfulness” (emun, emunah) and “faith” or “faithfulness.”

B. Its etymology is from a person’s stable physical stance. The opposite would be one who is unstable, slipping (cf. Deut. 28:64-67; 38:16; Ps. 40:2; 73:18; Jer. 23:12) or stumbling (cf. Ps. 73:2). From this literal usage developed the metaphorical extension of faithful, trustworthy, loyal, and dependable (cf. Gen. 15:16; Hab. 2:4).

C. Special usages
1. a pillar, II Kgs. 18:16 (I Tim. 3:15)
2. assurance, Exod. 17:12
3. steadiness, Exod. 17:12
4. stability, Isa. 33:6; 34:5-7
5. true, I Kgs. 10:6; 17:24; 22:16; Prov. 12:22
6. firm, II Chr. 20:20; Isa. 7:9
7. reliable (Torah), Ps. 119:43,142,151,168

D. In the OT two other Hebrew terms are used for active faith.
1. bathach, trust
2. yra, fear, respect, worship (cf. Gen. 22:12)
E. From the sense of trust or trustworthiness developed a liturgical usage which was used to affirm a true or trustworthy statement of another (cf. Deut. 27:15-26; Neh. 8:6; Ps. 41:13; 70:19; 89:52; 106:48).

F. The theological key to this term is not mankind’s faithfulness, but YHWH’s (cf. Exod. 34:6; Duet. 32:4; Ps. 108:4; 115:1; 117:2; 138:2). Fallen humanity’s only hope is the merciful faithful covenant loyalty of YHWH and His promises. Those who know YHWH are to be like Him (cf. Hab. 2:4). The Bible is a history and a record of God restoring His image (cf. Gen. 1:26-27) in mankind. Salvation restores mankind’s ability to have intimate fellowship with God. This is why we were created.

II. NEW TESTAMENT

A. The use of the word “amen” as a concluding liturgical affirmation of a statement’s trustworthiness of a statement is common in the NT (cf. I Cor. 14:16; II Cor. 1:20; Rev. 1:7; 5:14; 7:12).

B. The use of the term as a close to a prayer is common in the NT (cf. Rom. 1:25; 9:5; 11:36; 16:27; Gal. 1:5; 6:18; Eph. 3:21; Phil. 4:20; II Thess. 3:18; I Tim. 1:17; 6:16; II Tim. 4:18).

C. Jesus is the only one who used the term (often doubled in John) to introduce significant statements (cf. Luke 4:24; 12:37; 18:17,29; 21:32; 23:43)

D. It is used as a title for Jesus in Rev. 3:14 (possibly a title of YHWH from Isa. 65:16).

E. The concept of faithfulness or faith, trustworthiness, or trust is expressed in the Greek term pistos or pistis, which is translated into English as “trust,” “faith,” “believe.”

“no prophet is welcome in his hometown” This statement is similar to our English statement “familiarity breeds contempt.” It must have been so hard for Jesus’ family and neighbors to accept His Messiahship (cf. Mark 6:4; Matt. 13:57).

4:25-27 Jesus mentions two OT examples where God acted in miraculous ways for non-Jews and no miracles for covenant people are recorded. This fits Luke’s universal emphasis of the gospel’s availability to all humans who repent and believe.

Notice that the two prophets mentioned were northern prophets from the area Jesus was addressing (i.e. tenth century B.C. Israel).

4:25 “when the sky was shut for three years and six months” This same time element is mentioned in James. 5:17; however, I Kgs. 18:1 mentions only three years. Apparently, this was a rabbinical tradition. It was also an apocalyptic idiom for “a set time of persecution” (cf. Dan. 7:25; 12:7; Rev. 11:2; 12:6,14).

4:26 “Elijah. . .sent to Zarephath. . .to a woman who was a widow” God sent His prophet to minister to a needy Phoenician foreigner (and a woman at that), instead of the contemporary Israelis, who were also in need. Luke records Jesus’ sayings and teachings which emphasize His love and care for outcasts!

4:27 “Elisha. . .Naaman the Syrian” God sent His prophet to heal a foreign military leader (an enemy at that) instead of the many sick among God’s Covenant people, Israel (cf. II Kgs. 5).

4:28 “And all the people in the synagogue were filled with rage as they heard these things” God’s universal love toward the Gentiles was the source of these nationalistic Jews’ rage (the same is true of Stephen’s sermon in Acts 7). They did not think well of Him now (cf. vv. 22a and 29). They did not want to hear God’s truth, but only wanted affirmation of their own biases and nationalistic traditions (not much has changed with humans of every age). These religious worshipers are “filled with rage” against Him who is full of the Spirit. What irony!

4:29 “they got up and drove Him out of the city. . .to throw Him down the cliff” It is amazing how quickly the attitude of this crowd moved from wonder and awe to rage and murder.

4:30 “But passing through their midst, He went His way” This is a remarkable miracle, the exact nature of which is not explained (cf. John 8:59; 10:39). It was simply not His hour. It, at least, shows us that Jesus was an ordinary-looking man of His day.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 4:31-37

31And He came down to Capernaum, a city of Galilee, and He was teaching them on the Sabbath; 32and they were amazed at His teaching, for His message was with authority. 33In the synagogue there was a man possessed by the spirit
of an unclean demon, and he cried out with a loud voice, 34"Let us alone! What business do we have with each other, Jesus of Nazareth? Have You come to destroy us? I know who You are—the Holy One of God!" 35But Jesus rebuked him, saying, "Be quiet and come out of him!" And when the demon had thrown him down in the midst of the people, he came out of him without doing him any harm. 36And amazement came upon them all, and they began talking with one another saying, "What is this message? For with authority and power He commands the unclean spirits and they come out." 37And the report about Him was spreading into every locality in the surrounding district.

4:31 “He came down to Capernaum, a city of Galilee” Capernaum became Jesus’ headquarters and He probably moved His family to this locale.

“and He was teaching them on the Sabbath” In these early days of ministry, Jesus spoke in the local synagogues as much as possible (as did Paul, cf. Acts 3:26; Rom. 1:16). There would quickly come a time when He was forced to speak to the large crowds in the open air of the countryside.

4:32 “and they were amazed at His teaching, for His message was with authority” The Greek term translated “amazed” literally meant “struck by a blow” or “knocked beside themselves.” Jesus’ message was different (both in content and form) because He did not speak as the scribes who quoted the famous pair of rabbinical teachers like Shammai (the conservative rabbinical school) and Hillel (the liberal rabbinical school). He spoke as one who had authority in Himself (cf. Matt. 7:28-29; John 7:46).


4:33 “a man possessed by the spirit of an unclean demon” See Special Topic below.

SPECIAL TOPIC: THE DEMONIC (UNCLEAN SPIRIT)

A. Ancient peoples were animists. They attributed personality to forces of nature, animals, natural objects, and traits of human personality. Life was explained through the interaction of these spiritual entities with mankind.

B. This personification became polytheism (many gods). Usually the demonic (genii) were lesser gods or demigods (good or evil) that impacted individual human lives.
   1. Mesopotamia, chaos and conflict
   2. Egypt, order and function

C. The OT does not dwell on or develop the subject of lesser gods, angels, or the demonic, probably because of its strict monotheism (cf. Exod. 8:10; 9:14; 15:11; Deut. 4:35,39; 6:4; 33:26; Ps. 35:10; 71:19; 86:6; Isa. 46:9; Jer. 10:6-7; Mic. 7:18). It does mention the false gods of the pagan nations (Shedim, cf. Deut. 32:17; Ps. 106:37) and it does name some of them.
   1. Se'im (satyrs or hair-demons, cf. Lev. 17:7; II Chr. 11:15)
   2. Lilith (female, a seducing demon, cf. Isa. 34:14)
   3. Mavet (Hebrew term for death used for Canaanite god of the underworld, Mot, cf. Isa. 28:15,18; Jer. 9:21; and possibly Deut. 28:22)
   4. Resheph (plague, cf. Deut. 33:29; Ps. 78:48; Hab. 3:5)
   5. Dever (pestilence, cf. Ps. 91:5-6; Hab. 3:5)
   6. Az'azel (name uncertain, but possibly a desert demon or place name, cf. Lev. 16:8,10,26)
      (These examples are taken from Encyclopaedia Judaica, vol. 5, p. 1523.)
      However, there is no dualism or angelic independence from YHWH in the OT. Satan is a servant of YHWH (cf. Job 1-3; Zech. 3), not an enemy (cf. A. B. Davidson, A Theology of the Old Testament, pp. 300-306).

D. Judaism developed during the Babylonian exile (586-538 B.C.) And was theologically influenced by the Persian personified dualism of Zoroastrianism, a high god called Mazda or Ormazd and an evil opponent called Ahriman. This allowed within post-exilic Judaism the personified dualism between YHWH and His angels and Satan and his angels or demons.

Judaism’s theology of personified evil is explained and documented well in Alfred Edersheim’s The Life and Times of Jesus the Messiah, vol. 2, appendix XIII (pp. 749-863) and XVI (pp. 770-776). Judaism personified evil in three ways.
1. Satan or Sammael
2. the evil intent (yetzer hara) within mankind
3. the Death Angel

Edersheim characterizes these as (1) the Accuser; (2) the Tempter; and (3) the Punisher (vol. 2, p. 756). There is a marked theological difference between post-exilic Judaism and the NT presentation and explanation of evil.

E. The NT, especially the Gospels, asserts the existence and opposition of evil spiritual beings to humanity and to YHWH (in Judaism Satan was an enemy to mankind, but not to God). They oppose God’s will, rule, and kingdom.

Jesus confronted and expelled these demonic beings, also called (1) unclean spirits (cf. Luke 4:36; 6:18) or (2) evil spirits (cf. Luke 7:21; 8:2), from human beings. Jesus clearly made a distinction between illness (physical and mental) and the demonic. He demonstrated His power and spiritual insight by recognizing and exorcizing these evil spirits. They often recognized Him and attempted to address Him, but Jesus rejected their testimony, demanded their silence, and expelled them. Exorcisms are a sign of the defeat of Satan’s kingdom.

There is a surprising lack of information in the NT Apostolic letters on this subject. Exorcism is never listed as a spiritual gift, nor is a methodology or procedure for it given for future generations of ministers or believers.

F. Evil is real; evil is personal; evil is present. Neither its origin nor its purpose is revealed. The Bible asserts its reality and aggressively opposes its influence. There is no ultimate dualism in reality. God is in total control; evil is defeated and judged and will be removed from creation.

G. God’s people must resist evil (cf. James 4:7). They cannot be controlled by it (cf. I John 5:18), but they can be tempted and their witness and influence damaged (cf. Eph. 6:10-18). Evil is a revealed part of the Christian’s world-view. Modern Christians have no right to redefine evil (the demythologizing of Rudolf Baltmann); depersonalize evil (the social structures of Paul Tillich), nor attempt to explain it completely in psychological terms (Sigmund Freud). Its influence is pervasive, but defeated. Believers need to walk in the victory of Christ!

- “cried out” This implies at the top of his voice.

4:34
NASB, NRSV “Let us alone”
NKJV “Let us alone”
TEV “Ah”
NJB “Ha”

The Greek PARTICLE ea is used in the Septuagint of Job where it is translated “alas” in 19:5 and “let alone” in 15:16. It is used in Hellenistic poetry to express displeasure or surprise.

- “What business do we have with each other”

This expression is used in the Septuagint with a hostile connotation (cf. Jgs. 11:12; II Sam. 16:10; 19:22; I Kgs. 17:18; II Chr. 35:21).

- “Jesus of Nazareth” See Special Topic below.

**SPECIAL TOPIC: JESUS THE NAZARENE**

There are several different Greek terms that the NT uses to speak of Jesus.

A. NT Terms

1. Nazareth - the city in Galilee (cf. Luke 1:26; 2:4,39,51; 4:16; Acts 10:38). This city is not mentioned in contemporary sources, but has been found in later inscriptions.

   For Jesus to be from Nazareth was not a compliment (cf. John 1:46). The sign over Jesus’ cross which included this place name was a sign of Jewish contempt.

2. Nazarēnos - seems to also refer to a geographical location (cf. Luke 4:34; 24:19).

4. Related to #3 *nāzir* means “consecrated one by means of a vow.”

B. Historical usages outside the NT.

1. It denoted a Jewish (pre-Christian) heretical group (Aramaic *nāṣārayyā*).
2. It was used in Jewish circles to describe believers in Christ (cf. Acts 24:5,14; 28:22, *nosri*).
3. It became the regular term to denote believers in the Syrian (Aramaic) churches. “Christian” was used in the Greek churches to denote believers.
4. Sometime after the fall of Jerusalem, the Pharisees reorganized at Jamnia and instigated a formal separation between the synagogue and the church. An example of the type of curse formulas against Christians is found in “the Eighteen Benedictions” from *Berakoth* 28b-29a, which calls the believers “Nazarenes.”
   “May the Nazarenes and heretics disappear in a moment; they shall be erased from the book of life and not be written with the faithful.”

5. It was used by Justin Martyr, *Dial.* 126:1, who used Isaiah’s *netzer* of Jesus.

C. Author’s opinion

I am surprised by so many spellings of the term, although I know this is not unheard of in the OT as “Joshua” has several different spellings in Hebrew. The following items cause me to remain uncertain as to its precise meaning:

1. the close association with the Messianic term “Branch” (*netzer*) or the similar term *nāzir* (one consecrated by means of a vow)
2. the negative connotation of Galilee
3. little or no contemporary attestation to the city of Nazareth in Galilee
4. it coming from the mouth of a demon in an eschatological sense (i.e. “Have you come to destroy us?”).


“us” Notice the PLURAL. In the NT possession often involves numbers of demons (cf. 8:2,27,30).

“I know who You are—the Holy One of God” The demon’s recognition and testimony was not meant to help Jesus, but to add to the Pharisee’s charge that His power was from Satan (cf. v. 41; 11:15; Matt. 9:34; 12:24; Mark 3:22).


4:35 “Be quiet” This is an AORIST PASSIVE IMPERATIVE SINGULAR meaning “be muzzled.” Notice the switch from “us” (v. 34) to the singular here. Possibly only one demon spoke on behalf of them all.

“come out” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. Exorcisms were common in Jesus’ day, but Jesus’ methods were radically different. His exorcisms were a sign of the New Age. The rabbis used magic formulas, but Jesus used His own authority. There is so much confusion and bad information circulating today about exorcism and the demonic. Part of this problem is that the NT does not discuss these issues. As a pastor I wish I had more information on this subject. Here are some books I trust:

1. *Christian Counseling and the Occult*, Kurt E. Koch
2. *Demons in the World Today*, Merrill F. Unger
3. *Biblical Demonology*, Merrill F. Unger
5. *Three Crucial Questions About Spiritual Warfare* by Clinton Anthony

It surprises me that exorcism is not listed as one of the spiritual gifts and that the subject is not addressed in the Apostolic letters. I believe in a biblical world view which includes the spiritual realm (i.e. good and evil), present and active in the physical realm (i.e. Job 1-2; Dan. 10; Eph. 2:2; 4:14; 6:10-18). However, God has chosen not to reveal the specifics. As believers we have all the information we need to live godly, productive lives for Him! Some subjects are not revealed or developed.

Several physical manifestations of an unclean spirit leaving a person are recorded (cf. Mark 1:26; 9:26; Luke 9:39). This may have been a way of confirming that the spirit had truly left.

This first sign of power clearly shows the Messianic implications of Jesus. The OT title (cf. Ps. 16:10) by which the demons acknowledge Him and His power to control and judge them clearly reflects the spiritual authority of Jesus of Nazareth (cf. v. 27c).

- “authority” See Special Topic at 20:2.

4:37 “spreading” We get the English term “echo” from this Greek term. The message of Jesus’ power over unclean spirits, as well as His physical healings, caused great excitement and the gathering of large crowds.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 4:38-39
38Then He got up and left the synagogue, and entered Simon's home. Now Simon's mother-in-law was suffering from a high fever, and they asked Him to help her. 39And standing over her, He rebuked the fever, and it left her; and she immediately got up and waited on them.

4:38 “Simon's mother-in-law” Peter was obviously married (cf. Matt. 8:14; Mark 1:29-34; I Cor. 9:5). Celibacy is a gift, not a forced norm for clergy. Marriage is the biblical norm (cf. Gen. 1:28; 2:18; 9:1,7).

- “was suffering from” This is a PERIPHRASTIC IMPERFECT PASSIVE. This fever was a pre-existent, recurrent problem.

- “a high fever” This is a medical term used by Galen for a “category of fever.”

- “He rebuked the fever” In Luke Jesus rebukes
  1. demons (4:35,41; 9:42)
  2. fever (4:39)
  3. the wind and waves (8:24)
  4. the disciples (9:21,55)
This showed His authority and power. Jesus was truly human, but He was also God incarnate. It is hard to hold these two in balance. Luke’s Gospel emphasizes both aspects!
  Just a word about Jesus rebuking a fever. This literary personification of a physical problem does not make this an exorcism. Demons can cause physical problems, but not all physical problems are demonic. Be careful of the extremes (no demons; demons cause everything)!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 4:40- 41
40While the sun was setting, all those who had any who were sick with various diseases brought them to Him; and laying His hands on each one of them, He was healing them. 41Demons also were coming out of many, shouting, "You are the Son of God!" But rebuking them, He would not allow them to speak, because they knew Him to be the Christ.

4:40 “While the sun was setting” This meant it was the end of the Sabbath. The Jews count the day from twilight in the evening to the next twilight following (cf. Gen. 1:5). Many Jews felt even healing on the Sabbath was inappropriate.

- “healing them” Notice that Jesus cured all who came! Also notice the distinction between the medical problems of v. 40 and the demon possession of v. 41. These actions reveal the heart of God for humanity and the compassion and power of God’s Messiah.

4:41 “many” From the English text it seems that Jesus healed all of those with physical ailments, but only some of those with demons. There are two possible solutions:
  1. There is a volitional aspect to deliverance/exorcism.
  2. The Bible uses “all” and “many” interchangeably (cf. Isa. 53:6, “all” vs. 53:11,12; “many” or the parallelism of Rom. 5:18, “all” and Rom. 5:19, “many”).

- “the Son of God” See Special Topic at 1:35.

- “not allow them to speak” This is another reason that Jesus did not allow their testimony. The people had a false view of His Messianic task. These demons were not witnessing for Jesus, but allowing the religious leaders to claim that His power came from Satan, not God.

- “they knew Him to be the Christ” Demons have theological knowledge (cf. James 2:19), but they do not have a personal faith relationship with the Father or the Son. Here is a good example of knowledge without faith being futile.
4:42 This verse is one of Luke’s ways of showing
   1. the humanity of Jesus and His need to get away and relax
   2. the crowds seeking Jesus, not for His teachings, but for His physical healings and exorcisms. He did not want to be known for these things, but they did give Him access to large numbers of people.

4:43 “the kingdom of God” The Kingdom of God is a central concept of Jesus’ preaching. It involves the reign of God in human hearts now which will one day be consummated over all the earth. The kingdom is placed in the past in 13:28, in the present in 17:21, and in the future in Matt. 6:10-11. See Special Topic: The Kingdom of God at 4:21.

“I was sent for this purpose” Jesus knew something of His special calling and purpose by age twelve (cf. 2:49). Mark 10:45 reveals Jesus’ mature self-understanding. Jesus is the Father’s special agent, His sent One, His anointed One (cf. John 17:3). The VERB here is apostelló (cf. v. 18), which came to be used of those special disciples Jesus commissioned and sent (Apostles of John 17:18; 20:21). This term takes on special meaning in John's Gospel, but in the Synoptic Gospel it is just one of several Greek words used for sending.

4:44 “Judea” This geographical location is very unusual because of vv. 14, 31, and 37. He is supposed to be in Galilee. Because of the confusion caused by “Judea” (found in MSS P75, א, ב, כ, ל) several early Greek manuscripts have “Galilee” (cf. MSS A and D and the Vulgate and Peshitta), which follows Mark 1:39 and Matt. 4:23.

The editorial committee of the UBS⁵ gives “Judea” a B rating (almost certain). It must be remembered that the Gospels are not western histories, but eastern gospel tracts. The readers’ interest in them is theological and historical, but not cause/effect chronological history.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Could Jesus have been tempted to sin?
2. Is temptation a sin?
3. Does God ever cause temptation?
4. How is Jesus’ Messianic task related to these temptations?
5. Why are the temptations listed in different order (cf. Matt. 4:1-11; Lk. 4:1-12)?
6. Why did the Gospels leave out such large sections of Jesus’ ministry?
7. Why did Jesus regularly teach in the synagogue?
8. Why were the people in Nazareth so angry?
9. Explain why Jesus’ message was so different from the rabbinical teachers of His day.
10. Why were the people astounded at Jesus’ teachings?
11. What about demons? Who are they? What is their purpose?
12. What does Peter’s having a mother-in-law imply about celibacy?
13. What is the significance concerning Jesus’ healing everyone who was brought to Him?
14. What is the Kingdom of God? Define it in your own words.
15. What is the problem with the word “Judea” in v. 44?
## LUKE 5

### PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Calling of the First Disciples</td>
<td>Four Fishermen Called as Disciples</td>
<td>The Unexpected Catch</td>
<td>Jesus Calls the First Disciples</td>
<td>The First Four Disciples are Called</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:4</td>
<td>5:4-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:5-8</td>
<td>5:8-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:9-10</td>
<td>5:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Cleansing of a Leper</td>
<td>Jesus Cleanses a Leper</td>
<td>A Leper Healed</td>
<td>Jesus Heals a Man</td>
<td>Cure of a Man Suffering from a Virulent Skin Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:12-16</td>
<td>5:12-16</td>
<td>5:12-16</td>
<td>5:12</td>
<td>5:12-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:13-14</td>
<td>5:15-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:15-16</td>
<td>5:15-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Healing of a Paralytic</td>
<td>Jesus Forgives and Heals a Paralytic</td>
<td>Forgiveness of Sins</td>
<td>Jesus Heals a Paralyzed Man</td>
<td>Cure of a Paralytic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:21</td>
<td>5:22-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:25-26</td>
<td>5:25-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:26</td>
<td>5:26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Calling of Levi</td>
<td>Matthew the Tax Collector</td>
<td>Call of Levi</td>
<td>Jesus Calls Levi</td>
<td>The Call of Levi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:29-32</td>
<td>5:29-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:29-32</td>
<td>5:29-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Question About Fasting</td>
<td>Jesus is Questioned About Fasting</td>
<td>On Fasting</td>
<td>The Question About Fasting</td>
<td>Discussion on Fasting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:34-35</td>
<td>5:34-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:36-39</td>
<td>5:36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS TO VERSES 1-11

A. There are three separate and unique accounts of Jesus’ calling fishermen as disciples: (1) Mark 1:16-20 and Matt. 4:18-22; (2) John 1:40-42; and (3) Luke 5:1-11.

B. Whether these accounts are parallel eyewitness accounts or subsequent accounts of different callings is uncertain.

C. Chapter 5 shows Jesus’ power (1) over nature (cf. vv. 1-11); (2) over disease (cf. vv. 12-26); and (3) over sin (cf. vv. 27-32).

D. John 21:1-14 describes a time when Jesus helped these fishermen catch fish. It is very similar to this account; however, there are differences. I believe they are two separate occurrences (like the cleansing of the Temple), one at the beginning of Jesus’ ministry and one after His resurrection.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 5:1-11

1Now it happened that while the crowd was pressing around Him and listening to the word of God, He was standing by the lake of Gennesaret; 2and He saw two boats lying at the edge of the lake; but the fishermen had gotten out of them and were washing their nets. 3And He got into one of the boats, which was Simon’s, and asked him to put out a little way from the land. And He sat down and began teaching the people from the boat. 4When He had finished speaking, He said to Simon, "Put out into the deep water and let down your nets for a catch." 5Simon answered and said, "Master, we worked hard all night and caught nothing, but I will do as You say and let down the nets." 6When they had done this, they enclosed a great quantity of fish, and their nets began to break; 7so they signaled to their partners in the other boat for them to come and help them. And they came and filled both of the boats, so that they began to sink. 8But when Simon Peter saw that, he fell down at Jesus’ feet, saying, "Go away from me Lord, for I am a sinful man, O Lord!" 9For amazement had seized him and all his companions because of the catch of fish which they had taken; 10and so also were James and John, sons of Zebedee, who were partners with Simon. And Jesus said to Simon, "Do not fear, from now on you will be catching men." 11When they had brought their boats to land, they left everything and followed Him.

5:1 “the crowd was pressing around Him” Jesus’ preaching/teaching/healing ministry caused large crowds to follow Him; most of them tried to touch Him.

“listening to the word of God” This is a Lukan phrase (cf. 5:1; 8:11,21; 11:28; and Acts 4:31; 6:2,7; 8:14; 11:1; 12:24; 13:5,7,44,46,48; 16:32; 17:13). It is only used once in each of the other Gospels. It reflects a Septuagint idiom. Sometimes we modern believers think the “word of God” is only the Bible, but in reality, the phrase has a much wider meaning. It refers to all that God has communicated to us. Some of it is recorded in the Bible. Psalm 19:7-11 and 119 are OT
examples of how the Jews understood this phrase. The NT understanding can be seen in Luke 1:2; 8:11-15,21; 11:28; 24:44. Thank God for the words we do have! We must act on them and not worry about what we do not have. We have all we need for salvation and the Christian life. We must resist our curiosity.

“by the lake of Gennesaret” This body of water goes by several other names: (1) “Chinneroth”; (2) the Sea of Galilee; (3) the Sea of Tiberias; and (4) sometimes just “the sea” (cf. Matt. 13:1; Mark 4:1, from the Septuagint of Num. 34:11; Josh. 12:3). It is a body of water about twelve miles by eight miles and is 680 feet below sea level, surrounded by low rolling hills. The etymology of the term Gennesaret is uncertain. Because it is the name of a land area west of the lake that was very fertile, some suppose it means “princely garden.”

5:2 “He saw two boats” This Greek term can be used of any size boat. The fact that this boat was manned by several men in vv. 2, 4, and 5 implies that at least one was a large fishing boat.

“were washing their nets” Fishing was done on the Sea of Galilee at night. Apparently these men had been fishing all night and simply were washing and repairing their nets (cf. Mark 1:19) for the next night.

5:3 “He got into one of the boats” The press of the crowd was so great that Jesus got into the boat as a means of protecting Himself (cf. Mark 3:9; 4:1) and possibly as a way of amplifying His voice to the large crowd.

“He sat down and began teaching” This is the normal position for rabbis when teaching (cf. 4:20; Matt. 26:55), but in this context it was safer to sit than stand in a boat.

5:4 “Put out into the deep water” These are both AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVES. It was the wrong time of day, the wrong place, and the wrong depth to catch fish, yet Peter obeyed (after arguing briefly, cf. v. 5)!

5:5 “Master” The Greek term epistatē literally means “one placed over.” The term was used of one in charge. This term is also used by Luke, who never uses the term rabbi because he is writing to Gentiles (cf. 5:5; 8:24,45; 9:33,49; 17:13).

“we worked hard all night and caught nothing” Why did Luke record this incident out of all the things Jesus said and did? One reason is that this chapter has a series of incidents that show Jesus' power over (1) nature; (2) disease; (3) sin. This would have confirmed His teachings. He not only spoke with authority, He acted with authority. Still (noting this is still Luke, not John) one wonders whether this is not a slap at human effort, energy, merit, and knowledge. These professional fishermen tried their best with no results, but Jesus’ word was overwhelmingly productive.

5:6 “they enclosed a great quantity of fish” Jesus, being Lord of all creation, understood well the habits of fish and could control them (cf. Matt. 17:27). This is not an example of a great fisherman, but Jesus, God’s agent of creation and Spirit-filled Messiah!

5:8 “when Simon Peter saw that, he fell down at Jesus’ feet” The phrase is literally “fell at the knees of Jesus.” He fell down in the middle of the fish! Peter, who knew fishing, recognized the miraculous nature of this event and the power of the person!

“Lord” When we interpret the Gospels we must remember they were written well after the events. Those who wrote knew the full story. It is difficult to know how much of their full gospel knowledge is read back into their accounts of Jesus’ life and ministry. The term “Lord” is a good example. It is obvious that this term takes on divine attributes after the resurrection (cf. Acts 2:36; Rom. 10:9-13; Phil. 2:9-11), but also culturally it could simply be a polite address comparable to our “mister” or “sir” (cf. Matt. 18:26; Luke 7:6; 9:57; John 4:11). It is possible that Luke intentionally plays on this ambiguity (cf. Vincent Taylor, The Names of Jesus, p. 42, examples Luke 7:13,19; 10:1,39,41). This account here is a good illustration. What did Peter mean by kneeling before Jesus and calling Him Lord? It is obvious adoration, but was it worship to Jesus as the incarnate Son of God, the Messiah (cf. Luke 9:20)?

“I am a sinful man” The closer we get to God, the more we recognize our own sinfulness (cf. Job 42:5-6; Isa. 6:5). There is also the reassurance that God lives and works with sinful, fallen, marred people (e.g. Moses, David, Apostles). Fallen mankind’s only hope is the gracious character of God and the self-giving work of Christ.

5:9 “For amazement had seized him and all his companions because of the catch of fish” The miracle astonished the crowd also.
5:10 “James and John, sons of Zebedee, who were partners with Simon” These would become the inner circle of Jesus’ disciples. All of them were middle-class businessmen from Galilee.

“Do not fear” This is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually means stop an act already in process. This is a characteristic Lukan phrase (cf. 1:13,30; 5:10; 8:50; 12:32; Acts 18:9; 27:24).

“you will be catching men” This Greek phrase implies “catching them alive.” This may be an allusion to Jer. 16:16 about YHWH sending fishermen and hunters into the world to find and restore His people. It is possible that the early church’s symbol of a fish for Christianity is related to (1) the acrostic, “Jesus Christ, God’s Son, Savior” or (2) catching fish, used as an evangelistic metaphor.

5:11 “they left everything” After a tremendous catch of fish like this, which was worth so much, they left them. The question has been raised, did they leave them to rot? Obviously not. There were other workers of Zebedee, or possibly they were used to feed the crowd.

Here again, one wonders how much this phrase is meant to characterize true faith (cf. v. 28; 14:33).

“followed Him” This is probably not the first time they had heard, seen, and talked with Jesus. We learn from John’s Gospel that Andrew had introduced them earlier (cf. John 1:29-42). I am sure they had heard Him preach and teach. Possibly they had seen Him perform miracles. It is significant to realize they left everything and immediately followed Him! This was a rabbinical way of acknowledging their officially becoming disciples of Jesus (cf. 5:27-28; 9:23,49,57,59,61; 18:22,28).

CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS TO VERSES 12-32

A. These accounts are paralleled in Mark 1:40-45; Matt. 8:1-4; and Mark 2:14-17; and Matt. 9:9-13.

B. These incidents show Jesus’ revolutionary attitudes and actions toward lepers and publicans so different from the rabbis of His day.

C. Luke purposefully chose incidents which showed Jesus’ power over nature (vv. 1-11); over disease (vv. 12-26); and over prejudice and human sin (vv. 27-32).

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 5:12-16

12While He was in one of the cities, behold, there was a man covered with leprosy; and when he saw Jesus, he fell on his face and implored Him, saying, "Lord, if You are willing, You can make me clean." 13And He stretched out His hand and touched him, saying, "I am willing; be cleansed." And immediately the leprosy left him. 14And He ordered him to tell no one, "But go and show yourself to the priest and make an offering for your cleansing, just as Moses commanded, as a testimony to them." 15But the news about Him was spreading even farther, and large crowds were gathering to hear Him and to be healed of their sicknesses. 16But Jesus Himself would often slip away to the wilderness and pray.

5:12 “He was in one of the cities” Leviticus 13:46 and Num. 5:2-4 forbade lepers from involvement in normal Israeli society.

“a man covered with leprosy” Luke, the doctor, uses several medical terms in this passage: (1) in v. 12 he denotes the severity of the illness by use of a technical term; (2) in v. 18 he uses the technical term for “paralyze”—different from Matthew and Mark who used the more popular term; and (3) in v. 31 Luke uses the medical term for “well.”

“leprosy” There were many illnesses covered by this term. Whether it is modern leprosy is uncertain. Leprosy (or whichever skin disease was meant) was seen as an illness given by God as punishment (possibly because of Uzziah, cf. Chr. 26:16-23).

“Lord, if You are willing, You can make me clean” This man obviously had heard of Jesus’ power, but was uncertain of His willingness. This is an example of a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE which means potential action, contingent on other actions.
5:13 “He . . . touched him” This would technically have made Jesus ceremonially unclean. Jesus’ life showed the priority of people over Jewish rules and ceremonial cleanliness.

5:14 “He ordered him to tell no one” This is much like the Messianic secret of Mark’s Gospel (cf. Mark 1:43). Jesus did not want to be known as a healer only. The gospel was not yet complete. Jesus was offering more, far more, than physical healing. From Mark 1:45 we learn this man disobeyed.

“go and show yourself to the priest” This refers to regulations found in Lev. 14:1-32. Jesus wanted (1) to witness to the priest as well as (2) to show that He did recognize and fulfill the Mosaic law. Luke records another leper who was told to do the same thing in 17:14.

5:15 “the news about Him was spreading even farther” Fallen, sick, lonely humanity will go anywhere for help and hope.

5:16 “But Jesus Himself would often slip away to the wilderness and pray” Jesus, God’s Son Incarnate, set the example for our prayer life (cf. 3:21; 5:16; 6:12; 9:18,28). If Jesus needed to get away and pray to face life, how much more do we!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 5:17-26

17One day He was teaching; and there were some Pharisees and teachers of the law sitting there, who had come from every village of Galilee and Judea and from Jerusalem; and the power of the Lord was present for Him to perform healing. 18And some men were carrying on a bed a man who was paralyzed; and they were trying to bring him in and to set him down in front of Him. 19But not finding any way to bring him in because of the crowd, they went up on the roof and let him down through the tiles with his stretcher, into the middle of the crowd, in front of Jesus. 20Seeing their faith, He said, "Friend, your sins are forgiven you." 21The scribes and the Pharisees began to reason, saying, "Who is this man who speaks blasphemies? Who can forgive sins, but God alone?" 22But Jesus, aware of their reasonings, answered and said to them, "Why are you reasoning in your hearts? 23"Which is easier, to say, 'Your sins have been forgiven you,' or to say, 'Get up and walk'? 24But, so that you may know that the Son of Man has authority on earth to forgive sins," — He said to the paralytic — "I say to you, get up, and pick up your stretcher and go home." 25Immediately he got up before them, and picked up what he had been lying on, and went home glorifying God. 26They were all struck with astonishment and began glorifying God; and they were filled with fear, saying, "We have seen remarkable things today."

5:17 “One day He was teaching; and there were some Pharisees and teachers of the law” See parallels in Mark 2:3-12 and Matt. 9:1.

 Pharisees” See Special Topic below.

SPECIAL TOPIC: PHARISEES

I. The term had one of the following possible origins:
   A. “To be separate.” This group developed during the Macabean period. (This is the most widely accepted view).
   B. “To divide.” This is another meaning of the same Hebrew root. Some say it meant an interpreter (cf. II Tim. 2:15).
   C. “Persian.” This is another meaning of the same Aramaic root. Some of the doctrines of the Pharisees have much in common with Persian Zoroastrian dualism.

II. They developed during the Macabean Period from the Hasidim (pious ones). Several different groups like the Essenes came out of the anti-Hellenistic reaction to Antiochus IV Epiphanes. The Pharisees are first mentioned in Josephus' Antiquities of the Jews 8.5.1-3.

III. Their major doctrines.
   A. Belief in a coming Messiah, which was influenced by interbiblical Jewish apocalyptic literature like I Enoch.
   B. God active in daily life. This was directly opposite from the Sadducees. Many Pharisaic doctrines were theological counterpoints to the doctrines of the Sadducees.
   C. A physically oriented afterlife based on earthly life, which involved reward and punishment (cf. Dan. 12:2).
D. Authority of the OT and the Oral Traditions (*Talmud*). They were conscious of being obedient to the OT commands of God as they were interpreted and applied by schools of rabbincial scholars (Shammai, the conservative and Hillel, the liberal). The rabbincial interpretation was based on a dialogue between rabbis of two differing philosophies, one conservative and one liberal. These oral discussions over the meaning of Scripture were finally written down in two forms: the Babylonian Talmud and the incomplete Palestinian Talmud. They believed that Moses had received these oral interpretations on Mt. Sinai. The historical beginning of these discussions started with Ezra and the men of the “Great Synagogue” (later called the Sanhedrin).

E. Highly developed angelology. This involved both good and evil spiritual beings. This developed from Persian dualism and the interbiblical Jewish literature.

“teachers of the law” This seems to be parallel with “the scribes” of v. 21. Most of them were Pharisees, but not all. They were the experts in applying the oral and written law to the practical matters of everyday life. In a sense they took over the role of the OT local Levites. These “biblical lawyers” became the rabbis of today's Judaism.

“from Jerusalem” We learn from rabbinical literature that Jerusalem was considered a separate district of Judah. These were Jewish representatives from “headquarters”! In essence these biblical experts convened to examine Jesus.

“and the power of the Lord was present for Him” Notice in 4:14 it says “power of the Spirit.” We must remember the close interpersonal relationship between the persons and work of the Triune God. Notice also the term “Lord” in this text refers to YHWH. Jesus was YHWH’s agent in creation, redemption, and judgment.

**SPECIAL TOPIC: JESUS AND THE SPIRIT**

There is a fluidity between the work of the Spirit and the Son. G. Campbell Morgan said the best name for the Spirit is “the other Jesus.” The following is an outline comparison of the work and titles of the Son and Spirit.

1. Spirit called “Spirit of Jesus” or similar expressions (cf. Rom. 8:9; II Cor. 3:17; Gal. 4:6; I Pet. 1:11).
2. Both called by the same terms
   a. “truth”
      1) Jesus (John 14:6)
      2) Spirit (John 14:17; 16:13)
   b. “advocate”
      1) Jesus (I John 2:1)
      2) Spirit (John 14:16,26; 15:26; 16:7)
   c. “Holy”
      1) Jesus (Luke 1:35; 14:26)
      2) Spirit (Luke 1:35)
3. Both indwell believers
   a. Jesus (Matt. 28:20; John 14:20,23; 15:4-5; Rom. 8:10; II Cor. 13:5; Gal. 2:20; Eph. 3:17; Col. 1:27)
   b. Spirit (John 14:16-17; Rom. 8:9,11; I Cor. 3:16; 6:19; II Tim. 1:14)
   c. and even the Father (John 14:23; II Cor. 6:16)

There are several related Greek manuscript variants in v. 17. These are attempts by scribes to clarify the sentence.

1. It seems a staggering statement to say Pharisees and scribes from every village of Galilee, Judea, and Jerusalem came to hear Jesus (cf. MSS A, B, C, L, W and the Vulgate and Syriac translations), so scribes changed the sentence to refer to those who were being healed (cf. MS A and some Coptic and the Armenian translations). This shows that the later scribes, as well as modern westerners, do not understand Eastern literature (hyperbole).
2. The “him” is SINGULAR and refers to Jesus (cf. MSS B, L, W), but some scribes thought it referred to those being healed and made it PLURAL (cf. MSS A, C, D, and the Vulgate, Peshitta and Armenian translations). UBS4 gives “him” an “A” rating, which means “certain.”
5:18 “paralyzed” Luke, the Gentile physician, uses the technical, medical term (Hippocrates, Galen), while Matthew and Mark use the common vernacular.

5:19 “they went up on the roof and let him down through the tiles” Most homes had outside stairs where the roof was accessible. The roof was a place of socializing and even sleeping in the hot time of the year. Can you imagine what it must have been like for those people sitting in the crowded home when the roof started falling in on them?

5:20 “Seeing their faith” “Their” refers to the friends as well as the paralytic man.

The term “faith” is a major NT term (cf. Luke 5:20; 7:9,50; 8:25,48; 17:5,6,19; 18:8,42; 22:32). The Greek NOUN is πιστις and the VERB is πίστευ. This term is translated into English by three words—faith, believe, trust. The concept is crucial for an understanding of salvation (cf. John 1:12; 3:16) and the Christian life (cf. Heb. 11:1,3,6).

The Hebrew equivalent is אֶמֶת, which originally referred to someone in a stable stance, but it developed the metaphorical extension of someone who is dependable, loyal, trustworthy, and faithful.

Faith is not something we do, but it is the hand that receives the gifts of God (cf. Eph. 2:8-9). It is not a work, but a receptive attitude of need and thanksgiving. We are not dependable, loyal, trustworthy, or faithful, but God is! We trust His trustworthiness; we faith His faithfulness. The hope of all fallen humanity is the unchanging character of God, His mercy and grace towards His rebellious human creation.

These friends believed Jesus, as God's representative, would act graciously toward their friend and they would do anything to get their friend to Jesus (cf. 7:9,50; 8:48; 17:19; 18:42).

“your sins are forgiven” The grammatical form is a PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE. This was an astonishing statement. It was meant to provoke a dialogue with the religious leaders who were present. In rabbinical Judaism, sin and sickness were related (cf. John 5:14 and James. 5:13-15; however, not all sin is related to sickness, cf. John 9:3). Human guilt causes many physical ailments.

5:21 “Who can forgive sins, but God alone” This was exactly Jesus’ point! Jesus was clearly, unambiguously giving them the sign they asked for. He is clearly proclaiming His Messiahship.

5:22 “But Jesus, aware of their reasonings” Does this imply that (1) Jesus overheard them; (2) Jesus knew their theology; or (3) Jesus read their minds? The end of v. 22 implies #3.

5:23-24 The man’s healing was an outward sign for these religious leaders of the purpose, power and person of the Messiah (cf. 4:18-19). Instead of praise and adoration (which they initially gave, cf. vv. 25-26), their hearts will turn to self-interest, even murder (cf. 22:2; Matt. 12:14; 26:1; Mark 14:1; John 5:18; 7:1,19; 8:37,40; 11:53).

Healing can occur without faith. Jesus healed people as a means of (1) getting the attention of His hearers; (2) demonstrating the power and compassion of God and His Messiah; and (3) training the disciples. Forgiveness of sin, however, is never possible without personal faith.

5:24 “Son of Man” This term is Jesus’ chosen self-designation. In the book of Ezekiel (example 2:1; Ps. 8:4) it means simply “human being,” but in Dan. 7:13-14 it derives an added connotation of deity (cf. I John 4:1-3). This term was not used by rabbinical Judaism and therefore, it did not have militaristic, nationalistic, exclusivistic connotations. See fuller note at 6:5.

The parallel in Matt. 9:8 has the phrase “glorified God, who had given such authority to men.” The comment accentuates the human aspect of “Son of Man.” One wonders how much the more developed Gnosticism of Luke’s day is reflected in Luke choosing those aspects of Jesus’ life and teachings which reflect His humanity.

“has authority on earth to forgive sins” This was the central question of the Jewish leaders. Where did Jesus get His power and authority? They could not deny His miracles or the power of His teaching, so they tried to attribute His authority to the Evil One.

5:26 “they were filled with fear” Jesus was filled with the Spirit before birth (cf. 1:15). Elizabeth and Zacharias were filled with the Spirit so they could better understand His person and birth (cf. 1:41,67), but the Jews (i.e. Nazarites) are filled with anger (cf. 4:25) and their leaders are filled with fear (cf. 5:26) and rage (cf. 6:11).

Luke continues this theme in Acts.
1. The disciples are filled with the Spirit (cf. 2:4; 4:8,31; 9:17; 13:9).
2. The Jewish leaders (Sadducees) were filled with jealousy (cf. 5:17).
3. The Jewish crowd at Pisidian Antioch was filled with jealousy (cf. 13:45).

One’s reaction to the gospel determines what one is filled with.
5:27 “a tax collector named Levi” We know from Mark 9:9 that he was also called “Matthew” (gift of YHWH). We also know from Mark 2:13 that he was “son of Alphaeus.” Apparently Jesus did not change his name, but he had one Jewish name and one Galilean name.

“tax booth” This occupation was a position purchased from both the Romans and the Herods and was open to great abuse. Obviously Levi collected Herodian and Roman taxes on the major road known as the Via Maris. He was completely ostracized by the local Jews from all religious and social events because of this. Luke chooses to record several events involving these social pariahs (cf. 3:12-13; 5:27-28,29-32; 7:34; 15:1-2; 18:9-14; 19:1-10). This was Luke’s way of assuring his Gentile readers that God and His Christ would include them by faith also.

“Follow Me” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. The fact that Jesus would call a tax collector to follow Him was absolutely amazing to the people of Capernaum and even to the disciples. It was surely a symbol that the gospel was open to all people.

5:28 “And he left everything behind, and got up and began to follow Him” Apparently he had heard Jesus preach. He acted in the same way as James, John, and Peter (cf. v. 11).

5:29-32 “And Levi gave a big reception for Him in his house; and there was a great crowd of tax collectors and other people who were reclining at the table with them. The Pharisees and their scribes began grumbling at His disciples, saying, “Why do you eat and drink with the tax collectors and sinners?” And Jesus answered and said to them, "It is not those who are well who need a physician, but those who are sick. I have not come to call the righteous but sinners to repentance."

5:30 “Pharisees” These Pharisees were present at the dinner, but were not a part of the dinner. It seems unusual, but in the ancient world anyone could come and stand around the walls or look in the windows and participate in the conversation without being an official guest at the dinner. Apparently “the Pharisees” was another name for “the scribes,” who were mentioned earlier in this context. They were a group of committed Jews who followed a particular tradition affirming the oral tradition of the Jews (the Talmud). Notice that they confronted the disciples and not Jesus Himself. Jesus, by eating with these notorious sinners, was expressing fellowship and acceptance. John the Baptist had come earlier as an ascetic and the Jewish leaders had rejected him; now they rejected Jesus, who came as a more social person (cf. 11:19; Luke 7:34). They even accused Jesus of being a “wine-bibber,” which meant a “glutton” or “one who over-drinks.” Quite often religious conservatism has an ugly and self-righteous side.

For a discussion of the origin and theology of the Pharisees, see Special Topic at 5:17.
“Why do you eat and drink with tax collectors and sinners” The VERBS are both PRESENT ACTIVE INDICATIVES, which implies a regular activity. I think many “church people” would ask this same question today, which shows how easy it is to forget the purpose of Jesus’ coming.

5:31 “It is not those who are well who need a physician, but those who are sick” The parallel in Mark 2:15-17 is helpful. I would like to insert a note from my commentary on Mark 2.

“2:17 “those who are sick” They had a sense of need that was essential for faith (cf. Matt. 5:3-4) and Jesus was their healer and friend (cf. Luke 7:34; 19:10).

“I did not come to call the righteous, but sinners” This is an ironic, possibly sarcastic, statement like Mark 7:19. This statement was not meant to imply that the religious leaders were righteous (cf. Matt. 5:20) and, therefore, did not need to repent, but rather that Jesus’ message (cf. Mark 1:14-15) was more appealing to those who sensed their own spiritual need. Jesus uses proverbial statements often in His teaching (cf. Mark 2:17,21,22,27; 3:27; 4:21,22,25; 7:15; 8:35,36,37; 9:40,50; 10:25,27,31,43-44). No one is more blind than those who think they see!

In his book, The Method and Message of Jesus’ Teachings, Robert H. Stein makes a good point about this statement:

“Although the term “totalitarian” has many negative connotations, Archibald M. Hunter’s use of this term is an accurate one and describes well the total commitment that Jesus demanded of his followers. On the lips of anyone else the claims of Jesus would appear to be evidence of gross egomania, for Jesus clearly implies that the entire world revolves around himself and that the fate of all men is dependent on their acceptance or rejection of him... according to Jesus, the fate of man centers around him. Rejection of him means eternal judgment; acceptance of him means acceptance by God. The pivotal point of history and salvation, Jesus claims, is himself. To obey him is to be wise and escape judgment, but to reject his words is to be foolish and perish, for his words are the only sure foundation upon which to build (Matt. 7:24-27).”

(p. 118).

“well” This was used as a technical medical term for “wholeness.”

5:32 “repentance” The Greek term “repentance” means a change of mind. The Hebrew term for “repentance” means a change of action. Both are required for true biblical repentance. It is turning from (repentance), as well as a turning to (faith). We can see this so well in Mark 1:15, where it says “repent and believe” (cf. Acts 3:16,19; 20:21). This shows the positive aspect of faith and the negative aspect of repentance. Jesus said it so well when He said “unless you repent you shall all likewise perish” (cf. Luke 13:3). See Special Topic: Repentance at 3:3.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 5:33-39

33And they said to Him, "The disciples of John often fast and offer prayers, the disciples of the Pharisees also do the same, but Yours eat and drink." 34And Jesus said to them, "You cannot make the attendants of the bridegroom fast while the bridegroom is with them, can you? 35But the days will come; and when the bridegroom is taken away from them, then they will fast in those days." 36And He was also telling them a parable: "No one tears a piece of cloth from a new garment and puts it on an old garment; otherwise he will both tear the new, and the piece from the new will not match the old. 37And no one puts new wine into old wineskins; otherwise the new wine will burst the skins and it will be spilled out, and the skins will be ruined. 38But new wine must be put into fresh wineskins. 39And no one, after drinking old wine wishes for new; for he says, 'The old is good enough.'"

5:33 In the parallel (and probably the original account) Mark 2:18 has the Jewish leaders asking Jesus’ disciples a question (cf. MSS τ2, A, C, D, and the Vulgate and Syriac translations), but in Luke it is a statement (cf. MSS P4, τ3, B, L, W, and several Coptic translations. The UBS translation committee said Luke’s statement was “almost certain” (B rating) to be the original. Later, scribes changed the form to make it conform to Mark’s account.

“fast” The Pharisees and John’s disciples were culturally conditioned to fast twice a week, on Mondays and Thursdays (cf. Luke 18:12). The Mosaic Law had only one fasting day a year, the Day of Atonement (cf. Lev. 16). These twice-a-week fasts are a good example of developed traditionalism (cf. Zech. 7-8). Fasting loses its spiritual value when it becomes mandatory and draws attention to itself (cf. Matt. 6:16-18).
SPECIAL TOPIC: FASTING

Fasting, though never commanded in the NT, was expected at the appropriate time for Jesus' disciples (cf. Matt. 6:16,17; 9:15; Mark 2:19; Luke 5:35). Proper fasting is described in Isa. 58. Jesus set the precedent Himself (cf. Matt. 4:2). The early church fasted (cf. Acts 13:2-3; 14:23; II Cor. 6:5; 11:27). The motive and manner are crucial; the timing; the length and frequency are optional. OT fasting is not a requirement for NT believers (cf. Acts 15:19-29). Fasting is not a way of showing off one's spirituality, but of drawing closer to God and seeking His guidance. It can be spiritually helpful.

The early church's tendencies toward asceticism caused scribes to insert “fasting” in several passages (i.e. Matt. 17:21; Mark 9:29; Acts 10:30; I Cor. 7:5). For further information on these questionable texts consult Bruce Metzger’s *A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament*, published by United Bible Societies.

5:34-35 “the bridegroom” There is so much OT imagery involved in the concept of “bridegroom.” In the OT YHWH is the bridegroom or husband of Israel. However, it is never a Messianic title. In this context Jesus is the bridegroom and the church is the bride (cf. Eph. 5:23-32). In v. 35 “the bridegroom is taken away” refers to a time when a separation will occur.

Now, as interpreters, we have two choices. First, we can see this as a cultural metaphor about a time of joy connected to a wedding. No one fasts during a wedding! Second, we can see it as parabolic of Jesus’ time on earth and His coming crucifixion. Mark (who recorded Peter’s sermons in Rome and developed them into the first Gospel) would have known the full implication of these metaphorically-laden terms (in Judaism the bridegroom was a metaphor, not of the Messiah, but of the coming Kingdom of God). Is this a prediction of Jesus' death? He has clearly revealed His Messiahship and deity through His words and deeds (i.e. exorcism, healings, forgiving of sins). His followers will fast in an appropriate way and at an appropriate time.

5:36 The Markan (2:21) and Matthean (9:16) parallels help us understand this parable by noting that the patch is from an unshrunk piece of cloth (it will shrink). The new patch will destroy the old clothing.

There has been much discussion about how to apply this truth. It seems to emphasize the need to be flexible in one’s faith. However, one must be careful as to the nature and extent of this flexibility. It is a condemnation of rabbinical Judaism’s literalistic interpretation of the Oral Tradition. God help us! Sometimes we are more committed to our traditions and legalisms than we are to God (cf. Isa. 29:13). This parable is paralleled in Mark 2:19-20 and Matt. 9:16-17.

5:37 “wineskins” This referred to goats being skinned in such a way as to allow the skins to be used as a container for liquids (i.e. water, Gen. 21:15; milk, Jdgs. 4:19; and wine, Josh. 9:4,13). These newly-tanned skins would have elastic qualities. When these skins became old, the fermentation process and expansion of the new wine would cause them to split. Judaism was unable to receive Jesus’ insights and corrections and, therefore, was about to be made null and void. The new covenant (cf. Jer. 31:31-34; Ezek. 36:22-38) has come in Jesus! Nothing can remain the same.

5:39 The fifth century A.D. Western family of manuscripts, D (Bezae), omits v. 39 because

1. it is omitted by Mark 2:22 and Matt. 9:17
2. it seems to give priority to the OT (cf. Metzger, *A Textual Commentary*, p. 139)

Where did Luke get the closing comment? It is not from Mark. It is not in Matthew, so possibly not in “Q” (Quell, saying of Jesus possibly written by Matthew). Luke apparently interviewed many people. It must be oral tradition.
DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why is chapter 5 such an important chapter in showing Jesus’ power and preeminence?
2. Why did Jesus want the leper to show himself to the priest?
3. Why did Jesus tell the leper not to tell anyone?
4. Why did Jesus say, “Your sins are forgiven”?
5. Why was Jesus’ invitation for a tax collector to become a disciple so radical?
## LUKE 6

### PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plucking Grain on the Sabbath</td>
<td>Jesus is Lord of the Sabbath</td>
<td>Jesus and Sabbath Laws</td>
<td>The Question About the Sabbath</td>
<td>Picking Corn on the Sabbath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6:3-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6:5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Man With A Withered Hand</td>
<td>Healing on the Sabbath</td>
<td>The Man With a Paralyzed Hand</td>
<td>Cure of the Man With a Withered Hand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6:11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Choosing of the Twelve</td>
<td>The Twelve Apostles</td>
<td>Choosing the Twelve</td>
<td>Jesus Chooses the Twelve Apostles</td>
<td>The Choice of the Twelve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:12-16</td>
<td>6:12-16</td>
<td>6:12-16</td>
<td>6:12-16</td>
<td>6:12-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministering to a Great Multitude</td>
<td>Jesus Heals a Great Multitude</td>
<td>The Sermon on the Plain (6:17-49)</td>
<td>Jesus Teaches and Heals</td>
<td>The Crowds Follow Jesus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blessing and Woes</td>
<td>The Beatitudes</td>
<td>Happiness and Sorrow</td>
<td>The First Sermon: the Beatitudes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>622-23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love for Enemies</td>
<td>Love Your Enemies</td>
<td>Love for Enemies</td>
<td>Love of Enemies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6:32-36</td>
<td>6:32-36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judging Others</td>
<td>Do Not Judge</td>
<td>Judging Others</td>
<td>Compassion and Generosity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6:41-42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Tree Known by Its Fruit</td>
<td>A Tree Is Known by Its Fruit</td>
<td>A Tree and Its Fruit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Two Foundations</td>
<td>Built on The Rock</td>
<td>The Two House Builders</td>
<td>The True Disciple</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6:47-49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS

A. This chapter seems to divide into several separate incidents:
   1. Jesus is confronted by the Pharisees over His disciples’ eating grain on the Sabbath (cf. vv. 1-6)
   2. Jesus is confronted by the Pharisees over the “healing of the man with the withered hand” on the Sabbath (cf. vv. 6-11)
   3. Jesus chooses the twelve disciples (cf. vv. 12-19)
   4. Luke’s recording of a sermon similar to “The Sermon on the Mount” in Matt. 5:7 (recorded in vv. 20-49)

B. There is an obvious difference between the “Sermon on the Mount” recorded in Matthew and “The Sermon on the Plain” in Luke (cf. v. 17). Luke seems to be written on the level of social conditions and attitudes toward our material world (some scholars would say Luke is dealing with a delayed Parousia); whereas Matthew seems to be written as a progression of spiritual levels progressing to Christlikeness (an eschatological setting). It is uncertain why Luke includes the “curses” while Matthew completely leaves them out (this shows the sermons recorded in the NT are not verbatim, but summaries and excerpts). Basically, the Beatitudes are not specific commands to be followed, but examples of an attitude toward our present world and our place in it. It is hard to decide whether or not Luke and Matthew record two different sermons using similar themes and examples (cf. Gleason Archer, Encyclopedia of Bible Difficulties, p. 312 and 366) or the same sermon recorded differently (cf. D. A. Carson, “Matthew” in Expositor’s Bible Commentary).

C. Luke’s version of Jesus’ sermon has been greatly ignored by scholarship. Most interpreters use Matthew’s account to interpret Luke’s account. However, they are very different. Luke’s beatitudes are not eschatological, but contemporary. The Kingdom is here! Believers’ outward physical conditions must not affect their trust and joy in God.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 6:1-5

1Now it happened that He was passing through some grainfields on a Sabbath; and His disciples were picking the heads of grain, rubbing them in their hands, and eating the grain. 2But some of the Pharisees said, "Why do you do what is not lawful on the Sabbath?" 3And Jesus answering them said, "Have you not even read what David did when he was hungry, he and those who were with him, 4how he entered the house of God, and took and ate the consecrated bread which is not lawful for any to eat except the priests alone, and gave it to his companions?" 5And He was saying to them, "The Son of Man is Lord of the Sabbath."

6:1 “passing through some grainfields on a Sabbath” This referred to the footpaths through the grain fields which surrounded the villages and towns. These “grainfields” could refer to any kind of cereal grain grown in this area (e.g. barley, wheat).
The Talmud taught that any journey over 2,000 paces on the Sabbath was considered work and, therefore not permitted. It is interesting that the crowds, along with the Pharisees and the Scribes, were following Jesus on the Sabbath; therefore, they also were guilty of breaking this Sabbath law.

This reflects Luke’s continuing emphasis on the conflict between Jesus and the religious leaders over the Oral Law (traditions of the Elders). Their legalism came from a sincere desire to keep God’s word! They were sincere and obviously very committed. They believed that Moses received the oral traditions from God on Mt. Sinai and passed them on verbally. It is at this point that Jesus’ three parables of 5:33-39 are crucial.

The KJV has “on the second Sabbath after the first.” This Greek manuscript variant apparently occurs in two steps. One scribal addition taken from v. 6 and another from 4:31 (cf. Metzger, *A Textual Commentary*, p. 139).

But there is confusion here because the additions occur very early (MSS A, C, D, and the Vulgate); therefore, the UBS committee only gives the shorter reading a “C” rating (difficulty in deciding). As with so many variants, the main point of the text is not affected.

**“His disciples were”** Obviously the disciples were following their Master and were violating the traditional Sabbath laws (cf. Matt. 12:1).

**“picking the heads...rubbing them in their hands”** The Pharisees considered the disciples’ actions as (1) harvesting; (2) winnowing; and (3) preparing food on the Sabbath, which were illegal according to their oral traditions based on Exod. 34:21. For one example of the rabbinic traditions see *Shabbath* 7:2. Jesus’ disciples were not doing anything illegal in their actions according to the gleaning laws of the OT (cf. Deut. 23:25); the problem was the day (cf. Gen. 2:1-3; Exod. 20:8-11; 23:12; 31:15; Deut. 5:12-15) on which they did it! It seems that the Gospel writers record Jesus’ actions on the Sabbath to show (1) the controversies they caused or (2) that Jesus did these kinds of things every day and the Sabbath was no exception.

**6:2 “some of the Pharisees”** This shows that Jesus always had a crowd following Him. That crowd was made up of disciples, the sick, the curious, and representatives of the religious leaders trying to catch Him in a situation they could exploit.

It is this mixture of motives and people which causes some of Jesus’ teaching (without their specific context) to be so difficult to interpret because we are unsure to whom He addressed His teachings.

**6:3 “Have you not even read what David did”** This incident from David’s life seems to emphasize that human need takes precedence over legalistic rituals and traditions (cf. I Sam. 21:1-6).

**6:4 “the house of God”** This refers to the Tabernacle (cf. Exod. 25-31).

**“bread”** This refers to the twelve large loaves of bread (which symbolized God’s provision for the Twelve Tribes) that were placed on the table in the Holy Place and were replaced every seven days. These loaves were for the priests alone to eat (cf. Exod. 25:30; Lev. 24:5-9). They weighed approximately 6 1/4 pounds.

**“and gave it to his companions”** This phrase is repeated in all three Synoptic Gospels (cf. Matt. 12:3; Mark 2:25). This is the implication of I Sam. 21, but in reality, David was lying about having companions. He apparently did this to protect the priests at Nob whom he knew Saul would retaliate against. David’s companions, as well as the disgruntled, did not come to him until I Sam. 22:1.

**6:5 “The Son of Man”** This was an adjectival phrase from the OT. It was used in Ezek. 2:1 and Ps. 8:4 in its true etymological meaning of “human being.” However, it was used in Dan. 7:13 in a unique context which implied both the humanity and deity of the person addressed by this new eschatological royal title (cf. Mark 8:38; 9:9; 13:26; 14:26). Since this title was not used by rabbinical Judaism and therefore had none of the nationalistic, exclusivistic, militaristic implications, Jesus chose it as the perfect title of both veiling and revealing His dual nature, fully man and fully divine (cf. I John 4:1-6). It was His favorite self-designation. It is used twenty three times in Luke (cf. 5:24; 6:5; 9:22,26,44,58; 11:30; 12:8,10,40; 17:22,24,26,30; 18:8,31; 20:13; 21:27,36; 22:22,48,69; 24:7).

**“is Lord of the Sabbath”** This has staggering Messianic implications (cf. Matt. 12:6). The Sabbath was divinely instituted (cf. Gen. 2:1-3; Exod. 28:11) and here Jesus claims to be Master and Ruler over it.

The Sabbath regulations had become the priority. These traditions, not love for humans made in God’s image, had become the issue of religion. The priority of rules had replaced the priority of people. Merit had replaced love. Religious traditions (i.e. the Oral Law) have replaced God’s intent (cf. Isa. 29:13; Col. 2:16-23). How does one please God? A good OT analogy might be sacrifice. God intended it as a way for sinful, needy humanity to come to Him and restore broken fellowship, but it turned into ritual, liturgical procedure. So too, Sabbath law! Mankind had become the servant instead of the object (i.e. the reason for the laws).
On another Sabbath He entered the synagogue and was teaching; and there was a man there whose right hand was withered. The scribes and the Pharisees were watching Him closely to see if He healed on the Sabbath, so that they might find reason to accuse Him. But He knew what they were thinking, and He said to the man with the withered hand, "Get up and come forward!" And he got up and came forward. And Jesus said to them, "I ask you, is it lawful to do good or to do harm on the Sabbath, to save a life or to destroy it?" After looking around at them all, He said to him, "Stretch out your hand!" And he did so; and his hand was restored. But they themselves were filled with rage, and discussed together what they might do to Jesus.

6:6 “On another Sabbath He entered the synagogue and was teaching” This event is paralleled in Matt. 12:9-14 and Mark 3:1-6. The synagogue developed during the Babylonian Exile. It was primarily a place of education, prayer, worship, and fellowship. It was the local expression of Judaism, as the Temple was the national focal point.

Jesus attended the synagogues regularly. He learned His Scriptures and traditions at synagogue school in Nazareth. He fully participated in first century Jewish worship.

It is also interesting that Jesus, apparently purposefully, acted in provocative ways on the Sabbath and in synagogue. He intentionally violated the Oral Traditions (i.e. Talmud) of the elders so as to enter into a theological confrontation/discussion with the religious leaders (both local and national; both Pharisees and Sadducees). The best extended discussion of His theology as it deviates from the traditional norms is the Sermon on the Mount (cf. Matt. 5-7, especially 5:17-48).

“a man there whose right hand was withered” Only Luke, the physician, records the detail that it was the right hand, which probably means his vocational life had been terminated.

6:7 “scribes and the Pharisees were watching Him closely” This is an IMPERFECT MIDDLE INDICATIVE which refers to repeated action, the beginning of an action, or the beginning of an action in past time. There was always a contingent of these religious leaders trying to trap or catch Jesus in an infraction of the written or Oral Law (cf. 14:1; 20:20; Mark 3:2).

“if” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE which follows Mark 3:2. They assumed He would do something that violated their traditions.

“to accuse Him” This is a common VERB in the Septuagint (cf. Deut. 6:5; I Macc. 7:6,25; II Macc. 4:47; 10:13,21).

6:8 “He knew what they were thinking” See note at 5:22. This same term is used in 9:47 in reference to the disciples, while in 5:22 and 6:8 it refers to His enemies.

“‘Get up and come forward’” These are both IMPERATIVES, the first a PRESENT ACTIVE and the second an AORIST ACTIVE. This man did not ask to be healed, but Jesus uses him as an object lesson for the disciples and the Pharisees. Often Jesus’ use of miracles was primarily to teach those who observed.

6:9 This is the issue! What is the Sabbath for (cf. Matt. 12:11; Mark 3:4)?

“a life” This is a good example of the Greek word ψυχή used of a person or a life, not of a “soul.” Biblically speaking, humans do not have “a soul”; they are a soul (cf. Gen. 2:7). There are several different words in Hebrew and Greek that refer to aspects of humanity, but are really synonymous of earthly life.

6:10 “looking around at them all” Mark (Peter) adds “in anger” (cf. Mark 3:5).

“‘Stretch out your hand’” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. The Talmud allows for medical help to save a life on the Sabbath, but not simply to heal.

“and he did so” Here is the man’s faith act.

6:11 “they themselves were filled with rage” This shows the ongoing scheming of the religious leaders (cf. Mark 3:6). The word “rage,” “unreasoning fury,” is made up of the word for “mind” (nous) with the ALPHA PRIVATIVE. This term is only used in the Gospels here, but it is used by Paul in II Tim. 3:9. It was a common term in wisdom literature in the Septuagint (cf. Job 33:23; Ps. 22:3; Prov. 14:8; 22:15; and Eccl. 11:10).

“and discussed together what they might do to Jesus” From Mark 3:6 we learn that the consultation was held between the Herodians and the Pharisees, who were traditional enemies (in politics and religion).
These leaders saw themselves as YHWH’s defenders! It is amazing that the religious leaders saw no conflict in their premeditated murder compared to Jesus’ supposed ritual and Sabbath breaking (cf. Matt. 26:4; John 11:53).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 6:12-16

12It was at this time that He went off to the mountain to pray, and He spent the whole night in prayer to God. 13And when day came, He called His disciples to Him and chose twelve of them, whom He also named as apostles: 14Simon, whom He also named Peter, and Andrew his brother; and James and John; and Philip and Bartholomew; 15and Matthew and Thomas; James the son of Alphaeus, and Simon who was called the Zealot; 16Judas the son of James, and Judas Iscariot, who became a traitor.

6:12 “He went off to the mountain” In the OT psalms mountains are places of safety, strength, and permanence. They are associated with YHWH’s presence (cf. Ps. 121:1) or with the temple (i.e. Mt. Moriah, cf. Ps. 87:1). Moses met YHWH on a mountain (i.e. Mount Sinai, cf. Exod. 19:16-25). Matthew’s Gospel, in his recording of Jesus’ Sermon on the Mount, makes a definite link between Moses and Jesus. Jesus’ famous sermon (cf. Matt. 5-7) was given on a mountain. This detail may have come from Mark’s Gospel (Peter’s eyewitness) in 3:13. However, Luke records this sermon “on a plain.”

It is uncertain to what mountain this refers. There is a mountain in Galilee that is mentioned often in connection with Jesus’ post-resurrection meeting with disciples (cf. Matt. 26:32; 28:7,10). Whatever the location it was obviously a time to get away and be close to the Father (cf. 9:28).

6:13 “He called His disciples to Him” There were many people who followed Jesus, men and women, old and young (cf. Acts 1:21-22). Jesus selected twelve to be His special representatives and leaders. He spent much time and effort in their discipleship (see Robert Coleman, The Master Plan of Discipleship).

“twelve” This seems to relate to the twelve tribes of Israel as a symbol of the people of God.

SPECIAL TOPIC: THE NUMBER TWELVE

Twelve has always been a symbolic number of organization

1. outside the Bible
   a. twelve signs of the Zodiac
   b. twelve months of the year

2. in the OT
   a. the sons of Jacob (the Jewish tribes)
   b. reflected in
      (1) twelve pillars of the altar in Exod. 24:4
      (2) twelve jewels on the high priest’s breastplate (which stand for the tribes) in Exod. 28:21
      (3) twelve loaves of bread in the holy place of the tabernacle in Lev. 24:5
      (4) twelve spies sent into Canaan in Num. 13 (one from each tribe)
      (5) twelve rods (tribal standards) at Korah’s rebellion in Num. 17:2
      (6) twelve stones of Joshua in Josh. 4:3,9,20
      (7) twelve administrative districts in Solomon’s administration in I Kgs. 4:7
      (8) twelve stones of Elijah’s altar to YHWH in I Kgs. 18:31

3. in the NT
   a. twelve apostles chosen
   b. twelve baskets of bread (one for each Apostle) in Matt. 14:20
   c. twelve thrones on which NT disciples sit (referring to the 12 tribes of Israel) in Matt. 19:28
   d. twelve legions of angels to rescue Jesus in Matt. 26:53
   e. the symbolism of Revelation
“whom He also named as apostles” This comment is unique to Luke. This comes from the verb “to send” with the rabbinical implication of delegated authority. It is used in the Greek Classics like our term “ambassador.”

6:14 “Simon, whom He also named Peter” There are three other listings of the twelve apostles. Peter is always first; Judas Iscariot is always last. There are three groups of four which remain the same, even though the order of names within the groups is often reversed (cf. Matt. 10:2-4; Mark 3:16-19; Acts 1:13).

SPECIAL TOPIC: PETER, THE MAN

I. HIS FAMILY
A. Peter’s family lived in Galilee of the Gentiles in the city of Bethsaida on the northern shore of the Sea of Galilee (or the Sea of Tiberias cf. John 1:44), but apparently moved to Capernaum at some point (cf. Mark 1:21,29).
B. Peter’s father’s name was Jonah (cf. Matt. 16:17) or John (cf. John 1:42; 21:15-17).
C. His given name was Simon (cf. Mark 1:16,29,30,36), which was common in Palestine of the first century. It was the Jewish form of Symeon (cf. Acts 15:14; II Pet. 1:1).
         Jesus renamed him Peter (Petros, which means “rock,” meant to describe his eventual strength and stability) in Matt. 16:18; Mark 3:16; Luke 6:14; and John 1:42. The Aramaic form is Cephas (cf. John 1:42; I Cor. 1:12; 3:22; 9:5; 15:5; Gal. 1:18; 2:9,11,14). Often in the NT these two names are given together (cf. Matt. 16:16; Luke 5:8; John 1:40; 6:8,68; 13:6,9,24,36; 18:10,15,25; 20:2,6; 21:2-3,7,11,15).
D. Peter’s brother’s name was Andrew (cf. Mark 1:16). He was a disciple of John the Baptist (cf. John 1:35,40) and later a believer and follower of Jesus (cf. John 1:36-37). He brought Simon to Jesus (cf. John 1:41). Several months later Jesus confronted them by the Sea of Galilee and called them to be His official full-time disciples (cf. Matt. 4:18-20; Mark 1:16-18; and Luke 5:1-11).
E. He was married (cf. Mark 1:30; I Cor. 9:5), but there is no mention of children.

II. HIS OCCUPATION
A. Peter’s family owned several fishing boats and even hired servants.
B. Peter’s family may have been partners with James, John, and their father, Zebedee (cf. Luke 5:10).
C. Peter briefly returned to fishing after Jesus’ death (cf. John 21).

III. HIS PERSONALITY
A. Peter’s strengths
   1. He was a dedicated follower, but quite impulsive (cf. Mark 9:5; John 13:4-11).
   2. He attempted acts of faith, but often failed (e.g. walking on water, cf. Matt. 14:28-31).
   3. He was brave and willing to die (cf. Matt. 26:51-52; Mark 14:47; Luke 22:49-51; John 18:10-11).
   4. After His resurrection, Jesus addressed him personally as the discredited leader of the Twelve in John 21 and provided an opportunity for repentance and restoration to leadership.
B. Peter’s weaknesses
   1. He had initial tendencies toward Jewish legalism
a. eating with Gentiles (Gal. 2:11-21)
   b. food laws (Acts 10:9-16)

2. He, like all the Apostles, did not fully understand Jesus’ radical new teachings and their implications
   a. Mark 9:5-6

3. He was personally and severely chastised by Jesus (Mark 8:33; Matt. 16:23)
4. He was found sleeping instead of praying in Jesus’ great hour of need in Gethsemane (Mark. 14:32-42; Matt. 26:36-46; Luke 22:40-60)

IV. HIS LEADERSHIP OF THE APOSTOLIC GROUP
   A. There are four lists of the Apostles (cf. Matt. 10:2-4; Mark 3:16-19; Luke 6:14-16; Acts 1:13). Peter is always listed first. The Twelve were divided into three groups of four. I believe this allowed them to rotate home to check on their families.
   B. Peter often serves as the spokesman for the Apostolic group (cf. Matt. 16:13-20; Mark 8:27-30; Luke 9:18-21). These passages have also been used to assert Peter’s authority within the group (cf. Matt. 16:18). However, within this very context he is chided by Jesus as a tool of Satan (cf. Matt. 16:23; Mark 8:33).
      Also, when the disciples are arguing over who is greatest, Peter is not assumed to take that position (cf. Matt. 20:20-28, especially v. 24; Mark 9:33-37; 10:35-45).

   Peter was not the leader of the Jerusalem church. This fell to James, Jesus' half-brother (cf. Acts 12:17; 15:13; 21:18; I Cor. 15:7; Gal. 1:19; 2:9,12).

V. HIS MINISTRY AFTER JESUS’ RESURRECTION
   A. Peter’s leadership role is clearly seen in the early chapters of Acts
      2. He preached the first sermon on Pentecost (cf. Acts 2).
      5. He presided over the church discipline of Ananias and Sapphira in Acts 5.
      7. Several other events and miracles are attributed to him in Acts.
   B. Peter, however, did not always embody the gospel’s implications
      2. He had to have a special revelation to include Cornelius (cf. Acts 10) and other Gentiles.

VI. THE SILENT YEARS
   A. There is little or no information about Peter after the Jerusalem Council of Acts 15
      1. Galatians 1:18
      2. Galatians 2:7-21
   B. Early church tradition
      1. Peter’s being martyred in Rome is mentioned in Clement of Rome’s letter to the church at Corinth in A.D. 95.
      2. Tertullian (A.D. 150-222) also notes Peter’s martyrdom in Rome under Nero (A.D. 54-68).
      3. Clement of Alexandria (A.D. 200) says Peter was killed in Rome.
      4. Origen (A.D. 252) says Peter was martyred by crucifixion, head down, in Rome.

“Andrew” The Greek term means “manly.” From John 1:29-42 we learn that Andrew was a disciple of John the Baptist and that he introduced his brother, Peter, to Jesus.

“James” This is the Hebrew name “Jacob.” There are two men named James in the list of the Twelve. One is the brother of John (cf. Mark 3:17) and part of the inner circle (i.e. Peter, James, and John). This is the brother of John.

“John” This was James’ brother and a member of the inner circle of disciples. He wrote five NT books and lived longer than any other Apostle.

“Philip” The Greek term means “fond of horses.” His call is recorded in John 1:43-51.

“Matthew” The Hebrew term means “gift of YHWH.” This is referring to Levi (cf. Mark 2:13-17).


“James the son of Alphæus” This is the Hebrew name “Jacob.” There are two men named James in the list of the Twelve. One is the brother of John (cf. v. 17) and part of the inner circle (i.e. Peter, James, and John). This one is known as “James the less” (cf. Mark 3:17).

“Simon who was called the Zealot” The Greek text of Mark has “Cananaean” (also Matt. 10:4). Mark, whose Gospel was written to Romans, may not have wanted to use the political “hot button” word, zealot, which referred to a Jewish anti-Roman guerilla movement. Luke does call him by this term (cf. Acts 1:13). The term Cananaean has several derivatives.

1. from the area of Galilee known as Cana
2. from the OT use of Canaanite as merchant
3. from a general designation as a native of Canaan.

If Luke’s designation is right, then zealot is from the Aramaic term for “enthusiast” (cf. Acts 1:17). Jesus’ chosen twelve disciples were from several different and competing groups. Simon was a member of a nationalistic group which advocated the violent overthrow of Roman authority. Normally this Simon and Levi (i.e. Matthew, the tax collector) would not have been in the same room with each other.

“Judas the son of James” He was also called “Lebbeus” (cf. Matt. 10:3) or “Judas” (cf. John 14:22). Both Thaddaeus and Lebbeus mean “beloved child.”

“Judas Iscariot” There are two Simons, two Jameses, and two Judases. The name Iscariot has two possible derivations: (1) man of Kerioth in Judah (cf. Josh. 15:23, which would mean he was the only Judean) or (2) “dagger man” or assassin, which would mean he also was a zealot, like Simon.

“who became a traitor” There is so much speculation about Judas and his motives. He is mentioned and vilified often in John’s Gospel (cf. 6:71; 12:4; 13:2,26,39; 18:2,3,5). The modern play “Jesus Christ Superstar” depicts him as a faithful but disillusioned follower who tried to force Jesus into fulfilling the role of the Jewish Messiah, which was to overthrow the Romans, punish the wicked and set up Jerusalem as the capital of the world. However, John depicts his motives as greedy and malicious.

The main problem is the theological issue of God’s sovereignty and human free will. Did God or Jesus manipulate Judas? Is Judas responsible for his acts if Satan controlled him or God predicted and caused him to betray Jesus? The Bible does not address these questions directly. God is in control of history; He knows future events, but mankind is responsible for their choices and actions. God is fair, not manipulative.

There is a new book that tries to defend Judas—Judas: Betrayer or Friend of Jesus? by William Klassen, Fortress Press, 1996. I do not agree with this book because it depreciates the testimony of John’s Gospel, but it is very interesting and thought provoking.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 6:17-19**

17 Jesus came down with them and stood on a level place; and there was a large crowd of His disciples, and a great throng of people from all Judea and Jerusalem and the coastal region of Tyre and Sidon, 18 who had come to hear Him and to be healed of their diseases; and those who were troubled with unclean spirits were being cured. 19 And all the people were trying to touch Him, for power was coming from Him and healing them all.

6:17 This is paralleled in Matt. 4:24-25 and Mark 3:7-8. This introduces the sermon called “the Sermon on the Mount” in Matt. 5-7 and “the sermon on the Plain” in Luke.

6:18 “to be healed of their diseases; and those who were troubled with unclean spirits were being cured” In the Gospels, distinctions are made between physical sickness and demon possession. See Special Topic: the Demonic (unclean spirits) at 4:33. Although demonic forces might cause physical symptoms, the cure for each is different. Jesus healed all those who were brought to Him. We know from other accounts that healing was sometimes based on the faith of the individual, or the faith of the sick individual’s friends; and sometimes it came without much faith at all (cf. John 5:1-9a). Physical healing did not always mean or imply immediate spiritual salvation (cf. John 9).

6:19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NASB</td>
<td>“for power was coming from Him”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKJV</td>
<td>“for power went out from Him”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRSV</td>
<td>“for power came out from him”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEV</td>
<td>“for power was going out from him”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NJB “because power came out of him”  
This is an IMPERFECT PASSIVE (deponent) INDICATIVE. The Spirit’s power resided in Him and flowed to others in need (cf. 5:17; 8:46; Mark 5:30). Ministry took something out of Jesus.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 6:20-26

30 And turning His gaze toward His disciples, He began to say, "Blessed are you who are poor, for yours is the kingdom of God. 31 Blessed are you who hunger now, for you shall be satisfied. Blessed are you who weep now, for you shall laugh. 32 Blessed are you when men hate you, and ostracize you, and insult you, and scorn your name as evil, for the sake of the Son of Man. 33 Be glad in that day and leap for joy, for behold, your reward is great in heaven. For in the same way their fathers used to treat the prophets. 34 But woe to you who are rich, for you are receiving your comfort in full. 35 Woe to you who are well-fed now, for you shall be hungry. Woe to you who laugh now, for you shall mourn and weep. 36 Woe to you when all men speak well of you, for their fathers used to treat the false prophets in the same way."

6:20 “Blessed are you who are poor” Matthew relates these series of Beatitudes (cf. Matt. 5:1-12) to the spiritual life, while Luke’s abbreviated form seems to relate to social conditions (i.e. poor, hungry, weeping, and hatred, cf. vv. 20-22).

This term (makarios) meant “happy” or “honored” (cf. vv. 20-22). The English word “happy” comes from the Old English “happenstance.” Believers’ God-given happiness is not based on physical circumstances but inner joy. There are no verbs in these statements. They are EXCLAMATORY in form like Aramaic or Hebrew (cf. Ps.1:1). This blessedness is both a current attitude toward God and life as well as an eschatological hope.

“kingdom of God” The phrase “Kingdom of Heaven” or “Kingdom of God” is used over 100 times in the Gospels. Matthew, writing for people with a Jewish background who were nervous about pronouncing God’s name because of Exod. 20:7, usually used the phrase “the Kingdom of Heaven,” although in Matt. 6:33; 12:28; 19:24; 21:31,43, even he uses “Kingdom of God.” But the Gospels of Mark (cf. 10:14) and Luke were written to Gentiles. The two phrases are synonymous (Frank Stagg, New Testament Theology, pp. 151-152).

M. R. Vincent, Word Studies in the New Testament, has a list of the places he believes the Kingdom is both present and future:

2. future - Dan. 7:27; Matt. 13:43; 19:38; 25:34; 26:29; Mark 9:47; I Cor. 6:9; II Pet. 1:11; Rev. 20" (p. 161).

6:21 “blessed are you who hunger now, for you shall be satisfied” Luke does not clearly state when this meeting of needs or change of circumstances will take place. Is it a future time, but in this life (“now” of Luke used twice in v. 21, twice in v. 25) or is it an eschatological setting (like the future eschatological setting of Matthew’s Beatitudes, cf. Matt. 5:1-11)? The point is that those who trust Christ will be blessed and physically rewarded (the Matthew parallel focuses on a spiritual future). Salvation changes everything eventually. Most of the early church in Jerusalem was poor (that is one reason why Paul wanted to collect an offering for them from the Gentile churches). Luke is not promising that the gospel will immediately change one’s physical, financial, or cultural circumstances, but he does assert it will immediately change one’s attitude and hope!

6:22 There were and are repercussions for following Jesus in a fallen world (cf. Matt. 5:10-11). This blessing is different from the rest in that there is a condition required—persecution (cf. Acts 14:22; Rom. 5:3-4; 8:17; Phil. 1:29; I Thess. 3:3; II Tim. 3:12; James 1:2-4; I Pet. 3:14; 4:12-19; Rev. 11:7; 13:7). These pronounced blessings are both now and ultimately in an eschatological setting (in heaven, cf. v. 23).

“Son of Man” See note at 6:5.

6:23 “Be glad. . .leap” These are both AORIST IMPERATIVES. Believers’ attitudes and actions in the midst of persecution, rejection and torture are a powerful witness of their salvation and their persecutor’s judgment.

“For in the same way their fathers used to treat the prophets” Religious persecution is not new. Those who do it think they serve God (cf. John 16:2). The Jews have a track record of this kind of persecution (cf. Heb. 11:36-40).

However, there is an implication that Jesus’ disciples are the new prophets. They were foretellers of God’s good news. God’s OT spokespersons were rejected and now the same thing has happened to Jesus and His followers.

6:24 “woe” The term ouai means “alas.” This was a prophetic formula used in the Septuagint for introducing a funeral dirge of judgment. These are the corollaries of the blessings. Luke is the only Gospel that records this cursing section (cf. vv. 24-26). This is surprising, especially if Matthew is intentionally making a comparison with Moses because this pattern reflects Deut. 27-28 (cursings and blessings section).

95
“rich” The rich are singled out because of their illusions of self-sufficiency. The “woes” are a role-reversal with the “blessed.” God’s ways are not our ways (cf. Isa. 55:8-9). What looks like prosperity may, in reality, be a curse!

NASB “you are receiving your comfort in full”
NKJV, NRSV “you have received your consolation”
TEV “you have your easy life”
NJB “you are having your consolation now”

This is a PRESENT ACTIVE INDICATIVE. Notice the “this life” orientation (cf. Matt. 6:2,5,16) of this phrase (and of the next three woes as well).

6:25 “Woe to you who laugh now” This seems to refer to the superficial merriment related to earthly comfort. These woes are a contrast to Jesus’ blessings of believers (cf. v. 23).

6:26 “when all men speak well of you” This verse contrasts v. 23. The theological balance to this statement is found in I Tim. 3:7. We are not to seek the acclaim of the world at any cost, but we are to attempt to remove any handle for criticism so as to facilitate evangelism and ministry.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 6:27-36

27 “But I say to you who hear, love your enemies, do good to those who hate you, 28 bless those who curse you, pray for those who mistreat you. 29 Whoever hits you on the cheek, offer him the other also; and whoever takes away your coat, do not withhold your shirt from him either. 

30 Give to everyone who asks of you, and whoever takes away what is yours, do not demand it back. 31 Treat others the same way you want them to treat you. 32 If you love those who love you, what credit is that to you? For even sinners love those who love them. 33 If you do good to those who do good to you, what credit is that to you? For even sinners do the same. 34 If you lend to those from whom you expect to receive, what credit is that to you? Even sinners lend to sinners in order to receive back the same amount. 

35 But love your enemies, and do good, and lend, expecting nothing in return; and your reward will be great, and you will be sons of the Most High; for He Himself is kind to ungrateful and evil men. 36 Be merciful, just as your Father is merciful.”

6:27 “I say to you who hear” This is parallel to “He who has ears to hear, let him hear” of 8:8; 14:35; Mark 4:9,23; Rev. 2:7,11,17,29; 3:6,13,22; 13:9. Only those who have the indwelling Spirit and are sensitive to His prompting can understand these spiritual truths because they are so different from the world’s.

By using this phrase Luke shows that the target group (disciples) for these sayings (cf. vv. 27-38) is different from that of vv. 24-26 (“woe to you”).

“love your enemies” This whole section of IMPERATIVES deals with an attitude of sacrificial, self-giving love (cf. 6:35; Matt. 5:44). How are believers to do this?

1. do good to those who hate you (v. 27)
2. bless those who curse you (v. 28)
3. pray for those who mistreat you (v. 28)
4. turn the other cheek (v. 29)
5. give away your clothes (v. 29)
6. give to all who ask (v. 30)

These are to be done even in the presence of abuse by others. We act in such a way because of who we are in Christ, not how we are treated. Our witness of sacrificial, self-giving love is even more powerful in the face of abuse (i.e. Christ’s rejection and death).


6:28 “pray for those who mistreat you” If believers take offense or try to avenge themselves, they lose the blessing, the joy, the contentment. Anger, hatred, and other emotions of the flesh can rob even believers of peace and contentment. They can also open a spiritual door for Satan to attack. We must give the pain to God. Often our love breaks down the barriers and provides an opportunity for witnessing (cf. Rom. 12:14-21).

Our forgiveness releases a joy in us and guilt in the abusers!

6:29 “coat. . .shirt” The first refers to the outer garment, which was used to sleep in. This was the garment that one who loaned money could keep during the daytime to ensure repayment of a loan in the OT (cf. Exod. 22:25-26; Deut. 24:10-17).

The second term refers to an inner garment worn close to the skin. They were of different lengths. It would be similar to our modern underwear, including a top and shorts.
6:31 This is the universal, positive principle that goes far beyond the OT admonition of Lev. 19:18. The Matthean parallel is 7:12 in which Matthew records Jesus saying that this attitude and action fulfills all the Law and the Prophets.

6:32-34 This is a series of CONDITIONAL SENTENCES (the first is a FIRST CLASS; the other two are THIRD CLASS) that compare our love to the world’s love. Possibly in our day, some other examples would be more appropriate: (1) our forgiveness and love while we are driving; (2) our help given to others without demanding receipts for a tax break; (3) our love and prayers for other denominational groups; (4) our help in picking up the neighbor’s trash that has blown in our yard without making a big deal out of it.

6:35 “‘love your enemies’” This is another PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE, an ongoing command to believers (cf. vv. 32-34).

- **NASB** “expecting nothing in return”
- **NKJV** “hoping for nothing in return”
- **NRSV (footnote)** “despairing of no one”
- **TEV** “expect nothing back”
- **NJB** “without any hope of return”

The word “despair” in the New Testament is often translated as “hoping for nothing in return,” and this meaning is found in the Septuagint (the ancient Greek translation of the Hebrew Bible). In Matthew 6:35, “despairing of no one” is a metaphor for a deep and genuine compassion for others, even those who may not reciprocate our love.

“you will be sons of the Most High” We should exemplify the loving, giving family characteristics of God, not the self-centered, “me first” characteristics of the evil one (cf. v. 36; Matt. 5:45).

“for He Himself is kind to ungrateful and evil men” What an extraordinary statement! Thank God there is no tit-for-tat in Him. The only hope for sinners is the unchanging, gracious, merciful, loving character of God (cf. v. 36).

6:36 This verse is a command (PRESENT MIDDLE [deponent] IMPERATIVE) related to vv. 32-35. We are to live out before the world what we claim to believe and affirm. Actions speak louder than words.

The ADJECTIVE “merciful” or “compassionate” is used only two times in the NT (cf. James 5:11, where it also describes God, cf. Rom. 12:11; II Cor. 1:3), but the NOUN is used several times where it describes what believers should be (cf. Phil. 2:1; Col. 3:12).

There is an interesting possibility that these words of Jesus, recorded in 6:36, reflect the pseudo-Jonathan Targum of Leviticus 22:28, while the parallel in Matt. 5:48 reflects the Targum from Lev. 22:27 (cf. M. Black, An Aramaic Approach to the Gospels and Acts [3rd ed.], p. 181, which is mentioned in F. F. Bruce, The Books and the Parchments, p. 128). Jesus probably preached these sermons in Aramaic. Early church tradition claimed that “Q” (the sayings of Jesus used by Matthew and Luke) was written by Matthew in Aramaic.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 6:37-38**

37“Do not judge, and you will not be judged; and do not condemn, and you will not be condemned; pardon, and you will be pardoned. 38Give, and it will be given to you. They will pour into your lap a good measure—pressed down, shaken together, and running over. For by your standard of measure it will be measured to you in return.”

6:37-39 This section deals with the same material recorded in Matthew 7, which speaks of our attitude toward others, within and without the family of God.

6:37 “Do not judge…do not condemn” These are two PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVES with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually means to stop an act already in process. Christians have a tendency to be critical of one another. This verse is often quoted to prove that Christians should not judge each other at all. But, Matt. 7:5,6,15; I Cor. 5:1-12; and I John 4:1-6 show that Jesus was assuming that believers evaluate one another spiritually. One’s attitude and motives are the keys (cf. Gal. 6:1; Rom. 2:1-11; 14:1-23; James 4:11-12).

The Greek word “judge” is the etymological source for our English word “critic.” It seems to imply a critical, judgmental, self-righteous spirit which judges others more severely than it does itself. It emphasizes one set of sins over another set of sins. It excuses one’s own faults, but will not excuse the faults of others (cf. II Sam. 12:1-9).
SPECIAL TOPIC: SHOULD CHRISTIANS JUDGE ONE ANOTHER?

This issue must be dealt with in two ways. First believers are admonished not to judge one another (cf. Matt. 7:1-5; Luke 6:37,42; Rom. 2:1-11; James 4:11-12). However, believers are admonished to evaluate leaders (cf. Matt. 7:6,15-16; I Cor. 14:29; I Thess. 5:21; I Tim. 3:1-13; and I John 4:1-6).

Some criteria for proper evaluation may be helpful
1. evaluation should be for the purpose of affirmation (cf. I John 4:1 - “test” with a view toward approval)
2. evaluation should be done in humility and gentleness (cf. Gal. 6:1)
3. evaluation must not focus on issues of personal preference (cf. Rom. 14:1-23; I Cor. 8:1-13; 10:23-33)
4. evaluation should identify those leaders who have “no handle for criticism” from within the church or the community (cf. I Tim. 3).

“and you will not be judged. . .you will not be condemned” Both of these phrases have the strong DOUBLE NEGATIVE.

“pardon, and you will be pardoned” This is another PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. The first two are negated, but this third and fourth are positive. Not only is the lack of judgment and condemnation crucial, but also the presence of forgiveness. This is similar to what God tells Job in chapter 42 about how he (Job) should act toward his three friends.

This verse contains a significant truth which was repeated quite often in the NT (cf. Matt. 5:7; 6:14-15; 18:35; Mark 4:24-25; 11:25; James 2:13; and 5:9). How believers act toward others is a reflection of how God has acted toward them. This is not meant to destroy the biblical truth of justification by faith. It is meant to emphasize the appropriate attitude and lifestyle of those who have been so freely forgiven.

6:38 “it will be given to you” This is a metaphor from the commercial marketplace. Fairness and kindness result in fairness and kindness.

“they will pour into your lap” Marketers in this period would often carry dry goods (grain, flour, beans) in a fold in their robe, turned into a pocket by their belt.

“by your standard of measure it will be measured to you” The number of parallels in Matthew using this maxim is startling (cf. 5:7; 6:14-15; 18:35). This was a familiar cultural proverb of the day.

The PASSIVE VOICE VERBS are used throughout vv. 37-38 to denote God’s activity in
1. judging
2. condemning
3. pardoning
4. giving
5. measuring

How we act toward others gives evidence of our relationship to God. We reap what we sow (cf. Gal. 6:7).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 6:39-45

39 And He also spoke a parable to them: "A blind man cannot guide a blind man, can he? Will they not both fall into a pit? 40 A pupil is not above his teacher; but everyone, after he has been fully trained, will be like his teacher. 41 Why do you look at the speck that is in your brother's eye, but do not notice the log that is in your own eye? 42 Or how can you say to your brother, 'Brother, let me take out the speck that is in your eye,' when you yourself do not see the log that is in your own eye? You hypocrite, first take the log out of your own eye, and then you will see clearly to take out the speck that is in your brother's eye. 43 For there is no good tree which produces bad fruit, nor, on the other hand, a bad tree which produces good fruit. 44 For each tree is known by its own fruit. For men do not gather figs from thorns, nor do they pick grapes from a briar bush. 45 The good man out of the good treasure of his heart brings forth what is good; and the evil man out of the evil treasure brings forth what is evil; for his mouth speaks from that which fills his heart."

6:39 “pit” This Greek term was used in the Septuagint for:
1. a grave, II Sam. 18:17
2. an animal trap, Isa. 24:17-18

It is only used three times in the NT. The Matthew passages (cf. 12:11; 15:14), as this passage in Luke, could refer to a ditch or well. The implication is that false teachers lead their followers to disaster and death.
There is some confusion about exactly how this teaching relates to the immediate context. Verse 39 is paralleled in Matt. 15:14 and v. 40 in Matt. 10:24. Jesus often used the same illustrations in different ways and contexts. The first question of v. 39 expects a “no” answer, while the second question expects a “yes” answer.

Jesus lived what He taught. He was rejected in the midst of His love and ministry to the people. Jesus’ followers will experience the same type of treatment in a fallen world. When we as believers emulate our culture or are fully accepted by our culture, that is a sure sign that we are not modeling Jesus’ teachings. New Testament Christianity has never been socially acceptable. A selfish world is made uneasy by self-sacrifice and self-giving love!

NASB “has been fully trained”
NKJV “who is perfectly trained”
NRSV “who is fully qualified”
TEV “completed their training”
NJB “fully trained disciple”

This is a PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICIPLE of a term that means
1. baby chicks, old enough to be sold in the market as fryers
2. broken bones, now mended and the arm and leg can be used again
3. torn fishing nets, now repaired and capable of catching fish
4. a fully built ship, now equipped with sails and rigging, ready to sail

The term means fully equipped for the assigned task (cf. Eph. 4:12), or possibly restored to usefulness (cf. Gal. 6:1).

Jesus used humor and Oriental overstatement to convey the tremendously important message to religious people about criticism. This is one reason western literalists have interpreted His sayings so rigidly.

“speck” “Speck” was used by Classical Greek writers for the material that made up a bird’s nest. Therefore, we are talking about bits of plant material and similar insignificant small items.

“do not see the log that is in your own eye” The “log” referred to some large piece of lumber, a building timber or rafter. Jesus often used this literary form of HYPERBOLE to communicate spiritual truths (cf. Matt. 5:29-30; 19:24; 23:24).

“brother” In this context this word could refer to (1) other Jews (cf. 14:12; Acts 2:29 [cf. v. 22], 37; 3:17; 7:2) or (2) believers (cf. 17:3; 22:32; Acts 1:15; 6:3).

“hypocrite” This compound word came from the theatrical world and was used for an actor performing behind a mask. It came from two Greek words: “to judge” (krinó) and “under” (hypo). It described a person acting in one way, but being another (Luke 18:9). A good example of this kind of activity can be seen in the life of David (cf. II Sam. 12:1-9). Jesus used this term to describe the self-righteous Pharisees in Matt. 5:20; 6:2,5,16; 15:1,7; 23:13.

This verse implies the appropriateness of believers’ concern for other Christians when it is not done in a condescending, self-righteous manner (cf. Rom. 14:1). The Church has always had to spiritually examine and exhort its leadership and membership.

The parallel is in Matt. 7:16,20. Our actions reveal our hearts. Our actions reveal who our true father is (God or Satan). Our actions bring consequences, either positive or negative.

“for his mouth speaks from that which fills his heart” This is a powerful NT truth (cf. Matt. 12:34-35; 15:18).

SPECIAL TOPIC: HUMAN SPEECH

I. OPENING THOUGHTS FROM PROVERBS

A. Language is part of the image of God in mankind (i.e. creation is spoken into existence and God talks to His human creation). It is a vital part of our personhood.

B. Human speech enables us to communicate to others how we feel about life. Therefore, it reveals who we really are (Prov. 18:2; 4:23 [20-27]). Speech is the acid test of the person (Prov. 23:7).

C. We are social creatures. We are concerned with acceptance and affirmation. We need it from God and from our fellow humans. Words have the power to meet these needs in both positive (Prov. 17:10) and negative (Prov. 12:18) ways.

D. There is tremendous power in human speech (Prov. 18:20-21)—power to bless and heal (Prov. 10:11,21) and power to curse and destroy (Prov. 11:9).
E. We reap what we sow (Prov. 12:14).

II. PRINCIPLES FROM PROVERBS

A. The negative and destructive potential of human speech
   1. the words of evil men (1:11-19; 10:6; 11:9,11; 12:2-6)
   2. the words of the adulteress (5:2-5; 6:24-35; 7:5ff; 9:13-18; 22:14)
   4. the words of the fool (10:10,14; 14:3; 15:14; 18:6-8)
   5. the words of false witnesses (6:19; 12:17; 19:5,9,28; 21:28; 24:28; 25:18)
   7. the words too quickly spoken (6:1-5; 12:18; 20:25; 29:20
   8. the words of flattery (29:5)
   10. perverted words (17:20; 19:1)

B. The positive, healing and edifying potential of human speech
   2. the words of the discerning (10:13; 11:12)
   3. the words of knowledge (15:1,4,7,8; 20:15)
   4. the words of healing (15:4)
   5. the words of a gentle answer (15:1,4,18,23; 16:1; 25:15)
   6. the words of a pleasant answer (12:25; 15:26,30; 16:24)
   7. the words of the law (22:17-21)

III. THE OT PATTERN CONTINUES IN THE NT

A. Human speech enables us to communicate to others how we feel about life; therefore, it reveals who we really are (Matt. 12:33-37; 15:1-20; Mark 7:2-23).

B. We are social creatures. We are concerned with acceptance and affirmation. We need it from God and from our fellow man. Words have the power to meet these needs in both positive (II Tim. 3:15-17) and negative (James 3:2-12) ways.

C. There is tremendous power in human speech; power to bless (Eph. 4:29) and power to curse (James 3:9). We are responsible for what we say (Matt. 12:36-37; James 3:2-12).

D. We will be judged by our words (Matt. 12:33-37; Luke 6:39-45) as well as our deeds (Matt. 25:31-46). We reap what we sow (Gal. 6:7).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 6:46-49

"46"Why do you call Me, 'Lord, Lord,' and do not do what I say? 47Everyone who comes to Me and hears My words and acts on them, I will show you whom he is like: 48he is like a man building a house, who dug deep and laid a foundation on the rock; and when a flood occurred, the torrent burst against that house and could not shake it, because it had been well built. 49But the one who has heard and has not acted accordingly, is like a man who built a house on the ground without any foundation; and the torrent burst against it and immediately it collapsed, and the ruin of that house was great."

6:46-47 Lip service only is not the essence of true discipleship (cf. Isa. 29:13; Matt. 7:21,22). Obedience which flows from a personal commitment is crucial. Obedience does not precede grace, but it does follow after it!

6:46 “Lord, Lord” The rabbis said that the doubling of a name shows affection (cf. Gen. 22:11).

The Greek word Kurious was used in several distinct ways in the first century. It could simply mean (1) “sir”; (2) “master”; (3) “owner”; or (4) “husband.” But, in theological contexts, it is usually interpreted with its full meaning derived from the OT substitution of the Hebrew term adon (owner, master, husband, lord) when reading Scripture for the covenant name YHWH (cf. Exod. 3:14). In this context these men were making a theological statement about Jesus but did not have a personal relationship with Him. It is difficult at this early stage in Jesus’ ministry to know how much theological weight to attach to this term. Peter
uses it early as a theological title for Jesus (cf. Luke 5:8), as does this verse, where Jesus links one’s verbal affirmations with obedience.

SPECIAL TOPIC: APOSTASY (APHISTĒMI)

This Greek term ἀπιστήμι has a wide semantic field. However, the English term “apostasy” is derived from this term and prejudices its usage to modern readers. Context, as always, is the key, not a preset definition.

This is a compound term from the preposition apo, which means “from” or “away from,” and histēmi, “to sit,” “to stand,” or “to fix.” Notice the following (non-theological) usages:

1. to remove physically
   a. from the Temple, Luke 2:37
   b. from a house, Mark 13:34
   c. from a person, Mark 12:12; 14:50; Acts 5:38
   d. from all things, Matt. 19:27,29
2. to remove politically, Acts 5:37
4. to remove legally (divorce), Deut. 24:1,3 (LXX ) and NT, Matt. 5:31; 19:7; Mark 10:4; I Cor. 7:11
5. to remove a debt, Matt. 18:24
7. to show concern by not leaving, John 8:29; 14:18
8. to allow or permit, Matt. 13:30; 19:14; Mark 14:6; Luke 13:8

In a theological sense the verb also has a wide usage:

1. to cancel, pardon, remit the guilt of sin, Exod.32:32 (LXX); Num. 14:19; Job 42:10 and NT, Matt. 6:12,14-15; Mark 11:25-26
2. to refrain from sin, II Tim. 2:19
3. to neglect by moving away from
   a. the Law, Matt. 23:23; Acts 21:21
   b. the faith, Ezek. 20:8 (LXX ); Luke 8:13; II Thess. 2:3; I Tim. 4:1; Heb. 2:13

Modern believers ask many theological questions that the NT writers would have never thought about. One of these would relate to the modern tendency to separate faith from faithfulness.

There are persons in the Bible who are involved in the people of God and something happens.

I. Old Testament
   A. Korah, Num. 16
   B. Eli’s sons, I Sam. 2, 4
   C. Saul, I Sam. 11-31
   D. False prophets (examples)
      2. Jeremiah 28
      3. Ezekiel 13:1-7
   E. False prophetesses
      1. Ezekiel 13:17
      2. Nehemiah 6:14
   F. Evil leaders of Israel (examples)
      1. Jeremiah 5:30-31; 8:1-2; 23:1-4
      2. Ezekiel 22:23-31
      3. Micah 3:5-12

II. New Testament
   A. This Greek term is literally apostasize. The Old and New Testaments both confirm an intensification of evil and false teaching before the Second Coming (cf. Matt. 24:24; Mark 13:22; Acts 20:29,30; II Thess. 2:9-12; II Tim. 4:4).
B. This Greek term may reflect Jesus’ words in the Parable of the Soils found in Luke 8:13. These false teachers are obviously not Christians, but they came from within (cf. Acts 20:29-30; I John 2:19); however, they are able to seduce and capture true, but immature, believers (cf. Heb. 3:12).

The theological question is were the false teachers ever believers? This is difficult to answer because there were false teachers in the local churches (cf. I John 2:18-19). Often our theological or denominational traditions answer this question without reference to specific Bible texts (except the proof-text method of quoting a verse out of context to supposedly prove one’s bias).

B. Apparent faith
1. Judas, John 17:12
2. Simon Magnus, Acts 8
3. Those spoken of in Matt. 7:21-23
4. Those spoken of in Matt. 13
5. Alexander and Hymenaeus, I Tim. 1:19-20
6. Hymenaeus and Philetus, II Tim. 2:16-18
7. Demas, II Tim. 4:10
8. False teachers, II Peter 2:19-20; Jude 12-19
9. antichrists, I John 2:18-19

C. Fruitless faith
1. Matthew 7
2. I Corinthians 3:10-15
3. II Peter 1:8-11

We rarely think about these texts because our systematic theology (Calvinism, Arminianism, etc.) dictates the mandated response. Please do not pre-judge me because I bring up this subject. My concern is proper hermeneutical procedure. We must let the Bible speak to us and not try to mold it into a preset theology. This is often painful and shocking because much of our theology is denominational, cultural or relational (parent, friend, pastor), not biblical. Some who appear to be in the People of God turn out not to be in the People of God (e.g. Rom. 9:6).

“hears My words and acts on them” This parable was unique to Matthew (7:24-27) and Luke (6:47-49). This is similar to the connotation of the Hebrew word Shema of Deut. 6:1, where the word implies “to hear so as to do.” Christianity involves (1) knowledge; (2) personal response; and (3) a lifestyle of service. It is interesting that both builders are said to hear Jesus’ words. Again, it looks as if the context of these warnings is religious people who have heard and responded at some level.

6:48-49 This ending is very similar to Matthew’s conclusion of the Sermon on the Mount (cf. Matt. 7:26-27).

6:48 “because it had been well built” This corresponds to “dug deep and laid a foundation on the rock.” This phrase is found in the ancient Greek manuscripts P75, N, B, L, and W. However, another phrase was taken from the Matthew parallel (cf. 7:25) and very early was substituted for the Lukan phrase (cf. MSS A, C, D, and the Vulgate). Many of these scribal additions happened very early in the period of hand copying these texts. Here is a good example. Manuscript P75 is from the early third century, while MS A (Alexandrinus) is from the fifth century.

I want to remind you that none of the ancient Greek manuscripts (over 5,000) completely agree with each other, but the differences really affect no major doctrine, unless you think drinking poison and handling snakes (cf. Mark 16:8-20) is a major doctrine. The groups who do this tend to get smaller and smaller! The NT is the best preserved text from the ancient world. We can trust that it faithfully communicates God’s truth to us who believe and obey!
**DISCUSSION QUESTIONS**

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why does Jesus continue to challenge the religious leaders on the subject of the oral traditions concerning the Sabbath?
2. Why do the lists of the names of the disciples vary?
3. Why is the Sermon on the Mount so different from the Sermon on the Plain?
4. What is the purpose of the Sermon on the Plain in its Lukan context?
## PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS4</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Healing of a Centurion’s Servant</td>
<td>Jesus heals a Centurion’s Servant</td>
<td>The Centurion’s Slave</td>
<td>Jesus Heals a Roman Officer’s Servant</td>
<td>Cure of the Centurion’s Servant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:1-10</td>
<td>7:1-10</td>
<td>7:1-10</td>
<td>7:1-5</td>
<td>7:1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:6-8</td>
<td>7:9</td>
<td>7:10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Raising of the Widow’s Son at Nain</td>
<td>Jesus Raises the Son of the Widow of Nain</td>
<td>The Widow’s Son at Nain</td>
<td>Jesus Raises a Widow’s Son</td>
<td>The Son of the widow of Nain Restored to Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:11-17</td>
<td>7:11-17</td>
<td>7:11-17</td>
<td>7:11-15</td>
<td>7:11-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:16</td>
<td>7:17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Messengers from John the Baptist</td>
<td>John the Baptist Sends Messengers to Jesus</td>
<td>Jesus and John</td>
<td>The Messengers from John the Baptist</td>
<td>The Baptist’s Question-Jesus Commends Him</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:20</td>
<td>7:21-23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:24-30</td>
<td>7:24-28</td>
<td>7:24-27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:29-30</td>
<td>7:28-30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Sinful Woman Forgiven</td>
<td>A Sinful Woman Forgiven</td>
<td>The Woman Who Was a Sinner</td>
<td>Jesus at the Home of Simon the Pharisee</td>
<td>The Woman Who Was a Sinner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:36-50</td>
<td>7:36-50</td>
<td>7:36-50</td>
<td>7:36-39</td>
<td>7:36-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:39-43</td>
<td>7:40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:41-42</td>
<td>7:43a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:43b-47</td>
<td>7:44-50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 7:1-10

1When He had completed all His discourse in the hearing of the people, He went to Capernaum. 2And a centurion's slave, who was highly regarded by him, was sick and about to die. 3When he heard about Jesus, he sent some Jewish elders asking Him to come and save the life of his slave. 4When they came to Jesus, they earnestly implored Him, saying, "He is worthy for You to grant this to him; 5for he loves our nation and it was he who built us our synagogue." 6Now Jesus started on His way with them; and when He was not far from the house, the centurion sent friends, saying to Him, "Lord, do not trouble Yourself further, for I am not worthy for You to come under my roof; 7for this reason I did not even consider myself worthy to come to You, but just say the word, and my servant will be healed. 8For I also am a man placed under authority, with soldiers under me; and I say to this one, 'Go!' and he goes, and to another, 'Come!' and he comes, and to my slave, 'Do this!' and he does it." 9Now when Jesus heard this, He marveled at him, and turned and said to the crowd that was following Him, "I say to you, not even in Israel have I found such great faith." 10When those who had been sent returned to the house, they found the slave in good health.

7:1 “When He had completed all His discourse in the hearing of the people” This refers to the sermon recorded in 6:20-49.

Capernaum This was Jesus’ local headquarters in Galilee. See note at 4:23.

7:2 “a centurion” A centurion was a Gentile and part of an army of occupation. He seems to have been a God-fearer, much like Cornelius in Acts 10. Mention of Centurions in the NT is positive. These non-commissioned soldiers were the heart of the Roman army.

slave The Matthew parallel (Matt. 8:5-13) has the term “boy.”

who was highly regarded by him This term was common and in the Septuagint, where it is used (1) of God’s name, cf. Deut. 28:58; (2) of the Messiah, cf. Isa. 28:16 and NT in I Pet. 2:4,6; and (3) of honorable men, cf. Num. 22:15; and NT in Phil. 2:29. The best parallel to this NT usage is I Sam. 26:21 and Isa. 13:12, where a person’s life is precious.

7:3 “he sent some Jewish elders asking Him to come” The parallel account is in Matt. 8:5-13, but not in Mark. From v. 5 we see why the Jewish elders were willing to be intermediaries.
“save” The Greek term sozō is used often in the NT for spiritual salvation (ex. James 1:21; 2:14; 4:12), but here it is used in its OT sense of physical deliverance (ex. James 5:20; Matt. 9:22; Mark 6:36). The term literally means “to make whole” (physically and/or spiritually).

7:6 “Lord” This is the VOCATIVE form of the Greek term kurios, which can be (1) a title of respect like “sir”; (2) a title for a superior like “master”; or (3) a theological affirmation of Jesus as God’s Messiah. In this context (like John 4) it is hard to know the sense in which it is used.


“I am not worthy for You to come under my roof” Obviously this Roman army officer knew the Jewish attitude toward Gentile homes. There is an obvious contrast between v. 5 (the message of the elders) and this man’s own sense of his unworthiness (cf. v. 7).

“but just say the word” Jesus’ physical presence was not demanded. This man was used to delegating authority (cf. v. 8). This gesture shows this Gentile’s great faith in the power of Jesus.

There is an ancient Greek manuscript variant connected with this verse. Luke has the AORIST PASSIVE IMPERATIVE, ialthētai (cf. P; B, L, and some Coptic translations), but other ancient texts have ialthētai (FUTURE PASSIVE INDICATIVE), which occurs in Matt. 8:8 (cf. MSS Β, A, C, D, W, and the Vulgate and Armenian translations). Which one does not change the meaning of the text, but this does show how easily these scribal corrections or alterations occurred (between A.D. 200-400).

7:9 “He marveled” See note at 1:63.

“not even in Israel have I found such great faith” This is an extremely significant theological assertion. Remember that Luke is writing to Gentiles. In this context Jesus commends a Gentile military officer for his faith, heals a widow’s child (like Elijah, cf. 4:25-26), and is willing to help a sinful woman and even commend her faith (cf. v. 50). It is obvious that faith, not national origin or privileged standing, is the key to the new age inaugurated by Jesus.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 7:11-17

11 Soon afterwards He went to a city called Nain; and His disciples were going along with Him, accompanied by a large crowd. 12 Now as He approached the gate of the city, a dead man was being carried out, the only son of his mother, and she was a widow; and a sizeable crowd from the city was with her. 13 When the Lord saw her, He felt compassion for her, and said to her, "Do not weep." 14 And He came up and touched the coffin; and the bearers came to a halt. And He said, "Young man, I say to you, arise!" 15 The dead man sat up and began to speak. And Jesus gave him back to his mother. 16 Fear gripped them all, and they began glorifying God, saying, "A great prophet has arisen among us!" and, "God has visited His people!" 17 This report concerning Him went out all over Judea and in all the surrounding district.

7:11 “He went to a city called Nain” This account is recorded only in Luke. It seems not to be a special event, but a typical event in the travels and ministry of Jesus. Nain is about six miles southeast of Nazareth, close to Mt. Tabor. It is parallel to what Elijah did in 4:25-26 (cf. I Kgs. 17:17-24).

“His disciples were going along with Him, accompanied by a large crowd” There was always a large crowd of the sick, the curious, and religious leaders following Jesus. Much of Luke’s presentation of Jesus’ life and teachings is structured as travel narratives. As always in the Gospels, Jesus’ healings had several purposes:

1. to help a needy person (a lady in v. 13)
2. to witness to:
   a. the disciples (for maturity)
   b. the crowd (for saving faith)
   c. the townspeople (cf. v. 12)
   d. the religious leaders who were always there
3. to demonstrate His messiahship

7:12-13 The blind man's healing shows that Jesus' power extended to physical as well as spiritual healing.

7:14-15 Jesus' interaction with the dead man's coffin demonstrates his authority over life and death.

7:16-17 The crowd's reaction shows their amazement at Jesus' power and authority.

7:11-17 Luke's account of Jesus' interaction with the blind man, the widow's son, and the crowd highlights his authority and power over both physical and spiritual realms.
7:12 “the only son of his mother” How did Jesus know this fact? Possibly (1) someone in the crowd told Him; (2) this is another example of His supernatural knowledge; or (3) this is an editorial comment by the evangelist. The fact that this was the only son meant this woman had no means of support!

7:13 “He felt compassion for her” This is a developed connotation from “bowels.” The ancients thought the lower viscera or the major organs (heart, liver, lungs) were the seat of the emotions (cf. Septuagint of Prov. 12:10; 26:22; Jer. 28:13,51; II Macc. 9:5-6; IV Macc. 10:8; Baruch 2:17). Paul uses this metaphor often (cf. II Cor. 6:12; 7:15; Phil. 1:8; 2:1; Col. 3:12; Philemon vv. 7,12,20). Luke, probably following Paul, also uses it (cf. Luke 1:78; 7:13; 10:33; 15:20; Acts 1:8). It is meaningful to me to know of the human emotions and empathy that Jesus shares with us (cf. Mark 1:41; 6:34; 8:2).

7:14 “coffin” This refers to an open bier (cf. NRSV). Jesus did not fear ceremonial defilement by touching ceremonially unclean things or people.

7:15 “The dead man sat up and began to speak” The NT never records the words of those who have been raised from the dead.

7:16 “they began glorifying God, saying, ‘A great prophet has arisen among us’” Jesus did work similar to Elijah and Elisha in the very same geographical area. These people were attributing to Jesus the highest title that they knew.

7:17 All the Synoptic Gospels have these summary statements (cf. Mark 1:28,45; Matt. 4:24; 9:31; 14:1), but Luke has the most (cf. 4:14,37; 5:15; 7:17). Jesus did not perform miracles (healing, exorcisms, raising the dead) in secret, but in public, and word of it spread rapidly to a needy, expectant Palestine.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 7:18-23

18The disciples of John reported to him about all these things. 19Summoning two of his disciples, John sent them to the Lord, saying, "Are You the Expected One, or do we look for someone else?" 20When the men came to Him, they said, "John the Baptist has sent us to You, to ask, 'Are You the Expected One, or do we look for someone else?'" 21At that very time He cured many people of diseases and afflictions and evil spirits; and He gave sight to many who were blind. 22And He answered and said to them, "Go and report to John what you have seen and heard: the BLIND RECEIVE SIGHT, the lame walk, the lepers are cleansed, and the deaf hear, the dead are raised up, the POOR HAVE THE GOSPEL PREACHED TO THEM. 23Blessed is he who does not take offense at Me."

7:18 “The disciples of John reported to him about all these things” The parallel is in Matt. 11:2-19.

7:19 “Are You the Expected One, or do we look for someone else” There have been several theories trying to explain John’s confusion about Jesus:
1. He said this only to convince his own disciples (John Calvin, cf. John 1:29-42).
2. John, the outdoors man, trapped in a cell, was getting nervous.
3. John was impatient for Jesus to act.
4. Jesus was not acting in the expected pattern of eschatological judgment (cf. Matt. 3:12; Lk. 3:13).

7:21 The opening CLAUSE is a summary of Jesus’ ministry to the crowds. His actions clearly revealed who He was, if they could only recognize its prophetic fulfillment.
“gave sight to many who were blind” This is the most common recorded healing with definite Messianic implications (cf. Isa. 35:5-6; 61:1). It is surely a sign of the spiritual blindness which had infected Judaism (cf. John 9).

7:22 “Go and report to John” “Go” is an AORIST PASSIVE (deponent) PARTICIPLE used in an IMPERATIVE sense; “Report” is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE.

This is a good example that grammar must be related to context. These are not commands, but a way of directing these representative actions. They came to do this very thing—report to John. As words have meaning only in context, so too, grammatical constructions.

The rest of v. 22 is a combination of several OT quotes which link up with Jesus’ activities recorded in v. 21. The first two partial quotes are from Isa. 61:1 (or possibly 29:18-19; 35:5). This is from the section of Isaiah that deals with the new age (chapters 56-66).

“The lepers are cleansed” Leprosy and barrenness were diseases that Jews thought showed God’s displeasure.

“The dead are raised up” There are only three accounts of resuscitation in the NT, but apparently there were actually many more.

There are three terms which describe God’s dealing with humans relating to physical life:
1. Translated. Enoch (cf. Gen. 5), like Elijah (cf. II Kgs.), was taken to heaven without physical death.
2. Resuscitation. Humans are restored to physical life, but will die again.
3. Resurrection. Jesus is the first to have a physical body of the new age. This is the promise of eternal life, a new body prepared for life with God (cf. I Cor. 15).

“The poor have the gospel preached to them” This was the unique element that pointed to the nature of Jesus’ mission. God graciously included those whom Jewish society neglected. This is a hint of God’s inclusion of the Gentiles.

7:23 “Blessed is he who does not take offense at Me” Jesus was warning John about his presuppositions concerning the Messiah. This is a good word to us also. Judaism missed its own Messiah because of their preconceived images of Him.

---

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 7:24-30

24When the messengers of John had left, He began to speak to the crowds about John, "What did you go out into the wilderness to see? A reed shaken by the wind? 25But what did you go out to see? A man dressed in soft clothing? Those who are splendidly clothed and live in luxury are found in royal palaces! 26But what did you go out to see? A prophet? Yes, I say to you, and one who is more than a prophet. 27This is the one about whom it is written, 'BEHOLD, I SEND MY MESSENGER AHEAD OF YOU, WHO WILL PREPARE YOUR WAY BEFORE YOU.' 28I say to you, among those born of women there is no one greater than John; yet he who is least in the kingdom of God is greater than he.” 29When all the people and the tax collectors heard this, they acknowledged God’s justice, having been baptized with the baptism of John. 30But the Pharisees and the lawyers rejected God’s purpose for themselves, not having been baptized by John.

7:24-27 This is a series of questions expecting a “no” answer. They emphasize the quality of John’s character.

7:27 “it is written” These references (cf. Mal. 3:1; 4:5; Isa. 40:3-4) show that Jesus recognized who and what he was.

7:28 “I say to you, among those born of women there is no one greater than John” What a tremendous statement from the Messiah concerning the forerunner! It must be recognized that Jesus’ concluding remarks in v. 28 show that John was the last of the OT prophets, not the first of the NT gospel preachers.

7:29 This verse and v. 30 show the makeup of the crowd that continuously followed Jesus: social outcasts and religious leaders. I am sure that they stood in their respective groups! These two verses may be Luke’s editorial comment.

The social outcasts were receptive to a message of repentance and faith (cf. Mark. 1:15), but the religious leaders were not. They thought they were an elite group who were exclusively accepted by God.

---

NASB “they acknowledged God’s justice”
NKJV “justified God”
NRSV “acknowledged the justice of God”
TEV “who had obeyed God’s righteous demands”
NJB “acknowledged God’s saving justice”
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This is literally “justified (AORIST ACTIVE INDICATIVE) God.” The spiritually receptive ones recognized God’s righteous ways being revealed in John’s message. John’s public baptism was an admission of spiritual need and trust in God’s acceptance of repentant people.

**“baptized by John”** John’s and Jesus’ messages were initially similar, but there is a vast difference between John’s baptism and Christian baptism. John focused on an OT foundation, whereas Jesus focused on Himself on a NT foundation.

One wonders whether John’s disciples who followed Jesus were re-baptized. Rituals are symbols, carriers of meaning, but they are not mechanisms of grace! Religious acts and liturgy without personal faith are barriers instead of bridges to God. The key in true faith is the heart, not just the outward forms of faith.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 7:31-35**

31"To what then shall I compare the men of this generation, and what are they like? 32They are like children who sit in the market place and call to one another, and they say, 'We played the flute for you, and you did not dance; we sang a dirge, and you did not weep.' 33For John the Baptist has come eating no bread and drinking no wine, and you say, 'He has a demon!' 34The Son of Man has come eating and drinking, and you say, 'Behold, a gluttonous man and a drunkard, a friend of tax collectors and sinners!' 35Yet wisdom is vindicated by all her children."

7:31-34 This paragraph is paralleled in Matt. 11:16-19. John and Jesus came with different styles of ministry (John as an ascetic; Jesus as socially available), yet the Jewish leaders rejected them both. Verse 30 shows the close-mindedness and self-righteousness of the Jewish leaders.

7:31 “this generation” This term is used in a negative sense of current hearers who see and hear God’s truth, but refuse to respond appropriately (cf. 7:31; 9:41; 11:29,50; 17:25). This may be an allusion to Deut. 32:5; Ps. 78:8; Jer. 2:31; 7:29. There is far more guilt connected to those who hear the truth and refuse to embrace it than to those who never have seen or heard.

7:33 “John the Baptist has come eating no bread and drinking no wine” John the Baptist was a Nazarite (cf. Num. 6). He also lived in the wilderness and did not freely socialize with those to whom he preached (like Elijah). See Special Topic below.

**SPECIAL TOPIC: NAZARITE VOW**

A. Its Purpose
   1. This was a way for someone, male or female (cf. Num. 6:1), who was not of the tribe of Levi, to dedicate himself or herself to God’s service (i.e. “holy to the Lord”). Nazarite means “one separated,” which is the root idea of the Hebrew term holy.
   2. In the OT it was a life-long vow
      a. Samson (Jdg. 13:7)
      b. Samuel (1 Sam. 1:21)
      c. John the Baptist
   B. Judaism developed a short-term Nazarite vow (probably developed from the words in Num. 6:5). The shortest length was thirty days. This short-term vow culminated in the shaving of the head and burning of the shavings along with a sacrifice at the temple.
   C. The specific requirements (cf. Numb. 6:1-8)
      1. Abstain from wine and strong drink, eat no product of the vine (cf. Num. 6:3-4)
      2. Do not cut one’s hair.
      3. Do not touch a dead person. This would make it impossible to participate in any of the Jewish funeral procedures.

“and you say, “He has a demon”” This was the same charge that they used of Jesus (cf. 11:14-26). They could not explain away God’s mighty use of this man so they attributed his power to a supernatural force of evil.
This accusation reveals

1. the animosity of the religious elite
2. the compassion of Jesus.

Luke was writing to Gentiles who were also poor. This shows Jesus’ love and identification with the common person.

7:35 This was a cultural proverb much like 6:44, “each tree is known by its own fruit.” The actions and attitudes of those baptized by John (cf. v. 29) were clearly distinct from the religious leaders (cf. v. 30). The Jews often used the OT idiom “son of...” as an adjective to describe a person.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 7:36-50

36 Now one of the Pharisees was requesting Him to dine with him, and He entered the Pharisee's house and reclined at the table. 37 And there was a woman in the city who was a sinner; and when she learned that He was reclining at the table in the Pharisee's house, she brought an alabaster vial of perfume, 38 and standing behind Him at His feet, weeping, she began to wet His feet with her tears, and kept wiping them with the hair of her head, and kissing His feet and anointing them with the perfume. 39 Now when the Pharisee who had invited Him saw this, he said to himself, 'If this man were a prophet He would know who and what sort of person this woman is who is touching Him, that she is a sinner.' 40 And Jesus answered him, "Simon, I have something to say to you." And he replied, "Say it, Teacher." 41 "A moneylender had two debtors: one owed five hundred denarii, and the other fifty. 42 When they were unable to repay, he graciously forgave them both. So which of them will love him more?" 43 Simon answered and said, "I suppose the one whom he forgave more." And He said to him, "You have judged correctly." 44 Turning toward the woman, He said to Simon, "Do you see this woman? I entered your house; you gave Me no water for My feet, but she has wet My feet with her tears and wiped them with her hair. 45 You gave Me no kiss; but she, since the time I came in, has not ceased to kiss My feet. 46 You did not anoint My head with oil, but she anointed My feet with perfume. 47 For this reason I say to you, her sins, which are many, have been forgiven, for she loved much; but he who is forgiven little, loves little." 48 Then He said to her, "Your sins have been forgiven." 49 Those who were reclining at the table with Him began to say to themselves, "Who is this man who even forgives sins?" 50 And He said to the woman, "Your faith has saved you; go in peace."

7:36-50 This account is similar to Mary of Bethany’s actions recorded in Mark 13:3-9; Matt. 26:6-13; John 12:2-8. It is obvious that on further reflection, the account in Luke, though similar, is distinct from Mary of Bethany’s anointing mentioned in the other Gospels.

Luke often uses these meals given by Pharisees to communicate the gospel (cf. 7:36; 11:37; 14:1).

7:36 “one of the Pharisees” Jesus ministered to all groups. He wanted to reach all people. See Special Topic: Pharisees at 5:17.

“was requesting Him to dine with him” One wonders the motive of this request: (1) curiosity; (2) spiritual hunger; (3) being seen with Jesus; or (4) trying to find out something he could use against Him (cf. vv. 44-45).

These dinners were social events for the entire community. Although only invited guests ate, anyone was welcome to come and listen to the table conversation.

“reclining at the table” Luke is the only NT author to use this term kataklinò (cf. 7:36; 9:14,15; 14:8; 24:30). Other NT writers use anakeimai.

The Jews of the first century did not use tables and chair as the Persians did (cf. Esther 1:6; 7:8) and some Egyptians. Typically they would recline on their left elbow on pillows spread around horseshoe-shaped tables, usually three on a side.

7:37 “a woman in the city who was a sinner” The implication is that she was a local prostitute; however, this phrase is unspecific. To the Jewish leaders, anyone who did not keep all the expected rules and rituals of the Talmud was considered a sinner (ex. shepherds, tanners). This city was in the north, possibly Capernaum. The other Gospels record an anointing by a woman at a Simon’s house, near Jerusalem.

“alabaster vial of perfume” Alabaster was a whitish yellow stone which was named for the town in Egypt (Alabastron) in which it was developed. The perfume was very expensive. Women often carried this as a dowry around their necks on a chain. This may have been her “hope chest.”

7:38 “and standing behind Him at His feet” At these social events others from the town who were not invited were welcome to come and sit along the walls, look in the windows and doors, and listen to the conversations. Remember that Jesus was reclining on his left elbow with His feet behind Him.
“and kept wiping them with the hair of her head” For a Jewish woman, to have her hair undone in public was a sign of social impropriety.

“kissing His feet and anointing them with the perfume” This was a highly unusual act that seems to symbolize this woman’s joy over forgiveness and the deep sense of gratitude for Jesus’ attitude concerning people like herself (cf. v. 35).

7:39 “If this man were a prophet” This is a SECOND CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE. The form of this sentence shows that he did not believe Jesus was a prophet. This is a unique Greek construction which would be understood as “if this man were a prophet, which he is not, he would know who and what sort of person this woman is who is touching him, but he does not.” This Pharisee totally misunderstood Jesus and His motives, purposes, and actions.

The very early codex B, called Vaticanus because it was found in the Vatican library, has the DEFINITE ARTICLE with “prophet.” This is obviously a theological attempt to link Jesus with “the Prophet” of Moses’ prophecy in Deut. 18:15. This was a Messianic prediction. But from the context of Luke this Pharisee is not calling Jesus the Messiah, but a non-prophet!


7:40 “Simon” This was a common name. There are many examples in the NT of people named Simon: (1) Simon Peter, Matt. 4:18; (2) Simon the Canaanite, Matt. 10:4; Acts 1:13; (3) Simon, Jesus’ half-brother, Matt. 13:55; (4) Simon the Leper, Matt.26:6; Mark 14:3; (5) Simon the Cyrene, Matt. 27:32; (6) Simon the Pharisee, Luke 7:40; (7) the father of Judas Iscariot, John 6:71; (8) Simon Magnes, Acts 8:5; (9) Simon the Tanner, Acts 9:43.

The parallels in Matthew and Mark also place the dinner at the home of a man named Simon, but he is not called a Pharisee.

7:41 It is only in Luke that Jesus tells this parable to Simon. Matthew and Mark have a totally different reason for the woman’s actions (i.e. prepare Jesus for His upcoming death by anointing Him for burial).

“500 denarii” A denarius was a common coin of the period. It represented a day’s wage for a soldier or day-laborer (cf. Matt. 20:2). See Special Topic: Coins in Use in Palestine in Jesus’ Day at 15:8.

7:42 “So which of them will love him more” This account obviously deals with two kinds of people: (1) the self-righteous who thought they needed little or no forgiveness and (2) the humble and repentant who knew they needed God’s forgiveness. This parable has much in common with the parable of the Pharisee and the sinner (cf. Luke 18:9-14).

7:44-47 There are several actions that Simon the Pharisee did not perform for Jesus that were expected of a host in Jewish culture: (1) he did not wash His feet when he entered, v. 44; (2) he did not give Him a kiss of greeting, v. 45; and (3) he did not anoint Him with oil, v. 46.

7:47 “I say to you, her sins, which are many, have been forgiven” Jesus did not overlook this woman’s sins, but He forgave them. This pericope (gospel story) clearly shows the radically new covenant (cf. Jer. 31:31-34). Salvation is based on faith in Jesus, not personal achievement, merit, or performance (cf. Eph. 2:8-9). This is the new covenant way of being accepted by God!

Theologians emphasize the word “love” and turn it into a new requirement for forgiveness and acceptance. Love is surely the by-product of a personal relationship with God through Christ, but it is not the criterion for acceptance. Acceptance is based on the finished and complete work of the Son. Humans must respond in repentance and faith, but they cannot add to or take away from this freely given salvation. A changing and changed life of love, obedience, and perseverance are evidence that we have met God in Christ. Believers are saved “unto good works” (cf. Eph. 2:10), not “by good works” (cf. Rom. 3:21-30)!

7:48 “Your sins have been forgiven” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE. This must have been a tremendous shock to the Jews sitting there who knew that only God could forgive sins (cf. Luke 5:21-24).

7:50 “Your faith has saved you” This is a PERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE. This woman’s expression of love was the result, not the means, of forgiveness. Faith in Christ is the key issue (cf. 5:20; 7:9; 8:48; 17:19; 18:42).

“go in peace” This is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE (cf. 8:48).
DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why are the accounts of Luke 7 and Matthew 8 so different?
2. Why was Jesus so impressed with this man’s faith?
3. Why did Jesus resuscitate the widow of Nain’s son?
4. Why did John the Baptist doubt that Jesus was the Messiah? How did Jesus answer his question?
5. Is John the Baptist an OT prophet or a NT preacher?
6. Why is Jesus’ statement of v. 48 an anathema to the Jewish leaders?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some Women Accompany Jesus</td>
<td>Many Women Ministered to Jesus</td>
<td>On Tour</td>
<td>Women Who Accompanied Jesus</td>
<td>The women Accompanying Jesus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:1-3</td>
<td>8:1-3</td>
<td>8:1-3</td>
<td>8:1-3</td>
<td>8:1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Parable of the Sower</td>
<td>The Parable of the Sower</td>
<td>The Parable of the Sower</td>
<td>The Parable of the Sower</td>
<td>The Parable of the Sower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:4-8</td>
<td>8:4-8</td>
<td>8:4-8</td>
<td>8:4</td>
<td>8:4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:5-8a</td>
<td>8:5-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:8b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Purpose of the Parables</td>
<td>The Purpose of the Parables</td>
<td>Purpose of the Parables</td>
<td>Why Jesus Speaks in Parables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:9-10</td>
<td>8:9-10</td>
<td>8:9-10</td>
<td>8:9-10</td>
<td>8:9-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Parable of the Sower Explained</td>
<td>The Parable of the Sower Explained</td>
<td>Jesus Explains the Parable of the Sower</td>
<td>The Parable of the Sower Explained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:11-15</td>
<td>8:11-15</td>
<td>8:11-15</td>
<td>8:11-15</td>
<td>8:11-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Light Under a Vessel</td>
<td>The Parable of the Revealed Light</td>
<td>On Obedient Listening</td>
<td>A Lamp Under a Bowl</td>
<td>Parable of the Lamp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:16-18</td>
<td>8:16-18</td>
<td>8:16-18</td>
<td>8:16</td>
<td>8:16-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Mother and Brothers of Jesus</td>
<td>Jesus’ Mother and Brothers Come to Him</td>
<td>Jesus’ True Family</td>
<td>Jesus’ Mother and Brothers</td>
<td>The True Family of Jesus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:19-21</td>
<td>8:19-21</td>
<td>8:19-21</td>
<td>8:19-20</td>
<td>8:19-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Calming of a Storm</td>
<td>Wind and Waves Obey Jesus</td>
<td>Wind and Sea Calmed</td>
<td>Jesus Calms a Storm</td>
<td>The Calming of the Storm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:22-25</td>
<td>8:22-25</td>
<td>8:22-25</td>
<td>8:22-24a</td>
<td>8:22-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:24b-25a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:25b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Healing of the Gerasene Demoniac</td>
<td>A Demon-Possessed Man Healed</td>
<td>The Gerasene Demoniac</td>
<td>Jesus Heals a Man with Demons</td>
<td>The Gerasene Demoniac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:26-31</td>
<td>8:26-39</td>
<td>8:26-31</td>
<td>8:26-29</td>
<td>8:26-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:28-31</td>
<td>8:28-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:30a</td>
<td>8:30a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:30b-31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:32-39</td>
<td>8:32-33</td>
<td>8:32-33</td>
<td>8:32-33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:34-39</td>
<td>8:34-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:34-38a</td>
<td>8:34-38a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:38b-39a</td>
<td>8:38-39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REVIEW CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR'S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author's intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS

A. This chapter is made up of several incidents
   1. the parable of the soils, vv. 1-18
   2. Jesus deals with His own family, vv. 19-21
   3. Jesus calms a storm, vv. 22-25
   4. Jesus heals the Gadarene Demoniac, vv. 26-39
   5. Jesus raises Jairus' daughter and heals a woman with an issue of blood, vv. 40-55

B. INTERPRETING PARABLES

The Gospels were written many years after Jesus' life. Those who write the Gospels (by the aid of the Spirit) were culturally accustomed to oral teaching. The rabbis taught by oral presentation. Jesus continued this oral approach to teaching. To our knowledge He never wrote down any of His teachings or sermons. To aid in the memory, teaching presentations were repeated, summarized, and illustrated. The Gospel writers retained these memory aids. Parables are one of these techniques. Parables are hard to define:

“Parables are best defined as stories with two levels of meaning; the story level provides a mirror by which reality is perceived and understood” (Dictionary of Jesus and the Gospels [p. 594]).

“A parable is a saying or story that seeks to drive home a point that the speaker wishes to emphasize by illustrating it from a familiar situation of common life” (The Zondervan Pictorial Bible Encyclopedia [p. 590]).
It is hard to define exactly what was understood by the term “parable” in Jesus’ day  
1. Some say it reflects the Hebrew term *mashal*, which was any kind of riddle (Mark 3:23), clever saying (Proverbs, Luke 4:23), short saying (Mark 7:15) or mysterious saying (“dark saying”).  
2. Others hold to the more limited definition of a short story.  
   This was a major NT literary genre. Depending on how one defines the term, over one-third of Jesus’ recorded teachings are in parabolic form. Parables are certainly authentic sayings of Jesus. If one accepts the second definition, there are still several different types of short stories  
   1. simple stories (Luke 13:6-9)  
   2. complex stories (Luke 15:11-32)  

In dealing with this variety of parabolic material, one must interpret these sayings on several levels.  
The **first level** would be general hermeneutic principles applicable to all biblical genres:  
1. identify the purpose of the entire book, or at least the larger literary unit in which the parable appears  
2. identify the original audience. It is significant that the same parable is sometimes given to different groups.  
   a. lost sheep in Luke 15 directed to sinners  
   b. lost sheep in Matt. 18 directed toward disciples  
3. be sure to note the immediate context of the parable. Often Jesus or the Gospel writer tells the main point (usually at the end of the parable or immediately after it).  
4. express the central intent(s) of the parable in one declarative sentence. Parables often have two or three main characters. Usually there is an implied truth, purpose, or point to each character.  
5. check the parallel passages in the other Gospels, then other NT books and OT books.  

The **second level** of interpretive principles are those that relate specifically to parabolic material:  
1. Read (hear if possible) the parable again and again. These were given for oral impact, not written analysis.  
2. Most parables have only one central truth, which is related to the historical and literary contexts of both Jesus and/or the evangelist.  
3. Be careful of interpreting the details making it an allegory instead of a parable. Often they are just part of the setting of the story.  
4. Remember parables are not reality. They are life-like analogies, but often exaggerations, to drive home a point (truth).  
5. Identify the main points of the story that a first century Jewish audience would have understood. Then look for the twist or surprise. Usually it comes toward the end of the story (cf. A. Berkeley Mickelsen, *Interpreting the Bible*, pp. 221-224).  
6. All parables were given to elicit a response. That response is usually related to the concept of “the Kingdom of God.” Jesus was the inaugurator of the new Messianic Kingdom (Matt. 21:31; Luke 17:21). Those who heard Him must respond to Him now! The Kingdom is also future (Matt. 25). A person’s future is dependent on how he responded to Jesus at the time. Kingdom parables described the new kingdom that had arrived in Jesus. They described its ethical and radical demands for discipleship. Nothing can be as it was. All is radically new and focused on Jesus!  
7. Parables often do not express the point or central truth. The interpreter must seek the contextual keys that reveal the original culturally obvious central truths which, because of time, language, and culture, are now obscure to us.  

A **third level** that is often controversial is that of the hiddenness of parabolic truth. Jesus often spoke of the hiddenness of parables (cf. Matt. 13:9-15; Mark 4:9-13; Luke 8:8-10; John 10:6; 16:25). This is related to the prophecy in Isa. 6:9-10. The heart of the hearer determines the level of understanding (cf. Matt. 11:15; 13:9,15,16,43; Mark 4:9,23,33-34; 7:16; 8:18; Luke 8:8; 9:44; 14:35).  
   However, it must also be stated that often the crowd (cf. Matt. 15:10; Mark 7:14) and the Pharisees (cf. Matt. 21:45; Mark 12:12; Luke 20:19) understood exactly what Jesus was saying, but refused to respond appropriately by faith and repentance. In one sense this is the truth of the Parable of the Soils (cf. Matt. 13; Mark 4; Luke 8). The parables could conceal or reveal truth (cf. Matt. 13:16-17; 16:12; 17:13; Luke 8:10; 10:23-24).  
   Grant Osborne, *Hermeneutical Spiral*, p. 239, makes the point that “parables are an ‘encounter mechanism’ and function differently depending on the audience. . .Each group (i.e. leaders, crowds, disciples) is encountered differently by the parables.” Often even the disciples did not understand either His parables or His teachings (cf. Matt. 15:16; Mark 6:52; 7:18; 8:17-18,21,33; 9:10,32; Luke 9:45; 18:34; John 12:16).  

A **fourth level** is also controversial. It deals with the central truth of parables. Most modern interpreters have reacted (justifiably so) against the allegorical interpretation of the parables. Allegory turned the details into elaborate systems of truth. This method of interpretation does not focus on the historical setting, literary setting, or authorial intent; it presents the thoughts of the interpreter, not the inspired text.
However, it must be admitted that the parables that Jesus interpreted are very close to allegorical or at least typological. Jesus used the details to convey truth (the Sower, Matt. 13; Mark 4; Luke 8 and the wicked tenants, Matt. 21; Mark 12, Luke 20).

Some of the other parables also have several main truths. A good example is the parable of the Prodigal Son (Luke 15:11-32). It is not only the love of the Father and waywardness of the younger son, but also the attitude of the older son, that is integral to the full meaning of the parable.

Here is a helpful statement from *Linguistics and Biblical Interpretation* by Peter Cotterell and Max Turner:

“It was Adolf Juliuscher more than any other who directed New Testament scholarship towards a decisive attempt to understand the role of parable in the teaching of Jesus. The radical allegorizing of the parables was abandoned and the search begun for a key that would enable us to penetrate their true meaning. But as Jeremias made clear, ‘His efforts to free the parables from the fantastic and arbitrary interpretations of every detail caused him to fall into a fatal error.’ The error was to insist not merely that a parable should be understood as conveying a single idea, but that the idea should be as general as possible” (p. 308).

Another helpful statement from *The Hermeneutical Spiral* by Grant Osborne is:

‘Yet I have noted many indications that the parables are indeed allegories, albeit controlled by the author’s intention. Blomberg (1990) in fact argues that there are as many points as there are characters in the parables and that they are indeed allegories. While this is somewhat overstated, it is nearer the truth than the ‘one point’ approach’ (p. 240).

Should parables be used to teach doctrinal truths or illuminate doctrinal truths? Most interpreters have been influenced by the abuse of the allegorical method of interpreting parables, which allowed them to establish doctrines that had no connection to Jesus’ original intent or that of the Gospel writer. Meaning must be linked to authorial intent. Jesus and the Gospel writers were under inspiration, but interpreters are not.

However badly the parables have been abused, they still function as vehicles of truth. Hear Bernard Ramm on this point!

“Parables do teach doctrine and the claim that they may not be used at all in doctrinal writing is improper…we must check our results with plain, evident teaching of our Lord, and with the rest of the New Testament. Parables with proper cautions may be used to illustrate doctrine, illuminate Christian experience and to teach practical lessons.” *Protestant Biblical Interpretation* (p. 285).

In conclusion let me give three quotes that reflect warnings in our interpretation of parables:

1. Taken from *How to Read the Bible For All Its Worth* by Gordon Fee and Doug Stuart:

   “The parables have suffered a fate of misinterpretation in the church second only to the Revelation” (p. 135).

2. Taken from *Understanding and Applying the Bible* by J. Robertson McQuilkin:

   “Parables have been the source of untold blessing in enlightening God’s people concerning spiritual truth. At the same time, parables have been the source of untold confusion in both doctrine and practice in the church” (p. 164).

3. Taken from *The Hermeneutical Spiral* by Grant Osborne:

   “Parables have been among the most written about yet hermeneutically abused portions of Scripture. . .the most dynamic, yet the most difficult to comprehend of the biblical genres. The potential of the parable for communication is enormous, since it creates a comparison or story based upon everyday experiences. However, that story itself is capable of many meanings, and the modern reader has as much difficulty interpreting it as did the ancient hearers” (p. 235).

C. A Checklist for Interpreting Parables

1. General Hermeneutical Principles
   a. What is the central purpose of:
      (1) the whole Gospel
      (2) the literary unit
      (3) the immediate context
   b. Identify, if possible, the original audience (disciples, crowd, religious leaders)
   c. Seek the historical setting
      (1) of Jesus
      (2) of the Gospel author
   d. What is the main truth(s) of the story
      (1) express it in one declarative sentence
      (2) list the main characters of the parable (usually 2 or 3) and assign a purpose, truth, or plot development to each
   e. Check the other gospels for their use of the parabolic material. Is it the same or different?
2. Special Hermeneutics
   a. Read (or better, listen to) the story again and again
   b. Identify the cultural aspects of the story. Look for what would have surprised the original hearers. This surprising twist usually comes toward the end. It can be a statement of Jesus or the hearers or a character in the story or the Gospel writer
   c. What response was Jesus seeking to elicit in the story?

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 8:1-3

Soon afterwards, He began going around from one city and village to another, proclaiming and preaching the kingdom of God. The twelve were with Him, and also some women who had been healed of evil spirits and sicknesses: Mary who was called Magdalene, from whom seven demons had gone out, and Joanna the wife of Chuza, Herod’s steward, and Susanna, and many others who were contributing to their support out of their private means.

8:1
NASB “from one city and village to another”
NKJV “every city and village”
NRSV “cities and villages”
TEV, NJB “towns and villages”

Jesus was trying to reach everyone with the Good News. This extensive tour of Galilee was precipitated by the leaders’ rejection of Him in Judea.

“proclaiming and preaching” These two Greek terms (κηρύσσω and evangelizo) are synonymous. They (usually, but not always, cf. Luke 1:19) both reflect the public announcement of the gospel. Both of these are PRESENT PARTICIPLES.

“the kingdom of God” This refers to the reign of God now in man’s hearts that will one day be consummated in God’s reign over all the earth. This is the focal message of Jesus’ ministry (cf. Matt. 6:10). See Special Topic at 4:21.


8:2 “some women who had been healed of evil spirits and sicknesses” This is a PERIPHRASTIC PERFECT PASSIVE. Jesus had healed or exorcized this group of women. These women apparently followed and contributed to the needs of Jesus and the Apostolic group (money for sure and probably cooking, washing clothes, etc.). They traveled with Jesus and the Twelve. See Special Topic: The Demonic at 4:33

8:3 “Joanna” She is mentioned only here and in 24:10. She was married to a servant of Herod Antipas, which means she was a woman of means. How much or how often she traveled with Jesus and the Apostolic group is uncertain. She traveled through Galilee in chapter 8 and was present in Jerusalem during the Passion Week. She may have seen the crucifixion and helped prepare the spices for burial (cf. 23:55-56). She then returned to the tomb (cf. 24:10).

“Susanna” There is no other mention of this woman in the NT.

SPECIAL TOPIC: THE WOMEN WHO FOLLOWED JESUS

A. The first mention of the women followers of Jesus who helped Him and the Apostolic band is Luke 8:1-3.
   1. Mary, who was called Magdalene (v. 2)
      a. Matt. 27:56,61; 28:1
      b. Mark 15:40,47; 16:1,9
      c. Luke 8:2; 24:10
      d. John 19:25; 20:1,11,16,18
   2. Joanna, the wife of Chuza (Herod’s servant, v. 3) is listed also in Luke 24:10
   3. Susanna (v. 3)
   4. “and many others who were contributing to their support out of their private means” (v. 3)

B. A group of women are mentioned as being present at the crucifixion
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1. Matthew’s list
   a. Mary Magdalene (27:56)
   b. Mary the mother of James and Josephus (27:56)
   c. the mother of the sons of Zebedee (27:56)
2. Mark’s list
   a. Mary Magdalene (25:40)
   b. Mary the mother of James the Less and Joses (15:40)
   c. Salome (15:40)
3. Luke says only “the women who accompanied Him from Galilee” (23:49)
4. John’s list
   a. Mary, Jesus’ mother (19:25)
   b. His mother’s sister (19:25)
   c. Mary of Clopas [KJ Cleophas, this could mean wife of Clopas or daughter of Clopas] (19:25)
   d. Mary Magdalene (19:25)
C. A group of women is mentioned observing the place of Jesus’ burial
1. Matthew’s list
   a. Mary Magdalene (27:61)
   b. the other Mary (27:61)
2. Mark’s list
   a. Mary Magdalene (15:47)
   b. Mary the mother of Joses (15:47)
3. Luke says only “the women who had come with Him out of Galilee” (23:55)
4. John has no record of the women seeing the tomb
D. A group of women came to the tomb early Sunday morning
1. Matthew’s list
   a. Mary Magdalene (28:1)
   b. the other Mary (28:1)
2. Mark’s list
   a. Mary Magdalene (16:1)
   b. Mary the mother of James (16:1)
   c. Salome (16:1)
3. Luke’s list
   a. “they came to the tomb” (24:1-5,24)
      (1) Mary Magdalene (24:10)
      (2) Joanna (24:10)
      (3) Mary the mother of James (24:10)
4. John lists only Mary Magdalene (20:1,11)
E. The women are mentioned as being present in the upper room (Acts 1:14)
1. “the women” (1:14)
2. Mary the mother of Jesus (1:14)
F. The exact relationship between the different women in these different lists is uncertain. Mary Magdalene obviously has a predominate role. A good article on women in Jesus’ life and ministry is found in Dictionary of Jesus and the Gospels published by IVP, pp. 880-886.

NASB “to their support”
NKJV “who provided for Him”
NRSV, NJB “who provided for them”
TEV “to help Jesus and his disciples”
The Greek manuscript evidence is divided between the SINGULAR (cf. MSS \( \text{N, A, L, and Matt. 27:55; Mark 15:41} \)) and the PLURAL (cf. MSS \( \text{B, D, and W} \)). It is hard to decide which is original (UBS\(^4\) gives the PLURAL a “B” rating, meaning “almost certain”), but as with most variants, it really does not make much difference. Several women followed Jesus and the Apostles and helped them.

### NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 8:4-8

> 4When a large crowd was coming together, and those from the various cities were journeying to Him, He spoke by way of a parable: 5"The sower went out to sow his seed; and as he sowed, some fell beside the road, and it was trampled under foot and the birds of the air ate it up. 6Other seed fell on rocky soil, and as soon as it grew up, it withered away, because it had no moisture. 7Other seed fell among the thorns; and the thorns grew up with it and choked it out. 8Other seed fell into the good soil, and grew up, and produced a crop a hundred times as great." As He said these things, He would call out, "He who has ears to hear, let him hear."}

**8:4 “parable”** See introduction to the chapter for hermeneutical helps.

**8:5 “The sower went out to sow his seed”** This would have been an agricultural procedure everyone in that culture would have identified with. There may have been a sower in the distance that Jesus pointed to.

This parable is repeated in all three Synoptic Gospels. In many ways this parable, accompanied with Jesus’ interpretation, is the paradigm for all the rest.

Notice that salvation is not human discovery or merit, but divine revelation (word of God); also note this is not a text on predestination, but the eternal consequences of human choices! This is really a parable about different soils (i.e. human hearts).

- **“road”** The farmer plowed up his entire field, even the footpaths that traversed them. The seed that fell in these well-worn paths did not penetrate the packed soil and it was quickly trampled on by passers-by.

**8:6 “rocky soil”** The farmer could not tell where the rocky ledges or the large underground boulders were located, but the seeds did not have enough soil in which to root.

**8:7** This refers to the well established, thorny weeds which were plowed under (therefore they could not be seen), but quickly reestablished and crowded out the newly germinated grain.

**8:8 “a hundred times as great”** Matthew has a scale of grain production graded from 30 to 60 to 100 (cf. Matt. 13:8).

- **“He who has ears to hear, let him hear”** This implies that an openness to the Spirit was required for understanding (cf. Mark 4:9; Matt. 13:9). In a sense, these parables were spiritual riddles. The heart (prepared by the Spirit, cf. John 6:44,65) of the hearer was crucial.

Notice also that of the four types of soil, three allowed the seed to germinate, but only one allowed fruit-bearing. Salvation involves evidence. Eternal life has observable characteristics! Be careful of an initial response as the only evidence of salvation. The yield varies, but not fruitfulness. True salvation is an initial response to the gospel followed by a daily response. This parable is a warning against an “easy believism” (as is John 15)!

### NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 8:9-10

> 9His disciples began questioning Him as to what this parable meant. 10And He said, "To you it has been granted to know the mysteries of the kingdom of God, but to the rest it is in parables, so that SEEING THEY MAY NOT SEE, AND HEARING THEY MAY NOT UNDERSTAND.

**8:9 “His disciples began questioning Him as to what this parable meant”** Even the inner group of Apostles did not understand the spiritual significance of parables. This is comforting to me when I do not understand Jesus’ words either.

**8:10 “To you it has been granted”** This is a PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE. We are responsible stewards of the spiritual truths we possess. “To whom much is given, much is required” (cf. Luke 12:48).

This private teaching, which seems to be a regular occurrence, may explain the differences between the Synoptic Gospels and John’s Gospel. Jesus speaks very differently in John. It is possible that the parabolic teachings, so common in the Synoptics, were done before the crowds and that the totally different style (i.e. “I Am” statements) that the Gospel of John records were done in private with the disciples.

It is just possible that this whole issue of special instruction for the Twelve may have functioned in the early church as a way of accentuating Apostolic authority. They, and they alone, knew the “true” interpretation of Jesus’ words. All revelation comes through these chosen and inspired disciples (NT authors).
“the mysteries of the kingdom of God” This is the Greek term μυστήριον. It is used in the NT in several different senses. Here in Luke it is PLURAL. In Mark 4:11 and here it is revealed truth which the leaders and the crowd could not comprehend (cf. Isa. 6:9-10).

SPECIAL TOPIC: MYSTERY

A. In the Synoptic Gospels “mystery” is used of the spiritual insights gleaned from Jesus’ parables.
   1. Mark 4:11
   2. Matthew 13:11
   3. Luke 8:10
B. Paul uses it in several different ways.
   1. A partial hardening of Israel to allow Gentiles to be included. This influx of Gentiles will work as a mechanism for Jews to accept Jesus as the Christ of prophecy (cf. Rom. 11:25-32).
   2. The gospel made known to the nations, telling them that they are all included in Christ and through Christ (cf. Rom. 16:25-27; Col. 2:2).
   4. The summing up of all things in Christ (cf. Eph. 1:8-11).
   6. Intimacy of the relationship between Christ and the Church described in marriage terms (cf. Eph. 5:22-33).
   7. Gentiles included in the covenant people and indwelt by the Spirit of Christ so as to produce Christlike maturity, that is, restore the marred image of God in man (cf. Gen. 1:26-27; 5:1; 6:5,11-13; 8:21; 9:6; Col. 1:26-28).
   8. The end time Anti-Christ (cf. II Thess. 2:1-11).
C. An early church summary of the mystery found in I Tim. 1:16.
D. In John’s Revelation it is used of the meaning of John’s apocalyptic symbols.
   1. 1:20
   2. 10:7
   3. 17:5,6
E. These are truths that men cannot discover; they must be revealed by God. These insights are crucial for a true understanding of God’s eternal plan for the redemption of all people (cf. Gen. 3:15).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 8:11-15

11“Now the parable is this: the seed is the word of God. 12Those beside the road are those who have heard; then the devil comes and takes away the word from their heart, so that they will not believe and be saved. 13Those on the rocky soil are those who, when they hear, receive the word with joy; and these have no firm root; they believe for a while, and in time of temptation fall away. 14The seed which fell among the thorns, these are the ones who have heard, and as they go on their way they are choked with worries and riches and pleasures of this life, and bring no fruit to maturity. 15But the seed in the good soil, these are the ones who have heard the word in an honest and good heart, and hold it fast, and bear fruit with perseverance.”

8:11 “the word of God” See note at 5:1.

8:12 “the devil comes and takes away the word from their hearts” The NT teaches the reality of a personal force of evil out to thwart God’s gospel (cf. II Cor. 4:4). See Special Topic: Satan at 4:2.

“will not believe and be saved” It is so hard to precisely define the procedure and process of salvation (ex. the variety of conversions in Acts). This is because the NT approaches the subject from several different angles:
1. repentance and faith
2. faith and works
3. faith and baptism
4. faith and tongues
However, the consistent requirement is faith. I have come to understand this faith as having three crucial aspects.
1. receiving/welcoming a person (Jesus)
2. believing truths about that person (the NT)
3. living a life emulating that person (Christlikeness).

Some of these are initial; others develop over time. New Testament faith is a dynamic relationship which is difficult to explain. It is more than just faith, but it starts there and finishes there for us. In reality it starts and finishes with God.

“from their heart” This is the OT use of the term “heart” to refer to the person (cf. v. 15). Often today we speak of inviting Jesus into our heart, which is the same metaphorical usage of heart as the will, mind, and emotions of a person. See Special Topic at 1:51.

8:13 “those who, when they hear, receive the word with joy” This shows that the joyful acceptance of the gospel is not automatically eternal salvation! The word “receive” (cf. John 1:12) is synonymous with “believer” (cf. John 3:16). Receive/believe is used in John 8:31 for Jews who later tried to kill Jesus (cf. 8:59).

SPECIAL TOPIC: THE NEED TO PERSEVERE

The biblical doctrines related to the Christian life are difficult to explain because they are presented in typically eastern dialectical pairs. These pairs seem contradictory, yet both are biblical. Western Christians have tended to choose one truth and ignore or depreciate the opposite truth. Let me illustrate.

1. Is salvation an initial decision to trust Christ or a lifetime commitment to discipleship?
2. Is salvation an election by means of grace from a sovereign God or mankind’s believing and repentant response to a divine offer?
3. Is salvation, once received, impossible to lose, or is there a need for continual diligence?

The issue of perseverance has been contentious throughout church history. The problem begins with apparently conflicting passages of the NT:

1. texts on assurance
   a. statements of Jesus (John 6:37; 10:28-29)
   b. statements of Paul (Rom. 8:35-39; Eph. 1:13; 2:5,8-9; Phil. 1:6; 2:13; II Thess. 3:3; II Tim. 1:12; 4:18)
   c. statements of Peter (I Pet. 1:4-5)

2. texts on the need for perseverance
   a. statements of Jesus (Matt. 10:22; 13:1-9,24-30; 24:13; Mark 13:13; John 8:31; 15:4-10; Rev. 2:7,17,20; 3:5,12,21)
   b. statements of Paul (Rom. 11:22; I Cor. 15:2; II Cor. 13:5; Gal. 1:6; 3:4; 5:4; 6:9; Phil. 2:12; 3:18-20; Col. 1:23)
   c. statements of the author of Hebrews (2:1; 3:6,14; 4:14; 6:11)
   d. statements of John (I John 2:6; II John 9)
   e. statement of the Father (Rev. 21:7)

Biblical salvation issues from the love, mercy, and grace of a sovereign Triune God. No human can be saved without the initiation of the Spirit (cf. John 6:44,65). Deity comes first and sets the agenda, but demands that humans respond in faith and repentance, both initially and continually. God works with mankind in a covenant relationship. There are privileges and responsibilities!

Salvation is offered to all humans. Jesus’ death dealt with the fallen creation’s sin problem. God has provided a way and wants all those made in His image to respond to His love and provision in Jesus.

If you would like to read more on this subject from a non-Calvinistic perspective, see


The Bible addresses two different problems in this area: (1) taking assurance as a license to live fruitless, selfish lives and (2) encouraging those who struggle with ministry and personal sin. The problem is that the wrong groups are taking the wrong message and building theological systems on limited biblical passages. Some Christians desperately need the message of assurance, while others need the stern warnings! Which group are you in?
“are choked with worries and riches and pleasure of this life” Here is another group who, after what seems to be a vital initial response to the Good News, succumbed to the pressures of earthly fallen life. (cf. Demas in II Tim. 4:10; God and mammon in Luke 16:13). The theological questions has always been, “Are these people lost, immature or saved and lost”?

SPECIAL TOPIC: DOES ANY BELIEVER EVER FALL AWAY (i.e. APOSTASY)?

The theological question is, “were these ever believers?” Often our theological or denominational traditions answer this question without reference to specific Bible texts (except the proof-text method of quoting a verse out of context to supposedly prove one’s bias).

There are persons in the Bible who are involved in the people of God and something happens.

I. Old Testament
   A. Korah, Num. 16
   B. Eli’s sons, I Sam. 2, 4
   C. Saul, I Sam. 11-31
   D. False prophets (examples)
      2. Jeremiah 28
      3. Ezekiel 13:1-7
   E. False prophetesses
      1. Ezekiel 13:17
      2. Nehemiah 6:14
   F. Evil leaders of Israel (examples)
      1. Jeremiah 5:30-31; 8:1-2; 23:1-4
      2. Ezekiel 22:23-31
      3. Micah 3:5-12

II. New Testament
   A. Apparent faith
      1. Judas, John 17:12
      2. Simon Magnus, Acts 8
      3. Those spoken of in Matt. 7:21-23
      4. Those spoken of in Matt. 13
      5. Alexander and Hymenaeus, I Tim. 1:19-20
      6. Hymenaeus and Philetus, II Tim. 2:16-18
      7. Demas, II Tim. 4:10
      8. False teachers, II Peter 2:19-20; Jude 12-19
   B. Fruitless faith
      1. Matthew 7
      2. I Corinthians 3:10-15
      3. II Peter 1:8-11

We rarely think about these texts because our systematic theology (Calvinism, Arminianism, etc.) dictates the mandated response. Please do not pre-judge me because I bring up this subject. My concern is proper hermeneutical procedure. We must let the Bible speak to us, not try to mold it into a preset theology. This is often painful and shocking because much of our theology is denominational, cultural or relational (parent, friend, pastor), not biblical. Some who are in the People of God turn out to not be in the People of God (e.g. Rom. 9:6).

“bring no fruit to maturity” This issue is fruit-bearing, not germination only (cf. Matt. 7).

8:15 “hold it fast and bear fruit with perseverance” Both of these are PRESENT ACTIVE INDICATIVES. Here is the key—the harvest is the result of a whole life, not one emotional incident of dedication to God (cf. Gal. 6:9). There is a good article on “Apostasy” in Dictionary of Biblical Imagery, pp. 38-40.
8:16 “‘Now no one after lighting a lamp covers it over with a container’” This is related to the preceding parable. It emphasizes the true believer’s need to live and share the truth of the gospel. We are saved to serve, to tell.

“lampstand” This could refer to several different ways by which lights were positioned in the home so as to give off the most illumination: (1) an out-cropping in the wall; (2) a hanger on the wall; or (3) some type of pedestal.

8:17-18 These two verses must relate to the previous context of “apparent” believers. The intentions of the heart will one day be revealed. God looks first at the heart, not the religious actions. One’s true motive will become evident (1) in this life or (2) on judgment day.

8:21 “‘My mother and My brothers’” This shocking statement shows Jesus’ self-understanding and the radical nature of biblical faith that can only be described in terms of a new birth, a new family. Family life was such an important aspect of Jewish life (cf. J. Duncan, M. Derrett, Jesus’ Audience, pp. 38-45) that to use this of fellow believers is significant. Believers relate to deity as family members; God is Father, Jesus is the unique Son and Savior, but believers, even the least, are children of God too!

“‘who hear the word of God and do it’” This reflects the Hebrew word Shema (cf. Deut. 5:1; 6:4), which means hear so as to do (cf. 11:28). This is the emphasis of the book of James. Eternal life has observable characteristics!

8:23 “a fierce gale of wind descended on the lake” The Sea of Galilee is several hundred feet below sea level, surrounded by high, rolling hills. The wind that funnels down from these hills is able to swirl the lake into a tempest in a relatively short time.

8:24 “‘Master, Master’” See note at 5:5.

“we are perishing” This is a PRESENT MIDDLE INDICATIVE. Remember these were seasoned fishermen. It must have been some storm!

8:25 “‘Where is your faith’” The parallels in Matthew (cf. 8:26) and Mark (cf. 4:40) add “why are you afraid”? Many of Jesus’ miracles were for the purpose of training the disciples.

“Who then is this” This verse clearly displays the Apostles’ theological immaturity. Jesus faced several types of unbelief: (1) His family’s; (2) the crowds’; and (3) the disciples’. Numbers 1 and 3 are spiritually growing. Their unbelief is based on ignorance, but number 2 is willful.

8:26 Then they sailed to the country of the Gerasenes, which is opposite Galilee. 27 And when He came out onto the land, He was met by a man from the city who was possessed with demons; and who had not put on any clothing for a long time,
and was not living in a house, but in the tombs. 28Seeing Jesus, he cried out and fell before Him, and said in a loud voice, "What business do we have with each other, Jesus, Son of the Most High God? I beg You, do not torment me." 29For He had commanded the unclean spirit to come out of the man. For it had seized him many times; and he was bound with chains and shackles and kept under guard, and yet he would break his bonds and be driven by the demon into the desert. 30And Jesus asked him, "What is your name?" And he said, "Legion"; for many demons had entered him. 31They were imploring Him not to command them to go away into the abyss.

8:26 “country of the Gerasenes” This area goes by several names in the Gospels. It is sometimes called Gadara. There is a town by this same name several miles away from the sea, but we have learned from archeological evidence that this town owned land near the sea.

8:27 “a man” Matthew 8:28 has two men, but this is characteristic of the Gospel of Matthew, which often has “two” while the other Synoptics have one. Another example would be the blind man/men of Jericho (cf. Matt. 20:29; Mark 10:46; Luke 18:35). Some have supposed that two were mentioned because this number was required to be witnesses in court (cf. Num. 35:30; Deut. 17:6; Matt. 18:16). There is a good article in Hard Sayings of the Bible, pp. 371-377.

“who was possessed with demons” See Special Topic: The Demonic at 4:33.

“in the tombs” He had been ostracized by the community and this was the only place where he could find shelter. During this period of time small manmade or natural caves were used as burial places. Whether this location was connected with his demon possession is uncertain. There are many specific questions about demons and angels which cannot be answered because there is not enough biblical information. Our world is permeated by a personal force of evil with his servants, the demonic, who are out to thwart the will of God and to destroy mankind, God’s ultimate creation and the focus of His love and attention.

8:28
NASB “What business do we have with each other”
NKJV “What have I to do with You”
NRSV “What have you to do with me”
TEV, NJB “What do you want with me”

This is literally “what to me and to you.” In A Translator’s Handbook on the Gospel of Mark, Bratcher and Nida note that “In classical Greek the phrase would mean ‘what have we in common?’ Here, however, it corresponds to the Hebrew ‘Why do you meddle with me’” (p. 49). This idiom is illustrated in Judges 11:12; II Sam. 16:10; 19:22; I Kgs. 17:18; II Chr. 35:12.

“Jesus, Son of the Most High God” These demons knew who Jesus was (cf. James 2:19; Mark 1:23), but Jesus refused their testimonies because He knew that the religious leaders who could not deny His power would later accuse Him of using Satan’s power (cf. 11:14-26). See notes at 1:32 and 1:76.

“do not torment me” It is interesting that in this conversation sometimes the plural is used of the demons and sometimes the singular (head demon).

This is grammatically an AORIST ACTIVE SUBJUNCTIVE of prohibition functioning as an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE, which implies “never start an action” (cf. Barbara and Timothy Friberg’s Analytical Greek New Testament, p. 120). The demonic knew that judgment was coming (cf. Mark 1:23-24; Matt. 25:41; Rev. 12:9; 20:10). The parallels in Matt. 8:29 and Mark 5:7 also imply eschatological judgment. These demons apparently did not know about the two comings of the Messiah. This context shows that even “spirits” can suffer!

8:29 This describes the man’s previous life (cf. Mark 5:3-5; Matt. 8:28).

“into the desert” These non-inhabited regions were often associated in the OT with the demonic (cf. Luke 4:1-2).

8:30 “Legion” In the Roman Army 6,000 troops made up a Legion (though in reality they often had less than this ideal number). This is another of the many Latin terms used in Mark. This may have been a metaphor of the degree of their control over the man. However, because of v. 32, which describes the demons causing the death of many hogs, it may be literal.

8:31 “the abyss” This seems to refer to Hades in Rom. 10:7. It is also mentioned in Rev. 9:1; 11:7; 17:8; 20:1,3. Let me quote my note from Rev. 9:1:

“A ‘the key of the bottomless pit was given to him’ A ‘key’ is mentioned in 1:18 and 20:1. It symbolizes authority. God exercises authority over the demonic hordes of judgment. The abyss is a Greek term that meant
“depth” negated by an ALPHA PRIVATIVE. It is used in the Septuagint (Greek translation of the OT) in Gen. 1:2 and 7:11.

It seems to be synonymous with the term “tartarus” (cf. II Pet. 2:4 and I Enoch 21:7), a place where evil angels are held in prison (cf. Luke 8:31; Jude 6; Rev. 11:7; 17:8; 20:1-3; and I Enoch 10:4; 86:1; 88:1; Jubilees 5:6-11). Paul used this term in Rom. 10:7 for the place of the dead (cf. Isa. 24:21-22). Later the rabbis said it was the name of the unrighteous part of Sheol/Hades.”

---

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 8:32-33

32 Now there was a herd of many swine feeding there on the mountain; and the demons implored Him to permit them to enter the swine. And He gave them permission. 33 And the demons came out of the man and entered the swine; and the herd rushed down the steep bank into the lake and was drowned.

8:32 “swine” Obviously this was a Gentile area (cf. Lev. 11:7; Deut. 14:8).

8:33 Notice that the demons made a request to Jesus. The text does not tell us why Jesus allowed these demons to go into the hogs or why they wanted to. Possibly the demons leaving the man and entering the hogs was a visible way of encouraging the man to believe he was delivered. Perhaps it was a visual aid, similar to Jesus putting spit (cf. Mark 8:23) and/or mud into blind eyes (cf. John 9:6) or putting His fingers in a deaf person’s ears (cf. Mark 7:33). The demons may have requested it because (1) they preferred hogs to the abyss or (2) this action would cause the townspeople to ask Jesus to leave. Demons do not do things to help Jesus!

---

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 8:34-39

34 When the herdsmen saw what had happened, they ran away and reported it in the city and out in the country. 35 The people went out to see what had happened; and they came to Jesus, and found the man from whom the demons had gone out, sitting down at the feet of Jesus, clothed and in his right mind; and they became frightened. 36 Those who had seen it reported to them how the man who was demon-possessed had been made well. 37 And all the people of the country of the Gerasenes and the surrounding district asked Him to leave them, for they were gripped with great fear; and He got into a boat and returned. 38 But the man from whom the demons had gone out was begging Him that he might accompany Him; but He sent him away, saying, 39 “Return to your house and describe what great things God has done for you.” So he went away, proclaiming throughout the whole city what great things Jesus had done for him.

8:34-37 What a sad account of fear and greed (cf. Mark 5:15). There was no joy over the man’s restitution, just fear (cf. v. 37). They were so concerned over the loss of a herd of pigs and other possible consequences that they asked Jesus to leave, and He did!

This is a good example that shows that miracles, in and of themselves, do not always result in faith!

8:38-39 “the man from whom the demons had gone out was begging Him that he might accompany Him” Jesus wanted this Gentile man to witness to his family and friends about the love and power of God. This was the first “home missionary” (assuming he was a Gentile). This man’s presence and testimony may have negatively affected Jesus’ mission to the lost sheep of the house of Israel.

---

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 8:40-42

40 And as Jesus returned, the people welcomed Him, for they had all been waiting for Him. 41 And there came a man named Jairus, and he was an official of the synagogue; and he fell at Jesus’ feet, and began to implore Him to come to his house; 42 for he had an only daughter, about twelve years old, and she was dying. But as He went, the crowds were pressing against Him.

8:41 “Jairus” This is a Hebrew name which means “he who gives light” (cf. Jdgs. 10:3) or “YHWH has enlightened.”

—he was an officer of the synagogue” He was in charge of both the order of service on the Sabbath and the physical maintenance of the synagogue. This was a man of religious stature in the community.

8:42 “for he had an only daughter, about twelve years old, and she was dying” The girl was this man’s only child. She was at the age of becoming a marriageable woman, responsible for keeping the Law (bat mitzvah). Jesus was his only hope!
NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 8:43-48

43And a woman who had a hemorrhage for twelve years, and could not be healed by anyone, 44came up behind Him and touched the fringe of His cloak, and immediately her hemorrhage stopped. 45And Jesus said, "Who is the one who touched Me?" And while they were all denying it, Peter said, "Master, the people are crowding and pressing in on You."
46But Jesus said, "Someone did touch Me, for I was aware that power had gone out of Me." 47When the woman saw that she had not escaped notice, she came trembling and fell down before Him, and declared in the presence of all the people the reason why she had touched Him, and how she had been immediately healed. 48And He said to her, "Daughter, your faith has made you well; go in peace."

8:43 “a woman who had a hemorrhage for twelve years and could not be healed by anyone” It is interesting to me that Luke leaves out the references to (1) the doctors’ inability to heal the woman, and (2) her spending her entire savings trying to be healed (There is a Greek manuscript variant connected with the inclusion of this phrase concerning doctors in Luke. It is missing in MSS P75 and B. It may have been assimilated from Mark 5:26). This ailment would have made her ceremonially unclean (cf. Lev. 15:25-27). She could not have attended synagogue or religious festivals. The rabbinical cures for this kind of illness are very strange: (1) carry the ashes of an ostrich egg in a linen rag in the summer and a cotton rag in the winter or (2) carry the barley corn from the dung of a white female donkey (cf. Shabb. 110 A & B).

8:44 “and touched the fringe of His cloak” This refers to His tallith. This was the prayer shawl worn by rabbinical teachers in fulfillment of Num. 15:38-40 and Deut. 22:12. It has four tassels (cf. Matt. 9:20) to symbolize the law of Israel and she touched one of these.

8:45 “Jesus said, ‘Who is the one who touched Me?’” Either Jesus did not know who touched Him or He wanted the woman to make a public profession of her faith and healing.

NASB “Peter said”
NKJV “Peter and those with him”

The shorter reading is found in the ancient Greek manuscripts P75 and B, but the vast majority of ancient texts support the longer reading (cf. MSS B, A, C*, D, L, P, and W). The UBS translation committee chooses the shorter reading and give it a “B” rating (almost certain).

8:46 “for I was aware that power had gone out of Me” Exactly what this involves is uncertain. Apparently, Jesus’ physical healing of others took something out of Him (cf. 5:17; 6:19).

8:47 Her illness made her ceremonially unclean. She should never have touched a religious teacher. She now testified that her touch had immediately resulted in her healing (cf. v. 44).

8:48 “your faith has made you well” Not her touch, but acting on her faith in Him was the key. Faith itself is not the issue, but the object of faith (i.e. Jesus). There was nothing magical here, nor was it the power of positive thinking, but the power of Jesus. This is another use of the Greek σκότος in both its OT and NT senses. It is a PERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE, which implied she was healed and remained healed of the physical problem and her spiritual problem.

NASB “go in peace” This is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE. She was not only physically healed, but spiritually healed.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 8:49-56

49While He was still speaking, someone came from the house of the synagogue official, saying, "Your daughter has died; do not trouble the Teacher anymore." 50But when Jesus heard this, He answered him, "Do not be afraid any longer; only believe, and she will be made well." 51When He came to the house, He did not allow anyone to enter with Him, except Peter and John and James, and the girl’s father and mother. 52Now they were all weeping and lamenting for her; but He said, "Stop weeping, for she has not died, but is asleep.” 53And they began laughing at Him, knowing that she had died. 54He, however, took her by the hand and called, saying, "Child, arise!” 55And her spirit returned, and she got up immediately; and He gave orders for something to be given her to eat. 56Her parents were amazed; but He instructed them to tell no one what had happened.

8:49 “‘Your daughter has died”’ This is a PERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE. She died and had entered into death. Whether this girl was dead or in a coma is difficult to know (cf. Acts 20:7-12). The family thought she was dead and had hired professional mourners, which was a common practice in that day.
“do not trouble” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. This word was also used by the centurion seeking Jesus for healing (cf. 7:6).

“the Teacher” Luke never calls Jesus “rabbi” because he is writing to Gentiles. However, this designation is also used often in Matthew’s Gospel. It was a way to characterize Jesus’ ministry. He acted, then He explained the significance of His person, work, and mission. Preaching and teaching are used interchangeably in the Gospels.

8:50 “Do not be afraid” This is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICIPLE, which usually denotes the cessation of an act in process.

This man had stood there patiently as Jesus visited with the woman, but now it was too late. This delay may have been purposeful to test this man’s faith in Jesus (cf. Mary and Martha’s test of faith in John 11).

only believe” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. Faith is the opposite of fear (doubt). Believe what? Believe that Jesus was capable of healing his daughter and fulfilling His word.

This is still the issue today. Will Jesus fulfill His word? Can we trust Him to do what He promised?

8:51 “He did not allow anyone to enter with Him, except Peter and John and James” This is the inner circle of disciples who were present at all the intimate, special times with the Lord.

8:52 “they” This must refer to the crowd of mourners gathered at this home (cf. v. 53).

“Stop weeping” This is another PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually means to stop an act in progress.

“asleep” Sleep is an OT circumlocution for physical death (cf. John 11:11). It is difficult to know whether this is a healing (cf. v. 52) or a resuscitation (cf. vv. 49,53,55). This series of miracles has shown Jesus’ power over nature, the demonic, illness, and death.

8:54 “arise” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. This is a common VERB with a large semantic range, but it is often used of resuscitation (cf. 7:14,22; 8:54; 9:2; 20:37), but also of the resurrection (cf. 9:22; 24:6,34). Jesus has power over death (cf. John 10:17-18).

8:56 “He instructed them to tell no one what had happened” This is much like Mark’s Messianic secret. In the Synoptics, Jesus’ deity is veiled until after the great truths of Calvary and the resurrection are revealed. Jesus did not want to be known as a healer. The crowds wanted favors, not truth; healing, not conversion.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. What is the major truth in the parable of the soils?
2. Is it possible for someone to receive Jesus Christ and then later to be lost?
3. Why does Jesus mention the Kingdom of God so often?
4. Why did Mary and Jesus’ brothers come to see Him?
5. Is demon possession a live option today? Is it a possibility for believers?
6. Why did Jesus tell the parents not to say anything about the raising of their daughter?
### LUKE 9

#### PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS4</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Mission of the Twelve</strong></td>
<td><strong>Sending Out the Twelve</strong></td>
<td><strong>Commissioning and Instruction of the Twelve</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jesus Sends Out the Twelve Disciples</strong></td>
<td><strong>The Mission of the Twelve</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Herod’s Anxiety</strong></td>
<td><strong>John the Baptist Beheaded</strong></td>
<td><strong>Herod Asks About Jesus</strong></td>
<td><strong>Herod’s Confusion</strong></td>
<td><strong>Herod and Jesus</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Feeding of the Five Thousand</strong></td>
<td><strong>Feeding the Five Thousand</strong></td>
<td><strong>Five Thousand Fed</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jesus Feeds Five Thousand</strong></td>
<td><strong>The Return of the Apostles–Miracle of the Loaves</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peter’s Declaration About Jesus</strong></td>
<td><strong>Peter Confesses Jesus As the Christ</strong></td>
<td><strong>Peter’s Confession</strong></td>
<td><strong>Peter’s Declaration About Jesus</strong></td>
<td><strong>Peter’s Profession of Faith</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jesus Foretells His Death and Resurrection</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jesus Predicts His Death and Resurrection</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jesus Speaks about His Suffering and Death</strong></td>
<td><strong>First Prophecy of the Passion</strong></td>
<td><strong>The Condition of Following Christ</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Take Up the Cross and Follow Him</strong></td>
<td><strong>On Discipleship</strong></td>
<td><strong>The Kingdom Will Come Soon</strong></td>
<td><strong>The Condition of Following Christ</strong></td>
<td><strong>The Kingdom Will Come Soon</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Transfiguration of Jesus</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jesus Transfigured on the Mount</strong></td>
<td><strong>The Transfiguration</strong></td>
<td><strong>The Transfiguration</strong></td>
<td><strong>The Transfiguration</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Healing of a Boy with an Unclean Spirit</strong></td>
<td><strong>A Boy Is Healed</strong></td>
<td><strong>Epileptic Child Healed</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jesus Heals a Boy with an Evil Spirit</strong></td>
<td><strong>The Epileptic Demoniac</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

128
READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.
And He called the twelve together, and gave them power and authority over all the demons and to heal diseases. And He sent them out to proclaim the kingdom of God and to perform healing. And He said to them, "Take nothing for your journey, neither a staff, nor a bag, nor bread, nor money; and do not even have two tunics apiece. Whatever house you enter, stay there until you leave that city. And as for those who do not receive you, as you go out from that city, shake the dust off your feet as a testimony against them." Departing, they began going throughout the villages, preaching the gospel and healing everywhere.

9:1 “He called the twelve together” Possibly all twelve were not with Jesus all the time. They had responsibilities at home with their families (cf. I Tim. 5:8) and it is quite possible that different groups of Apostles (four groups of three) went home at different times for short periods.

“gave them power and authority” Dunamis means the ability to overcome; exousia means legal right or authority. These two terms are used earlier in 4:36, also in connection with Jesus’ exorcisms of demons. See Special Topic: Luke’s use of exousia at 20:2.

“over all the demons and to heal diseases” Notice the distinction that is made between demon possession and physical illness. Demons often cause physical symptoms, but in exorcisms there is a clear distinction in the NT between demonic activity and physical diseases. See Special Topic: The Demonic at 4:33.

9:2 “He sent them out” The term “sent” (apostellō) is related to the term “apostle” (apostolos). The primary meaning in rabbinical circles was “to send someone” as an official representative with authority. They were to preach that the kingdom of God had come in Jesus of Nazareth and then confirm their message with signs.

Jesus’ power and authority can be delegated to His followers. Surely there is an intensity shared between the Twelve and Jesus that cannot be duplicated, but God’s power is available to His church. Where is the power in our day? It seems that these power signs are used to confirm the gospel message and give credence to the gospel preacher. This is still true today. However, in cultures where the gospel message has taken root, then believers must walk by faith, not by sight; trust in God, not demand miracles (cf. John 4:48). Miracles are not the answer to faith problems! It is also very possible that the judgment of God on the modern western church is the perception of success, but the reality of ineffectiveness.

Signs and miracles as well as demonic and angelic activity increased in Jesus’ and the Apostles’ day. This spiritual activity is surely present in every age, but it intensified at Jesus’ first coming and will intensify again as His second coming draws near.

I rejoice in the manifestations of God’s love and power (i.e. the gifts are still active), but I trust in gospel truths, not the presence or absence of physical confirmations. Miracles and signs can be counterfeit (cf. Matt. 24:24; II Thess. 2:9; Rev. 13:13; 16:14; 19:20). Believers must not demand confirmation! Childlike faith is spiritually superior to supernatural signs and wonders.

This is a good place to compare Luke’s account of the mission of the Twelve to Matthew’s account (cf. 10:5), where Jesus specifically says not to go to the Gentiles or Samaritans, but only to “the lost sheep of the house of Israel.” The questions arises, what exactly did Jesus say? Does Luke edit Jesus’ words or does Matthew expand Jesus’ words? This is the kind of question that cannot be answered. Each evangelist (Gospel writer) had a particular audience in mind (Matthew - Jews, Luke - Gentiles). They select, arrange, and adapt Jesus’ words to fit this target audience. This example of the sending of the Twelve illustrates the differences! This does not violate inspiration; it is part of it!

“the kingdom of God” This was the central thrust of Jesus’ teachings. It relates to the reign of God now in human hearts now that will one day be consummated with God’s reign over all the earth (cf. Matt. 6:10). This new day of God’s activity (the New Age of the Spirit) began in Jesus’ ministry. They did not yet know all the gospel details, but they did know the gospel person—Jesus. It is Him they preach. See Special Topic: The Kingdom of God at 4:21.

SPECIAL TOPIC: THIS AGE AND THE AGE TO COME

The OT prophets viewed the future by an extension of the present. For them the future will be a restoration of geographical Israel. However, even they saw a new day (cf. Isa. 65:17; 66:22). With the continued willful rejection of YHWH by the descendants of Abraham (even after the exile) a new paradigm developed in Jewish intertestamental apocalyptic literature (i.e.
In this area of theology (eschatology) there is an obvious development. Theologians call this “progressive revelation.” The NT affirms this new cosmic reality of two ages (i.e. a temporal dualism):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Jesus</strong></th>
<th><strong>Paul</strong></th>
<th><strong>Hebrews</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matthew 12:32</td>
<td>Romans 12:2</td>
<td>1:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew 13:22 &amp; 29</td>
<td>I Cor. 1:20; 2:6,8; 3:18</td>
<td>6:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark 10:30</td>
<td>II Cor. 4:4</td>
<td>11:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke 16:8</td>
<td>Galatians 1:4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke 18:30</td>
<td>Eph. 1:21; 2:1,7; 6:12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II Timothy 4:10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Titus 2:12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In NT theology these two Jewish ages have been overlapped because of the unexpected and overlooked predictions of the two comings of the Messiah. Jesus’ incarnation fulfilled the OT prophecies of the inauguration of the new age. However, the OT also saw His coming as Judge and Conqueror, yet He came at first as the Suffering Servant (cf. Isa. 53), humble and meek (cf. Zech. 9:9). He will return in power just as the OT predicted (cf. Rev. 19). This two-stage fulfillment caused the Kingdom to be present (inaugurated), but future (not fully consummated). This is the NT tension of the already, but not yet!

9:3 “Take nothing for your journey, neither a staff” There seems to be a contradiction between this verse and Mark 6:8. Some explain it by saying that there was a confusion in translating the two Aramaic terms. Others assert that “a staff” is mentioned because it had a secret compartment for carrying money. Although I cannot explain the discrepancy, it is obvious the main thrust of this verse is that they were to depend on God’s provision, not their own. It is also obvious that this was not a universal principle to be followed in all ages (cf. Luke 22:35-36).

These comparisons are confusing and painful to our simplistic understanding of the nature of the inspiration of the Gospels, but we cannot get away from them. A good discussion of this and other “discrepancies” between Gospel accounts is found in Hard Sayings of the Bible, pp. 422-424.

9:4 “Whatever house you enter, stay there” The disciples were not to be moving from house to house seeking better food or accommodations, but were to stay where they were first invited. This showed the community that they were not self-seeking.

9:5 “shake the dust off your feet as a testimony against them” This was a cultural sign of rejection. The rabbis did this whenever they had to travel through Gentile areas before reentering Jewish areas. It was also used in the book of Acts (cf. Acts 13:51). This may have been a subtle way of treating Jewish unbelievers the way they treated Gentiles.

9:6 As Jesus passed through all the villages preaching and healing, so now the Apostolic group mimics the Master. See Robert Coleman’s The Master Plan of Discipleship.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 9:7-9**

> Now the tetrarch heard of all that was happening; and he was greatly perplexed, because it was said by some that John had risen from the dead, and by some that Elijah had appeared, and by others that one of the prophets of old had risen again. Herod said, “I myself had John beheaded; but who is this man about whom I hear such things?” And he kept trying to see Him.

9:7 “Herod the tetrarch” This refers to Herod Antipas. He was the one who had John the Baptist beheaded and was ruler of Galilee and Perea. He took power from his father, Herod the Great, in 4 B.C. and reigned until A.D. 39. See Special Topic: The Family of Herod the Great at 3:1.

Luke mentions this Herod often.
1. his perplexity and desire to see Jesus, 9:7-9
2. his attempt to kill Jesus, 13:31-33
3. his questioning of Jesus at the request of Pilate, 23:8-12
“he was greatly perplexed” This is an IMPERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE, which refers to repeated action in past time. Luke uses this term (diapore) several times (9:7; Acts 2:12; 5:24; 10:17). It is not used by any other NT writers.

“John had risen from the dead” Matthew (cf. 14:2) and Mark (cf. 6:14) tell us that Herod was worried about Jesus being John the Baptist.

9:8 “by some that Elijah had appeared” In this context all three of the guesses involve a resuscitation. They are repeated again in v. 19. Elijah and John the Baptist were both prophets, similar in their lifestyle and dress.

9:9 “I myself had John beheaded” The fuller account is in Mark 6:14-29.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 9:10-11**

10 When the apostles returned, they gave an account to Him of all that they had done. Taking them with Him, He withdrew by Himself to a city called Bethsaida. 11 But the crowds were aware of this and followed Him; and welcoming them, He began speaking to them about the kingdom of God and curing those who had need of healing.

9:10 “When the apostles returned, they gave an account to Him of all that they had done” Jesus tried to provide a time of debriefing, rest, and recuperation, but from v. 11, it is obvious that a crowd followed Him. It is amazing how Jesus always had time for common people (welcoming, speaking about the Kingdom, and curing those in need). But He needed time alone with the Twelve. See Robert Coleman, *Master Plan of Discipleship*.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 9:12-17**

12 Now the day was ending, and the twelve came and said to Him, "Send the crowd away, that they may go into the surrounding villages and countryside and find lodging and get something to eat; for here we are in a desolate place." 13 But He said to them, "You give them something to eat!" And they said, "We have no more than five loaves and two fish, unless perhaps we go and buy food for all these people." 14 (For there were about five thousand men.) And He said to His disciples, "Have them sit down to eat in groups of about fifty each." 15 They did so, and had them all sit down. 16 Then He took the five loaves and the two fish, and looking up to heaven, He blessed them, and broke them to the disciples to set before the people. 17 And they all ate and were satisfied; and the broken pieces which they had left over were picked up, twelve baskets full.

9:12 Jesus’ popularity had caused Him to preach out in the countryside; no building was large enough and the Jewish leaders did not want Him preaching in the Synagogues. The problem was that there were no facilities in these remote areas to accommodate the needs of the crowd (food, shelter, latrines, etc.). The Apostles were exhibiting concern and practicality, but Jesus wanted them to exhibit faith!

9:13 “You give them something to eat” The account of feeding the five thousand is recorded in all four Gospels (cf. Matt. 14:13-31; Mark 6:32-44; John 6:1-4). These miraculous feedings were tremendous evidences of Jesus as the promised Messiah based on the rabbinical interpretation of OT promises about the Messiah providing food, like Moses (cf. Deut. 18:15,18; Ps. 132:15; Isa. 49:10). It is even possible it is an allusion to II Kgs. 4:42-44, which would make it another sign of Jesus’ prophetic role. However, they are also related to the temptation experiences of Jesus (cf. 4:3-4). Quite often the crowds misunderstood His motive and followed Him for the wrong reasons (cf. John 6:14,15).

**“We have no more than five loaves and two fish”** We learn from John 6:9 that this was one boy’s lunch. Jesus’ command (AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE) was met with an analysis of their resources. They were not trusting in His provision!

**“unless”** This is a THIRD CLASS CONDITION which usually has ean, but here it has ei (cf. I Cor. 14:5 and Phil. 3:12). This denotes potential action.

9:14 “(For there were about five thousand men)” Exactly how large the crowd was is uncertain, but the presence of at least some women and children is probable, indicating many more people.

**“Sit down to eat in groups of about fifty each”** Jesus organized the task. Just think of how many groups of fifty it would take to accommodate 5,000 plus people. Even with twelve disciples serving them, this was a major task!
9:16 “He looked up to heaven” Jesus knew and acknowledged the source of His power. The typical physical position for Jewish prayer was:
1. standing
2. hands lifted to God
3. eyes open looking up
4. direct address to God

“He blessed them, broke them, and kept giving them” The first two VERBS are AORIST ACTIVE INDICATIVES, implying a prayer and a breaking, but the third is an IMPERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE, implying a continual giving. The miracle continued to occur as He broke the food and kept passing it out! Think again of the size of this crowd! The disciples were amazed again.

9:17 “twelve baskets full” “Baskets” are also mentioned in the feeding of the four thousand, found in Mark 8:8. But, the baskets there are large, hamper-sized baskets (spuris), while the baskets in this text are small knapsacks (kophinos). The disciples collected the food to eat later. Jesus did not regularly multiply food for the disciples’ needs.

Luke follows Mark’s chronology, but for some reason, a major section of Mark (i.e. 6:45-8:26) is omitted between v. 17 and v. 18. The exact reason is uncertain, but most scholars assume it has to do with Luke wanting to keep Jesus’ activity in Galilee. Mark’s Gospel has Jesus’ activity outside Galilee (6:14-8:30). Luke’s structure is Jesus traveling to Jerusalem. To maintain this emphasis he omits some events of Jesus’ life that Mark chose to include.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 9:18-22

18And it happened that while He was praying alone, the disciples were with Him, and He questioned them, saying, "Who do the people say that I am?" 19They answered and said, "John the Baptist, and others say Elijah; but others, that one of the prophets of old has risen again." 20And He said to them, "But who do you say that I am?" And Peter answered and said, "The Christ of God." 21But He warned them and instructed them not to tell this to anyone, 22saying, "The Son of Man must suffer many things and be rejected by the elders and chief priests and scribes, and be killed and be raised up on the third day."

9:18 “while He was praying alone” For a fuller discussion of this event see Matt. 16:13ff. Luke records Jesus praying before the major events of His ministry much more than any of the other Gospels. He was praying alone, possibly removed a distance from the disciples; the phrase may mean that only Jesus and His special inner circle of disciples (Peter, James, and John) were present.

“Who do people say that I am?” The same three guesses mentioned in vv. 8 and 9 are repeated here. It is important that Elijah is connected with the Messianic kingdom (cf. Mal. 4:5). “One of the prophets of old” possibly refers to Moses’ statement in Deut. 18:15,18 about “the Prophet.”

9:20”But who do you say that I am?” The “you” (PLURAL) is emphatic. This question was given to all the disciples.

“‘The Christ of God” Peter, as a spokesman for the others, affirmed that Jesus was the promised Messiah. Christ is the Greek translation of “the Anointed One.” However, their concept of what this meant was formed more by rabbinical Judaism than Jesus’ teachings (cf. Acts 1:6). The Jews of Jesus’ day were expecting an empowered Jewish leader, like the Judges of the OT, to militarily free Israel from foreign domination and restore the Jews to a place of power and independence. In a sense this judgmental aspect is exactly what He will do when He returns. However, they had missed the Gen. 3:15; Ps. 22; Isa. 53; Ezek. 9-14; Zech. 9 aspect of a humble, suffering, and dying Savior.

9:21 “But He warned them and instructed them not to tell this to anyone” These are two strong terms for a command, even an implied threat. There has been much discussion about this because it seems so unusual. Apparently the gospel was not yet complete and they had nothing to tell except their false Messianic views (cf. Matt. 8:30; Matt. 16:20). We must remember that these Gospels were written decades after the events. The Evangelists knew the full account, but they (except John) wrote as if it was an unfolding truth to their readers.

9:22 “‘The Son of Man”’ This was Jesus’ self-chosen designation. It had no rabbinical connotations. It is a phrase that means “human being” (cf. Ps. 8:4; Ezek. 2:1). However, in Dan. 7:13-14 it involves the idea of deity. See note at 6:5.

“must” This Greek word, dei means “necessity.” Jesus had to suffer (cf. 13:33; 17:25; 22:37; 27:7,26,44). What a shocking reflection on human sin (cf. Mark 10:45; II Cor. 5:21)! In a sense this term should be translated with all the following phrases.
“suffer many things” The great stumbling block to Jesus being the Messiah for the Jewish people was His suffering and death (cf. I Cor. 1:23). The OT seems to depict one coming of the Messiah, in power and glory. The NT reveals that such passages as Gen. 3:15; Ps. 22; Isa. 53; Ezek. 9-14; and Zech. 9 describe the coming of the Messiah as a Suffering Servant, which the Jewish nation—and for a long time, the Apostles—misunderstood.

“be rejected by the elders and chief priests and scribes” This may be an allusion to Ps. 118:22 (cf. 20:17). The term “rejected” is a legal term for the examination and rejecting of someone. This series of NOUNS reflects the deliberations of the Sanhedrin, which was the ruling court of the Jews in Jerusalem made up of seventy members that had limited authority under the Roman Empire.


**SPECIAL TOPIC: THE SANHEDRIN**

I. Sources of Information
   A. The New Testament itself
   B. Flavius Josephus’ *Antiquities of the Jews*
   C. The Mishnah section of the Talmud (i.e. Tractate “Sanhedrin”)

   Unfortunately the NT and Josephus do not agree with the rabbinical writings, which seem to assert two Sanhedrins in Jerusalem: one priestly (i.e. Sadducean), controlled by the High Priest and dealing with civil and criminal justice and a second controlled by the Pharisees and scribes, concerned with religious and traditional issues. However, the rabbinical writings date from A.D. 200 and reflect the cultural situation after the fall of Jerusalem to the Roman general, Titus, in A.D. 70. The Jews reestablished their religious life at a city called Jamnia and later (A.D. 118) moved to Galilee.

II. Terminology
   The problem with identifying this judicial body involves the different names by which it is known. There are several words used to describe judicial bodies within the Jewish community of Jerusalem.
   A. *Gerousia* - “senate” or “council.” This is the oldest term, used toward the end of the Persian period (cf. Josephus’ *Antiquities* 12.3.3 and *II Maccabees* 11:27). It is used by Luke in Acts 5:21 along with “Sanhedrin.” It may have been a way of explaining the term to Greek-speaking readers (cf. *I Macc.* 12:35).
   B. *Synedrion* - “Sanhedrin.” This is a compound from *syn* (together with) and *hedra* (seat). Surprisingly this term is used in Aramaic, but it reflects a Greek word. By the end of the Maccabean period this had become the accepted term to designate the supreme court of the Jews in Jerusalem (cf. Matt. 26:59; Mark 15:1; Luke 22:66; John 11:47; Acts 5:27). The problem comes when the same terminology is used of local judicial councils (local synagogue courts) outside Jerusalem (cf. Matt. 5:22; 10:17).
   C. *Presbyterion* - “council of elders” (cf. Luke 22:66). This is an OT designation for tribal leaders. However, it came to refer to the supreme court in Jerusalem (cf. Acts 22:5).
   D. *Boulê* - The term “council” is used by Josephus ( Wars 2.16.2; 5.4.2), but not the NT, to describe several judicial bodies.
      1. the Senate in Rome
      2. local Roman courts
      3. the Jewish supreme court in Jerusalem
      4. local Jewish courts. Joseph of Arimathea is described as a member of the Sanhedrin by a form of this term (i.e. *bouleutês*, which means “councilor,” cf. Mark 15:43; Luke 23:50).

III. Historical Development
   Originally Ezra is said to have set up the Great Synagogue (cf. Targum on Song of Songs 6:1) in the post-exilic period, which seems to have become the Sanhedrin of Jesus’ day.
   A. The Mishnah (i.e. Talmud) records that there were two major courts in Jerusalem (cf. Sanh. 7:1).
      1. One made up of 70 (or 71) members (Sand. 1:6 even states that Moses set up the first Sanhedrin in Num. 11, cf. Num. 11:16-25).
      2. One made up of 23 members (but this may refer to local synagogue courts).
      3. Some Jewish scholars believe there were three 23-member Sanhedrins in Jerusalem. When the three got together they, along with the two leaders, constituted “the Great Sanhedrin” of 71 members (Nasi and Av Bet Din).
         a. one priestly (i.e. Sadducees)
         b. one legal (i.e. Pharisees)
B. In the post-exilic period, the returning Davidic seed was Zerubbabel and the returning Aaronic seed was Joshua (Jeshua). After Zerubbabel’s death, no Davidic seed continued, so the judicial mantle passed exclusively to the priests (cf. I Macc. 12:6) and local elders (cf. Neh. 2:16; 5:7).

C. This priestly role in judicial decisions (during the Hellenistic period) is documented by Diodorus 40:3:4-5.

D. This priestly role in government continued during the Selucid period. Josephus quotes Antiochus “the Great” III (223-187 B.C.) in Antiquities 12:138-142.

E. This priestly power continued during the Maccabean period, according to Josephus’ Antiquities 13:10:5-6; 13:15:5.

F. During the Roman period the governor of Syria (i.e. Gabinius, from 57-55 B.C.) established five regional “Sanhedrins” (cf. Josephus’ Antiquities 14:5:4; and Wars 1:8:5), but this was later annulled by Rome (in 47 B.C.).

G. The Sanhedrin had a political confrontation with Herod (Antiquities 14.9.3-5) who, in 37 B.C., retaliated and had most of the high court killed (cf. Josephus’ Antiquities 14.9.4; 15.1.2).

H. Under the Roman procurators (A.D. 6-66) Josephus tells us (cf. Antiquities 20.200,251) that the Sanhedrin again gained considerable power and influence (cf. Mark 14:55). There are three trials recorded in the NT where the Sanhedrin, under the leadership of the High Priest’s family, executes justice.

2. Peter and John (cf. Acts 4:3-6)

I. The Jews revolted in A.D. 66, and the Romans subsequently destroyed Jewish society and Jerusalem in A.D. 70. The Sanhedrin was permanently dissolved, although the Pharisees at Jamnia tried to bring a supreme judicial court (Beth Din) back into Jewish religious (but not civil or political) life.

IV. Membership

A. The first biblical mention of a high court in Jerusalem is II Chr. 19:8-11. It was made up of

1. Levites
2. priests
3. the heads of families (i.e. elders, cf. I Macc. 14:20; II Macc. 4:44).

B. During the Maccabean period it was dominated by

1. Sadducean priestly families
2. Local aristocracy (cf. I Macc. 7:33; 11:23; 14:28).

Later in this period “scribes” (Mosaic lawyers, usually Pharisees) were added, apparently by Alexander Jannaeus’ wife Salome (76-67 B.C.). She is even said to have made the Pharisees the predominant group (cf. Josephus’ Wars of the Jews 1:5:2).

C. By Jesus’ day the court was made up of

1. the families of the High Priests
2. local men of wealthy families
3. scribes (cf. 11:27; Luke 19:47)

V. Sources Consulted

A. Dictionary of Jesus and the Gospels, IVP, pp. 728-732
D. The Interpreter’s Dictionary of the Bible, vol. 4, pp. 214-218

“raised up on the third day”’’ Jesus was in the grave somewhere around thirty hours, but in Jewish reckoning of time, it was three days (cf. I Cor. 15:3-4). Each part of a day, twilight to twilight, was counted as a full day.

1. died at 3 p.m., but buried before 6 p.m. on Friday (day one)
2. in the tomb all of the high holy Sabbath of Passover Week (day two)
3. arose sometime before dawn Sunday following the high holy Sabbath (day three)

This phrase could refer to Hos. 6:1-2. It is interpreted in a similar way in the Aramaic Targum on this verse. However, Jesus seems to be making an allusion to Jonah 1:17 (cf. Matt. 12:39; 16:4). This type of predictive sign was exactly what the Pharisees
were asking for in v. 12 (cf. Matt. 16:4). This type of prediction was the basis of defining a true prophet according to Deut. 13:2-5; 18:18-22. Jesus gave them sign after sign, but they could not comprehend. Luke used the phrase “three days” often (cf. 9:22; 13:32; 18:33; 24:7,21,46; Acts 10:40) in connection with Jesus’ resurrection.

**SPECIAL TOPIC: THE RESURRECTION**

A. Evidence for the resurrection
1. Fifty (50) days later at Pentecost, the resurrection had become the keynote of Peter’s sermon (cf. Acts 2). Thousands who lived in the area where it happened believed!
2. The lives of the disciples were changed radically from discouragement (they were not expecting resurrection) to boldness, even martyrdom.

B. Significance of the resurrection
1. Shows Jesus was who He claimed to be (cf. Matt. 12:38-40 prediction of death and resurrection).
2. God put His approval on Jesus’ life, teaching, and substitutionary death! (cf. Rom. 4:25).
3. Shows us the promise to all Christians (i.e., resurrection bodies, cf. I Cor. 15).

C. Jesus’ claims that He would rise from the dead:
4. John 2:19-22; 12:34; chapters 14-16

D. Further Study
1. Evidence That Demands a Verdict by Josh McDowell
2. Who Moved the Stone? by Frank Morrison
3. The Zondervan Pictorial Encyclopedia of the Bible, “Resurrection,” “Resurrection of Jesus Christ”

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 9:23-27**

23 And He was saying to them all, "If anyone wishes to come after Me, he must deny himself, and take up his cross daily and follow Me. 24 For whoever wishes to save his life will lose it, but whoever loses his life for My sake, he is the one who will save it. 25 For what is a man profited if he gains the whole world, and loses or forfeits himself? 26 For whoever is ashamed of Me and My words, the Son of Man will be ashamed of him when He comes in His glory, and the glory of the Father and of the holy angels. 27 But I say to you truthfully, there are some of those standing here who will not taste death until they see the kingdom of God."

9:23 “if” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE which is assumed to be true from the writer’s perspective or for his literary purposes.

“anyone” Here again is the mystery of election and free will. Jesus’ invitation is wide open to all (possibly all who had heard Him preach and were fed), but we know from other texts that no one can respond without the wooing and drawing of the Spirit (cf. John 6:44,65). Food alone is a poor motive (cf. John 6:15).

“he must deny himself, and take up his cross daily and follow Me” There are three IMPERATIVES in this phrase. The first is an AORIST MIDDLE (deponent), followed by an AORIST ACTIVE (deny but take up daily), but then a PRESENT ACTIVE (and continue to follow Me). There is a gate and then a road (cf. Matt. 7:13-14). There is an initial response and an ongoing response. Both are necessary! This speaks of our once-and-for-all death to selfish ambitions and our ongoing followship of Jesus (cf. Gal. 2:20). The Jews understood that “the cross” referred to a once-for-all death. Condemned criminals had to carry their own cross (the top beam) to the place of execution. We die to self so as to live for God (cf. Rom. 6; II Cor. 5:14-15; Gal. 2:20; I John 3:16).

The fall has made mankind’s independence and self-centeredness the goal of life, but now believers must return to selfless dependence on God. This should become a world view and life directive (i.e. daily). It is not a one-time decision, but a recurrent, volitional choice of priorities (cf. 14:33).
9:24 “life. . .life” There is a play on two connotations of the Greek word psuchē, which reflects the OT term nephesh. Both of these terms simply refer to a human’s personality or life force (cf. Luke 17:33; Matt. 10:38-39; John 12:25). I think these two terms relate to the two Jewish ages. Physical life is bound to this fallen age of rebellion, but eternal life is part of the age to come. See Special Topic: This Age and the Age to Come at 9:2.

Not only is there a play on the word “life,” but also on the word “save.” Its OT sense was physical deliverance, but its NT connotation is eternal spiritual salvation.

Our decisions about knowing, trusting, and following Jesus have in-time and beyond-time consequences! He lay down His life for us; we must reciprocate (cf. II Cor. 5:14-15; Gal. 2:20; I John 3:16)!

9:25 This is a penetrating question. It is very similar to the parable of the rich fool in 12:16-20. Those who cling to this world (age) lose the next. One cannot serve/love God and mammon (cf. 16:13; Matt. 6:24).

9:26 “whoever” The warning is as wide as the invitation (cf. vv, 23,24).

[Box: “is ashamed of Me and My words” This refers to the time when each person is confronted with the gospel. This same truth is expressed in a different way in Matt. 10:32-33 and Luke 12:8-9. What people decide today about the gospel determines their future. Jesus is the gospel!

[Box: “when He comes” The OT clearly reveals one coming of the Messiah. However, Jesus showed that Gen. 3:15; Ps. 22; and Isa. 53 also refer to a suffering of the Messiah. The second glorious coming of the Messiah as Lord and Judge of the Kosmos will be exactly the way the Jews were expecting Him to come the first time. Their closed-minded, theological dogmatism caused them to reject Jesus.


[Box: “In His glory, and the glory of the Father and of the holy angels” This is an OT prediction from Dan. 7:10 (cf. Matt. 16:27; Mark 13:20; Luke 9:26; II Thess. 1:7). This refers to the Second Coming. This was another way of asserting the deity of Jesus. Several times in Matthew the angels are the eschatological gatherers and dividers of humanity (cf. 13:39-41,49; 24:31).

In the OT the most common Hebrew word for “glory” (kbdh) was originally a commercial term (which referred to a pair of scales) meaning “to be heavy.” That which was heavy was valuable or had intrinsic worth. Often the concept of brightness was added to the word to express God’s majesty (cf. Exod. 15:16; 24:17; Isa. 60:1-2). He alone is worthy and honorable. He is too brilliant for fallen mankind to behold (cf. Exod. 33:17-23; Isa. 6:5). God can only be truly known through Christ (cf. Jer. 1:14; Matt. 17:2; Heb. 1:3; James 2:1).]

9:27 There have been many theories to explain Jesus’ statement. It may have referred to (1) Jesus’ ascension; (2) the Kingdom already present in Jesus; (3) the coming of the Spirit at Pentecost; (4) the destruction of Jerusalem in A.D. 70; (5) the expectation of Jesus’ early return; (6) the rapid spread of Christianity; or (7) the transfiguration. These theories focus on different phrases in the text: (1) “some of the people standing here”; (2) “the Kingdom of God”; or (3) “come in its power” (parallels in Mark 9:1). The best guess is #7 because of the immediate context (cf. Mark. 9; Matt. 17; also II Pet. 1:16-18). Also, no other theory can explain all three aspects of the Markan text (Mark 8:38).

[Box: “truthfully” Luke has replaced the “amen” of Mark 9:1 with alēhōs because his Gentile audience would not know the Hebrew term. See Special Topic: Amen at 4:24.]

[Box: “taste death” Luke’s vocabulary was influenced by the Septuagint. This metaphor is used in Job 20:18; Ps. 34:9; and Prov. 31:18. However, it is not used of death. The Gospel of John also uses this metaphor for physical death (cf. John 8:52).]

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 9:28-36**

38Some eight days after these sayings, He took along Peter and John and James, and went up on the mountain to pray.
39And while He was praying, the appearance of His face became different, and His clothing became white and gleaming.
40And behold, two men were talking with Him; and they were Moses and Elijah, who, appearing in glory, were speaking of His departure which He was about to accomplish at Jerusalem. 41Now Peter and his companions had been overcome with sleep; but when they were fully awake, they saw His glory and the two men standing with Him. 42And as these were leaving Him, Peter said to Jesus, "Master, it is good for us to be here; let us make three tabernacles: one for You, and one for Moses, and one for Elijah" -- not realizing what he was saying. 43While he was saying this, a cloud formed and began to overshadow them; and they were afraid as they entered the cloud. 44Then a voice came out of the cloud, saying, "This is My Son, My Chosen One; listen to Him!" 45And when the voice had spoken, Jesus was found alone. And they kept silent, and reported to no one in those days any of the things which they had seen.
9:28 “some eight days after these sayings” The parallel in Mark 9:2 has “six days.” The reason for the difference is one of the mysteries of why God allowed four Gospels to be written which do not agree on chronology, sequence, or details! These differences are the reason the term “inerrant” is an inappropriate adjective for Scripture. Which one of the four Gospels is the historically accurate one? They are all true, but they are eastern and ancient, not western and modern literary accounts.

One theory about Mark’s “six days” is that it links this event to Exod. 24:12-18.

- “went up on the mountain to pray” Context seems to make Mt. Hermon the best possibility (cf. Matt. 16 at Caesarea Philippi). Tradition from the extraCanonical Gospel According to Hebrews affirms Mt. Tabor. In the fourth century the tradition grew that Mt. Tabor was also the Mount of Temptation as well as transfiguration, but this cannot be true.


9:29 The other two Synoptic Gospels (cf. Matt. 17:1-11; Mark 9:2-8) describe this same event with the Greek term metamorpho, which means to transfigure, to change the external form. Paul uses this metaphorically of Christians being changed in Rom. 12:2; II Cor. 3:18. Luke simply describes the outburst of radiant glory (cf. Mark 9:3) connected to Jesus’ meeting with two OT leaders.

9:30 “Moses and Elijah” Apparently they were (1) two eschatological OT figures (cf. Deut. 18 and Mal. 3-4); or (2) those who had unusual deaths and their bodies were never found. There is no evidence in Judaism that Moses and Elijah represented the Law and Prophets sections of the Hebrew canon. They were meant to encourage Jesus and discuss His upcoming (PERIPHRASTIC PRESENT ACTIVE INFINITIVE) crucifixion and resurrection (cf. v. 31).

9:32 “Peter and his companions had been overcome with sleep” Literally this is “were having been burdened,” a PERIPHRASTIC PERFECT PASSIVE. This experience is very similar to what happened in the Garden of Gethsemane (cf. Matt. 26:43).

- “they saw His glory” This was one of the purposes of the event. Little by little it was dawning on them who He was!

9:33 Peter was very impetuous and often spoke out of turn. The term “tabernacles” refers to the leafy booths that were made to briefly live in during the Feast of the Tabernacles.

- Peter wanted to hold on to this glorious moment. Who wouldn’t?! However, they were needed down in the valley, and so are we.

9:34 “a cloud” I think this is related to the Shekinah Glory of the OT (cf. Exod. 13:21,22; 14:19,20,24; 16:10; 19:9,16; 24:15,16,18; 40:34-38; Num. 9:15-23), which represents the very presence of YHWH. It is seen several times in the NT: (1) at Jesus’ baptism; (2) at the transfiguration; (3) at the ascension; and (4) at the return of Jesus at the Second Coming (cf. I Thess. 4:13ff). In a sense it is the transportation of deity (cf. Dan. 7:13; Matt. 24:30; 26:64; Acts 1:9-11; Rev. 1:7).

- “formed and began to overshadow them” This is the very same word used of the Spirit overshadowing Mary in the conception of Jesus (cf. 1:35). This was an awesome spiritual experience!

9:35 “a voice came out of the cloud” This kind of event, of God’s speaking out of a cloud, was not unique. The rabbis referred to it as a Bath-kol. It was the tradition during the inter-biblical period of how to know and affirm God’s will.

- “This is My Son, My Chosen One; listen to Him!” This statement of the Father is recorded three different ways in the Synoptic Gospels.
  1. Mark, “This is My beloved Son, listen to Him!” (Mark 9:7)
  2. Matthew, “This is My beloved Son, with whom I am well-pleased; listen to Him!” (Matt. 17:5)
  3. Luke, “This is My Son, My Chosen One; listen to Him!”

The Lukan statements seem to be a combination of the Royal Messianic Psalm, Ps. 2 (My Son) and the Servant Song of Isaiah 42:1 (My Chosen One, cf. Luke 23:35). In this combination the two functions (Savior and Judge) or two comings of Christ are united—Savior and Judge/King.

- The common element in all three is, “Listen to Him!” (PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE - PLURAL). Good advice!

9:36 This experience was so awesome that they:
  1. did not ask Jesus any questions
  2. did not tell anyone, even the other disciples, until after the resurrection.
On the next day, when they came down from the mountain, a large crowd met Him. A man from the crowd shouted, saying, "Teacher, I beg You to look at my son, for he is my only boy, and a spirit seizes him, and he suddenly screams, and it throws him into a convulsion with foaming at the mouth; and only with difficulty does it leave him, mauling him as it leaves. I begged Your disciples to cast it out, and they could not." And Jesus answered and said, "You unbelieving and perverted generation, how long shall I be with you and put up with you? Bring your son here." While he was still approaching, the demon slammed him to the ground and threw him into a convulsion. But Jesus rebuked the unclean spirit, and healed the boy and gave him back to his father. And they were all amazed at the greatness of God.

9:37-43a Mark 9:14-20 is a much fuller account of this healing.

9:39 The physical symptoms are of a grand mal seizure. Demons often manifest symptoms of diseases. However, the NT makes a clear distinction between disease and demon manifestation.

9:40 Jesus had given power and authority over the demonic to His Apostles in 9:1-6. However, in this instance they were unable to exorcize the boy.

9:41 "Jesus answered" This is an allusion to the Song of Moses from Deut. 32:5,20; it addresses not only the disciples (cf. Matt. 17:19-21), but also the crowd (Israel). Jesus’ last comment seems to be a moment of frustration. Even those who should have known God and His Word lived such shallow and defeated lives. Oh my!

9:43b-45 But while everyone was marveling at all that He was doing, He said to His disciples, “Let these words sink into your ears; for the Son of Man is going to be delivered into the hands of men.” But they did not understand this statement, and it was concealed from them so that they would not perceive it; and they were afraid to ask Him about this statement.

9:44
NASDAQ, NRSV “Let those words sink into your ears”
NKJV “Let those words sink down into your ears”
TEV “Don’t forget what I am about to tell you”
NJB “For your part, you must have these words constantly in mind”

This is an idiom (cf. Exod. 17:14). The VERB tithēmi basically means “to place,” “to set,” or “to lay.” Here the IMPERATIVE is used to encourage attention and remembrance. This phrase functions like Jesus’ “Amen, Amen” to introduce a significant truth statement.

“the Son of Man is going to be delivered into the hands of men” In all the Synoptic Gospels Jesus predicts and warns the disciples about the upcoming events in Jerusalem. This is an allusion to Isa. 53, especially v. 12, in the Septuagint (i.e. “deliverance”). Mark has a much fuller account in 9:30-32. He predicted this for several reasons:
1. to show His knowledge of future events
2. to reveal the redemptive plan of God
3. to try to help them prepare

9:45 Only Luke has this statement. Jesus spoke to them, but someone veiled (PERIPHERASTIC PERFECT PASSIVE) their eyes (cf. 18:34). Was it the Spirit or was it Satan? If it is the Spirit, then the disciples were just not ready to receive this truth. It would come in time. If Satan, then the purpose is to cause them not to understand and, therefore, cause more fear and confusion. What surprises me is that Jesus makes this statement to them and, for some reason, it is veiled. Why would Luke add this? What could be his purpose?
The confusion and fear of the disciples is revealed in the last phrase, “they were afraid to ask Him about this statement” (cf. v. 36). This entire section deals with the Apostles’ immaturity.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 9:46-48**

An argument started among them as to which of them might be the greatest. But Jesus, knowing what they were thinking in their heart, took a child and stood him by His side, and said to them, "Whoever receives this child in My name receives Me, and whoever receives Me receives Him who sent Me; for the one who is least among all of you, this is the one who is great."

9:46-48 This discussion is recorded in all three Synoptic Gospels (cf. Mark 9:33-37; Matt. 18:1-5), but each is slightly different. Verses 37-56 are a series of events which reveals the disciples immaturity.

Here they argue over who of them was greatest (obviously they did not acknowledge Peter’s leadership). Jesus defines true greatness as service, not control!

9:47 “knowing what they were thinking in their heart” See the discussion at 5:22 and 6:8.

There is a Greek manuscript variant in this phrase. Some texts have “knowing” (cf. MSS Β, F) and some have “seeing” (cf. MSS Α, C, D, L, W, and the Vulgate). Either one makes sense in this context.

9:48 “in My name” See Special Topic below.

**SPECIAL TOPIC: THE NAME OF THE LORD**

This was a common NT phrase for the personal presence and active power of the Triune God in the church. It was not a magical formula, but an appeal to God’s character.

Often this phrase refers to Jesus as Lord (cf. Phil. 2:11)
1. at the profession of one’s faith in Jesus at baptism (cf. Rom. 10:9-13; Acts 2:38; 8:12,16; 10:48; 19:5; 22:16; I Cor. 1:13,15; James 2:7)
3. at a healing (cf. Acts 3:6,16; 4:10; 9:34; James 5:14)
5. at the time of church discipline (cf. Matt. 18:15-20)
7. in prayer (cf. John 14:13-14; 15:2,16; 16:23; I Cor. 1:2)
8. a way of referring to Christianity (cf. Acts 26:9; I Cor. 1:10; II Tim. 2:19; James 2:7; I Pet. 4:14)

Whatever we do as proclaimers, ministers, helpers, healers, exorcists, etc., we do in His character, His power, His provisions—in His Name!

“receives Him who sent Me” This phrase reflects the theological emphasis of John’s Gospel (ex. 17:3,8,18,21,23,25). Jesus acknowledges His dependence on the Father (cf. Luke 10:16). Jesus serves also!

This same type of teaching is also found in 22:26 and Matt. 10:40-42. Jesus must have used this concept often in different teaching situations.

The truth is that greatness is in service and that those who men consider “the least” are significant to God.

Also, the life-changing power of Jesus’ name means that not only do we speak it, we must conform to and emulate Him. Jesus is the ultimate example of love, service, and therefore, greatness (cf. Mark 9:35b).

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 9:49-50**

John answered and said, "Master, we saw someone casting out demons in Your name; and we tried to prevent him because he does not follow along with us." But Jesus said to him, "Do not hinder him; for he who is not against you is for you."

9:49-50 This is also found in Mark 9:38-40.
9:49 “someone” The question is, was it a Jewish exorcist simply using Jesus’ name as a magic formula or was this a disciple, but not part of the Twelve?

9:50 This is an important principle in our relationship to other Christian denominations (“in My name”); however, it cannot be a blank check of acceptance (cf. 11:23).

- **Do not hinder him** This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually means to stop an act in process. The disciples were jealous of others involved in ministry. Oh my!

- **he who is not against you is for you** When one compares 11:23a and Matt. 12:30a with this text, there seems to be a paradox. Many of Jesus’ teachings were framed in this type of tension-filled statement. It is an eastern way of expressing truth. All truths can be taken to extremes. Metaphors, analogies, and illustrations all break down when pressed. Remember these were oral statements given in specific contexts. See Hard Sayings of the Bible, pp. 466-467.

Be careful of proof-texting literalism! All Scripture is inspired, not just my favorite parts and verses. A little knowledge often causes undue dogmatism and judgmentalism!

This brief account may reflect a situation in the later church (setz im laben of Luke). The Gospels have two authors: (1) Jesus and (2) the evangelist. The evangelist wrote his Gospel for a later target audience. It is possible, even probably, that some of Jesus’ actions and teachings were chosen to relate to and impact later controversies.

---

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 9:51-56**

51When the days were approaching for His ascension, He was determined to go to Jerusalem; 52and He sent messengers on ahead of Him, and they went and entered a village of the Samaritans to make arrangements for Him. 53But they did not receive Him, because He was traveling toward Jerusalem. 54When His disciples James and John saw this, they said, “Lord, do You want us to command fire to come down from heaven and consume them?” 55But He turned and rebuked them, [and said, “You do not know what kind of spirit you are of; 56for the Son of Man did not come to destroy men's lives, but to save them.”] And they went on to another village.

---


9:51

- **NASB** “when the days were approaching”
- **NKJV** “when the time had come”
- **NRSV** “when the days drew near”
- **TEV, NJB** “As the time drew near”

This is another idiom, literally “to fill up with” (a PRESENT PASSIVE INFINITIVE). There was a set plan for Jesus’ life and death (cf. Acts 2:23; 3:18; 4:28; 13:29). Jesus had revealed to them what would happen in Jerusalem (cf. vv. 22,31) and now Luke comments that the time of these events was approaching.

- **“for His ascension”** Jesus has told them of His upcoming trial, death, and resurrection (cf. 9:22; Matt. 16:21; Mark 8:31), but now Luke introduces “the ascension,” that special event forty days after the resurrection, where Jesus is taken into heaven by a cloud from the Mount of Olives (cf. 24:51; Acts 1:2,9,11,22; I Tim. 3:16). It had not been mentioned before and it is not defined here at all, but simply mentioned in passing. It may be an intentional linking of Elijah’s ascension (II Kgs. 2:9-11) with Jesus’ ascension (Acts 1:2,11).

- **“he was determined to go to Jerusalem”**
- **NKJV** “He steadfastly set His face to go to Jerusalem”
- **NRSV** “he set his face to go to Jerusalem”
- **TEV** “he made up his mind and set out on his way to Jerusalem”
- **NJB** “he resolutely turned his face toward Jerusalem”

This is another idiom. The NRSV is closest to a literal translation. He metaphorically looked straight ahead. He let nothing distract Him to the right or to the left. God’s will was in Jerusalem (cf. Mark 10:32). This may be an allusion to Isa. 50:7 or Jer. 42:15,17 (cf. Dan. 9:3).

9:52 This is the only time the Gospels mention Jesus sending people ahead of Him to prepare for His visit. Who they were and why they were rejected is uncertain.
It is surprising that Luke is the only Synoptic Gospel to record this negative account when his other accounts related to Samaritans are so positive (cf. 10:25-27; 17:11-19; Acts 1:8; 8:1-13,14,25; 9:31; 15:3). Luke mentions this outcast group often to show Jesus’ love and concern for all people (i.e. his Gentile audience), but not here!

9:53 “they did not receive Him, because He was traveling toward Jerusalem” This relates to Jewish/Samaritan prejudices. These two groups hated each other. It is also possible that they knew He was traveling to a feast at the Temple and they rejected Jerusalem as the site of the true Temple, which they thought was on Mt. Gerizim, near Shechem. Josephus mentions Jewish travelers to Jerusalem feasts being harassed (cf. Antiq. 20.6.1).

This verse sets the stage for the request of James and John in v. 54, which Jesus rebukes in v. 55.

9:54 “James and John” See Mk. 3:17, where these two men are called “sons of thunder.” This very incident is how they got their nicknames.

The KJV (NRSV and TEV footnote) inserts a phrase (“just as Elijah did,” which is in MSS A, C, D, W), but it is not in MSS P45,75, N, B, or L. The UBS⁴ committee gave the shorter reading a “B” rating (almost certain).

9:55-56 The KJV inserts a phrase (“and said, ‘You do not know what manner of spirit you are of. For the Son of Man did not come to destroy men’s lives, but to save them’”), which is found only in the uncial manuscript K and later versions. It is missing in MSS P45,75, N, A, B, C, L, and W. The UBS⁴ committee gave the shorter reading an “A” rating (certain).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 9:57-62

57As they were going along the road, someone said to Him, "I will follow You wherever You go." 58And Jesus said to him, "The foxes have holes and the birds of the air have nests, but the Son of Man has nowhere to lay His head." 59And He said to another, "Follow Me." But he said, "Lord, permit me first to go and bury my father." 60But He said to him, "Allow the dead to bury their own dead; but as for you, go and proclaim everywhere the kingdom of God." 61Another also said, "I will follow You, Lord; but first permit me to say good-bye to those at home." 62But Jesus said to him, "No one, after putting his hand to the plow and looking back, is fit for the kingdom of God."

9:57-62 The cost of discipleship is a radical commitment that supersedes all cultural and family ties.

9:57 “someone said to Him” The parallel in Matthew 8:19-22 has “a scribe said.”

“i will follow You wherever You go” This eager disciple had good intentions, but did not realize the price of followship (cf. Matt. 26:33,35). Talk is easy; daily denial and focused commitment is very hard.

9:58 Jesus is calling for a reality check. Jesus’ Jewish followers were still thinking in terms of kingdom benefits and perks (cf. Matt. 20:20-28; Mark 10:35-45; Acts 1:6), which is very much like “what’s in it for me” Christianity, which turns the gospel into a message of more-and-more for me!

9:59 “Follow Me” This IMPERATIVE reflects the terminology which the rabbis used to call their disciples. We have examples of Jesus saying this very thing to the Twelve (cf. 5:27; 9:23,59; 18:22; Matt. 4:19; 8:22; 9:9; 16:24; 19:21; Mark 2:14; 8:34; 10:21; John 1:43; 10:27; 12:26; 21:19-22).

“permit me first to go and bury my father” This is very similar to Elisha’s response to Elijah in I Kgs. 19:19-20. In the ancient world children (especially the oldest male) were duty-bound to care for their parents (cf. v. 61). However, Jesus’ call to followship superceded every earthly call (cf. Matt. 10:37-39; Luke 14:26-35). This statement would have shocked the rabbis.

This is another example of one word with two connotations (like “life” [psuché] in 9:24). Here the word “dead” refers to the spiritually dead (cf. Eph. 2:1) and the physically dead. The death is related to their lack of response to Jesus’ words and call.

9:60 “go and proclaim everywhere the kingdom of God” These IMPERATIVES show the mandate of the Great Commission ministry (cf. 24:47; Matt. 28:18-20). The self-centered life of the fall (cf. Gen. 3) is replaced by the kingdom-centered, Spirit-filled, service to others. Jesus’ followers are to mimic Jesus’ actions (cf. 8:1; 9:6). He sent out the Twelve (chapter 9) to preach, as well as the Seventy (chapter 10).

9:62 What a shocking statement! The Kingdom is ultimate! It must take priority over every aspect of self. Followship is the only worthy goal. Keep focused!

In ancient times plowing with an animal held two dangers of not staying focused on the ground ahead:
1. One could hit a rock or root and damage the plow.
2. One could plow a crooked row and cause loss of crop.
Again this type of call for priority discipleship cannot be used in every family issue. We all live in families and are called on to act responsibly toward them (cf. I Tim. 5:8). John was told to care for Jesus’ mother (cf. John 19:26), which he did until her death in Palestine; then John went to Asia Minor. Jesus’ statements are hard-hitting priority truths, but they must not be pushed so as to damage other Scriptural truths.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why does Luke give such a condensed account of these events?
2. How is demon possession related to physical illness?
3. How does one reconcile 9:3 with Mk. 6:8?
4. Explain in your own words what v. 23 means.
5. Why did Moses and Elijah appear with Jesus?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS4</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Mission of the Seventy-Two</td>
<td>The Seventy Sent Out</td>
<td>Mission of the Seventy</td>
<td>Jesus Sends Out Seventy-Two</td>
<td>The Mission of the Seventy-Two Disciples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woes to Unrepentant Cities</td>
<td>Woe to the Unrepentant Cities</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Unbelieving Towns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Return of the Seventy-Two</td>
<td>The Seventy Return with Joy</td>
<td>Return of the Seventy</td>
<td>The Return of the Seventy-Two</td>
<td>True Cause for the Apostles to Rejoice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rejoicing of Jesus</td>
<td>Jesus Rejoices in Spirit</td>
<td>Prayer of Jesus</td>
<td>Jesus Rejoices</td>
<td>The Good News Revealed to the Simple–The Father and the Son</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Good Samaritan</td>
<td>The Parable of the Good Samaritan</td>
<td>A Lawyer’s Question</td>
<td>The Parable of the Good Samaritan</td>
<td>The Great Commandment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting Martha and Mary</td>
<td>Mary and Martha Worship and Serve</td>
<td>Martha and Mary</td>
<td>Jesus Visits with Martha and Mary</td>
<td>Martha and Mary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS

A. Much of this chapter is unique to Luke’s Gospel
   1. the mission of the seventy, vv. 1-20
   2. dinner at Martha and Mary’s, vv. 38-42


WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 10:1-12**

1Now after this the Lord appointed seventy others, and sent them in pairs ahead of Him to every city and place where He Himself was going to come. 2And He was saying to them, "The harvest is plentiful, but the laborers are few; therefore beseech the Lord of the harvest to send out laborers into His harvest. 3Go; behold, I send you out as lambs in the midst of wolves. 4Carry no money belt, no bag, no shoes; and greet no one on the way. 5Whatever house you enter, first say, 'Peace be to this house.' 6If a man of peace is there, your peace will rest on him; but if not, it will return to you. 7Stay in that house, eating and drinking what they give you; for the laborer is worthy of his wages. Do not keep moving from house to house. 8Whatever city you enter and they receive you, eat what is set before you; 9and heal those in it who are sick, and say to them, 'The kingdom of God has come near to you.' 10But whatever city you enter and they do not receive you, go out into its streets and say, 11'Even the dust of your city which clings to our feet we wipe off in protest against you; yet be sure of this, that the kingdom of God has come near.' 12I say to you, it will be more tolerable in that day for Sodom than for that city."

10:1 “appointed” Luke uses this term in two different senses.
1. to make known by lifting up (i.e. a torch to see or a hand to designate), Luke 1:80; Acts 1:24
2. to assign a task to, Luke 10:1
Both of these follow Septuagint uses (#1, Hab. 3:2; #2, Dan. 1:11)

“seventy others” Several manuscripts have the number “seventy-two.” There has been much discussion about which number is appropriate. There are two possible theories about the origin of this larger number: (1) it comes from Num. 11:16-26, where Moses appoints seventy elders or (2) it comes from the rabbis’ interpretation of Gen. 10-11, where seventy (Masoretic Text) or seventy-two (Septuagint) represents the nations and languages of the world. Option two fits Luke’s overall purpose in writing the Gospel (cf. 24:47).
The Greek manuscript tradition is equally divided as to which number is original ("seventy," MSS N, A, C, L, W, and "seventy-two," MSS P75, B, D). If one approaches this variant with the position that the most unusual reading is probably true, then "seventy-two" is original. The number 70 was a common round number in the OT (cf. Exod. 1:5).

- **“in pairs”** This methodology follows the sending (same VERB, apostellô of the Twelve (cf. 9:1-6; Mark 6:7).

- **“ahead of Him”** Now this is a new element. The Twelve went to villages that Jesus Himself never visited to heal, exorcize demons, and preach. The first time we hear of Jesus sending representatives ahead is in 9:52, concerning a village in Samaria.

10:2 **“The harvest is plentiful”** Apparently Jesus used the same phrases and teachings in different locations under different circumstances (cf. Matt. 9:37-38 and John 4:35). It is important to note that we are to pray for God to send workers, not to simply go ourselves. Need does not constitute a call!

AORIST PASSIVE (deponent) IMPERATIVE. It follows his emphasis on prayer. Believers see the evangelistic potential, recognize the spiritual need, and appeal to the only One who can help (God). It is His field and His harvest (cf. Matt. 9:35-38)!

- **“send”** This is literally the stronger word “thrust” (ekballô). There is an urgency and a mandate.

10:3

- **NASB, TEV “Go”**
- **NKJV “Go your way”**
- **NRSV “Go on your way”**
- **NJB “Start off now”**

This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. Theologically this is parallel to the Great Commission of Matt. 28:19, “Go” (AORIST PARTICIPLE used in the sense of an IMPERATIVE). In context this looks like a specific assignment: so this cannot be interpreted “as you are going through life” or “through your daily affairs.” This is a specific mission.

This section of Luke is similar to the sending out of the Twelve in chapter 9.

- **“I send you out as lambs in the midst of wolves”** The parallel in Matt. 10:16 has a fuller statement. Luke wants these representatives to know that there will be opposition and rejection (cf. v. 11). The Spirit of God is with them and will provide for them.

This is a foreshadowing of the reception Jesus will receive in Jerusalem.

10:4 The significance of this verse is that they are to depend totally on God’s provision, not their own resources. See fuller note in chapter 9.

- **“greet no one on the way”** Their mission was so important that they were not to be delayed with long, detailed, ceremonial eastern greeting rituals (cf. II Kgs. 4:29).

10:6 **“If a man of peace is there”** The “if” is a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which means potential action. This is literally “son of peace.” Peace is personified as a blessing from God.

- **“if”** The second “if” in v. 6 is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which is assumed to be true from the author’s perspective or for his literary purposes.

10:7 **“stay in the house”** This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. This was to be the standard operating procedure of traveling missionaries (cf. 9:4).

- **“eating and drinking what they give you”** These are both PERFECT ACTIVE PARTICIPLES. Missionaries are not to seek better and better accommodations or food. Hospitality was a cultural requirement in the east. They gave the best they had to guests (and still do).

- **“for the laborer is worthy of his wages”** This was an eastern agricultural proverb or truism (cf. Matt. 10:10; I Cor. 9:14; I Tim. 5:18)
“Do not keep moving.” This is a PRESENT IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE which usually implies “stop an act already in process.” Apparently they were moving from home to home with the object of getting the finest facilities available.

10:8 “eat what is set before you” These being conservative Jews, they were likely to be very picky about the food that was offered to them. The Great Commission (cf. Matt. 28:18-20) is more important than food laws (cf. Mark 7:1-23). For believers the Mosaic food laws of Lev. 11 are annulled.

10:9 “heal those in it who are sick” This is another PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. There are two possible ways to interpret this phrase: (1) their task was to heal anyone and everyone in order to confirm their message about Jesus and the Kingdom of God or (2) they were to heal those who had faith (much like, stay in those homes who welcome you).

Jesus did both of these in different settings (cf. Matt. 4:23; 8:16; 9:35; 14:14; 15:30; 19:2; 21:14, while Mark says “many,” Mark 1:34; 3:10).

“‘The kingdom of God has come near to you’” There comes a time in every person’s life when God draws near. That is the time of response.

This is such a controversial text today because of the dispensational premillennial emphasis on the Kingdom as future only. I would like to include my notes from my commentary on Mark 1:15:

“1:15 “time is fulfilled” This phrase is introduced by hoti, which usually denotes a quote and is common in Mark. This reflects Peter’s memory of Jesus’ words. This is PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE, which has prophetic/messianic significance (cf. Eph. 1:10; Gal. 4:4; I Tim. 2:6; Titus 1:3). The PASSIVE VOICE reflects God’s activity in and control of time and history.

“‘the kingdom of God’” This refers to God’s reign. It is both a present reality and a future consummation. In Matthew’s Gospel this is usually referred to as “kingdom of heaven.” These phrases are synonymous (compare Matt. 13:11 with Mark 4:11 and Luke 8:10). The kingdom arrived when Jesus was born. It is described and embodied in Jesus’ life and teachings. It will be consummated at His return. It was the subject of Jesus’ sermons and parables. It was the central theme of His spoken messages.

NASB, NKJV “is at hand”
NRSV “has come near”
TEV “is near”
NJB “is close at hand”

This is a PERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE, which implies that the kingdom was a past reality (cf. vv. 1-3) as well as a current reality (cf. Matt. 12:28; Luke 11:20; 17:21). The phrase “the time is fulfilled” parallels this phrase and emphasizes the reality of God’s prophetic word now becoming a historical event. The “New Age of Righteousness” was inaugurated at Jesus’ birth, but not fully known until the Passion Week’s events and not fully empowered until Pentecost.

Although the Kingdom has truly come, there are also NT texts which imply that its complete manifestation is future (cf. 9:1; 14:25; Matt. 26:29; Luke 22:18; Acts 1:11; I Thess. 4:13-18). What we do with Christ now determines our eschatological hope (cf. 8:38).”

10:10-11 Jesus had just received this same type of treatment in Samaria (cf. 9:51-56). However, Jesus’ reaction to the potential rejection of “the seventy” was severe and put in an eschatological-judgment setting. We must be very careful of building theological systems out of one text. We only have a small percentage of Jesus’ words and actions. The Gospel writers selected what they did record for evangelism and the needs of the early church. Moderns must be content with the snapshots we have of Jesus’ life without trying to turn them into dogmatic rules for every culture and every situation. Our only hope is to keep the main truth of the event, pericopes, or paragraph, and not turn all the details into a Christian Talmud!

10:11 This was a cultural gesture of rejection and judgment (cf. Matt. 10:14; Luke 9:5)

10:12 “‘I say to you, it will be more tolerable in that day’” I believe the Bible does teach degrees of both reward and punishment based on how much one understands, receives, and acts on the will of God.
SPECIAL TOPIC: DEGREES OF REWARDS AND PUNISHMENT

A. Appropriate and inappropriate response to God is based on knowledge. The less knowledge one has, the less responsible one is. The opposite is also true.

B. Knowledge of God comes in two basic ways:
1. creation (cf. Ps. 19; Rom. 1-2)
2. Scripture (cf. Ps. 19, 119; the gospel)

C. OT evidence
1. rewards
   a. Gen. 15:1 (usually associated with earthly reward, land and sons)
   b. Deut. 27-28 (covenant obedience brings blessing)
2. punishment
   a. Deut. 27-28 (covenant disobedience brings cursing)
3. The OT pattern of reward for personal, covenantal righteousness is modified because of human sin. This modification is seen in Job and Ps. 73. The NT changes the focus from this world to the next (of the Sermon on the Mount, Matt. 5-7).

D. NT evidence
1. rewards (beyond salvation)
   a. Mark 9:41
2. punishment
   a. Mark 12:38-40
   d. James 3:1

E. For me the only analogy that makes sense is from the opera. I do not attend opera presentations so I do not understand them. The more I knew of the difficulty and intricateness of the plot, music, and dance, the more I would appreciate the performance. I believe heaven will fill our cups, but I think our earthly service determines the size of the cup.

Therefore, knowledge and a response to that knowledge results in rewards and punishments (cf. Matt. 16:7; I Cor. 3:8,14; 9:17,18; Gal. 6:7; II Tim. 4:14). There is a spiritual principle—we reap what we sow! Some sow more and reap more (cf. Matt. 13:8,23).

F. “The crown of righteousness” is ours in the finished work of Jesus Christ (cf. II Tim. 4:8), but notice that “the crown of life” is connected to perseverance under trial (cf. James 1:12; Rev. 2:10; 3:10-11). The “crown of glory” for Christian leaders is connected to their lifestyle (cf. I Pet. 5:1-4). Paul knows he has an imperishable crown, but he exercises extreme self-control (cf. I Cor. 9:24-27).

The mystery of the Christian life is that the gospel is absolutely free in the finished work of Christ, but as we must respond to God’s offer in Christ, we must also respond to God’s empowerment for Christian living. The Christian life is as supernatural as is salvation, yet we must receive it and hold on to it. The free-but-cost-everything paradox is the mystery of rewards and sowing/reaping.

We are not saved by good works, but for good works (cf. Eph. 2:8-10). Good works are the evidence that we have met Him (cf. Matt. 7). Human merit in the area of salvation leads to destruction, but godly living which results from salvation is rewarded.


NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 10:13-15

13”Woe to you, Chorazin! Woe to you, Bethsaida! For if the miracles had been performed in Tyre and Sidon which occurred in you, they would have repented long ago, sitting in sackcloth and ashes. 14But it will be more tolerable for Tyre
and Sidon in the judgment than for you. **15**And you, Capernaum, will not be exalted to heaven, will you? You will be brought down to Hades!"

**10:13**

NASB, NKJV, NRSV **“Woe”**

TEV **“How terrible”**

NJB **“Alas”**

This is one of the OT prophetic literary markers. It symbolized a funeral dirge. Luke records more of Jesus’ woes than any other Gospel writer (cf. 6:24,25,26; 10:13; 11:42,43,44,46,47,52; 17:1; 21:23; 22:22). Life choices have spiritual consequences.

**“Chorazin”** This was a city in Galilee, two miles north of Capernaum. It is mentioned only in Matt. 11:21 and here. We do not have any recorded information about Jesus’ ministry in this city.

The point is that cities (Bethsaida and Capernaum) where Jesus taught and ministered were responsible. Many OT cities who were judged by God would have responded to Jesus’ message and miracles if only given the chance.

**“if”** This is a SECOND CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE (cf. Matt. 11:23), where a false assertion heightened a false result. Jesus’ miracles were not done in Tyre and Sidon and they did not repent.

**“Tyre and Sidon”** These were two of the major seaport towns in Phoenicia, which is modern Lebanon (cf. Matt. 11:22,24). They are often used in the OT as symbols of pride and arrogance (cf. Isa. 23; Ezek. 26-28).

**“would have repented long ago, sitting in sackcloth and ashes”** These are OT symbols of repentance or mourning. Several could be listed:

1. sitting on the ground
2. wearing sackcloth
3. putting ashes or dirt on the head
4. pulling out one’s beard or hair (disheveled hair)
5. tearing one’s clothing
6. wailing loudly
7. being barefoot


**10:15 “Capernaum”** This was Jesus’ self-chosen headquarters. To whom much is given, much is required.

**NASB, NRSV **“You will be brought down”**

NKJV **“You...will be thrust down”**

TEV **“You will be thrown down”**

NJB **“you shall be flung down”**

This is possibly an allusion to Num. 16:30; Ezek. 31:18; or 32:18 in the Septuagint (which uses katabainô). The parallel in Matt. 11:23 speaks of being brought down to hades or the pit (cf. Isa. 14:13,15; Ezek. 26:20; 31:14; 32:24). This Greek word is found in several ancient manuscripts (cf. P75, B, D). However, the rarer and more intense katabibazô(thrust down) is found in the ancient Greek manuscripts P46, 8, A, C, L, W, and the Vulgate and Peshitta translations.

The meaning of the text is not affected, but which term was original cannot be determined.

**“Hades”** This may be an allusion to Isa. 14:15 or Ezek. 26:20; 28:8; 31:14; 32:18,24. This referred to the realm of the dead (cf. Luke 16:23). According to the rabbis, there was a righteous part called Paradise and a wicked part called Tartarus. This may be true. Jesus’ words to one of the criminals crucified with Him in Luke 23:43 seem to imply a righteous part of Hades because Jesus did not return to heaven until forty days after Passover. At Jesus’ resurrection He took the righteous part of Hades (sheol) to be with Him. Therefore, Paul can now say in II Cor. 5:6,8 that to be absent from the body is to be present with the Lord. See Special Topic: Where Are the Dead? at 16:23.
10:16 To reject the gospel is to reject Jesus. To reject Jesus is to reject God (cf. I John 5:10-12). It is extremely important that we realize the dignity which believers have as Christian witnesses (cf. 9:48; Matt. 10:40; Mark 9:37; John 13:20). The gospel is not our message, but God’s. People do not reject us, but Him.

10:17 “‘Lord, even the demons are subject to us in Your name’” This is a PRESENT PASSIVE INDICATIVE. This was surprising to the seventy because they were not expecting this. It was a sign that the power and authority of God in Christ had been effectively delegated to His followers, and that the kingdom of Satan had been effectively defeated.

10:18 “He said to them, ‘I was watching Satan fall from heaven like lightning’” This is an IMPERFECT TENSE matched with an AORIST TENSE. This statement is only in Luke’s Gospel. There is no Synoptic parallel. There has been much discussion about exactly what this relates to: (1) Satan’s fall from heaven, or (2) Satan’s fall from earthly power. See Special Topic: Satan at 4:2. It seems to me that #2 is best because the context relates this entire account to the exorcisms by the seventy.

When did Satan fall from heaven? (cf. II Cor. 4:4; Eph. 2:2; I Pet. 5:8; I John 5:19)
1. before Gen. 1:1 (angelic fall predates creation)
2. between Gen. 1:1 and 1:2 (gap theory)
3. after Job 1-2 (Satan in heaven)
4. after Zech. 3:1-2 (Satan in heaven)
5. metaphors in Isa. 14:12-16; Ezek. 28:12-16 (possibly refers to Satan being kicked out of heaven because of pride)
7. during the mission of the seventy (here)
8. after Calvary/Resurrection (Col. 2:15; I Cor. 15:24)
9. in the future (Rev. 12:9)

F. F. Bruce in Answers to Questions, thinks that Satan was cast out of heaven to the earth as the immediate consequence of Jesus’ earthly ministry (p. 228). This same thought is found in Hard Sayings of the Bible, pp. 465-466.

George E. Ladd in A Theology of the New Testament, thinks it is only metaphorical of Satan’s defeat in the mission of the seventy, but that Satan’s final destruction is future (pp. 67, 625).

10:19 “‘I have given you authority to tread on serpents and scorpions, and over all the power of the enemy’” This is a PERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE. There have been many theories concerning this reference: (1) that snakes and scorpions are symbols of the power of the evil one; (2) that this is a reference to Ps. 91:13-14; Rom. 16:20; and (3) that it is related to Mark 16:17-18, which is a non-inspired manuscript addition to the Gospel of Mark. It is obvious to me that #1 is the only possible meaning in this context. See Special Topic: Luke’s Use of exousia at 20:2.

“‘and nothing will injure you’” This is a strong DOUBLE NEGATIVE. This must be interpreted in the light of other NT texts. But it does assert God’s presence, protection and provision (cf. I John 5:19).

10:20 “‘Nevertheless do not rejoice’” This is a PRESENT IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually means stop an act already in process. They are not to rejoice over the power of exorcism, but rejoice (PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE) over the fact that their names are written in the Book of Life. Who we are in the Lord is much more significant than our spiritual giftedness or effectiveness.

“‘your names are recorded in heaven’” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE (abiding results). Daniel 7:10 and Revelation 20:12 list the two books which are symbols of God’s memory and mankind’s destiny. They are (1) the Book of Life (those who know God, cf. Exod. 32:32; Ps. 69:28; Isa. 4:3; Dan. 12:1; Luke 10:20; Phil. 4:3; Heb. 12:23; Rev. 3:5; 13:8; 17:8; 20:15; 21:27); and (2) the Book of Deeds (the acts of the saved and the unsaved, cf. Ps. 56:8; 139:16; Isa. 65:6; Mal. 3:16).
At that very time He rejoiced greatly in the Holy Spirit, and said, "I praise You, O Father, Lord of heaven and earth, that You have hidden these things from the wise and intelligent and have revealed them to infants. Yes, Father, for this way was well-pleasing in Your sight. All things have been handed over to Me by My Father, and no one knows who the Son is except the Father, and who the Father is except the Son, and anyone to whom the Son wills to reveal Him."

Turning to the disciples, He said privately, "Blessed are the eyes which see the things you see, for I say to you, that many prophets and kings wished to see the things which you see, and did not see them, and to hear the things which you hear, and did not hear them."
“Blessed” This is a beatitude like Matt. 5:1-12. Jesus is pronouncing the benefit of His choice to reveal to His disciples truths which they could have never had apart from Him.

These disciples had seen and heard the mysteries of the Kingdom of God. They lived during the culmination of God’s OT promises. No OT prophet fully understood God’s plan (cf. Heb. 1:1; I Pet. 1:10-12), but in Jesus they (the disciples) now understand (cf. Acts 2:23; 3:18; 4:28; 13:29; Col. 1:26-27; Heb. 1:2-3).

“many prophets and kings wished to see the things which you see, and did not see them” The Matthew parallel has “prophets and righteous men” (cf. Matt. 13:16-17). Surely the “kings” in Luke refers to the godly Kings of Judah, like David, Hezekiah, and Josiah.

It is always shocking and humbling to me to realize that NT believers know more of the eternal plan and purposes of God than any OT person (Adam, Noah, Abraham, Jacob, Moses, Isaiah, etc.). The question then comes, “What are we doing with the knowledge?” With light comes responsibility (cf. 12:47-48).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 10:25-37

25And a lawyer stood up and put Him to the test, saying, "Teacher, what shall I do to inherit eternal life?" 26And He said to him, "What is written in the Law? How does it read to you?" 27And he answered, "YOU SHALL LOVE THE LORD YOUR GOD WITH ALL YOUR HEART, AND WITH ALL YOUR SOUL, AND WITH ALL YOUR STRENGTH, AND WITH ALL YOUR MIND; AND YOUR NEIGHBOR AS YOURSELF." 28And He said to him, "You have answered correctly; DO THIS AND YOU WILL LIVE." 29But wishing to justify himself, he said to Jesus, "And who is my neighbor?" 30Jesus replied and said, "A man was going down from Jerusalem to Jericho, and fell among robbers, and they stripped him and beat him, and went away leaving him half dead. 31And by chance a priest was going down on that road, and when he saw him, he passed by on the other side. 32Likewise a Levite also, when he came to the place and saw him, passed by on the other side. 33But a Samaritan, who was on a journey, came upon him; and when he saw him, he felt compassion, 34and came to him and bandaged up his wounds, pouring oil and wine on them; and he put him on his own beast, and brought him to an inn and took care of him. 35On the next day he took out two denarii and gave them to the innkeeper and said, 'Take care of him; and whatever more you spend, when I return I will repay you.' 36Which of these three do you think proved to be a neighbor to the man who fell into the robbers' hands?" 37And he said, "The one who showed mercy toward him." Then Jesus said to him, "Go and do the same."

10:25 “lawyer” This refers to scribes (cf. Mark 12:28) and from Matt. 22:34, a Pharisee. Scribes developed during the exilic period and supplanted the Levites as interpreters of the written OT and oral traditions (Talmud) to the contemporary situation. They could be Sadducees or Pharisees. Most in Jesus’ day were Pharisees. They will become the rabbis of our day.

“stood up” This shows that they were in an official teaching session of Jesus.

“test” This term implies evil motives on the scribe’s part; v. 29 seems to substantiate this. This term is used in the NT in the connotation of “to test with a view toward destruction.” See Special Topic at 4:2.

“what shall I do to inherit eternal life” This implies one great act or a series of human acts. This man, as most first century Jews, based salvation on human actions and merits (keeping the Mosaic Law). Luke, writing to Gentiles, asks about salvation instead of the greatest commandment of the Jewish Law. Since all humans are sinful (cf. Rom. 3:9-18,23), they cannot be saved by their actions. This is where the gift of God in Christ’s death and resurrection is crucial (cf. Rom. 5:6-11; 6:23; Eph. 2:8-9).

“eternal life” “Eternal life” is a characterization used often by John to describe the life of the new age, God’s kind of life. This question shows that this was a Pharisee because the Sadducees denied the resurrection. He was interpreting this phrase in light of his own background, so therefore, eternal life was a continuation of the present order.

10:26

NASB “How does it read to you”  
NKJV, NJB “What is your reading of it”  
NRSV “What do you read there”  
TEV “How do you interpret them”  

This man was a trained Bible interpreter, so Jesus asked him about his personal understanding of the question. Jesus even affirms his interpretation. There are two concerns here.

1. All believers need to be able to document what they believe from Scripture, not from culture, traditions, or denominational indoctrination. This man knew his Bible!
2. Though right on a theological truth, he missed the most important—salvation through faith in Christ.
10:27 “What is written in the Law” This refers to the Mosaic Law (Genesis - Deuteronomy). Every Jewish person in first century Palestine went to Synagogue school as a child. This man had further training in the OT. He knew the OT well, especially the writings of Moses.

Jesus is testing his knowledge just as he was trying to test Jesus.

“he answered and said, ‘YOU SHALL LOVE THE LORD YOUR GOD’” This is from Deut. 6:4-5, called the Shema (“to hear so as to do”). This man possibly pointed to his phylactery, which contained this verse. It shows that primary focus is on our attitude of commitment toward God that includes everything we are.

The parallels in Mark and Matthew are slightly different. Let me quote my notes from Matthew and Mark.

“Matt. 22:37-38 The greatest commandment is stated in Deut. 6:5. There is a slight difference between the Masoretic Hebrew text and Jesus’ quote, but the essence is the same. This verse is not concerned with the dichotomous (cf. Heb. 4:12) or trichotomous (cf. I Thess. 5:23) nature of man but rather deals with man as a unity (cf. Gen. 2:7; I Cor. 15:45): a thinking and feeling, physical and spiritual being. It is true that because humans are earthly animals they depend upon this planet for food, water, air, and all the other things animal life needs to survive. Humans are also spiritual beings who relate to God and the spiritual realms. However it is a false interpretation to build theology on these different descriptions of human nature. The key to this verse is the thrice-repeated “all,” not the supposed distinctions between “heart,” “soul,” and “mind.”

Mark 12:29 “HEAR” Jesus quotes from Deut. 6:4-5, but not from the Masoretic Text or the Septuagint (the parallel in Matt. 22:37 is closer to the MT, but not exact). Jesus’ quote adds a phrase to both the Masoretic Hebrew text and the Septuagint Greek text. This exact quote is unknown from any OT text. The LXX changes the Hebrew “heart” to “mind” or “understanding.” But this quote adds the phrase “with all your mind” to the three-fold phrasing (i.e. heart, soul, strength) in the MT and LXX. The NJB recognizes this by printing the phrase as not part of the OT quote (i.e. not in italics). It is interesting that the Greek uncial manuscript D (i.e. Bezae) from the fifth century omits the phrase “and with all your mind” entirely. This may reflect the original because its absence matches the scribes’ response in v. 33.

In the Matthew parallel (i.e. 22:32) Matthew quotes Jesus as saying, “with all your heart, and with all your soul, and with all your mind.” Here the Hebrew clause “with all your strength” is left out. It is so surprising that Mark and Matthew disagree with each other and with both the MT and the LXX. This is a perfect example of the looseness of many of the OT quotes in the NT (even those attributed to Jesus). Here is where precision is impossible. They all (i.e. LXX, Matt. and Mark) reflect the general sense of the quote from Moses.

This OT text (i.e. Deut. 6:4-5) is called the Shema, which is the Hebrew word “hear.” It means to hear so as to do. It has become the Jewish affirmation of monotheism. It is prayed daily by faithful Jews and on every Sabbath. There are other texts on the oneness and uniqueness of God in the Prophets, but this one is in the writings of Moses (i.e. Gen. - Deut.) and is, therefore, binding on all of Jesus’ listeners (i.e. Sadducees and Pharisees).”

“AND YOUR NEIGHBOR AS YOURSELF” This is a quote from Lev. 19:18 in the Septuagint. Jesus linked theological truth to practical, ethical demands. It is impossible to love God and hate those made in His image (cf. I John 2:9-11; 3:15; 4:20).

It is impossible to love your neighbor (i.e. covenant brother or sister) as yourself if you do not love yourself. There is an appropriate self-love which is based on God’s priority love for mankind. We are His creation, fashioned in His image (cf. Gen. 1:26,27). We must rejoice in our giftedness and accept our physical, mental, and psychological makeup (cf. Ps. 139). To criticize ourselves is to criticize our Maker! He can transform our falleness into a reflection of His glory (i.e. Christlikeness).

Christianity involves a personal faith commitment to God through Christ. It starts as an individual volitional decision of repentance and faith. However, it issues in a family experience. We are gifted for the common good (cf. I Cor. 12:7). We are part of the body of Christ. How we treat others reveals our true devotion to Christ. The oneness of God and mankind made in the image and likeness of God demands an appropriate response toward God and toward other humans, (i.e. especially those of the household of faith).

10:28 “DO THIS” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. We must act on our understanding of God’s truth and will. Remember that Jesus was speaking to a scribe.

“AND YOU WILL LIVE” This is not Jesus’ affirmation of potential works-righteousness, but a response geared to the man’s OT understanding (cf. Ezek. 20:11). For NT understanding of the place of the Mosaic Law in salvation see Gal. 3:6-14 and Rom. 3:20-21. The new covenant of Jer. 31:31-34 is an internal, mercy-based covenant, not a performance-based covenant. Mankind was unable to choose the right and avoid the evil (cf. Rom. 3:9-18,23). The Sermon on the Mount extends OT performance to attitude, yet it still demands holiness (cf. Matt. 5:48). The first truth of the gospel is mankind’s inability and spiritual need (cf. Rom. 3:9-18). One only needs a Savior when they realize their need!
10:29 “who is my neighbor” This was a hot question in Judaism. Mostly it was Jews only, and often only certain Jews.

10:30 “A man” The implication was a fellow Jew. For guidelines on interpreting parables, see the contextual insights in chapter 8, B.

“Jerusalem to Jericho” Jerome later called this highway “the bloody way” because of the violence which so often occurred there. It was a seventeen mile trip which descended 3000 feet.

10:31-32 “priest. . .Levite” These religious leaders were afraid of (1) thieves; (2) defilement (cf. Lev. 21 or Num 19:11); (3) involvement; and (4) time constraints.

10:33 “Samaritan” Jesus really shocked these Jews by using a hated Samaritan as the hero of the parable. Samaritans were half Jew and half pagan, resulting from the resettlement policies of the Assyrian exile of the northern ten tribes in 722 B.C. (i.e. fall of Samaria). They had developed a rival temple (Mt. Gerizim) and a rival text (the Samaritan Pentateuch).

10:34 “oil. . .wine” These were medicines of the day, oil for softening the skin and wine, with its natural alcohol, for killing infections.

“brought him to an inn” Today there are archaeological remains of two caravan-stop compounds about halfway between Jerusalem and Jericho.

10:35 “two denarii” One denarius was a day’s wage for a laborer or soldier. This would pay for about 14 days’ room and board.

“when I return I will repay you” Apparently the man was a regular customer. His care and concern was consistent and persistent.

10:36 Here is the key point of the parable and Jesus’ answer to this man’s question in v. 29.

10:37 “The one who took showed mercy toward him” The scribe could not bring himself to say “Samaritan.”

“Go and do the same” This is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE and a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. These link up contextually to v. 28.

This extension of “neighbor” from OT “covenant partner” (i.e. fellow Jew) to the hated Samaritan would have shocked this lawyer/scribe. Yet, it is this very extension that characterized Jesus’ teaching (and Luke’s emphasis). The OT categories of national and racial emphasis are expanded into global spheres. The new paradigm is believer vs. unbeliever, cosmic evil vs. national enemies. No NT author reaffirms OT national, racial, or geographical promises. Jerusalem is no longer a city in Palestine, but the “New Jerusalem” coming down out of heaven to a recreated earth (cf. Rev. 21:2). The new age is not Jewish!!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 10:38-42

38Now as they were traveling along, He entered a village; and a woman named Martha welcomed Him into her home. 39She had a sister called Mary, who was seated at the Lord’s feet, listening to His word. 40But Martha was distracted with all her preparations; and she came up to Him and said, "Lord, do You not care that my sister has left me to do all the serving alone? Then tell her to help me." 41But the Lord answered and said to her, "Martha, Martha, you are worried and bothered about so many things; 42but only one thing is necessary, for Mary has chosen the good part, which shall not be taken away from her."

10:38 “Now as they were traveling along” This is the way Luke structures this portion of his Gospel. Jesus is traveling to His divine destiny to Jerusalem (cf. 9:51,56,57; 10:38; 13:22; 17:11; 18:31,35; 19:1,11).

“a village” From John 11:1 we know the village is Bethany, only two miles from Jerusalem on the Mt of Olives on the road to Jericho.

“Martha” In Aramaic this means “lady,” the feminine form of “Lord.”

“welcomed Him into her home” Martha was acting like the head of the house. Apparently Lazarus was not home. It was usual for the villagers around Jerusalem to welcome pilgrims into their homes during feast days. At certain times during the year the population of the Holy City swelled to two or three times its normal size. There were no accommodations available.
10:39 “Mary” In Hebrew this is Miriam, which means “bitter” (cf. Ruth 1:20).

“was seated at the Lord’s feet” A crowd must have followed Jesus to Bethany. It was highly unusual for a rabbi to teach women (another example of Luke’s inclusive theme). Mary took advantage of the occasion to learn. “Sitting at the feet” was the common term for teaching situations (cf. Acts 22:3).

10:40 “was distracted” Apparently both women sat down to listen. Mary remained listening, but Martha’s personality began to worry about the task of hostess.

“Lord, do You not care” Martha agitated herself and then blamed her sister and then Jesus!

“left me to do all the serving alone” Martha was majoring on a minor!

“tell her to help me” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE.

10:41 “you are worried and bothered about so many things” It was not that Martha’s concern was inappropriate, but her attitude and anxiety were out of bounds. She missed a once-in-a-lifetime moment because of daily concerns.

10:42 Jesus may have used Martha’s elaborate dinner preparations as a metaphor for life’s priorities.

NASB “but only one thing is necessary”
NKJV “but one thing is needed”
NRSV “there is need of only one thing”
TEV “but just one is needed”
NJB “yet a few are needed, indeed only one”

The question is, to what does “thing” refer? It could refer to a simple meal versus an elaborate meal, or it could refer to Jesus’ visit and teaching. The remainder of the verse implies the second option.

There are several textual variants connected to this statement. The NJB follows one variant that adds “a few are needed” (cf. MSS P*, N, B, L).

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why is this parable so significant?
2. Did Jesus answer his questions?
3. How is love related to salvation?
## LUKE 11

### PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teaching About Prayer</strong></td>
<td>The Model Prayer</td>
<td>Sayings on Prayer</td>
<td>Jesus’ Teaching on Prayer</td>
<td>The Lord’s Prayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A Friend Comes at Midnight</strong></td>
<td>11:5-8</td>
<td>11:5-8</td>
<td>11:5-13</td>
<td>11:5-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jesus and Beelzebul</strong></td>
<td>A House Divided Cannot Stand</td>
<td>Sources of Jesus’ Power</td>
<td>Jesus and Beelzebul</td>
<td>Jesus and Beelzebul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Compromise</strong></td>
<td>11:21-22</td>
<td>No Compromise</td>
<td>11:23</td>
<td>11:23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Return of the Unclean Spirit</strong></td>
<td>An Unclean Spirit Returns</td>
<td>The Return of the Evil Spirit</td>
<td>Return of the Unclean Spirit</td>
<td>11:28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:24-26</td>
<td>11:24-26</td>
<td>11:24-26</td>
<td>11:24-26</td>
<td>11:24-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>True Blessedness</strong></td>
<td>Keeping the Word</td>
<td>True Happiness</td>
<td>The Truly Blessed</td>
<td>11:27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Demand for a Sign</strong></td>
<td>Seeking a Sign</td>
<td>Request for a Sign</td>
<td>The Demand for a Miracle</td>
<td>The Sign of Jonah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Light of the Body</strong></td>
<td>The Lamp of the Body</td>
<td>Concerning Light</td>
<td>The Light of the Body</td>
<td>The Parable of the Lamp Repeated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Denouncing of the Pharisees and Lawyers</strong></td>
<td>Woe to the Pharisees and Lawyers</td>
<td>Against Pharisees and Lawyers</td>
<td>Jesus Accuses the Pharisees and the Teachers of the Law</td>
<td>The Pharisees and the Lawyers Attacked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:42-44</td>
<td>11:42</td>
<td>11:42</td>
<td>11:42-44</td>
<td>11:42-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:43-44</td>
<td>11:43-44</td>
<td>11:43-44</td>
<td>11:43-44</td>
<td>11:43-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>11:45-54</strong></td>
<td>11:45-52</td>
<td>11:45</td>
<td>11:45-46</td>
<td>11:45-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:52</td>
<td>11:52</td>
<td>11:52</td>
<td>11:52</td>
<td>11:52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS TO 11:1-4

A. There are many textual variants of Luke 11:1-4 (and, for that matter, the whole chapter) which are attempts to harmonize Jesus’ prayer in this context with Matt. 6:9-13.

B. It is still surprising to modern readers and interpreters how differently the Synoptic Gospels record Jesus’ life and teachings. These eyewitness accounts (i.e. Mark from Peter, Luke from interviews or written documents from eyewitnesses) are verified by their very differentness. We have essentially what Jesus said, but not the exact wording.

C. The doctrine of inspiration must cover the variety found within the four Gospels. Remember they are salvation tracts, not modern western biographies nor histories. We must be content with the trustworthiness of the differing accounts.

D. From 5:33 it seems that John the Baptist taught his disciples to pray in a patterned way. Here, too, Jesus is setting a pattern (PRESENT MIDDLE [deponent] SUBJUNCTIVE). The different elements of this prayer were to be repeated emphases in regular prayer, not necessarily the exact words.
   1. God’s character magnified
   2. God’s reign increase
   3. God’s provision sure
   4. God’s forgiveness certain
   5. God’s presence effective

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 11:1-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1It happened that while Jesus was praying in a certain place, after He had finished, one of His disciples said to Him, &quot;Lord, teach us to pray just as John also taught his disciples.&quot; 2And He said to them, &quot;When you pray, say: 'Father, hallowed be Your name. Your kingdom come. 3Give us each day our daily bread. 4And forgive us our sins, For we ourselves also forgive everyone who is indebted to us. And lead us not into temptation.'&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11:1 “while Jesus was praying in a certain place” Luke often records Jesus praying before significant events or teachings. This prayer emphasis is unique to Luke (although Mark mentions it twice, cf. 1:35; 6:46). Matthew records Jesus telling His disciples to pray, but does not mention Jesus praying as much as Luke.

“after He had finished” Jesus’ regular prayer life impressed and interested the Apostles. It was the source of His fellowship and intimacy with the Father. Jesus’ power, authority, and message came from this intimacy. The disciples were delegated the power, authority, and message, but to fulfill their assignment, they also needed Jesus’ fellowship with the Father. This only occurs by faith through prayer.
“teach us to pray” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. These disciples felt an urgency about this request. They needed Jesus’ peace and composure.

“as John also taught his disciples” We know from John 1:29-41 that some of Jesus’ disciples were first John the Baptist’s disciples.

It was the task of the teacher (rabi) to train his followers in all the ways and truths necessary to function independently at some point in the future (cf. 5:33). Prayer establishes a life-long dependence on the Father. This was the key to Jesus’ earthly mission (cf. 10:21-24).

11:2 “And He said to them, ‘When you pray, say’” It seems that one’s attitude is more significant than one’s words; however, this particular phraseology implies that the form may be repeated (cf. Matt. 6:9). Luke’s version is much shorter than Matthew’s (cf. Matt. 6:9-13). Jesus probably repeated His teachings on this subject several times and to different groups.

“Father” The OT introduces the intimate familial metaphor of God as Father: (1) the nation of Israel is often described as YHWH’s “son” (cf. Hos. 11:1; Mal. 3:17); (2) even earlier in Deuteronomy the analogy of God as Father is used (1:31); (3) in Deut. 32:6 Israel is called “his children” and God called “your Father”; (4) this analogy is stated in Ps. 103:13 and developed in Ps. 68:5 (the father of orphans); and (5) it was common in the prophets (cf. Isa. 1:2; 63:8; Israel as son, God as Father, 63:16; 64:8; Jer. 3:4,19; 31:9).

Jesus spoke Aramaic, which means that many of the places where “Father” appears as the Greek Pater may reflect the Aramaic Abba (cf. 14:36). This familial term “Daddy” or “papa” reflects Jesus’ intimacy with the Father; His revealing this to His followers also encourages our own intimacy with the Father. The term “Father” was used sparingly in the OT (and not often in rabbinical literature) for YHWH, but Jesus uses it often and pervasively. It is a major revelation of our new relationship with God through Christ. Heaven is a family experience.

“hallowed be Your name” This is an AORIST PASSIVE IMPERATIVE. “Hallowed” comes from the root “be holy” (see Special Topic: Holy at 1:35) and refers to the character of God (cf. II Kgs. 19:22; Ps. 71:22; 78:41; 89:18; Isa. 1:4; 29:23 [used 28 times in Isaiah]). He is separated from evil. This term was used often in the Septuagint (1) of things, Gen. 2:3; Amos 2:12 or (2) or people [i.e. firstborn, Exod. 13:2,12; Israel, Exod. 19:14; Priests, Exod. 19:22; 29:21; II Chr. 26:18; Levites, Neh. 12:47]).

“Your kingdom come” This AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE refers to the reign of God in human’s hearts now that will one day be consummated over all the earth. This is an eschatological emphasis. The kingdom of God is spoken of in the Synoptic Gospels as past (Luke 13:28), present (Luke 17:21; Matt. 4:17; 12:28), and future (Luke 11:2; Matt. 6:10). See Special Topic: The Kingdom of God at 4:21.

11:3 “Give us each day” This is another PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. Matthew has an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. Verse 3 emphasizes our continual daily dependence on God.

This is one example of modern theologians asserting that Luke has a modified eschatology that envisions a delayed Second Coming. The argument runs like this: Matthew has the AORIST, implying a once-for-all giving (i.e. eschatological fulfillment), but Luke has the PRESENT, implying a regular (daily) giving through time. This may be true. Paul, Luke’s friend and missionary companion, also emphasizes a delayed Second Coming in II Thessalonians (one of Paul’s early books).


daily” The Greek word translated “daily” (επιουσίας) is found only here and in Matt. 6:11. It is used of a master giving a slave enough food to accomplish the task he was assigned for that day (Koine papyri found in Egypt, cf. TEV). The emphasis here seems to be that (1) believers’ need to trust God constantly and (2) God provides for us on a daily basis (Greek idiomatic usage), not a once-for-all provision.

This word may also carry the eschatological emphasis of “bread of the future or new age.” This would imply that the kingdom is present now in believers (analogous to “eternal life” now). This is the “already-yet-future” tension of Jesus’ preaching.

“bread” There have been many theories as to the meaning of this word in this context: (1) physical bread; (2) the bread of the Eucharist (cf. Acts 2:46); (3) bread as referring to the word of God (cf. Matt. 4:4; Luke 4:4); (4) Jesus Himself (cf. John 6:41,48,51,58); (5) the Messianic bread (cf. Luke 14:15). It seems to me the literal is best here, but it is used for God’s provision of all believers’ daily needs.

11:4 “And forgive us our sins” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. This seems to refer to the finished work of God in Christ in the lives of believers (initial justification and sanctification) as well as the ongoing need for forgiveness (progressive...

### SPECIAL TOPIC: SANCTIFICATION

The NT asserts that when sinners turn to Jesus in repentance and faith, they are instantaneously justified and sanctified. This is their new position in Christ. His righteousness has been imputed to them (Rom. 4). They are declared right and holy (a forensic act of God).

But the NT also urges believers on to holiness or sanctification. It is both a theological position in the finished work of Jesus Christ and a call to be Christlike in attitude and actions in daily life. As salvation is a free gift and a cost-everything lifestyle, so too, is sanctification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Response</th>
<th>A Progressive Christlikeness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acts 20:23; 26:18</td>
<td>Romans 6:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romans 15:16</td>
<td>II Corinthians 7:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Corinthians 1:2-3; 6:11</td>
<td>I Thessalonians 3:13; 4:3-4,7; 5:23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Thessalonians 2:13</td>
<td>I Timothy 2:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrews 2:11; 10:10,14; 13:12</td>
<td>II Timothy 2:21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Peter 1:1</td>
<td>Hebrews 12:14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I Peter 1:15-16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- “For we ourselves also forgive everyone who is indebted to us” Forgiving is a sign that we have been forgiven (cf. Matt. 5:7; 6:14-15; 7:1-2; 10:8; 18:35; Luke 6:36; Col. 3:13; James 2:13; 5:9). Our forgiveness of others is not the grounds of our being forgiven, but the result and evidence of a new heart and a new mind (cf. Jer. 31:31-34; Ezek. 36:22-35).

- “lead us not into temptation” This is a NEGATED AORIST ACTIVE SUBJUNCTIVE (“don’t ever”). The term “temptation” (peirazo) is a term that has the connotation in the NT of “to tempt with the view toward destruction.” See Special Topis at 10:25. Jesus told his disciples to pray for this very same thing in Luke 22:40,46. James 1:13 uses a different word (dokimazo) for test, which has the connotation of “to test with a view toward approval.” God does not test us for destruction, but He does test us to strengthen us (cf. Gen. 22:1; Exod. 16:4; 20:20; Deut. 8:2,16; 13:3; Jdgs. 2:22; II Chr. 32:31; Matt. 4:1; I Thess. 2:4; I Pet. 1:7; 4:12-16).

### NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 11:5-13

5Then He said to them, "Suppose one of you has a friend, and goes to him at midnight and says to him, 'Friend, lend me three loaves; 6for a friend of mine has come to me from a journey, and I have nothing to set before him'; 7and from inside he answers and says, 'Do not bother me; the door has already been shut and my children and I are in bed; I cannot get up and give you

8I tell you, even though he will not get up and give him anything because he is his friend, yet because of his persistence he will get up and give him as much as he needs. 9So I say to you, ask, and it will be given to you; seek, and you will find; knock, and it will be opened to you. 10For everyone who asks, receives; and he who seeks, finds; and to him who knocks, it will be opened. 11Now suppose one of you fathers is asked for a fish; he will not give him a snake instead of a fish, will he? 12Or if he is asked for an egg, he will not give him a scorpion, will he? 13If you then, being evil, know how to give good gifts to your children, how much more will your heavenly Father give the Holy Spirit to those who ask Him?"

11:5-13 This is a story not to illustrate God’s reluctance to answer our prayers, but His willingness. This is called a contrasting parable. It is a fictitious account to highlight mankind’s reluctance but God’s willingness.

11:5

NASB, NRSV,  
TEV, NJB “suppose one of you”  
NKJV “which of you”  

This is literally “who of you.” Luke uses this often to introduce Jesus’ teachings (cf. 11:5,11; 12:25; 14:5,28; 15:4; 17:7). This literary introduction can be seen in the OT in Isa. 42:23 and 50:10.

159
11:6 “for a friend of mine has come to me” Travelers might travel at night to avoid the heat.

11:7 “Do not bother me” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually means stop an act already in process. Then the homeowner lists two reasons why he cannot get up.

11:8 This verse explains the point of the parable. Persistence is an important aspect of prayer (cf. vv. 9-10). This is not because God is reluctant, but because prayer develops intimacy with God and our greatest need is God, not the answer to all our prayers (cf. 18:1-6).

11:9 “ask, and it will be given to you; seek, and you will find; knock, and it will be opened” These are all PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVES which speak of habitual, lifestyle commands (cf. Deut. 4:29; Jer. 29:13). It is important that one balance human persistence with God’s responsive character. Believers cannot force God to do that which is not good for them. However, at the same time, they can bring any perceived need to their heavenly Father at any time and as often as desired. Jesus prayed the same prayer in Gethsemane three times (cf. Mark 15:36,39,41; Matt. 26:39,42,44). Paul also prayed three times about his thorn in the flesh (cf. II Cor. 12:8). But the great thing about prayer is that one receives a specific answer to his request, but that he has spent time with the Father!

11:11 “instead of a fish” The Semitic form of this would use “and instead” (cf. MSS P45,75, B), while the normal Greek idiom would require “not instead” (cf. MSS A, D, L, W). This clearly shows how later Greek scribes did not fully understand the Aramaic influence on the writers of the NT (even Luke) and changed the unusual forms to their common Koine Greek forms.

11:13 This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL sentence which is assumed to be true from the author’s perspective or for his literary purposes. In a rather oblique way this is an affirmation of the sinfulness of all men (cf. Rom. 3:9,23). The contrast is between evil human beings and a loving God. God shows His character by the analogy of the human family.

11:14-23 And He was casting out a demon, and it was mute; when the demon had gone out, the mute man spoke; and the crowds were amazed. 15But some of them said, "He casts out demons by Beelzebul, the ruler of the demons." 16Others, to test Him, were demanding of Him a sign from heaven. 17But He knew their thoughts and said to them, "Any kingdom divided against itself is laid waste; and a house divided against itself falls. 18If Satan also is divided against himself, how will his kingdom stand? For you say that I cast out demons by Beelzebul. 19And if I by Beelzebul cast out demons, by
whom do your sons cast them out? So they will be your judges. 20But if I cast out demons by the finger of God, then the kingdom of God has come upon you. 21When a strong man, fully armed, guards his own house, his possessions are undisturbed. 22But when someone stronger than he attacks him and overpowers him, he takes away from him all his armor on which he had relied and distributes his plunder. 23He who is not with Me is against Me; and he who does not gather with Me, scatters.”

11:14 “a demon, and it was mute” Matthew 12:22 says the demon caused blindness as well as dumbness. Both Matt. 12:22-32 and Mark 3:2-30 record this same discussion in a different settings and locations.

This is a Greek manuscript variant here in the phrase “and it was mute.” Most manuscripts omit “and it was” (cf. MSS P45,75, ४२, A*, B, L). Bruce M. Metzger, A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament, p. 158, says the full form reflects a Semitism used by Luke, but the shorter reading has overwhelming Greek manuscript attestation. The translation committee of the UBS3 put the phrase in brackets and gave it a “D” rating (with great difficulty). However, the UBS4 has it as a “C” Rating (difficulty in deciding). Scholars change their minds!

As with most of these variants, this does not affect the thrust of the verse or affect the meaning of the paragraph as a whole.

11:15 “But some of them said” Matthew 12:24 has “Pharisee,” while Mark 3:22 has “scribes from Jerusalem.”

“Beelzebul, the ruler of the demons” Beelzebul is an OT fertility god (Ba’al) of Ekron (cf. II Kgs. 1:2,3,6,16). The manuscripts of the NT differ between the spelling of Beelzebub and Beelzebul (cf. Mark 3:22 and Matt. 10:25). This is probably due to the Jews’ attempt to make fun of idols by slightly changing their names. The term Zebub means “lord of dung.” Zebul (is exalted) later became a title in Judaism for the chief of demons. It is found in the Vulgate and Peshitta translations.

The phrase “the ruler of the demons” identifies “Beelzebul” as Satan (cf. v. 18). Although the OT is silent on the relationship between Satan and the demonic, interbiblical literature (affected by Zoroastrianism) identifies Satan as the head of demons. Also the relationship between the OT fallen angels and the demonic is uncertain (cf. Rev. 12:9). I Enoch says the Nephilim of Gen. 6, who died in the flood, became the demonic seeking a physical body.

11:16 “to test Him” This term (peirazó, see note at 11:4 and Special Topic at 10:25) is used in the NT with the connotation of “to test with a view toward destruction.”

This paragraph seems to mix two separate issues:
1. exorcisms of Jesus
2. testing by those wanting a sign

The exorcisms themselves were the most relevant sign that could be given of Jesus’ origin, authority, and power.

“demanding of Him a sign from heaven” They had a sign, the exorcism, but they would not accept it (cf. I Cor. 1:22). The demand for signs became a major stumbling block to the Jews (cf. vv. 29-30; Matt. 12:38; John 2:18; 6:30).

This repeated insistence for a sign reminds us of Jesus’ temptation (cf. Matt. 4; Luke 4), where Satan tempts Him to jump from the pinnacle of the temple, apparently on a crowded feast day to impress the Jewish crowd (cf. 4:9).

“He knew their thoughts” See notes at 5:22; 6:8; 9:47; 24:38.

11:17-18 Jesus asserts the logical absurdity of His opponents. Why would Satan defeat his own servants (cf. v. 18)?

11:18 “if” This is the first of three FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCES (cf. vv. 18, 19, 20) which are assumed to be true from the author’s perspective or for his literary/logical purposes.

The one in v. 19 is an example of how the FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL is not true to reality, but to help the author make a strong, logical point. In reality, this statement is not true!

“his kingdom” Satan has a kingdom and wants to keep it and expand it. There is a spiritual conflict (cf. John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11; II Cor. 4:4; Eph. 2:2; 4:14,27; 6:11-12,16; James 4:7; I Pet. 5:8-9).

11:19 “by whom do your sons cast them out” The Jews were quite active in exorcisms (cf. Acts 19:13-16). If they denied Jesus’ power to exorcize demons, how did they explain Jewish exorcisms (esp. those using Jesus’ name, cf. 9:49-50; Mark 9:38-40)?

“So they will be your judges” At least these Jewish exorcists who were using Jesus’ name recognized His power. This crowd (Matthew says Pharisees) had committed the unpardonable sin by calling light dark. They clearly saw and heard, but deemed it evil!
11:20 “by the finger of God” This phrase is used several times in the OT: (1) God as creator, Ps. 8:3; (2) God as giver of revelation, Exod. 31:18; Deut. 9:10; and (3) God as redeemer, the plague which brought deliverance from Egypt, Exod. 8:19.

This is an anthropomorphic phrase. Humans have only earthly vocabulary to describe spiritual persons, events, and things. All our language about God is analogical and metaphorical. God is personal and, therefore, the Bible describes Him in human terms (physical, emotional, relational). God is an eternal Spirit, present throughout creation. He does not have a human body, though He can take that form (ex. Gen. 3:8; 18:33; Lev. 26:12; Deut. 23:14).

“then the kingdom of God has come upon you” The logic is overwhelming. If Jesus cast out demons by God’s power, then He was the Messiah. The crowd’s rejection of Him and His power and authority was a rejection of YHWH (cf. I John 5:10-12).

The casting out of demons showed the defeat of Satan and his kingdom. The eschatological event (cf. Isa. 24:21-23; Rev. 20:1-3) has come in the ministry of Jesus. The kingdom is present (cf. Matt. 12:28), yet future! This is the NT tension of the “already” and “not yet.” Satan is defeated and is being defeated!

11:21-22 Jesus’ power over the kingdom of Satan and his followers shows Jesus’ God-given authority. The exorcism of Jesus and those He delegates clearly shows God’s power over the evil one (even “a strong, fully armed” - PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICIPLE. Satan is helpless against Jesus, cf. v. 22; 10:18).

11:22 “plunder” This may be an allusion to Isa. 53:12b (“He will divide the booty with the strong”). It (skulon) is a metaphor of military victory, the dividing of spoils (cf. Septuagint of Exod. 15:9; Num. 31:11,12,26,27; I Sam. 23:3).

11:23 This seems to contradict 9:50, but remember the ones to whom Jesus is speaking. In 9:50 He is addressing His disciples about tolerance. Jewish exorcists or other disciples recognized Jesus’ power and were using it to help people. However, here it is those who are trying to test Jesus (cf. v. 16) who were rejecting His power and authority from God by asserting that He was using Satan’s power. There are two totally different contexts and recipients!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 11:24-26

When the unclean spirit goes out of a man, it passes through waterless places seeking rest, and not finding any, it says, 'I will return to my house from which I came.' And when it comes, it finds it swept and put in order. Then it goes and takes along seven other spirits more evil than itself, and they go in and live there; and the last state of that man becomes worse than the first."

11:24-26 This passage has three possible meanings: (1) the Jewish exorcists performed exorcisms without personal faith, and the demonic spirit returned; (2) it is an allusion to national Israel in the sense of their rejection of idol worship without replacing it with a faith relationship to YHWH; or (3) it referred to the preaching of John the Baptist, whom they accepted as being from God, while rejecting Jesus. The last condition was far worse than the existential problem.

11:24 “the unclean spirit” See Special Topic: The Demonic at 4:33.

“it passes through waterless places seeking rest” In the OT the demonic lived in uninhabited places (cf. 8:27).


11:26 “seven other spirits” This is metaphorical for a more severe possession.

“the last state of that man becomes worse than the first” Evil, if not dealt with decisively by faith in Christ, can develop and progress. Evil can and will intensify because its ultimate goal is the destruction of the person.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 11:27-28

While Jesus was saying these things, one of the women in the crowd raised her voice and said to Him, "Blessed is the womb that bore You and the breasts at which You nursed." But He said, "On the contrary, blessed are those who hear the word of God and observe it."

11:27 The parallel in Matt. 12:46-50 records the account of Jesus’ mother and brothers seeking an audience with Him. Luke has recorded this earlier in 8:19-21.
This shows us that the Gospels are not structured chronologically. This does not depreciate their historicity, but helps us remember that the Gospels are not modern, western cause-and-effect, sequential histories, nor are they biographies. They are gospel tracts for the purpose of salvation and Christian maturity. The main issue is the person and work of Christ.

11:28 “But He said” Jesus was appreciative of the woman’s affirmation of approval, but He affirmed that an even closer relationship (even a blessing) exists between those who hear and follow (cf. Luke 6:46-49) His message more than those who simply have family ties (i.e. blood kin).

Nasb | “On the contrary”
Nkjv | “more than”
Nrsy, Tev | “rather”
Njb | “more”

The Greek compound (men + eun) has several connotations. It addresses what has just been said and adds to it. It can affirm it, negate it, or go beyond it (cf. Rom. 9:20; 10:18; Phil. 3:8). Option three fits this context best.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 11:29-32

29As the crowds were increasing, He began to say, “This generation is a wicked generation; it seeks for a sign, and yet no sign will be given to it but the sign of Jonah. 30 For just as Jonah became a sign to the Ninevites, so will the Son of Man be to this generation. 31 The Queen of the South will rise up with the men of this generation at the judgment and condemn them, because she came from the ends of the earth to hear the wisdom of Solomon; and behold, something greater than Solomon is here. 32 The men of Nineveh will stand up with this generation at the judgment and condemn it, because they repented at the preaching of Jonah; and behold, something greater than Jonah is here.”

11:29 “this generation is a wicked generation” The Matthew parallel (cf. 12:38-42) calls them “an evil and adulterous generation,” which Luke’s Gentile readers would not have understood in its OT connotation (i.e. faithless, idolatrous, e.g. Exod. 34:15-16; Deut. 31:16; Jgs. 2:17; 8:27; Ezek. 6:9; 23:30; Hos. 3:1; 4:12; 9:1). This verse may refer to v. 16.

“it seeks a sign” In Mark 8:11-12 Jesus refuses to give a sign! Both Matthew and Luke record Jesus as alluding to the sign of the prophet Jonah: (1) Matthew to his being in the great fish three days (i.e. Jesus’ resurrection) and (2) Luke to his preaching on Nineveh repenting (i.e. what the crowd should do).

They had heard Jesus’ teachings and had seen the healings and the exorcisms performed by Him, but they wanted some ultimate sign to convince them to believe on Him. This is exactly the temptation of Matt. 4:5-7, to which Jesus would not succumb. However, in reality, He had given them sign after sign, but they would not or could not see!

“yet no sign will be given to it but the sign of Jonah” The Matthew parallel (Matt. 12:38-42) emphasizes Jonah in the great fish three days as Jesus was three days in the grave (Hades). We must remember that this is three days by Jewish reckoning, not three twenty-four hour periods. Any part of a day, which for them was evening to evening (cf. Gen. 1), was reckoned as a full day.

Jesus’ allusion to Jonah confirms the historicity of the prophet Jonah (as does II Kgs. 14:25). It is precisely the experience in the great fish that was used as the analogy. Also, Jonah’s preaching resulted in the salvation of Gentiles (Luke’s readers are Gentiles).

Luke emphasizes the repentance of Nineveh at Jonah’s preaching. In Luke Jesus is calling for the crowds’ repentance in light of His teachings and miracles as the OT sign they sought (cf. v. 32).

11:30 It was Jonah’s preaching which God used to cause ancient Nineveh, the capital of the evil and cruel Assyria (Israel’s enemy), to repent. The Matthew parallel uses Jonah in the great fish for three days and Jesus in the earth three days as the sign.

11:31 “The Queen of the South” This refers to the visit of the Queen of Sheba (a Gentile) to hear Solomon’s wisdom recorded in I Kgs. 10 and II Chr. 9.

“something greater than Solomon is here” What a tremendous self-affirmation as to the self-understanding of the carpenter of Nazareth. He saw himself as having greater wisdom (i.e. “something”) than Solomon (cf. vv. 49,52).

Jesus, in His dialog with different groups, clearly asserts that He is “greater than”
1. the temple, Matt. 12:6,8
3. greater than Solomon, Matt. 12:42; Luke 11:32
4. greater than Jacob, John 4:12
5. greater than John the Baptist, John 5:36
6. greater than Abraham, John 8:53

This is either the rambling of a mad man or the witness of incarnate deity! Each hearer must decide.

11:32 “men of Nineveh” This is obviously the generic use of “men” as people.

“something greater than Jonah is here” Notice again “something.” Jesus’ wisdom and message are greater than any OT wisdom and message. Jonah’s message caused a pagan nation to repent. Jesus’ message is greater than Jonah’s, but these religious leaders will not repent and believe. Their condemnation is far worse because the message they heard was so much better!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 11:33-36

33“No one, after lighting a lamp, puts it away in a cellar nor under a basket, but on the lampstand, so that those who enter may see the light. 34The eye is the lamp of your body; when your eye is clear, your whole body also is full of light; but when it is bad, your body also is full of darkness. 35Then watch out that the light in you is not darkness. 36If therefore your whole body is full of light, with no dark part in it, it will be wholly illumined, as when the lamp illumines you with its rays.”

11:33-36 These same metaphors are used in Matt. 5:15; Mark 4:21; and Luke 8:16, but with different applications. Apparently Jesus used the same illustrations in different settings. Here they refer to mankind’s attitudes and openness to God in Christ.

This is commonly called the unpardonable sin. See notes below from my commentaries on the parallel contexts in Mark 3:29 and Matt. 12:31-32.

“Mark 3:29 “but whoever blasphemes against the Holy Spirit” This must be understood in its pre-Pentecostal historical setting. It was used in the sense of God’s truth being rejected. The teaching of this verse has commonly been called “the unpardonable sin.” It must be interpreted in light of the following criteria: (1) the distinction in the OT between “intentional” and “unintentional sins,” (cf. Num. 15:27-31); (2) the unbelief of Jesus’ own family contrasted with the unbelief of the Pharisees in this context; (3) the statements of forgiveness in v. 28; and (4) the differences between the Gospel parallels, particularly the change of “son of man,” (cf. Matt. 12:32; Luke 12:10) to “sons of men,” (cf. Matt. 12:31; Mark 3:28). In light of the above, this sin is committed by those who, in the presence of great light and understanding, still reject Jesus as God’s means of revelation and salvation. They turn the light of the gospel into the darkness of Satan (cf. v. 30). They reject the Spirit’s drawing and conviction (cf. John 6:44,65). The unpardonable sin is not a rejection by God because of some single act or word, but the continual, ongoing rejection of God in Christ by willful unbelievers (i.e. the scribes and Pharisees).

This sin can only be committed by those who have been exposed to the gospel. Those who have heard the message about Jesus clearly are the most responsible for its rejection. This is especially true of modern cultures that have continual access to the gospel, but reject Jesus (i.e. America, western culture).

“never has forgiveness” This statement must balance with v. 28.

“but is guilty of an eternal sin” This was a willful rejection of the gospel (i.e. the person and works of Jesus) in the presence of great light!

There are many variants related to the phrase “an eternal sin.” Some ancient Greek manuscripts (1) changed it to a GENITIVE phrase (i.e. hamartias) - C*, D, W; (2) added “judgment” (i.e. kriseos) - A and C2 (cf. KJV); or (3) added “torment” (i.e. kolaseos), minuscule 1234.

It was shocking to the early scribes to talk about an “eternal sin.” Robert B. Girdlestone, in his book Synonyms of the Old Testament, has an interesting comment on the word “eternal”:

“The adjective aiônios is used more than forty times in the N.T. with respect to eternal life, which is regarded partly as a present gift, partly as a promise for the future. It is also applied to God’s endless existence in Rom. 16.26; to the endless efficacy of Christ’s atonement in Heb. 9.12, 13.20; and to past ages in Rom. 16.25, 2 Tim. 1.9, Titus 1.2.

This word is used with reference to eternal fire, Matt. 18.8,25. 41, Jude 7; eternal punishment, Matt. 25.46; eternal judgment or condemnation, Mark 3.29. Heb. 6.2; eternal destruction, 2 Thess. 1.9. The word in these passages implies finality, and apparently signifies that when these judgments shall be inflicted, the time of probation, change, or the chance of retrieving one’s fortune, will have gone by absolutely and for ever. We understand very little about the future, about the relation of
human life to the rest of existence, and about the moral weight of unbelief, as viewed in the light of eternity. If, on the one hand, it is wrong to add to God’s word, on the other we must not take away from it; and if we stagger under the doctrine of eternal punishment as it is set forth in Scripture, we must be content to wait, cleaving to the Gospel of God’s love in Christ, while acknowledging that there is a dark background which we are unable to comprehend” (pp. 318-319).

Matt. 12:31-32 This reference to blasphemy against the Spirit is often called “the unpardonable sin.” From the parallel in Mark 3:28 it is obvious that “Son of Man” was not a title for Jesus in this context but a generic use of the Hebrew idiom “sons of men” or “mankind.” This is supported by the parallelism of verses 31 and 32. The sin discussed was not the sin of ignorance, but of willful rejection of God and His truth in the presence of great light. Many people worry about whether they have committed this sin. People who desire to know God or are afraid that they have committed this sin have not! This sin is the continuing rejection of Jesus in the presence of great light, to the point of spiritual callousness. This is similar to Heb. 6 and 10.”

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 11:37-41

37Now when He had spoken, a Pharisee asked Him to have lunch with him; and He went in, and reclined at the table.
38When the Pharisee saw it, he was surprised that He had not first ceremonially washed before the meal. 39But the Lord said to him, "Now you Pharisees clean the outside of the cup and of the platter; but inside of you, you are full of robbery and wickedness. 40You foolish ones, did not He who made the outside make the inside also? 41But give that which is within as charity, and then all things are clean for you."

11:37 “a Pharisee asked” Remember this context deals with the Pharisees’ rejection of Jesus by the Pharisees (Matthew parallel). This account (cf. vv. 37-41) illustrates their spiritual blindness to the major truths and nit-picking legalism based on Talmudic regulations (human traditions, cf. Isa. 29:13).

“lunch” The terms ariston and deipnon distinguish between a meal about noon (or earlier, cf. Matt. 22:4; John 21:12,15) and a larger meal about 4 p.m. (cf. 14:12). This first term is used here for an early meal in the mid-morning.

11:38 “When the Pharisee saw it, he was surprised that He had not first ceremonially washed before the meal” Apparently Jesus, on purpose, left out this expected rabbinical ceremonial procedure in order to bring the Pharisee into dialogue. (This parallels Jesus’ actions on the Sabbath.)

The word wash is baptizō, where it means to ceremonially purify by washing. Modern interpreters must be careful of using etymology to dogmatically define Greek words and then insert their technical definition (dying by means of immersion) into every place that word is used. This context is not referring to immersion, but the Jewish ritual of pouring a certain amount (two hen eggs) of water over the elbow until it drips off the fingers and then again over the fingers until it drips off the elbow.

There is a good article in Robert B. Girdlestone’s Synonyms of the Old Testament, pp. 152-157 on the Hebrew thaval and the Greek baptizō.

11:39 “‘Now you Pharisees clean the outside of the cup and of the platter’” Jesus wanted to discuss the Pharisees’ orientation of minute details (Talmud) as a sign of being spiritual. The heart is the key to all religious acts. God knows the heart (cf. v. 40; I Sam. 2:7; 16:7; I Kgs. 8:39; I Chr. 28:9; II Chr. 6:30; Ps. 7:9; 44:21; Prov. 15:11; 21:2; Jer. 11:20; 17:9-10; 20:12; Luke 16:15; Acts 1:24; 15:8; Rom. 8:27).

11:40 This question expects a “yes” answer.

11:41

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NASB</td>
<td>“charity”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKJV, NRSV, NJB</td>
<td>“almos”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEV</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This verse seems to imply that if the inside of the cup is loving and obedient, then it will show in outward manifestations of love to the poor and needy, not legalisms and elitisms (cf. v. 42; Micah 6:8). See Special Topic below.
SPECIAL TOPIC: ALMSGIVING

I. The term itself
   A. This term developed within Judaism (i.e. the Septuagint period).
   B. It refers to giving to the poor and/or needy.
   C. The English word “almsgiving” comes from a contraction of the Greek term ἐλεήμονες

II. Old Testament concept
   A. The concept of helping the poor was expressed early in the Torah (writings of Moses, Genesis-Deuteronomy).
      1. typical context, Deut. 15:7-11
      2. “gleaning,” leaving part of the harvest for the poor, Lev. 19:9; 23:22; Deut. 24:20
      3. “sabbath year,” allowing the poor to eat the produce of the seventh, fallow year, Exod. 23:10-11; Lev. 25:2-7.
   B. The concept was developed in Wisdom Literature (selected examples)
      1. Job 5:8-16; 29:12-17 (the wicked described in 24:1-12)
      2. the Psalms 11:7

III. Development in Judaism
   A. The first division of the Mishnah deals with how to treat the poor, needy, and local Levites.
   B. Selected quotes
      1. “as water extinguishes a blazing fire, so almsgiving atones for sin” (Ecclesiasticus [also known as the Wisdom of Ben Sirach] 3:30, NRSV)
      2. “store up almsgiving in your treasury and it will rescue you from every disaster” (Ecclesiasticus 29:12, NRSV)
      3. “for those who act in accordance with truth will prosper in all their activities. To all those who practice righteousness give alms from your possessions, and do not let your eye begrudge the gift when you make it. Do not turn your face away from anyone who is poor, and the face of God will not be turned away from you. 9If you have many possessions, make your gift from them in proportion; if few, do not be afraid to give according to the little you have. So you will be laying up a good treasure for yourself against the day of necessity. 10For almsgiving delivers from death and keeps you from going into the Darkness. 11Indeed, almsgiving, for all who practice it, is an excellent offering in the presence of the Most High.” (Tobit 4:6-11, NRSV)
      4. “Prayer and fasting is good, but better than both is almsgiving with righteousness. A little with righteousness is better than wealth with wrongdoing. It is better to give alms than to lay up gold. 9For almsgiving saves from death and purges away every sin. Those who give alms will enjoy a full life.” (Tobit 12:8-9, NRSV)
   C. The last quote from Tobit 12:8-9 shows the problem developing. Human actions/human merits were seen as the mechanism for both forgiveness and abundance.
      This concept developed further in the Septuagint, where the Greek term for almsgiving (ἐλεήμονες) became a synonym for righteousness (δικαιοσύνη). They could be substituted for each other in translating the Hebrew terms hesed (God’s covenant love and loyalty, cf. Deut. 6:25; 24:13; Isa. 1:27; 28:17; 59:16; Dan. 4:27).
   D. Human acts of compassion became a goal in themselves to achieve one’s personal abundance here and salvation at death. The act itself, instead of the motive behind the act, became theologically preeminent. God looks at the heart, then judges the work of the hand. This was the teaching of the rabbis, but it somehow got lost in the pursuit of individual self-righteousness (cf. Micah 6:8).

IV. New Testament reaction
   A. The term is found in
      1. Matt. 6:1-4
      3. Acts 3:2-3,10; 10:2,4,31; 24:17
   B. Jesus addresses the traditional Jewish understanding of righteousness (cf. II Clement 16:4) in His Sermon on the mount (cf. Matt. 5-7) as referring to
      1. almsgiving
2. fasting
3. prayer

Some Jews were trusting in their actions. These actions were meant to flow out of a love for God, His word and covenant brothers and sisters, not self-interest or self-righteousness! Humility and secrecy become guidelines for proper actions. The heart is crucial. The heart is desperately wicked. God must change the heart. The new heart emulates God!

“then all things are clean for you” This was a radical statement for those brought up under kosher rules (cf. Lev. 11). However, Jesus modified the OT requirement (cf. Mark 7:1-23), thereby showing He is Lord of Scripture (i.e. its only true interpreter, cf. Matt. 5:17-48). This truth is used as an illustration for Peter in Acts 10:9-16. Paul followed this understanding of ceremonial defilement (cf. Rom. 14:14,20; I Cor. 10:25-26; I Tim. 4:4; Titus 1:15).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 11:42-44

42“But woe to you Pharisees! For you pay tithe of mint and rue and every kind of garden herb, and yet disregard justice and the love of God; but these are the things you should have done without neglecting the others. 43Woe to you Pharisees! For you love the chief seats in the synagogues and the respectful greetings in the market places. 44Woe to you! For you are like concealed tombs, and the people who walk over them are unaware of it.”

11:42 “woe to you” This reflects an OT prophetic curse formula using a funeral dirge (cf. vv. 42,43,44,46,52; Matt. 23:13-36).

“pay tithe on” See Special Topic below.

SPECIAL TOPIC: TITHING

This is the only NT reference to tithing. I do not believe the NT teaches tithing because this entire setting is against “nit-picking” Jewish legalism and self-righteousness. I believe the NT guidelines for regular giving (if there are any) are found in II Cor. 8 and 9, which go far beyond tithing! If a Jew with only the information of the OT was commanded to give ten to thirty percent (there are two, possibly three, required tithes in the OT), then Christians should give far beyond and not even take the time to discuss the tithe!

NT believers must be careful of turning Christianity into a new legal performance-oriented code (Christian Talmud). Their desire to be pleasing to God causes them to try to find guidelines for every area of life. However, theologically it is dangerous to pull old covenant rules which are not reaffirmed in the NT (cf. Acts 15) and make them dogmatic criteria, especially when they are claimed (by modern preachers) to be causes of calamity or promises of prosperity (cf. Mal. 3).

Here is a good quote from Frank Stagg, New Testament Theology, pp. 292-293:

“The New Testament does not once introduce tithing into the grace of giving. Tithes are mentioned only three times in the New Testament: (1) in censoring the Pharisees for neglect of justice, mercy, and faith while giving meticulous care to the tithing of even garden produce (Matt. 23:23; Luke 11:42); (2) in the exposure of the proud Pharisee who “prayed to himself,” boasting that he fasted twice each week and tithed all his possessions (Luke 18:12); and (3) in arguing for the superiority of Melchizedek, and hence of Christ, to Levi (Heb. 7:6-9).

It is clear that Jesus approved tithing as a part of the Temple system, just as in principle and practice he supported the general practices of the Temple and the synagogues. But there is no indication that he imposed any part of the Temple cultus on his followers. Tithes were chiefly produce, formerly eaten at the sanctuary by the one tithing and later eaten by the priests. Tithing as set forth in the Old Testament could be carried out only in a religious system built around a system of animal sacrifice.

Many Christians find the tithe to be a fair and workable plan for giving. So long as it is not made to be a coercive or legalistic system, it may prove to be a happy plan. However, one may not validly claim that tithing is taught in the New Testament. It is recognized as proper for Jewish observance (Matt. 23:23; Luke 11:42), but it is not imposed upon Christians. In fact, it is now impossible for Jews or Christians to tithe in the Old Testament sense. Tithing today only faintly resembles the ancient ritual practice belonging to the sacrificial system of the Jews. Paul Stagg has summed it up:
While much may be said for adopting the tithe voluntarily as a standard for one’s giving without rigidly imposing it upon others as a Christian requirement, it is clear in adopting such a practice that one is not carrying on the Old Testament practice. At most one is doing something only remotely analogous to the tithing practice of the Old Testament, which was a tax to support the Temple and the priestly system, a social and religious system which no longer exists. Tithes were obligatory in Judaism as a tax until the destruction of the Temple in A.D. 70, but they are not thus binding upon Christians.

This is not to discredit tithing, but it is to clarify its relationship to the New Testament. It is to deny that the New Testament supports the coerciveness, legalism, profit motive, and the bargaining which so often characterize the tithing appeals today. As a voluntary system, tithing offers much; but it must be redeemed by grace if it is to be Christian. To plead that ‘it works’ is only to adopt the pragmatic tests of the world. Much ‘works’ that is not Christian. Tithing, if it is to be congenial to New Testament theology, must be rooted in the grace and love of God.

yet disregard justice and the love of God

It is extremely important that we do not let rituals or liturgical acts blind us to God’s will for His people, which is (1) love for God (cf. Deut. 6:4-6; Luke 10:27) and (2) justice towards humans (cf. Lev. 19:18; Luke 10:27).

but these are the things you should have done without neglecting the others

They strained at a gnat and swallowed the camel (cf. Matt. 23:24). Is tithing the spices of the kitchen more important, more spiritual, than how we live and love?

An early church heretic, Marcion (early second century in Rome), rejected the OT and only accepted a modified Gospel of Luke and certain letters of Paul as inspired. Since he rejected the OT, the phrase, “but these are the things you should have done without neglecting the others” is omitted in codex Bezea (D), but included in most earlier Greek manuscripts and versions, so probably its omission in MS D was due to his influence.

For you love the chief seats in the synagogues

These were places of prominence. The chief seats were on a semi-circular bench around a place where the Torah was kept, facing the congregation (cf. A. T. Robertson, Word Pictures in the New Testament, vol. 2, p. 167). See parallel in Matt. 23:1-12.

For you are like concealed tombs, and the people who walk over them are unaware of it

This contact made one ceremonially unclean (cf. Lev. 21:1-4; Num. 19:11-22) for one week (rabbinical interpretation); however, in this case the people did not realize it. The Jews white-washed their tombs in order to avoid this type of inadvertent ceremonial defilement (cf. Matt. 23:27). Jesus accuses these self-righteous, legalistic leaders of being the real cause of spiritual defilement.

One of the lawyers said to Him in reply, "Teacher, when You say this, You insult us too." But He said, "Woe to you lawyers as well! For you weigh men down with burdens hard to bear, while you yourselves will not even touch the burdens with one of your fingers. Woe to you! For you build the tombs of the prophets, and it was your fathers who killed them. So you are witnesses and approve the deeds of your fathers; because it was they who killed them, and you build their tombs. For this reason also the wisdom of God said, ‘I will send to them prophets and apostles, and some of them they will kill and some they will persecute, so that the blood of all the prophets, shed since the foundation of the world, may be charged against this generation,’ from the blood of Abel to the blood of Zechariah, who was killed between the altar and the house of God; yes, I tell you, it shall be charged against this generation.’ Woe to you lawyers! For you have taken away the key of knowledge; you yourselves did not enter, and you hindered those who were entering."
NASB  “while you yourselves will not even touch the burdens with one of your fingers”
NKJV  “you yourselves do not touch the burden with one of your fingers”
NRSV  “you yourselves do not lift a finger to ease them”
TEV   “you yourselves will not stretch out a finger to help them carry those loads”
NJB   “burdens that you yourselves do not touch with your fingertips”

They did meticulously perform their rabbinical interpretations, yet would not make any exceptions for others or even take time to help others.

The word “touch” is found only here in the NT (not in the Septuagint or the Egyptian Papyri). M. R. Vincent, Word Studies, vol. 1, p. 187, says it is a medical term used of lightly touching a sore. If this was the general connotation, then these religious leaders would not even sympathize with the plight of the common person (“people of the land”) as they tried to keep the meticulous rules of the Pharisees.

11:47 “you build the tombs of the prophets” The parallel in Matt. 23:29-33 is striking! In the OT God’s people would kill God’s prophets (i.e. reject their message) and then build large tombs for them to honor their memory. The building of monuments to God’s spokesmen is not what God wanted. He desires obedience to His message. As the leaders of the OT killed the prophets, these leaders will kill Jesus and His followers (cf. Matt. 23:34).

11:49 “For this reason also the wisdom of God said” There is no place in the OT where this is quoted. Therefore, many believed that Jesus was referring to Himself as “the Wisdom of God” (cf. I Cor. 1:24,30; Col. 2:3), which would be an allusion to Prov. 8:22-31. This OT text is the background to John 1:1-14.

11:50 “may be charged against this generation” This is a shocking verse. Jesus was the culmination of Jewish theology, history, and hope. To miss Him was to miss everything! Ultimate truth had come (One greater than the prophets, cf. v. 11) and now they were rejecting Him (cf. vv. 14-26,29-36)!

11:51 “from the blood of Abel” This refers to the first premeditated murder in the Bible, recorded in Gen. 4:8.

11:52 “For you have taken away the key of knowledge” The Jewish leaders who should have recognized Jesus (the key of knowledge) not only missed Him, but led others into their willful blindness in God’s name (cf. Matt. 23:13). This is shocking condemnation of the religious elite of Jesus’ day.

When we share Christ we use the “keys of the kingdom” (cf. Matt. 16:19). When believers live godless or arrogant lives, they become like the Pharisees (cf. Matt. 23:13-15) who hinder people seeking God.

Jesus, not human knowledge, is the key of wisdom (cf. I Cor. 1:18-31). Jesus has the keys of death and hades (cf. Rev. 1:18). Jesus is the true descendant and promise of David (cf. II Sam. 7 and Rev. 3:7).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 11:53-54

When He left there, the scribes and the Pharisees began to be very hostile and to question Him closely on many subjects, plotting against Him to catch Him in something He might say.
“began a furious attack on him”

The first term, *deimao*, means “terrible,” “vehement,” or “dreadfully” (cf. Matt. 8:6). It was used in the Septuagint in Job 10:16 and 19:11 in the same sense.

The second term, *encho*, means to fix upon in the sense of to hold a grudge (cf. Mark 6:19). The anger and hatred of the religious leaders, both Sadducees and Pharisees, which is so evident the last week of Jesus’ life in Jerusalem, started much earlier (cf. Mark 6:19; Luke 11:53). This settled opposition was instigated by Jesus’ pointed condemnation of their hypocrisy and arrogance.

*NJB* “tried to force answers from him on innumerable questions”

*NASB* “to question Him closely on many subjects”

*NKJV, NRSV* “to cross-examine Him about many things”

*TEV* “ask him questions about many things”

*11:54 “to catch Him in something He might say”* This word literally means “to hunt wild animals.” Jesus had become a serious theological problem. They must eliminate Him (cf. 20:20) to maintain their leadership.

**DISCUSSION QUESTIONS**

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why is the Lord’s Prayer, as recorded in Matthew and Luke, different?
2. How do we reconcile v. 4 and James 1:13?
3. Is God reluctant to hear our prayers and we must continue asking over and over?
4. Why is it so significant that they were calling Jesus Beelzebul?
5. Why was Jesus so angry with the religious leaders of first century Judaism?
## LUKE 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UBS4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Warning Against Hypocrisy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whom to Fear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:4-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confessing Christ Before Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:8-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care and Anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watchful Servant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesus the Cause of Division</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discern the Time

12:51-53

Understanding the Time

On Reading the Signs of the Times

12:54-56

Settling With Your Accuser

Make Peace with Your Adversary

Settle With Your Opponent

12:57-59

READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)

FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 12:1-3

1Under these circumstances, after so many thousands of people had gathered together that they were stepping on one another, He began saying to His disciples first of all, “Beware of the leaven of the Pharisees, which is hypocrisy. 2But there is nothing covered up that will not be revealed, and hidden that will not be known. 3Accordingly, whatever you have said in the dark will be heard in the light, and what you have whispered in the inner rooms will be proclaimed upon the housetops.

12:1 “after so many thousands of people had gathered together” The term “thousands” reflects an OT term “myriad” (cf. LXX Gen. 24:60; Lev. 26:8; Num. 10:36; Deut. 32:30), which usually denotes tens of thousands. Here it seems to mean a very large number. This continues the Synoptic Gospels’ emphasis on “the crowd.” Huge numbers of people came to hear Jesus: (1) the common people; (2) the sick; (3) the curious; (4) disciples; and (5) the religious elite.

One reason it is hard to interpret Jesus’ words is because modern interpreters are not sure to which group in the crowd Jesus is talking. Jesus’ teachings are received only by those with open ears and receptive hearts (i.e. the parable of the soils, cf. 8:4-15).

12:2 “Beware of the leaven of the Pharisees” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE of a word used often in the Septuagint (ex. Deut. 4:9). It seems to refer to an attitude of “nit-picking” legalism (cf. 11:37-52) instead of the love and care for the poor and needy people in God’s name (cf. 11:41; 12:33; 18:22).

The term “leaven” (ζυμῆ) is used in two senses in both the OT and the NT:
1. a sense of corruption and, therefore, a symbol of evil  
   a. Exod. 12:15; 13:3,7; 23:18; 34:25; Lev. 2:11; 6:17; Deut. 16:3  
   b. Matt. 16:6,11; Mark 8:15; Luke 12:1; Gal. 5:9; I Cor. 5:6-8

2. a sense of permeation and, therefore, influence, not a symbol of evil  
   a. Lev. 7:13; 23:17; Amos 4:5  

Only context can determine the meaning of this word (which is true of all words!).

“hypocrisy” This comes from two Greek words, “to judge” and “under” (cf. 6:42; 12:56; 13:15). This was a theatrical term that speaks of “actors playing a part behind a mask” (cf. LXX II Macc. 5:25; 6:21,24; IV Macc. 6:15,17). The following context shows that the secrets of these religious leaders’ hearts will one day be clearly revealed (cf. vv. 2-3).

In the Matthew parallel (cf. 16:12) the leaven refers to the teachings of the Pharisees and Sadducees, but here in Luke it is related to the hypocrisy of the Pharisees. Each inspired Gospel writer had the editorial right to select from Jesus’ words, sayings, and miracles and choose those that best communicated the gospel to his readers. They also had the editorial right to arrange Jesus’ sayings and miracles for theological (not chronological) purposes. They even had the limited right to modify or adapt His words and actions within certain boundaries. This accounts for the differences among the four Gospels. I do not believe they had the editorial right to invent words, actions, dialogues, or events! They all used various sources for their Gospel. These Gospels are not western histories or biographies, but evangelistic tracts targeting certain people-groups.

12:2 “covered up” This is a PERIPHRASTIC PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE. Sinful humans attempt to completely conceal their sins and bad attitudes, but they cannot.

The FUTURE TENSES in v. 2 (“will not be revealed. . .will not be known”) point toward an eschatological judgment (cf. vv. 40,45-47). Jesus knew the true motives and thoughts of the human heart and mind, and one day all will know!

12:3 In context this may refer to the scheming and plotting of the Pharisees (cf. 11:53-54) and the Sadducees (cf. Matt. 16:6) against Jesus (and possibly also the Herodians, cf. Mark 8:15).

“proclaimed upon the housetop” In Palestine the houses had flat roofs that were used as places to eat, sleep, and socialize in hot weather. This then is a metaphor of people talking to their neighbors and the report spreading all over town.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 12:4-7

“I say to you, My friends, do not be afraid of those who kill the body and after that have no more that they can do.  

But I will warn you whom to fear: fear the One who, after He has killed, has authority to cast into hell; yes, I tell you, fear Him!  

Are not five sparrows sold for two cents? Yet not one of them is forgotten before God.  

Indeed, the very hairs of your head are all numbered. Do not fear; you are more valuable than many sparrows.

12:4 “My friends” This is the only use of this phrase in the Synoptic Gospels. Jesus often talks about “a friend,” but only here does He say “My friends.” However, it occurs three times in John 15:14-15. What a tremendous affirmation of His disciples, not just Lord, but friend!

“do not be afraid” “Do not be afraid” is an AORIST PASSIVE (deponent) SUBJUNCTIVE. “Fear” (v. 5) is another AORIST PASSIVE (deponent) SUBJUNCTIVE. The second and third “fear” in v. 5 are AORIST PASSIVE (deponent) IMPERATIVES.

There is obviously an intended word play in these two verses. The difference between these forms is only an accent mark. The SUBJUNCTIVE MOOD denotes a contingency. In light of human choices and their consequences the IMPERATIVE gives God’s inspired directive! Fear is not and should not characterize believers, but rather awe and respect toward God, which are always wise and appropriate. Circumstances and even evil people are temporary, but God and His judgments are permanent and affect the body (physical and temporal) and the soul (spiritual and eternal).

“kill the body” Earthly enemies can terminate our physical life, but only God can give eternal life!

12:5 “who, after He has killed, has authority to cast into hell” This is referring to God the Father. In the OT monotheism was affirmed by attributing all causality to YHWH (cf. Deut. 32:39; I Sam. 2:6; Job 5:18; Isa. 30:26; Hos. 6:1). Further progressive revelation asserts that God allows evil to exist to serve His purposes (cf. A. B. Davidson, An Old Testament Theology, pp. 300-306).
However, sometimes we say that God sends no one to hell, that humans send themselves by their unbelief. This again, is the mystery of predestination and human free will. Humans are responsible for their choices and actions. God is the One who will make them responsible. The mystery is why some do not believe!


“hell’” The term Gehenna is an abbreviation of the OT phrase “the valley of Hinnom.” It was the site of the worship of the Phoenician fire god, Molech (which is a corruption of the Hebrew term for king, MLK). This worship is mentioned often throughout the OT (cf. Lev. 18:12; I Kgs. 11:7; II Kgs. 23:10; II Chr. 28:3; 33:6; Jer. 32:35; Ezek. 16:20). This place was called Topeth (burning) and is described in II Kgs. 16:3; 21:6; 23:10; Jer. 7:32; 19:4-6; 32:34-35. The Jews turned this area south of Jerusalem into the garbage dump for the city because they were so ashamed their ancestors used it for idolatry (offering their children as sacrifices for the fertility of crops, herds, and people). See Special Topic: Where Are the Dead? At 16:23.

“‘fear Him’” This is an AORIST PASSIVE (deponent) IMPERATIVE (cf. v. 5b, repeated for emphasis). It is used in the sense of reverence for God as being the high and holy Creator/Redeemer/Judge.

12:6 “Are not five sparrows sold for two cents?” Sparrows were not used as sacrifices, but were eaten by the poor (cf. Moulton and Milligan, The Vocabulary of the Greek Testament, p. 594).

The “two cents” is the Greek term assarion, which comes from the Latin as. It was a small brass coin worth about one tenth of a denarius. These birds were very inexpensive. See the parallel in Matt. 10:24-33.

See Special Topic: Coins in Use in Palestine in Jesus’ Day at 15:8.

“Yet not one of them is forgotten before God’” This is a PERIPHRASTIC PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE. Usually sparrows were sold four for two cents and they received one free. Even the free one is not forgotten by God (cf. Matt. 10:29-30). God truly loves human beings because they were created in His image (cf. Gen. 1:26-27).

God is not the creator, but the provider and sustainer of all life (cf. Neh. 9:6; Matt. 5:45; Col. 1:17). He is moving all creation toward His purposes.


God has a special relationship of care for those who trust His Son (i.e. Father). Believers can trust God’s provision in every area of life (cf. I Pet. 5:7).

12:7 “Indeed, the very hairs of your head are all numbered’” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE. This does not mean that God literally keeps track of every hair on our heads, but is metaphorical (cf. OT idiom in I Sam. 14:45; II Sam. 14:11; and I Kgs. 1:52) of every problem, every need, every aspect, and every situation of believers’ lives being a concern to Him.

“Do not fear’” This is a PERFECT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually means stop an act already in process. Fear is a characteristic of fallen, guilty humanity, but should not be of believers!

Christians must be careful not to interpret this paragraph as a general promise that nothing bad will ever happen to them. This is untrue in history and in the first century. This is a promise that God will be for us at eschatological judgment! The next paragraph also speaks of eschatological judgment, as well as contemporary judgments. The thrust of them both is God is with us and for us, but we live in a fallen world. Bad things happen! The world has rejected God’s Son; it will reject, persecute, and kill His followers (cf. Matt. 10:21-22; John 16:2), but God will be with them in time and will set everything straight when time is no more! My favorite book on this subject is Hannah Whithall Smith’s The Christian’s Secret of a Happy Life. It has been a blessing.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 12:8-12

8“`And I say to you, everyone who confesses Me before men, the Son of Man will confess him also before the angels of God; 9but he who denies Me before men will be denied before the angels of God. 10And everyone who speaks a word against the Son of Man, it will be forgiven him; but he who blasphemes against the Holy Spirit, it will not be forgiven him. 11When they bring you before the synagogues and the rulers and the authorities, do not worry about how or what you are to speak in your defense, or what you are to say; 12for the Holy Spirit will teach you in that very hour what you ought to say.'”

12:8 “everyone” I love the inclusive PRONOUNS used to describe the gospel invitation, like John 3:15-16 (“whoever”) and 1:12 (“as many as”) as well as Rom. 10:9-13 (“whosoever”). In this verse “everyone” shows the extent of the love of God (cf. I Tim. 2:4; Titus 2:11; and II Pet. 3:9).
However, “everyone” is limited to those who truly believe and receive the gospel. Passages like Matt. 7:21-23 show that there are those who speak with their lips, but not their hearts (cf. Isa. 29:13).

“who confesses Me before men” The term “confesses” (AORIST ACTIVE SUBJUNCTIVE) translates the Greek work homolegeō. It is used in I John 1:9 for believers confessing their sins to God. However, this same term is used in Matt. 10:32 and Mark 8:38 for believers’ public affirmation of trust in Jesus. We cannot institutionalize this verse into a set liturgical form, but all humans who profess, share, and live their trust in and knowledge of Christ fulfill this verse. Mark 8:38 puts this same saying of Jesus into an eschatological context.

SPECIAL TOPIC: CONFESSION

A. There are two forms of the same Greek root used for confession or profession, homolegeō and exomologeō. The compound term used in James is from homo - the same, legō, to speak, and ex, out of. The basic meaning is “to say the same thing,” “to agree with.” The ex added to the idea of a public declaration.

B. The English translations of this word group are
   1. praise
   2. agree
   3. declare
   4. profess
   5. confess

C. This word group had two seemingly opposite usages
   1. to praise (God)
   2. to admit sin
   
   These may have developed from mankind’s sense of the holiness of God and its own sinfulness. To acknowledge one truth is to acknowledge both.

D. The NT usages of the word group are
   1. to promise (cf. Matt. 14:7; Acts 7:17)
   2. to agree or consent to something (cf. John 1:20; Luke 22:6; Acts 24:14; Heb. 11:13)
   4. to assent to
      a. a person (cf. Matt. 10:32; Luke 12:8; John 9:22; 12:42; Rom. 10:9; Phil. 2:11; Rev. 3:5)
      b. a truth (cf. Acts 23:8; II Cor. 11:13; I John 4:2)
   5. to make a public declaration of (legal sense developed into religious affirmation, cf Acts 24:14; I Tim. 6:13)
      a. without admission of guilt (cf. I Tim. 6:12; Heb. 10:23)

“Son of Man. . .Son of Man” I believe one of the problems dealing with the interpretation of the “unpardonable sin” in v. 10 is that we mistakenly identify these two phrases. The term “Son of Man” in v. 8 applies to Jesus, but the term “Son of Man” in v. 10, because of the parallels in Matt. 12:31-32 (Son of Man) and Mark 3:28-29 (sons of men), is used generically to speak of mankind (cf. v. 9; Matt. 12:31a). The “unpardonable sin” is the rejection of Jesus in the presence of great light. We know this because the other two contexts (i.e. Matthew and Mark) also follow the Beelzebul controversy. See extensive notes at 11:33-36 and Special Topic at 5:24.

“before the angels of God” This is a circumlocution for God’s presence (cf. 15:7,10). This verse is a theological affirmation of the power of Jesus’ intercession to God on behalf of believers (cf. Rom. 8:34; Heb. 7:25; 9:24; I John 2:1).

12:9 “denies” The term (AORIST MIDDLE (deponent) PARTICIPLE) means “to deny,” “to disclaim,” “to disown,” “to renounce,” or “to refuse.” It is used in the same sense in the Septuagint (cf. Gen. 18:15; IV Macc. 8:7; 10:15; Wisdom 12:27; 16:16). It is a word that has the connotation of rejection of any part of Jesus. It is the culmination of unbelief and rejection! The temporal refusal of the gospel has eternal consequences.
12:10 **“everyone”** The inclusive term is used in both v. 8 and v. 10. The gospel is as wide as all humanity, but judgment is also as wide as all of those who say “no”!

12:11 The VERBS of v. 11 are SUBJUNCTIVES (contingency), which implies that this specific persecution will not happen to every believer, but it will surely happen to some!

- “**do not worry**” This is an AORIST ACTIVE SUBJUNCTIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE which implies do not even start to be worried.

- “**about how or what you are to speak**” This cannot be a proof-text for a preacher’s lack of personal study and preparation to preach on Sundays! This is a promise to those believers going through persecution and public trials.

12:12 “**for the Holy Spirit will teach you in that very hour what you ought to say**” In times of persecution God will provide special help for these powerful witnessing opportunities (cf. 21:15; Matt. 10:16-20).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 12:13-21

13Someone in the crowd said to Him, "Teacher, tell my brother to divide the family inheritance with me." 14But He said to him, "Man, who appointed Me a judge or arbitrator over you?" 15Then He said to them, "Beware, and be on your guard against every form of greed; for not even when one has an abundance does his life consist of his possessions." 16And He told them a parable, saying, "The land of a rich man was very productive. 17And he began reasoning to himself, saying, 'What shall I do, since I have no place to store my crops?' 18Then he said, 'This is what I will do: I will tear down my barns and build larger ones, and there I will store all my grain and my goods. 19And I will say to my soul, 'Soul, you have many goods laid up for many years to come; take your ease, eat, drink and be merry.'" 20But God said to him, 'You fool! This very night your soul is required of you; and now who will own what you have prepared?' 21So is the man who stores up treasure for himself, and is not rich toward God."

12:13 **“Someone in the crowd said to Him”** Apparently this man broke into Jesus’ teaching session to ask a trivial question related to family inheritance. He did not think anything unusual about this because these were common questions addressed to rabbis, and it seems to relate to Deut. 21:15-17.

12:14 **“But He said to him, “Man””** This is a mild reproach (cf. 22:58,60; Rom. 2:3; 9:20).

- “**who appointed Me a judge or arbitrator over you?**” Jesus is rejecting the idea that He is just another rabbi or local Levite. His task of proclaiming the arrival of the Kingdom of God took precedence over all other issues of life.
  
  The term “arbitrator” is only used here in the NT and not at all in the Septuagint, but it is common in Greek literature. The VERB form (divide) is used in v. 13 by Jesus’ questioner.

12:15 **“Beware, and be on your guard against every form of greed!”** This is a very emphatic statement in Greek (a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE and a PRESENT MIDDLE IMPERATIVE, both PLURAL). Greed (or covetousness) is the attitude and lifestyle of “more and more for me at any cost” (cf. Rom. 1:29; Eph. 4:19; 5:3; Col. 3:5)! It is the fruit of the knowledge of good and evil! Greed kills (I Tim. 6:9-10).

12:16 **“And He told them a parable”** The following context deals with right and wrong attitudes toward earthly possessions. This parable emphasizes the false security that money and possessions provide. There was a Roman proverb that says, “Money is like sea water, the more you drink, the more you want!” The problem here is not money, but the love of money, the priority of money, the self-sufficiency that money seems to provide (cf. Mark 8:36-37).

12:17 **“he began reasoning to himself”** This is an IMPERFECT MIDDLE (deponent) INDICATIVE. It can be understood in two ways.
  1. the rich man of Jesus’ parable began to reason (NASB)
  2. the rich man reasoned within himself over and over again

12:19 **“soul”** This is the Greek term psuchē, which reflects the Hebrew term nephesh. This refers to our being, our self, our personhood (cf. Acts 2:41; 3:23; Rom. 13:1) or life force connected to this planet, this physical sphere of existence.

- “**take your ease**” The theological emphasis here is on the frailty and presumption of human plans (cf. Prov. 27:1; James 4:13-15). True life is much more than physical prosperity!
12:20 “You fool!” This man was not a theological atheist, but he lived his life in practical atheism, as so many in the church today (cf. Ps. 14:1; 53:1). The NT book of James is a good NT commentary on the priority of wealth!

This is a different word for “fool” (aphrōn, cf. 11:40; 12:20; 1 Cor. 15:36) and not the word “fool” (mōros) of Matt. 5:22, which reflects the Aramaic rāca. Jesus Himself uses mōros in Matt. 23:17,19.

“required” This is surprisingly a PLURAL. It is literally “they require your soul,” but Luke often uses this form without focusing on the “they” (cf. 6:38; 12:11,20; 16:9; 23:31). A. T. Robertson, Word Pictures in the New Testament, vol. 2, p. 176, thinks it is a circumlocution of the rabbis to avoid using God’s name, therefore, a form of the OT “plural of majesty.”

“and now who will own what you have prepared?” This is a penetrating question for materialists (cf. Ps. 39:6; 49:10; Eccl. 2:18-23).

12:21 “rich toward God” It is so hard to keep time and eternity in proper balance in a fallen world with the residual effects of the fall in all of us (cf. 12:33; Matt. 6:19-34).

**SPECIAL TOPIC: WEALTH**

I. Perspective of the Old Testament as a whole
   A. God is the owner of all things
      1. Genesis 1-2
      2. I Chronicles 29:11
      3. Psalm 24:1; 50:12; 89:11
      4. Isaiah 66:2
   B. Humans are stewards of wealth for God’s purposes
      1. Deuteronomy 8:11-20
      2. Leviticus 19:9-18
      3. Job 31:16-33
      4. Isaiah 58:6-10
   C. Wealth is a part of worship
      1. the two tithes
         a. Numbers 18:21-29; Deut. 12:6-7; 14:22-27
         b. Deut. 14:28-29; 26:12-15
      2. Proverbs 3:9
   D. Wealth is seen as a gift from God for Covenant fidelity
      1. Deuteronomy 27-28
   E. Warning against wealth at the expense of others
      1. Proverbs 21:6
      2. Jeremiah 5:26-29
      3. Hosea 12:6-8
      4. Micah 6:9-12
   F. Wealth is not sinful in itself unless it is priority
      1. Psalm 52:7; 62:10; 73:3-9
      3. Job 31:24-2

II. Unique perspective of Proverbs
   A. Wealth placed in arena of personal effort
      2. hard work advocated, Proverbs 12:11,14; 13:11
C. Wisdom (knowing God and His Word and living this knowledge) is better than riches, Proverbs 3:13-15; 8:9-11,18-21; 13:18
D. Warnings and admonitions
   1. warnings
      c. beware of borrowing, Proverbs 22:7
      d. beware of fleetingness of wealth, Proverbs 23:4-5
      e. wealth will not help on judgment day, Proverbs 11:4
      f. wealth has many “friends,” Proverbs 14:20; 19:4
   2. admonitions
      b. righteousness better than wealth, Proverbs 16:8; 28:6,8,20-22
      c. prayer for need, not abundance, Proverbs 30:7-9
      d. giving to the poor is giving to God, Proverbs 14:31

III. Perspective of the New Testament
A. Jesus
   1. wealth forms a unique temptation to trust in ourselves and our resources instead of God and His resources
      b. Mark 10:23-31
      c. Luke 12:15-21,33-34
      d. Revelation 3:17-19
   2. God will provide our physical needs
      a. Matthew 6:19-34
   3. sowing is related to reaping (spiritual as well as physical)
      a. Mark 4:24
      b. Luke 6:36-38
      c. Matthew 6:14; 18:35
   4. repentance affects wealth
      a. Luke 19:2-10
      b. Leviticus 5:16
   5. economic exploitation condemned
      a. Matthew 23:25
      b. Mark 12:38-40
   6. end-time judgment is related to our use of wealth—Matthew 25:31-46
B. Paul
   1. practical view like Proverbs (work)
      a. Ephesians 4:28
      b. I Thessalonians 4:11-12
      c. II Thessalonians 3:8,11-12
      d. I Timothy 5:8
   2. spiritual view like Jesus (things are fleeting, be content)
      a. I Timothy 6:6-10 (contentment)
b. Philippians 4:11-12 (contentment)
c. Hebrews 13:5 (contentment)
d. I Timothy 6:17-19 (generosity and trust in God, not riches)
e. I Corinthians 7:30-31 (transformation of things)

IV. Conclusions
A. There is no systematic biblical theology concerning wealth.
B. There is no definitive passage on this subject, so insights must be gleaned from different passages. Take care not to read your views into these isolated texts.
C. Proverbs, which was written by the wise men (sages), has a different perspective than other types of biblical genres (literature). Proverbs is practical and individually focused. It balances and must be balanced by other Scripture (cf. Jer. 18:18).
D. Our day needs to analyze its views and practices concerning wealth in light of the Bible. Our priorities are misplaced if capitalism or communism are our only guide. Why and how one succeeds are more important questions than how much one has accumulated.
E. Accumulation of wealth must be balanced with true worship and responsible stewardship (cf. II Cor. 8-9).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 12:22-32

22 And He said to His disciples, "For this reason I say to you, do not worry about your life, as to what you will eat; nor for your body, as to what you will put on. 23 For life is more than food, and the body more than clothing. 24 Consider the ravens, for they neither sow nor reap; they have no storeroom nor barn, and yet God feeds them; how much more valuable you are than the birds! 25 And which of you by worrying can add a single hour to his life's span? 26 If then you cannot do even a very little thing, why do you worry about other matters? 27 Consider the lilies, how they grow: they neither toil nor spin; but I tell you, not even Solomon in all his glory clothed himself like one of these. 28 But if God so clothes the grass in the field, which is alive today and tomorrow is thrown into the furnace, how much more will He clothe you? You men of little faith! 29 And do not seek what you will eat and what you will drink, and do not keep worrying. 30 For all these things the nations of the world eagerly seek; but your Father knows that you need these things. 31 But seek His kingdom, and these things will be added to you. 32 Do not be afraid, little flock, for your Father has chosen gladly to give you the kingdom."

12:22 “And He said to His disciples” Apparently Jesus directed His teachings to different groups in this large audience (the sick, the curious, the religious leaders, the disciples). This paragraph is paralleled in Matt. 6:25-33, which is part of the Sermon on the Mount (Matt. 5-7).

“do not worry about your life” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually implies stop an act in process. The disciples were worrying (cf. vv. 11,22,25,26) about physical needs (cf. Matt. 6:25,27,28,31,34).

The term life is ψυχή, as in vv. 19 and 23, which denotes the self.

12:23 This is the theological summary. Believers are co-inheritors (cf. Rom. 8:17) of all things (cf. vv. 31-32).

12:24 “Consider the ravens” Even these unclean birds (cf. Lev. 11:15) were provided for by God (cf. Ps. 147:9) and even used by God (cf. Gen. 8:7; I Kgs. 17:4,6). This verse may reflect Job 38:41.

“how much more valuable you are than the birds” This is the second time Jesus has made this statement (cf. v. 7; Matt. 10:31).

12:25 “which of you by worrying can add a single hour to his life’s span” The term πᾶχος is literally “cubit.” It is the distance between a man’s elbow and his longest finger. It is usually about 18 inches long. It is used in two different ways in Greek: (1) it can be used of size (cf. John 21:8; Rev. 21:17) or (2) it can be used of time (cf. Matt. 6:27; Luke 12:25).

The same dual meaning is found in the Greek term ἡλίκια (NKJV, “add one cubit to his stature”). It can refer to size (cf. Luke 19:3; Eph. 4:13) or time (cf. John 9:21,23; Heb. 11:11). Both terms seem to refer to time in this context.
12:26 “if” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which is assumed to be true from the author’s perspective or for his literary purposes.

12:27 “consider” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. The term is made up of the PREPOSITION kata plus the VERB to understand or comprehend (cf. Matt. 7:3), which denotes very careful consideration. Luke uses it often in his writings (cf. 6:41; 12:24,27; 20:23; Acts 7:31,32; 11:6; 27:39).

“lilies” This refers to the anemones, crocuses, or irises of Palestine. In Song of Songs 5:13, this flower is used for the color of a woman’s lips.

“not even Solomon in all his glory clothed himself like one of these” Nature reflects the beauty and design of its creator. Nature is part of the revelation of God (cf. Ps. 19:1-6; Rom. 1-2). The beauty, intricacy, and power of nature is becoming a way of asserting evidence of the existence of God (cf. Mere Creation, ed. William A. Dembski and The Battle of Beginnings by Del Ratzsch).

12:28 “if” This is another FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE (cf. v. 26).

“the grass in the field, which is alive today and tomorrow is thrown into the furnace” This reflects an OT idiom of the transitory nature of grass (human life) compared to God (cf. Isa. 40:6-8; Job 8:12; 14:1-2; Ps. 37:2,20; 90:5-6; 102:11-12; 103:15-17; James 1:10-11; I Pet. 1:24-25).

“how much more will He clothe you” This is the repeated theme of v. 24b. Humans are more important than grass.

“You men of little faith” This is a compound term “little” plus “faith.” It is used especially by Matthew (cf. 6:30; 8:26; 14:31; 16:80), but only here in Luke. It is not used at all in the Septuagint or the Koine Egyptian Papyri. Even flawed, weak, and worrying believers are valuable to God.

12:29 “do not seek what you will eat” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE which relates to the next two phrases, “what you will eat” and “what you will drink” (both AORIST ACTIVE SUBJUNCTIVES). “You” is placed first in the Greek sentence to emphasize the personal need!

“do not keep worrying” This is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually denotes stopping an act in process (cf. v. 11; Matt. 6:31).

This Greek word metéorìzō is used only here in the NT. In Greek literature it means “to lift up” (cf. Moulton and Milligan, The Vocabulary of the Greek Testament, p. 405). It is used several times in the Septuagint in this same sense. Because of this some scholars (Luther) want to translate it as “do not be high minded.” However, we must remember the linguistic principle that context determines meaning, not etymology or lexicons. “Worry” fits this context best.

12:30 “For all these things the nations of the world eagerly seek” God knows what humans need; He will provide in His time and in His way. This is often called the doctrine of Providence. God provides the physical needs of all life on this planet (cf. Matt. 5:45; Jesus in this role in Col. 1:17; Heb. 1:3). For a good discussion of this concept see Millard J. Erickson, Christian Theology, 2nd ed., pp. 412-435.

“your Father” This surely goes back to Jesus’ teaching on prayer (cf. 11:2,13; also note 6:36).

12:31 “But seek His kingdom” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. When we have God, we have everything, but without Him even physical life is fearful and anxious!

Several early Greek manuscripts have “The Kingdom of God” (cf. MSS P45, A, D1, W, and the Vulgate and Syriac translations, cf. NKJV), but most English translations (NASB, NRSV, TEV, NJB, NIV) have “His kingdom” (cf. MSS B, D, L, and the Coptic version) Context makes the pronoun antecedent obvious. The UBS3 editors give the PRONOUN a “B” rating (almost certain).

12:32 “do not be afraid” This is another PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually means stop an act in process. Jesus said this often (cf. Matt. 17:7; 28:10; Mark 6:50; Luke 5:10; 12:32; John 6:20; Rev. 1:17).

“little flock” This is the only use of this term in the NT. It emphasizes the significance of the Christian community (cf. Luke 13:18-21). This term is used in Isa. 40:11 (and 40:14 in the LXX) for God as Shepherd (cf. Ps. 23.) In Zech. 13 the Messiah
(“My Shepherd,” “My Associate”) is depicted as the smitten shepherd of God. Jesus spoke of Himself as “the Good Shepherd” in John 10:11-18.

**“for your Father has chosen gladly to give you the kingdom”** The term _eúdokeō_ is used in the Synoptic Gospels predominately for God the Father being “well-pleased” with the Son (cf. Matt. 3:17; 12:18; 17:5; Mark 1:11; Luke 3:22; and II Pet. 1:17).

In this context the focus is on the Father’s will to make us part of His family and Kingdom (cf. Eph. 1:5,9). Moulton and Milligan, _The Vocabulary of the Greek Testament_, p. 260, call this VERB “a characteristically Jewish Greek verb.” It occurs often in the Septuagint. Luke knew the Septuagint well.

---

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 12:33-34**

33"Sell your possessions and give to charity; make yourselves money belts which do not wear out, an unfailing treasure in heaven, where no thief comes near nor moth destroys. 34For where your treasure is, there your heart will be also."

12:33 “Sell your possessions” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. It is not a universal command, but deals with the priority structure of our lives (cf. 14:33; 18:22; Matt. 19:21; I Cor. 13:3). If God is not priority, everything and anything else must be eliminated from first place (cf. Matt. 5:29-30). This recurrent theme clearly shows the radical aspect of the Christian commitment. God must be first! All else is idolatry. However, many people in the Bible—Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Joseph, Job, Jewish Kings, Zachaeus, Joseph of Arimathea, are wealthy. Wealth is not the problem, but the priority of wealth!

12:34 “and give to charity” This probably refers to 11:41. Love for the poor and needy is evidence that God has changed one’s perspective and worldview. Luke’s Gospel emphasizes Jesus’ love for the outcasts and ostracized of society. See Special Topic: Alms at 11:41.

12:33 “make yourselves money belts” This is another AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. The term _ballantion_ is used only by Luke in the NT (cf. 10:4; 12:33; 22:35,36). It is used in the Septuagint for a bag or purse (cf. Job 14:17; Prov. 1:14). John uses a different term, _glásskomon_, for the disciples’ money box (cf. 12:6; 13:29). This term originally referred to a box used to store musical reeds or mouthpieces.

Matthew and Mark use the term _z̄ôtê_ which refers to

1. a girdle (cf. Matt. 3:4; Mark 1:6; Acts 21:11; Rev. 1:13; 15:6 and the Septuagint for priestly sash in Exod. 28:4,39,40; Deut. 23:14) or
2. a money belt (cf. Matt. 10:9; Mark 6:8)

The rest of the verse lists several characteristics of the money bag of generous believers (cf. Matt. 6:19-20).

1. will not wear out
2. will not fail or be exhausted
3. thief cannot steal
4. moth cannot corrupt

Ancient sources of wealth were (1) weight of precious metals or jewels; (2) expensive clothing adorned with gold, silver, or jewels; and (3) food stores. Security was a major problem. Thieves could steal, mildew destroy, and insects or rodents could eat. This list was a way of describing believers’ secure inheritance with God (cf. I Pet. 1:4-5), which was evidenced by generosity while here on earth.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 12:35-38**

35"Be dressed in readiness, and keep your lamps lit. 36Be like men who are waiting for their master when he returns from the wedding feast, so that they may immediately open the door to him when he comes and knocks. 37Blessed are those slaves whom the master will find on the alert when he comes; truly I say to you, that he will gird himself to serve, and have them recline at the table, and will come up and wait on them. 38Whether he comes in the second watch, or even in the third, and finds them so, blessed are those slaves."

12:34 “For where your treasure is, there your heart will be” This is a summary statement. One’s relationship to God is observable by how he/she handles their earthly resources. For modern, western believers, priority commitments are clearly seen in their checkbooks and calendars. We fool ourselves into thinking that by giving to God of the excess of our wealth and a few hours out of our week in gathered worship, we are NT disciples!
12:35
NASB "'Be dressed in readiness, and keep your lamps lit'"
NKJV "'Let your waist be girded and your lamps burning'"
NRSV "'Be dressed for action and have your lamps lit'"
TEV "'Be ready for whatever comes, dressed for action and with your lamps lit'"
NJB "'See that you have your belts done up and your lamps lit'"

This verse has a main VERB and two related PARTICIPLES (PERIPHRASTIC).
1. the PRESENT IMPERATIVE of eimi ("let be")
2. the PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICLE, "having your loins girdled" (a symbol for constantly being ready for action)
3. the PRESENT PASSIVE PARTICIPLE, "keep burning" (but used as a MIDDLE VOICE, referring to oil lamps)

These are all idioms for being ready for strenuous activity at any moment (cf. v. 36; Matt. 25:1-13). These relate to the activity of servants waiting for their master's return, as believers wait for the return of Christ (cf. vv. 37-38,43).


"'he will gird himself'" This shocking reversal of roles reminds one of Jesus' actions in the upper room in washing the disciples' feet (cf. John 13:4). The standard treatment of slaves is stated in 17:7-10.

12:38 "'the second watch'" The Romans divided the night into four watches (6-9, 9-12, 12-3, 3-6), but the Jews divided the night into three (6-10, 10-2, 2-6, cf. Jdg. 7:19).

"'whether...even'" This verse is a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE (kai + ean, twice), which speaks of potential action.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 12:39-40

39. "But be sure of this, that if the head of the house had known at what hour the thief was coming, he would not have allowed his house to be broken into. "You too, be ready; for the Son of Man is coming at an hour that you do not expect."

12:39 "if" This is a SECOND CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE (ei + an + SUBJUNCTIVE), which makes a false assertion to emphasize a false conclusion. It is often called the "contrary to fact condition." Example: "if the head of the house had known at what hour the thief was coming (which he did not), he would not have allowed his house to be broken into (which it was)." Some other examples of this construction in Luke are 4:26; 7:39; 17:6; 19:23.

"'what hour the thief was coming'" This metaphor is common in the NT in dealing with the any-moment return of the Lord (cf. I Thess. 5:2; II Pet. 3:10; and Rev. 3:3; 16:15). There is a tension in the NT between the "any-moment return of the Lord" and "some events must occur first." See Special Topic below.

SPECIAL TOPIC: THE ANY-MOMENT RETURN OF JESUS VERSUS THE NOT YET
(NT PARADOX)

A. New Testament eschatological passages reflect Old Testament prophetic insight that viewed the end-time through contemporary occurrences.
B. Matt. 24, Mark 13, and Luke 21 are so difficult to interpret because they deal with several questions simultaneously.
   1. when will the Temple be destroyed?
   2. what will be the sign of the Messiah's return?
   3. when will this age end (cf. Matt. 24:3)?
C. The genre of New Testament eschatological passages is usually a combination of apocalyptic and prophetic language which is purposely ambiguous and highly symbolic.
D. Several passages in the NT (cf. Matt. 24, Mark 13, Luke 17 and 21, I and II Thess. and Rev.) deal with the Second Coming. These passages emphasize:
   1. the exact time of the event is unknown, but the event is certain
   2. we can know the general time, but not specific time, of the events
   3. it will occur suddenly and unexpectedly
4. we must be prayerful, ready, and faithful to assigned tasks.

E. There is a theological paradoxical tension between (1) the any-moment return (cf. Luke 12:40,46; 21:36; Matt.24:27,44) and (2) the fact that some events in history must occur.

F. The NT states that some events will occur before the Second Coming.
   1. The Gospel preached to the whole world (cf. Matt. 24:14; Mark 13:10)
   2. The great apostasy (cf. Matt. 24:10-13, 21; I Tim. 4:1; II Tim. 3:1ff.; II Thess. 2:3)
   3. The revelation of the “man of sin” (cf. Dan. 7:23-26; 9:24-27; II Thess. 2:3)
   4. Removal of that/who restrains (cf. II Thess. 2:6-7)
   5. Jewish revival (cf. Zech. 12:10; Rom. 11)

G. Luke 17:26-37 is not paralleled in Mark. It does have a partial Synoptic parallel in Matt. 24:37-44.

[“broken into”] This is literally “dug through.” Robbers were called “mud diggers” because they dug through the mud-thatched walls of homes and businesses.

12:40 “You too, be ready” This is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE. This is our responsibility (cf. 21:36; Mark 13:33)!

SPECIAL TOPIC: JESUS’ RETURN

The NT as a whole is written within the worldview of the OT which asserted
   1. a current evil, rebellious age
   2. a coming new age of righteousness
   3. brought about by the Spirit’s agency through the work of the Messiah (Anointed One).

The theological assumption of progressive revelation is required because the NT authors slightly modify Israel’s expectation. Instead of a military, nationalistic (Israel) coming of the Messiah, there are two comings. The first coming is the incarnation of deity in the conception and birth of Jesus of Nazareth. He came as the non-military, non-judicial “suffering servant” of Isa. 53; and the humble rider on the colt of a donkey (not a war horse or kingly mule) of Zech. 9:9. The first coming inaugurated the New Messianic Age, the Kingdom of God on earth. In one sense the Kingdom is here, but of course, in another it is still far off. It is this tension between the two comings of the Messiah which, in a sense, is the overlapping of the two Jewish ages that was unseen, or at least unclear, from the OT. In reality, this dual coming emphasizes YHWH’s commitment to redeem all humanity (cf. Gen. 3:15; 12:3; Ex. 19:5 and the preaching of the prophets, especially Isaiah and Jonah).

The church is not waiting for the fulfillment of OT prophecy because most prophecies refer to the first coming (cf. How to Read the Bible for All Its Worth, pp. 165-166). What believers do anticipate is the glorious coming of the resurrected King of King and Lord of Lords, the expected historical fulfillment of the new age of righteousness on earth as it is in heaven (cf. Matt. 6:10). The OT presentations were not inaccurate, but incomplete. He will come again just as the prophets predicted in the judicial power and material authority of YHWH.

The Second Coming is not a biblical term, but the concept is the worldview and framework of the entire NT. God will set it all straight. Fellowship between God and mankind made in His image will be restored. Evil will be judged and removed. God’s purposes will not, cannot fail!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 12:41-48

41Peter said, "Lord, are You addressing this parable to us, or to everyone else as well?" 42And the Lord said, "Who then is the faithful and sensible steward, whom his master will put in charge of his servants, to give them their rations at the proper time? 43Blessed is that slave whom his master finds so doing when he comes. 44Truly I say to you that he will put him in charge of all his possessions. 45But if that slave says in his heart, 'My master will be a long time in coming,' and begins to beat the slaves, both men and women, and to eat and drink and get drunk; 46the master of that slave will come on a day when he does not expect him and at an hour he does not know, and will cut him in pieces, and assign him a place with the unbelievers. 47And that slave who knew his master's will and did not get ready or act in accord with his will, will receive many lashes, 48but the one who did not know it, and committed deeds worthy of a flogging, will receive but few. From everyone who has been given much, much will be required; and to whom they entrusted much, of him they will ask all the more."
12:41 This is exactly the question that modern interpreters ask of Jesus’ teachings, “Who are they directed to?” There were many different groups in the crowds that followed Jesus; a crucial element of interpretation is “which group is addressed?”

12:42-48 This is paralleled in Matt. 24:45-51, but is not found in Mark. It is these sayings and teachings (those common to Matthew and Luke, but not Mark) that are assumed to have been contained in a list of Jesus’ sayings that modern biblical scholars called “Quelle,” from the German for “source.” This list has never been found, but it is logically necessary for at least one current theory (the two-source theory, see Introduction to Luke) related to modern understanding of the relationship between the Synoptic Gospels.

12:43 This is the repeated emphasis from vv. 35-38.


“‘Truly’” This is the term alalhās used in the sense of the Hebrew “amen.” See Special Topic: Amen at 4:24. Luke was writing to Gentiles who would not have understood the Hebrew term.

12:45 “if” This is a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which means potential action.

12:46 “and will cut him in pieces”’ This was an OT form of capital punishment (cf. LXX of II Sam. 12:31; I Chr. 20:3). It is used literally in the LXX of Exod. 29:17; Ezek. 24:4. Here it is used figuratively to intensify the eschatological judgment even on those who claim to know and serve Jesus! This term appears in the NT only here and in Matt. 24:57. Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary of the Greek Testament, p. 165, provide an inscription which also uses the term figuratively.

12:47-48 This seems to assert degrees of punishment. Verse 47 implies that humans are punished in accordance with the best light they have (cf. James. 4:17). Verse 48 implies that everyone has some light and has not lived up to it (cf. Rom. 1:19-20; 14:15). For other passages on the seeming degrees of punishment see Luke 10:12-15; 11:31-32; Matt. 18:6,7. See Special Topic: Degrees of Rewards and Punishments at 10:12

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 12:49-53

“I have come to cast fire upon the earth; and how I wish it were already kindled! 50But I have a baptism to undergo, and how distressed I am until it is accomplished! 51Do you suppose that I came to grant peace on earth? I tell you, no, but rather division; 52for from now on five members in one household will be divided, three against two and two against three. 53They will be divided, father against son and son against father, mother against daughter and daughter against mother, mother-in-law against daughter-in-law and daughter-in-law against mother-in-law.”

12:49 “I have come to cast fire upon the earth” The word “fire” is placed first in the Greek sentence for emphasis. In John 3:17-21 it states that Jesus did not come the first time as Judge, but as Savior. After being among fallen humans, He now wishes eschatological judgment was already present (cf. v. 49b). Gospel hearers are divided into two, and only two, groups by how they respond to Jesus and His message (cf. 24:44-49).

“how I wish it were already kindled” Some see this as a SECOND CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE (cf. Bass-Debrunner-Funk, Greek Grammar of the New Testament, pp. 359-360), while others make it a Semitic idiom (cf. Black, An Aramaic Approach to the Gospels and Acts, p. 123). A. T. Robertson, Word Pictures in the New Testament, vol. 2. p. 182, takes it as “how” and ei as “that” (hoti), but also admits, “it is not clear what this passage meant.” George M. Lamsa’s translation of the ancient Syriac (Aramaic) manuscripts is “and I wish to do it, if it has not already been kindled.”

Jesus wants the Kingdom of God to be manifest on the earth (cf. Matt. 6:10), even though there will be a great cost to Himself and others (the loss of unbelievers eternally and the persecution of believers temporarily).

12:50 “I have a baptism to undergo” The Greek has “a baptism to be baptized with.” From Mark 10:38 it is obvious that this does not refer to Jesus’ water baptism, but His testing in Gethsemane and His crucifixion on Calvary. Jesus saw Himself as the fulfillment of Gen. 3:15 (the Promised Seed) and Isa. 53 (Suffering Servant). He saw Ps. 22 as foreshadowing His own experience.

Salvation may be free, but it was not cheap!
A good discussion of this verse is found in *Hard Sayings of the Bible*, pp. 472-475. This is a good resource book for difficult texts, both OT and NT. I commend it to you!

12:51 “Do not suppose that I came to grant peace on earth” See the parallel in Matt. 10:34-39. Even the close family relationships in a Jewish home will experience division over Jesus. There is a priority commitment needed to follow Him! Believers form a new family, the family of God!

12:53 This may be a poem or dirge. It may be an allusion to Micah 7:6, because of the Matthew parallel (cf. 10:35,36), which quotes Micah 7:6.

**DISCUSSION QUESTIONS**
This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. What is the “leaven of the Pharisees”?
2. Describe the difference between the NT term “Hades” and “Gehenna.”
3. How does one confess Jesus Christ publicly?
4. How does one explain v. 33?
5. What is the central truth of vv. 35-41?
6. Are there degrees of heaven and hell?
**LUKE 13**

**PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repent or Perish</td>
<td>Repent or Perish</td>
<td>On Repentance</td>
<td>Turn From Your Sin or Die</td>
<td>Examples Inviting Repentance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Parable of the Barren Fig Tree</td>
<td>The Parable of the Barren Fig Tree</td>
<td>The Parable of the Unfruitful Fig Tree</td>
<td>Parable of the Barren Fig Tree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Healing of a Crippled Woman on the Sabbath</td>
<td>A Spirit of Infirmity</td>
<td>A Crippled Woman Healed</td>
<td>Jesus Heals a Crippled Woman on the Sabbath</td>
<td>Healing of a Crippled Woman on the Sabbath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Parable of the Mustard Seed and the Leaven</td>
<td>The Parable of the Mustard Seed</td>
<td>Parables of Mustard Seed and Yeast</td>
<td>The Parable of the Mustard Seed</td>
<td>Parable of the Mustard Seed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Narrow Door</td>
<td>The Narrow Way</td>
<td>On the End of the Age</td>
<td>The Narrow Door</td>
<td>The Narrow Door: Rejection of the Jews, Call of the Gentiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Lament Over Jerusalem</td>
<td>Words to Herod Antipas</td>
<td>Jesus’ Love for Jerusalem</td>
<td>Herod the Fox</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesus Laments Over Jerusalem</td>
<td>Lament Over Jerusalem</td>
<td>13:32-33</td>
<td>Jerusalem Admonished</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)**

**FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL**

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.
Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

**WORD AND PHRASE STUDY**

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 13:1-5**

1Now on the same occasion there were some present who reported to Him about the Galileans whose blood Pilate had mixed with their sacrifices. 2And Jesus said to them, "Do you suppose that these Galileans were greater sinners than all other Galileans because they suffered this fate? 3I tell you, no, but unless you repent, you will all likewise perish. 4Or do you suppose that those eighteen on whom the tower in Siloam fell and killed them were worse culprits than all the men who live in Jerusalem? 5I tell you, no, but unless you repent, you will all likewise perish."

13:1 “there were some present” This phrase (an IMPERFECT INDICATIVE) can mean (1) they were always in the crowd or (2) they had just arrived.

“the Galileans whose blood Pilate had mixed with their sacrifices” We do not have any other historical reference of this account, but because of the tendency of the Galileans to be rabble rousers and the personality of Pilate, it is surely factual. Why mention it except to establish a historical point of reference?

Apparently these Galilean Jews came to Jerusalem to offer a sacrifice at the temple, and something went terribly wrong that involved the Roman government, not just temple police (i.e. special Levites). Most commentators assume they were involved in the “zealot” movement (free Palestine from Rome at any cost).

13:2 “And He answered and said to them, ‘Do you suppose that these Galileans were greater sinners than all other Galileans because they suffered this fate?’” Old Testament theology tended to relate the problems in life to personal sin (cf. Deut. 27-28); however, the Book of Job, Ps. 73, and Jesus in this passage (see also John 9) assert that is not always the case.

It is hard theologically to know the reason for problems or persecutions in this world:

1. It could be punishment for personal sin and rebellion.
2. It could be the activity of personal evil.
3. It could be the results of living in a fallen world (statistical evil).
4. It could be an opportunity for spiritual growth.

Jesus is asking questions the Jewish hearers would relate to #1 and the traditional theology of the rabbis (cf. Job). The presence of problems, persecutions, and hard times is not a sign of God’s wrath. However, the crucial issues relate to the lack of repentance from sin and faith in Jesus! Bad things happen! A good book that has helped me in this area is Hannah Whitall Smith’s *The Christian’s Secret of A Happy Life*.

An added thought, these Galileans were in the temple area, but the temple (the great Jewish hope) could not save them.

13:3 “but unless you repent, you will all likewise perish” This is a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE. It is a PRESENT ACTIVE SUBJUNCTIVE followed by a FUTURE MIDDLE INDICATIVE. This is emphasizing the need for personal repentance (cf. 13:3,5; 15:7,10; 17:3,4; Mark 1:15; Acts 3:19; 20:21). Repentance is the turning from sin and self, while faith is turning to God. The term “repent” in Hebrew means “a change of action.” The term repent in Greek means “change of mind.” Both are required. Notice that one is initial and one is ongoing (see note at v. 5). See Special Topic: Repentance at 3:3.

13:4 Here is another local historical incident that Jesus’ hearers knew about personally. Jesus intensifies His truth statements by these historical illustrations of personal (intentional, vv. 1-2) and natural (unintentional, v. 4) contemporary events.
NASB “culprits”
NKJV “sinners”
NRSV “offenders”
TEV, NJB –omit–

This is literally the term “debts” as in Matt. 6:12, which was a Jewish idiom for sinners. Luke does not use the term in his version of the Lord’s Prayer (cf. Luke 11:2-4) because his Gentile readers would not normally comprehend this idiom.

13:5 This verse is parallel to v. 3. Verse 3 has a PRESENT SUBJUNCTIVE, while v. 5 has an AORIST SUBJUNCTIVE. This seems to refer to a decisive act of repentance (and faith) versus the ongoing need for repentance in v. 3. Both are necessary.

“perish” This is the FUTURE MIDDLE INDICATIVE form of the term apollumi. See Special Topic at 19:10.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 13:6-8

6And He began telling this parable: "A man had a fig tree which had been planted in his vineyard; and he came looking for fruit on it and did not find any. 7And he said to the vineyard-keeper, 'Behold, for three years I have come looking for fruit on this fig tree without finding any. Cut it down! Why does it even use up the ground?' 8And he answered and said to him, 'Let it alone, sir, for this year too, until I dig around it and put in fertilizer; 9and if it bears fruit next year, fine; but if not, cut it down.'"

13:6 “A man had a fig tree” The fig tree was often used as a symbol of Israel (cf. Hos. 9:10; Joel 1:7). However, in the account in Matt. 21:19ff, the fig tree is a symbol of Israel’s leaders only. In the OT allusion from Isa. 5, the bad fruit came from God’s special vineyard. This context seems to refer to national Israel as a whole, whose spiritual life and priorities were characterized in her leaders.

13:7 “for three years” It takes several years for a fruit tree of any kind to start producing fruit. That time had passed by three years. God was patient, but there is a limit to His longsuffering.

13:8-9 This symbolizes the patience and mercy of God; however, v. 9 shows the reality of judgment. God wants a righteous people who reflect His character. This passage, like John 15:2-6, is a warning against unfruitful lives in His people! God takes obedience seriously (cf. Luke 6:46). This is not works-righteousness, but true salvation evidenced by godly living (cf. Eph. 2:8-10). Salvation is not a product, a ticket to heaven, or a fire insurance policy, but a changed and changing life of godliness! Eternal life has observable characteristics (cf. Matt. 7).

13:9 “and if” This is the Greek compound kai ean, which makes this a partial THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE (potential action). Its being incomplete was a way of making the supposed conclusion stand out.

“but if not” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE (ei de m’ge), which assumes it will not bear fruit even with further special care (cf. 3:9).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 13:10-17

10And He was teaching in one of the synagogues on the Sabbath. 11And there was a woman who for eighteen years had had a sickness caused by a spirit; and she was bent double, and could not straighten up at all. 12When Jesus saw her, He called her over and said to her, "Woman, you are freed from your sickness." 13And He laid His hands on her; and immediately she was made erect again and began glorifying God. 14But the synagogue official, indignant because Jesus had healed on the Sabbath, began saying to the crowd in response, "There are six days in which work should be done; so come during them and get healed, and not on the Sabbath day." 15But the Lord answered him and said, "You hypocrites, does not each of you on the Sabbath untie his ox or his donkey from the stall and lead him away to water him? 16And this woman, a daughter of Abraham as she is, whom Satan has bound for eighteen long years, should she not have been released from this bond on the Sabbath day?" 17As He said this, all His opponents were being humiliated; and the entire crowd was rejoicing over all the glorious things being done by Him.

13:10 Many of Jesus’ teachings and miracles were done in synagogues on the Sabbath for two unrelated reasons:
1. Jesus fulfilled all Jewish requirements. Sabbath worship was surely one of these (cf. Gen. 2:2-3; Exod. 20:8-11).
2. He acted on the Sabbath to instigate dialog with the religious leaders who cherished their rules and traditions over people.
13:11 “a sickness caused by a spirit” Obviously this was a case of demon possession. The NT makes a distinction between someone being demonized and someone being diseased, although demons often do cause disease. See Special Topic at 4:33.

□ “bent double” This is a Greek medical term for “a bent spine.” Luke was a Gentile physician (cf. Col. 4:14) or at least a highly educated man.

13:12 “When Jesus saw her” Jesus does this (1) out of compassion for this lady and/or (2) to engage the religious leaders in theological dialog. She does not expect or ask Him to act on her behalf.

□ “Woman, you are freed from your sickness” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE. Jesus usually never lays hands on people for exorcism. Apparently at His word the demon fled, but Jesus laid hands on her to increase her faith and to enable her to stand erect (cf. v. 13).

13:13 “and He laid His hands on her” See Special Topic below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIAL TOPIC: LAYING ON OF HANDS IN THE BIBLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This gesture of personal involvement is used in several different ways in the Bible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. passing on the family leadership (cf. Gen. 48:18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. identifying with the death of a sacrificial animal as a substitute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. priests (cf. Exod. 29:10,15,19; Lev. 16:21; Num. 8:12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. laypersons (cf. Lev. 1:4; 3:2,8; 4:4,15,24; II Chr. 29:23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. setting persons aside to serve God in a special task or ministry (cf. Num. 8:10; 27:18,23; Deut. 34:9; Acts 6:6; 13:3; I Tim. 4:14; 5:22; II Tim. 1:6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. participating in the judicial stoning of a sinner (cf. Lev. 24:14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. receiving a blessing for health, happiness, and godliness (cf. Matt. 19:13,15; Mark 10:16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is a surprising lack of uniformity in the passages that have historically been used to support the ecclesiastical installation of leaders (i.e. ordination).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. In Acts 6:6 it is the Apostles who lay hands on the seven for local ministry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. In Acts 13:3 it is the prophets and teachers who lay hands on Barnabas and Paul for missionary service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. In I Timothy 4:14 it is the local elders who were involved in Timothy’s initial call and installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. In II Timothy 1:6 it is Paul who lays hands on Timothy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This diversity and ambiguity illustrate the lack of organization in the first century church. The early church was much more dynamic and regularly used the spiritual gifts of believers (cf. I Cor. 14). The NT is simply not written to advocate or delineate a governmental model or ordination procedure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13:14 “But the synagogue official, indignant” This man asserts that there are six other days of the week on which this could have legally occurred (according to rabbinical Oral Traditions’ interpretation of Exod. 20:9 and Deut. 5:13), but this lady had been attending synagogue services weekly for eighteen years in her pitiful condition and had not been helped by Jewish rules, Jewish healers (scribes), or synagogue worship!

13:15-16 Jesus exposed this man and all who think like him (PLURAL, hypocrites). The rabbis had great compassion in their oral traditions for the human treatment of animals on the Sabbath (cf. 14:5), but were restrictive in their treatment of humans. Jesus illustrates the fallacy of the rabbinical system’s legalism without compassion for people. We must be careful of our rules. They often become more important than people. People are priority with God. Only people are eternal. God made creation for fellowship with people! Our rules often say more about us than about God!

13:16 This verse obviously links the demonic and Satan. He is the chief demon (cf. 11:15,18). This woman was bound in a worse way than any oxen (cf. v. 15).

13:17 “all His opponents were being humiliated” This shows the presence of many religious leaders in the synagogue. This one “ruler of the synagogue” spoke on behalf of many who were present.
The word “ashamed” (IMPERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE) is used only here in the Gospels, but is used nine times by Paul (i.e. Rom., I Cor., and II Cor.). Luke must have heard it often in Paul’s sermons. It was used often in the Septuagint (esp. Isa. 45:16). Luke knew this Greek translation of the Hebrew Bible well. He was influenced by its terminology and idioms.

“the entire crowd was rejoicing over all the glorious things done by Him” What a contrast: religious leaders embarrassed, but the common people (people of the land) rejoicing over Jesus’ words and deeds (cf. 9:43; 13:17; 18:43; 19:37)! Again, the hearts of the hearers determines the response (e.g. the parable of the soils, cf. 8:4-15).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 13:18-21

18So He was saying, "What is the kingdom of God like, and to what shall I compare it? 19It is like a mustard seed, which a man took and threw into his own garden; and it grew and became a tree, and THE BIRDS OF THE AIR NESTED IN ITS BRANCHES." 20And again He said, "To what shall I compare the kingdom of God? 21It is like leaven, which a woman took and hid in three pecks of flour until it was all leavened."

13:18 “What is the kingdom of God like” Here are two parables that imply the smallness and insignificance of the kingdom then, but, one day, its pervasiveness and power.

13:19 “The birds of the air nestled in its branches” A mustard seed grew to about ten feet tall. This OT quote is a symbol of the pervasiveness, protection, and provision of the kingdom of God (cf. Ezek. 17:23; 31:6; Dan. 4:12,21).

13:21 “leaven” This is not a symbol of evil in this context, but a sign of pervasiveness. See note at 12:1b.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 13:22-30

22And He was passing through from one city and village to another, teaching, and proceeding on His way to Jerusalem. 23And someone said to Him, "Lord, are there just a few who are being saved?" And He said to them, 24"Strive to enter through the narrow door; for many, I tell you, will seek to enter and will not be able. 25Once the head of the house gets up and shuts the door, and you begin to stand outside and knock on the door, saying, 'Lord, open up to us!' then He will answer and say to you, 'I do not know where you are from.' 26Then you will begin to say, 'We ate and drank in Your presence, and You taught in our streets'; 27and He will say, 'I tell you, I do not know where you are from; DEPART FROM ME, ALL YOU EVILDOERS.' 28In that place there will be weeping and gnashing of teeth when you see Abraham and Isaac and Jacob and all the prophets in the kingdom of God, but yourselves being thrown out. 29And they will come from east and west and from north and south, and will recline at the table in the kingdom of God. 30And behold, some are last who will be first and some are first who will be last."


13:23 “Lord, are there just a few who are being saved?” This was a highly discussed issue among the rabbis (cf. Matt. 7:13-14). They argued whether all the Jews would be saved from God’s wrath on Judgment Day or just certain sects within Judaism (their own). This question may also relate to the OT concept of “remnant” (cf. Isa. 10:20-23; 16:14; Micah 2:17; 4:6-8; 5:7-9; 7:18-20). The tragedy of ancient Israel was that although they were the special chosen nation of YHWH, most never had a personal faith relationship with Him. Israel’s history is one of judgment, restoration, and judgment again. The prophets only saw a faithful remnant (sh’r) returning from Assyrian and Babylonian exile.

13:24 “Strive to enter through the narrow door” This is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE - PLURAL. The term “strive” means “to enter an athletic contest.” We get the English word “agony” from this Greek term (cf. 22:44). This is not emphasizing works righteousness, but that following Jesus costs. Jesus, not Jewish legalism, is the door to salvation (cf. vv. 25-26; Galatians). In Matt. 7:13 it is a narrow gate that leads to a path, but here it is a narrow door that enters the house.

“for many, I tell you, will seek to enter and will not be able” This asserts that many of those who thought they were certain of entrance into the kingdom will be surprised (cf. v. 28; Matt. 8:12). This is a shocking verse for legalists of all ages and cultures. Salvation is not human effort, but a response of personal faith to God’s gift and provision—Jesus (cf. John 10:1-18; 14:6). The picture here may be of many people wailing outside a small door and trying to enter all at once. At the moment of the Parousia there will be no time to prepare or act (cf. Matt. 15:1-13).
13:25 This is very similar to the eschatological parable of preparation and persistence found in Matt. 15:1-13. Whenever one encounters Jesus, that is the time of salvation. People must not put off the spiritual decision that needs to be made today. In this parable, when the host of the feast recognizes that the time for the meal has come, He locks the door so that no more guests may come in.

Humans do not initiate spiritual decisions. They can only respond to God’s initiation (cf. John 6:44,65). God has spoken through Christ. They must respond now or be locked out of the Messianic banquet.

13:26 “‘we ate and drank in Your presence’” Often Jews trusted in their racial ancestry (cf. 3:8; John 8:31-59) or religious performance (cf. Matt. 7:21-23). Knowing Jesus in the flesh or simply calling on His name (liturgically or flippantly) is not equivalent to a personal faith relationship (cf. John 1:12; 3:16; Rom. 10:9-13).

13:27

NASB “‘I do not know where you are from’”
NKJV “‘I do not know you, where are you from?’”
NRSV, NJB “‘I do not know where you came from’”
TEV “‘I don’t know where you came from’”

Verses 25 and 27 are parallel, but v. 27 seems to have dropped the PRONOUN “you” (huma) in the ancient Greek manuscripts P75 (early third century), B (fourth century), L (eighth century), and 070 (sixth century). The question comes, “Was it originally an exact parallel?” Many other ancient texts have it (cf. MSS A, W, and most early versions). Jesus’ words to these hearers paralleled His words to the religious of Matt. 7:21-23! Religious rules, actions, and liturgy, without personal faith, were a horrible tragedy to national Israel and a modern tragedy to legalists!

M. R. Vincent, Word Studies, p. 192, asserts that the phrase (“where are you from”) relates to the speaker’s birthplace or family. If so, this may refer to the Jewish preoccupation with Abraham as their ancestor (cf. Matt. 3:9; John 8:33,37,39). The two Jewish hopes were (1) their racial ancestry and (2) their Mosaic temple (cultus). Jesus depreciates both and replaces them with personal faith in Himself as the only way to be right with God.

“All you evildoers” This seems to be a quote of Ps. 6:8 (cf. Matt. 7:23).

13:28 ‘there will be weeping and gnashing of teeth’” This is used for eschatological rejection (cf. Matt. 8:12; 13:42,50; 22:13; 24:15; 25:30; Rev. 18:19). These Jews are grieving because (1) Abraham and the Patriarchs will be with Jesus; (2) these Jewish leaders will not be with Jesus; and (3) Gentiles from all over the world will be with Jesus.

NASB “but yourselves being thrown out”
NKJV “and yourselves thrust out”
NRSV “and you yourselves thrown out”
TEV “while you are thrown out”
NJB “and yourselves thrown out”

The imagery of a locked entrance (cf. vv. 24-25) is changed and intensified to an extraction. Some who thought they were in will be cast out. The image has switched from a house owner to the Kingdom of God.


13:30 Verses 25-27 refer to Jesus’ hearers. Some respond to Him, some think they have responded to Him, and many openly reject Him. The eschatological consequences for rejecting Him are severe.

Verse 30 relates to the evaluation of believers within the Kingdom. Those who seemed so prominent here will not be in heaven (cf. Matt. 19:30; 20:16; Mark 10:31). God’s ways of evaluation are different from human ways (cf. Isa. 55:8-11). Motives and attitudes will one day be known and rewarded.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 13:31-35**

31Just at that time some Pharisees approached, saying to Him, "Go away, leave here, for Herod wants to kill You."
32And He said to them, 'Go and tell that fox, 'Behold, I cast out demons and perform cures today and tomorrow, and the third day I reach My goal.' 33Nevertheless I must journey on today and tomorrow and the next day; for it cannot be that a prophet would perish outside of Jerusalem. 34O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, the city that kills the prophets and stones those sent to her! How often I wanted to gather your children together, just as a hen gathers her brood under her wings, and
you would not have it! 35 Behold, your house is left to you desolate; and I say to you, you will not see Me until the time comes when you say, 'BLESSED IS HE WHO COMES IN THE NAME OF THE LORD!'"

13:31 Was this an act of kindness or a way to get Jesus out of town so that He could not condemn them and increase His disciples?

- “Go away, leave here!” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE followed by a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE.

- “Herod” See note at 9:7.

- “wants to kill you” This is ironic because, in reality, the Pharisees and Sadducees also wanted Jesus dead. Perhaps the Pharisees were hoping Herod would kill Him and save them the trouble and blame.

13:32 “Go and tell” This is an AORIST PASSIVE (deponent) PARTICIPLE used in the sense of an IMPERATIVE plus an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. However, this is a good example of an IMPERATIVE used as a literary device (not literally). Jesus is not asking these Pharisees to serve as His messenger to Herod.

- “I cast out demons and perform cures” This may relate to 9:7. Herod knew of Jesus and wanted to question Him.

- “today and tomorrow, and the third day I reach My goal.” This phrase clearly shows that Jesus knew that it was God’s will for Him to die in Jerusalem (cf. v. 33; John 5:36; 17:23; 19:28) and no one (Herod) could stop God’s redemptive plan. Luke’s Gospel has been emphasizing Jesus’ determined travel to Jerusalem since 9:51.

  It is possible that this phrase is an apocalyptic idiom of 3:5, which refers to a period of persecution (cf. Dan. 7:25; 8:14; 12:12; and possibly Luke 4:25).

13:34 “Jerusalem, Jerusalem” The doubling is a Semitic way of showing intensity (cf. Gen. 22:11 and LXX Gen. 22:1). However, in most NT examples it shows mild reproach.

- “the city that kills the prophets and stones those sent to her” This is another expression of God’s repeated attempt to call His people to repentance (cf. Hos. 11:2). The Jewish people killed these “sent” messengers by stoning, which was the Mosaic punishment for blasphemy (they were thought to bring a false message). Now the city will kill “the Son” (cf. 20:9-18).

- “How often I wanted to gather your children together” This is another phrase which shows God’s repeated attempts at communication and fellowship. Notice that Jesus expresses Himself as YHWH.

- “as a hen gathers her brood under her wings” This is a FEMININE metaphor used by Jesus for Himself. Deity is neither male or female (cf. Gen. 1:2; Exod. 19:4; Deut. 32:11; Ps. 17:8; 36:7; Isa. 49:15; 16:9-13; and Hos. 11:1-4). God is an eternal, omnipresent Spirit. He made both males and females and incorporated the best in Himself. Humans call God “He” because of His personality and the ancient tradition from the Jewish patriarchal culture.

13:35 “your house is left to you desolate” The metaphor of “your house” is reminiscent of Luke 11:21-26. This verse is not directed to Jewish leadership only, but the inhabitants of Jerusalem who represented all of Israel. God’s repeated overtures of love had been repeatedly and violently rejected. Now come the consequences.

  But, please remember that the consequences of their sin, and our sin, were paid for through Christ’s death on our behalf in this very city just condemned. Jesus is God’s open door of forgiveness for whosoever will. That door is open as long as life remains and time remains!

- “desolate” This term is not found in the ancient Greek manuscripts P\textsuperscript{5,75}, N, A, B, L, W, or the Greek texts used by Epaphras and Augustine. This same textual problem occurs in Matt. 23:38. The UBS\textsuperscript{4} rates the addition of “desolate” in Matt. 23:38 as “almost certain,” but its omission here as “almost certain.” It seems to have been added later (MS D) to clarify the meaning of the Greek phrase, or possibly as an allusion to Jer. 22:5. For many it is a prophecy of the destruction of Jerusalem in A.D. 70 (cf. Luke 21), which foreshadows the destruction preceding the Second Coming. Jerusalem’s destruction in the lifetime of these hearers was a powerful witness of the trustworthiness of Jesus’ words.

- “you shall not see Me until the time when you say, “Blessed is He who comes in the name of the Lord”” This is an allusion to Ps. 118:26 in the Septuagint.
This has a double reference: (1) it refers to Jesus’ triumphal entry into Jerusalem (cf. 19:38) and (2) it refers to the Second Coming. Notice that Jesus comes as the prophets came “in the name of the Lord,” which means YHWH’s representative. This judgment pronouncement was not permanent, but conditional. God’s heart breaks for His rebellious people (cf. Hos. 11:8-9; Rom. 9-11; Zech. 12:10).

**DISCUSSION QUESTIONS**

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Are illnesses and problem in life a sign of God’s displeasure?
2. Is Israel still the major thrust of God’s redemption of all the earth?
3. Why was Jesus in such controversy with the Pharisees over the oral law?
4. How many people will be saved?
5. Is God masculine or feminine?
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PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Healing of the Man with Dropsy</td>
<td>A Man with Dropsy Healed on the Sabbath</td>
<td>Healing a Man with Dropsy</td>
<td>Jesus Heals a Sick Man</td>
<td>Healing of a Dropsical Man on the Sabbath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:1-6</td>
<td>14:1-6</td>
<td>14:1-6</td>
<td>14:1-3</td>
<td>14:1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14:4-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14:6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Lesson to Guests and a Host</td>
<td>Take the Lowly Place</td>
<td>On Humility</td>
<td>Humility and Hospitality</td>
<td>On Choosing Places at Table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:7-14</td>
<td>14:7-14</td>
<td>14:7-11</td>
<td>14:7-11</td>
<td>14:7-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14:12-14</td>
<td>14:12-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14:12-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14:16-24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14:21-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Cost of Discipleship</td>
<td>Leaving All to Follow Christ</td>
<td>Conditions of Discipleship</td>
<td>The Cost of Being a Disciple</td>
<td>Renouncing All that One Holds Dear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14:28-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Tasteless Salt</td>
<td>Tasteless Salt is Worthless</td>
<td>Worthless Salt</td>
<td>On Loss of Enthusiasm in a Disciple</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.
PRINCIPLES FOR INTERPRETING PARABLES (See full notes at the Introduction to chapter 8)

A. Look to the context that precedes and follows to determine
   1. the recipients of Jesus’ words
   2. the purpose of the parable

B. Determine the major theme (themes are usually linked to the number of main characters).

C. Do not press minor details into theological interpretations (parables are fictitious stories).

D. Avoid allegorizing and spiritualizing unless something in the text demands it.

E. Do not build doctrine solely on parables; they serve best as illustrations.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 14:1-6

1It happened that when He went into the house of one of the leaders of the Pharisees on the Sabbath to eat bread, they were watching Him closely. 2And there in front of Him was a man suffering from dropsy. 3And Jesus answered and spoke to the lawyers and Pharisees, saying, “Is it lawful to heal on the Sabbath, or not?” 4But they kept silent. And He took hold of him and healed him, and sent him away. 5And He said to them, “Which one of you will have a son or an ox fall into a well, and will not immediately pull him out on a Sabbath day?” 6And they could make no reply to this.

14:1

NASB “one of the leaders of the Pharisees”
NKJV “one of the rulers of the Pharisees”
NRSV “of a leader of the Pharisees”
TEV, NJB “of one of the leading Pharisees”

The NASB seems a bit interpretative; he was a local religious leader, who was a Pharisee.

“on the Sabbath” This was a continuing controversy between the written Law of Moses and the rabbinical interpretations of it known as the Oral Law, which was later codified in the Talmud. Jesus had continually confronted the Pharisees on their nit-picking rules that superceded and depreciated people (cf. 4:31ff; 6:6ff; 13:10ff). This paragraph looks like a purposeful confrontation either on the part of Jesus or on the part of the Pharisees.

“to eat” Notice Jesus continues to try to engage the Pharisees in dialog. He eats with them (cf. 7:36; 11:37). He worships with them. He performs miracles before them. In many ways Christianity is an extension of Phariseeism, as is rabbinical Judaism. Pharisees were lifestyle practitioners of their faith. They were serious about God’s word and will. The missing elements were (1) personal faith in Jesus, (2) salvation as a gift of God’s grace (to all), and (3) not trusting in human performance as a means of being right with God.

Luke uses meals and the dialogue which accompanies them as a literary way for Jesus to present truth (cf. 5:29; 7:36; 9:13; 10:39; 11:37; 14:1; 22:14; 24:30, much in the same way John uses dialogues). Eating was an intimate and important social event for family, friends, and community in the Mediterranean world.

“they were watching Him closely” This is a PERIPHRASTIC IMPERFECT MIDDLE. They continued to watch Jesus for the purpose of finding something He said or did by which to condemn Him, both to the Jewish population and to the Romans.

14:2 “dropsy” Notice that Jesus does not heal this man based on his faith, but as a sign to religious leaders (just like the women in 13:10-17) with whom He was still trying to work (cf. v. 3). Dropsy was a retention of fluid that resulted in swelling. It usually was the result of other physical problems. It is only mentioned here in the NT, which is appropriate for a physician (cf. Col. 4:14), although it is used by non-physicians in Greek literature. The rabbis said this disease was caused by serious sin, which may add to the drama of the moment. Some commentators think this man was planted there by the Pharisees to trick Jesus into doing something disallowed by the Oral Traditions on the Sabbath.

14:3-4 Jesus is asking these experts in the Mosaic Law a practical question. These were not cold hearted men, but they were committed to worshiping YHWH through a system of rabbinical discussions (Shammai and Hillel), which interpreted OT texts.
In the long history of these religious debates, the priority of human beings was lost. Jesus tries to restore the central place of mankind, made in the image of God. Jesus is Lord of the Sabbath (cf. Matt. 12:8; Mark 2:28; Luke 6:5) and the Sabbath is made for mankind, not mankind for the Sabbath (cf. Mark 2:27). Legalism and self-righteousness are still alive and well among very sincere and committed religious people.

14:5 “He said to them, ‘Which one of you will have a son or an ox fall into a well, and will not immediately pull him out on a Sabbath day’” There is a manuscript problem here: (1) the term “son” is found in the ancient Greek manuscripts P45,75, A, and B. The term “donkey” is found in MSS 8 and L. The two words are very similar in spelling. The manuscript evidence supports “son” (UBS4 gives it a “B” rating, meaning “almost certain”), while the context supports “donkey.” If one follows the principle of the most unusual being the most ancient attestation, then “son” is to be preferred, but the major thrust of Jesus’ statement is that the Jews had greater compassion for animals than for humans (cf. 13:15).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 14:7-11

7And He began speaking a parable to the invited guests when He noticed how they had been picking out the places of honor at the table, saying to them, 8"When you are invited by someone to a wedding feast, do not take the place of honor, for someone more distinguished than you may have been invited by him, 9and he who invited you both will come and say to you, 'Give your place to this man,' and then in disgrace you proceed to occupy the last place. 10But when you are invited, go and recline at the last place, so that when the one who has invited you comes, he may say to you, 'Friend, move up higher'; then you will have honor in the sight of all who are at the table with you. 11For everyone who exalts himself will be humbled, and he who humbles himself will be exalted."

14:7 Jesus was not the only guest at this meal. In the first century Palestinian setting, weddings and meals were a community event. Some were invited to eat (cf. vv. 12-14), but many others came to stand around and listen, even participate in the dinner conversation.

“they had been picking out the places of honor” One would have to be acquainted with the Orient to understand the confusion in the seating arrangement at all their social events. The right people had to be in the right place (i.e. social and religious elite) before the meal could begin. Verses 7-14 deal with a lesson, not in proper etiquette or procedures, but in humility (cf. v. 11; 18:14; Matt. 23:12; James 4:6; I Pet. 5:5; Job 22:29; Prov. 29:23). The opposite of humility is addressed in Luke 11:43; 20:46; Matt. 23:1-12; Mark 12:38-40.

14:9 “‘and then in disgrace you proceed to occupy the last place’” The only place to recline that was left by this time was at the end of the table. This role reversal (common in Jesus’ teachings) is also emphasized in 13:30.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 14:12-14

12And He also went on to say to the one who had invited Him, "When you give a luncheon or a dinner, do not invite your friends or your brothers or your relatives or rich neighbors, otherwise they may also invite you in return and that will be your repayment. 13But when you give a reception, invite the poor, the crippled, the lame, the blind, 14and you will be blessed, since they do not have the means to repay you; for you will be repaid at the resurrection of the righteous."

14:12 “‘do not invite your friends or your brothers or your relatives or rich neighbors’” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually means “stop an act already in process.” Jesus often gives truth that is diametrically opposed to what is normal, cultural, or expected (cf. Isa. 55:8-9).

14:13 Jesus reflects His own ministry by denoting the people whom the OT prophecies predict will be ministered to by the Messiah (cf. Deut. 14:28-29; 16:11-14; 26:11-13; Isa. 29:18-19; 35:5-6; 42:7,16; Jer. 31:8). Kingdom people care about the needy, ostracized, sick, and poor. Fellowship with God is evidenced by care, concern, and ministry to these kinds of people. This type of ministry characterized Jesus’ life and should characterize the life of all Kingdom people.


This blessing is reserved for the eschatological judgment. It is based on selfless actions now which reflect a new attitude toward God (cf. Matt. 25:31-46). Jesus is using the term “righteous” in the sense of Matt. 6:1, which involved alms giving, prayer, and fasting. Judaism saw these as meritorious acts to be rewarded by God. The motive for religious actions is crucial. God looks at the heart first!
14:15 “‘Blessed is everyone who will eat bread in the kingdom of God’” This was obviously a heartfelt outburst, but Jesus recognizes in it the Jewish self-righteous attitude that expected to be blessed. This entire context involves the Jews’ expectation of God’s love (Israel’s chosenness).

**“eat bread in the kingdom of God”** It is obvious that this was a Pharisee speaking because they expected a physical after-life. The Messianic banquet is a very common metaphor in Scripture to describe personal, joyful fellowship with God in heaven (cf. 13:29; Ps. 23:5; Isa. 25:6; Matt. 8:11-12; 26:29). One must realize the social bond and intimate fellowship involved in eating together in the Mediterranean world.

14:16-24 This is a parallel to Matt. 22:2-14, although the details differ (in Matthew it is a wedding feast).

14:17 It seems to have been the custom in Palestine of Jesus’ day for formal invitations to a banquet to be sent early, and on the day of the dinner (or feast) the servants were sent to tell the guests that all was ready (table set, food hot), to come now.

14:18 “‘But they all alike began to make excuses’” The excuses were: (1) bought a piece of land; (2) bought oxen; and (3) just got married. Although these things are not improper actions, they show an attitude of false priorities, lack of commitment, and a sense of personal rejection or belittling of the host.

14:21 These social meals were very expensive. Preparations were made based on those invited, who were expected to be there. There was also the loss of respect to the host who had graciously invited them.

**The host’s first thought was to invite the needy of the community (cf. v. 21). When this was still not enough, even the travelers and aliens who were passing by were invited (cf. v. 23).**

14:23 Maybe this is an answer to the question of how many will be saved in 13:23. God’s house will be filled (i.e. Gentiles and foreigners will be invited and will come to Jesus for salvation).

14:24 “‘none of those men who were invited shall taste of my dinner’” This obviously refers to national Israel (cf. v. 15; Rom. 9-11). The Gentiles had been included; Israel had failed to fulfill her missionary mandate of Gen. 12:3 (cf. Exod. 19:5-6; Eph. 2:11-13). Now the host (God) closes the door!! Throughout her history Israel had rebelled against YHWH (cf. Acts 7); only a faith remnant was truly right with God. Salvation has always been an act of grace and mercy from God. However, God wanted a righteous, separate people to be witnesses of His character to a fallen world (cf. Ezek. 36:22). It is this desire for personal righteousness that confused Israel (and still confuses legalists). The righteousness was the result, the evidence of a personal faith relationship with God, not the basis of that relationship!

Oh, the tragedy in time and eternity of legalism and self-righteousness!
14:25 “Now large crowds were going along with Him” This is an IMPERFECT TENSE. These large crowds characterize Luke’s Gospel’s structure of Jesus’ ministry since 9:51 as He travels to Jerusalem to die.

14:26 “If” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which is assumed to be true from the author’s perspective or for his literary purposes.

- “anyone” What a wonderful word! Thank God for the Bible’s invitations to “anyone,” “everyone,” and “whosoever”! However, there are also demands and requirements.

- “comes to me” This is the personal requirement for salvation (much like John’s Gospel). Faith in Jesus is key to forgiveness and a personal relationship with God. We were created (cf. Gen. 1:26-27) to know Him and fellowship with Him. Life without Him is futile and empty. As Augustine said, there is a God-shaped hole in every human being, and we will never be happy until we find peace with Him.

- “hate his own father” This is a Hebrew idiom of comparison (cf. Gen. 29:31,33; Deut. 21:15; Mal. 1:2-3 [Rom. 9:13]; John 12:25). It is obvious that this cannot be taken literally because of Jesus’ statement of honoring your father and mother in Matt. 15:4 which reflects the Ten Commandments. This section speaks of death to self (cf. Gal. 2:20) and earthly priorities. In the Near East commitment to family superceded every other commitment, but Jesus must become believers’ first priority (cf. 12:49-53; Matt. 10:34-39).

- “even his own life” Jesus sets the pattern of ministry (cf. 9:23; 17:33; I John 3:16).

14:27 “Whoever does not carry his own cross” This refers not to problems believers face, but to death itself (cf. Luke 9:23-26; Matt. 10:34-39; Gal. 2:20). It was the custom in Palestine of the first century for condemned prisoners who were to be crucified to carry the cross beam to the place of crucifixion.

14:28-32 This speaks of the need to recognize the cost of followship! No quick decisions! The gospel is absolutely free, but it costs everything that we are and have (cf. Matt. 13:44-46). In light of this, western modern Christianity is a weak manifestation of “what’s in it for me” cultural religion! Modern western Christians have turned biblical faith into a weekly event, a place we park our car for a few hours instead of a 24 hour-a-day, seven-day-a-week relationship of service to Christ. We only give the leftovers of our busy lives and plans to Him. We worship and praise Him with peripherals and non-essentials. This is why we have such large, beautiful church buildings and elaborate organizations and programs and NO SPIRITUAL POWER, NO CHANGED LIVES, NO WHATEVER-IT-TAKES ATTITUDES!! God forgive us for cultural, peripheral, easy believism!

14:31 “will not first sit down and consider where he is strong enough” This does not mean estimating our own resources, but our deliberate conscious choice of the cost of followship.

14:33 Before we dismiss this as hyperbole, reread the context and parallels (cf. 9:61; 12:33; 15:22). Biblical faith is a serious priority commitment. Nothing, nothing, nothing must be above Him (family, nation, livelihood, one’s own life). If anything precedes Him, give it away. Whatever is left, use it for Him!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 14:34-35

34"Therefore, salt is good; but if even salt has become tasteless, with what will it be seasoned? 35It is useless either for the soil or for the manure pile; it is thrown out. He who has ears to hear, let him hear."

14:34 “salt is good” Because of the extreme value of salt in the ancient world (1) for healing and cleansing; (2) for preserving food; (3) for flavoring food; and (4) for sustaining moisture in humans in very dry climates, salt was a prized possession. It was often used to pay soldiers’ wages. Christians are called the “salt of the earth” because of their penetrating and preserving power in a lost world. Believers are salt. It is not an option. The only choice is what kind of salt will they be. Salt can become adulterated and useless. Lost people are watching.
14:35 “‘He who has ears to hear, let him hear’” This referred to the fact that unless the Holy Spirit aids believers’ insight they cannot understand spiritual truth (cf. Matt. 13:9,43; Mark 4:9,23; Luke 8:8; Rev. 2:7,11,17,29; 3:6,13,22; 13:9). However, it also implies a willingness of the individual to hear and respond.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why did Jesus continue to confront the religious leaders about their Oral Traditions?
2. Explain the metaphor and purpose of the Messianic Banquet.
3. Does Jesus want us to be poor and without family ties to be true disciples?
4. How are Christians “salt”?
   Why are they “salt”?
   What is their purpose in the lost world?
# LUKE 15

## PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Parable of the Lost Sheep</td>
<td>The Parable of the Lost Sheep</td>
<td>The Lost Sheep</td>
<td>The Lost Sheep</td>
<td>Three Parables of God’s Mercy (15:1-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15:3-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15:4-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Parable of the Lost Coin</td>
<td>The Parable of the Lost Coin</td>
<td>The Lost Coin</td>
<td>The Lost Coin</td>
<td>The Lost Drachma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:8-10</td>
<td>15:8-10</td>
<td>25:8-10</td>
<td>15:8-10</td>
<td>15:8-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15:14-20a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15:20b-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15:31-32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)

**FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL**

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

## CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS

A. Chapter 14 shows that many in the crowd, on hearing the cost of discipleship, ceased to follow Jesus. Chapter 15 shows that the religious and social outcasts continued to come to Him.
B. These three parables have four foci:
   1. The lostness of man
   2. God’s active love for all men (cf. I Tim. 2:4; II Pet. 3:9)
   3. The Savior’s mission of seeking and saving (cf. Mark 10:45)
   4. The self-righteous reaction of the religious leaders (cf. vv. 2, typified in the older brother, 25-32).

C. Notice the main characters in the parables were people who were looked down on by the religious authorities: shepherds, women, and rebellious children.

D. Three parables, which are unique to Luke (Matt. 18:12-14 uses the “lost sheep,” but in a context referring to disciples, not Pharisees), disclose Jesus’ understanding of God’s seeking and saving character and purpose (the restoration of all fallen, sinful humanity to full fellowship with Himself, cf. John 4:23; Luke 19:10).

E. There is one resource I have found especially helpful in the interpretation of the parables in Luke: Kenneth E. Bailey, *Poet and Peasant* and *Through Peasant Eyes*, Eerdmans, 1983. It is not so much the author’s supposed chiasms as his knowledge of Near Eastern society and customs that has brought such insight to this eastern genre.

**PRINCIPLES FOR INTERPRETING PARABLES**
(for a full discussion, see introduction to chapter 8)

A. Look to the context that precedes and follows to determine the purpose of the parable.

B. Determine the major theme (occasionally themes).

C. Do not press minor details into theological interpretations.

D. Avoid allegorizing and spiritualizing unless something in the text demands it.

E. Do not build doctrine on parables.

**WORD AND PHRASE STUDY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 15:1-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1Now all the tax collectors and the sinners were coming near Him to listen to Him. 2Both the Pharisees and the scribes began to grumble, saying, &quot;This man receives sinners and eats with them.&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**15:1 “all”** Luke often uses hyperbole to accentuate the impact that Jesus had on the outcasts of Palestine. Surely not every outcast and sinner approached Jesus, but many did (cf. 1:65,66; 2:1,38,47; 3:15,20; 4:5,15; 6:26; 7:16,17,29; etc.).

**“tax collectors”** These Jews worked for the Romans (or Herod) and their salary usually came from over-taxing their countrymen. They were hated and ostracized by the people. Jesus had even called one of them to be an Apostle, Levi (cf. Matt. 9:9-10).

**“sinners”** This refers to either (1) openly immoral persons; (2) persons who were outcasts because of their occupation; or (3) common villagers who did not completely follow the Oral Traditions. It was very difficult for common people to fully observe all the rabbinical rules.

These two groups characterized all those rejected by the religious elite of Jesus’ day (cf. 5:30). The sects (Pharisees, Sadducees, zealots, Essenes) of Judaism even excluded each other as acceptable to God. Religion had become a status based on performance or exclusive party affiliation.

**“were coming near Him”** This PERIPHRASTIC IMPERFECTION implies this was a normal occurrence. They found acceptance with Jesus, which they never found with the other religious leaders. It is interesting that this same Greek VERB is used for approaching God in Heb. 7:19 and James 4:8. These people were seeking God (cf. II Chr. 15:2); the Pharisees were claiming to
seek Him, but in reality, they were clinging to their traditions (cf. Isa. 29:13) and leading people away from God (cf. Matt. 23:16,24; Rom. 2:19).

“to listen to Him” This is a PRESENT INFINITIVE. These outcasts wanted to hear Jesus’ teachings.

15:2 “the Pharisees and the scribes” Usually the order is reversed (cf. 5:30). They were probably part of an official delegation sent from Jerusalem to spy on Jesus. They were hoping to find something to officially charge Him with in court. In Jesus’ day most scribes (Mosaic lawyers) were Pharisees. See Special Topic: Pharisees at 5:17.

“began to grumble” This is an IMPERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE, which denotes repeated action in past time (cf. 5:30). This is a compound (dia + gogguzo) used only here and in 19:7. Both involve the grumbling of the religious elite. In the Septuagint this compound was used of the Israelites who grumbled at Moses and even YHWH during the Wilderness Wandering period (cf. Exod. 15:24; 16:2,7,8; Num. 14:2).

“This man” This is often used in a derogatory sense as a way to not use the person’s name (cf. Mark 14:71).

“receives sinners” This PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) INDICATIVE means Jesus continuously made the choice to include these people. He may have sponsored this meal and specifically invited them. This same criticism is seen in 5:27-32 (cf. 7:34). Jesus’ reception of the outcast, needy, and sick is one of the OT Messianic signs these religious leaders should have recognized (see note at 14:13). The surprising aspect of these three parables is not only the type of people addressed (shepherds, women, rebellious children), but also the implication that Jesus receives and forgives sinners. This is the unique domain of God (cf. Mark 2:1-12)! This is a powerful evidence of Jesus’ self-understanding (i.e. Incarnated Deity).

“and eats with them” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE INDICATIVE. Often wealthy Jews fed the poor of their community by giving alms to the local synagogue (see Special Topic at 11:41). However, they never ate with them. To eat with someone in this culture showed full acceptance and fellowship. Jesus loved/loves sinners and tries to reach them for God, which changes them from being sinners to guests and friends.

This is the theological setting of all three parables in chapter 15. The parallel in Matt. 18:12-13 also shows the heart of God.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 15:3-7

3So He told them this parable, saying, 4"What man among you, if he has a hundred sheep and has lost one of them, does not leave the ninety-nine in the open pasture and go after the one which is lost until he finds it? 5When he has found it, he lays it on his shoulders, rejoicing. 6And when he comes home, he calls together his friends and his neighbors, saying to them, 'Rejoice with me, for I have found my sheep which was lost!' 7I tell you that in the same way, there will be more joy in heaven over one sinner who repents than over ninety-nine righteous persons who need no repentance."

15:4 “What man among you” Jesus is referring to herdsmen. These were some of the vocations ostracized by the Pharisees because their jobs prevented them from observing all the rules and regulations of the Oral Traditions. Those rejected by the religious leaders were welcomed by Jesus. As a matter of fact, it was to shepherds that the first announcement of the birth of the Messiah was made (cf. Luke 2).

“leave the ninety-nine” This is a very specific number. The shepherd would count the sheep as he put them up for the night. Every sheep was important to the shepherd. A flock of one hundred sheep was considered a medium sized flock. The ninety nine were not left alone, but with other shepherds or still in the enclosure. The metaphor of God as Shepherd is common in the OT (cf. Ps. 23; 80:1; Isa. 40:10,11). It is also used of false leaders (cf. Ezek. 34:1ff; Isa. 56:9-12). There is even a wounded Messianic shepherd in Zech. 13. Jesus calls Himself “the Good Shepherd” in John 10.

“in the open pasture” This term means uninhabited pasture land.

“the one which is lost” This may be an allusion to Isa. 53:6. Sinful Jews are identified as lost sheep (cf. Jer. 50:6; Matt. 9:36; 10:6).

15:5 “lays it on his shoulders, rejoicing” One of the beautiful works of art depicting Jesus is of a shepherd with a lamb on His shoulders. This shows the loving care of the shepherd.
15:6 “‘Rejoice with Me’” This AORIST PASSIVE (deponent) IMPERATIVE is repeated in v. 9 and is paralleled in v. 23 (literally as “be merry,” AORIST PASSIVE SUBJUNCTIVE). This command reflects the desire of God who wants to accept and rejoice over all who return to Him through a repentant faith response to His Messiah, His Son.

15:7 “‘repents’” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE PARTICIPLE denoting ongoing action. The Greek term metanoeō means “a change of mind.” The matching Hebrew term means a “change of action.” Both are involved in repentance. It is interesting that Matthew and Luke mention “repentance” so much more than Mark and John, who do not mention the word at all. See Special Topic at 3:3.

“‘joy in heaven over one sinner’” This shows God’s heart and the priority of people being saved. In the three parables of this chapter the gospel’s purpose is clearly revealed (the restoration of the image of God in humanity, and humanity’s restored fellowship with God).

“‘who need no repentance’” This is irony, not doctrine, like Luke 5:31-32; Matt. 9:12-13 and Mark 2:17. Those who knew they were in spiritual need readily came to Jesus, but the religious elite felt no such need. Jesus eats, fellowships with, and forgives those who came (and come) to Him in faith and repentance.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 15:8-10

8"Or what woman, if she has ten silver coins and loses one coin, does not light a lamp and sweep the house and search carefully until she finds it? 9When she has found it, she calls together her friends and neighbors, saying, 'Rejoice with me, for I have found the coin which I had lost!' 10In the same way, I tell you, there is joy in the presence of the angels of God over one sinner who repents."

15:8 “if” This is a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which means potential action.

“‘ten silver coins’” This Greek word drachma, is used only here in the NT. It was a day’s wage for a soldier or laborer (similar to a dēnarius). These were this woman’s status symbol and possibly her dowry. Near Eastern custom informs us that this may have been a headdress.

SPECIAL TOPIC: COINS IN USE IN PALESTINE IN JESUS’ DAY

I. Copper coins
   A. cherma - little value (cf. John 2:15)
   B. chalchos - little value (cf. Matt. 10:9; Mark 12:41)
   C. assarion - a Roman copper coin worth about 1/16 of a dēnarius (cf. Matt. 10:29)
   D. kodrantes - a Roman copper coin worth 1/64 of a dēnarius (cf. Matt. 5:26)
   E. lepton - a Jewish copper coin worth about 1/128 of a dēnarius (cf. Mark 12:42; Luke 21:2)
   F. quadrans/farthing - a Roman copper coin of little value

II. Silver coins
   A. arguros ("silver coin") - much more valuable than copper or bronze coins (cf. Matt. 10:9; 26:15)
   B. dēnarius - a Roman silver coin worth a day’s labor (cf. Matt. 18:28; Mark 6:37)
   C. drachmē - a Greek silver coin equivalent in value to a dēnarius (cf. Luke 15:9)
   D. di-drachmon - a double drachmas equaled a Jewish ½ shekel (cf. Matt. 17:24)
   E. statēr - a sliver coin worth about four dēnarii (cf. Matt. 17:27)

III. Gold coins - chrusos ("gold coins") - most valuable coins (cf. Matt. 10:9)

IV. General terms for weights of metals
   A. mnaa - Latin mina, a weight of metal equivalent to 100 dēnarii (cf. Luke 19:13)
   B. talanton - a Greek unit of weight (cf. Matt. 18:24; 25:15,16,20,24,25,28)
   1. pîn - 2/3 shekel
   2. beka - ½ shekel
   3. gerah - 1/20 shekel

   Larger units
   1. maneh - 50 shekels
   2. kikkar - 3,000 shekels

“and search carefully until she finds it” This is not meant to denote a universalism (in the end all will be saved). The details of a parable cannot be forced into theological doctrine. As Rom. 5:18 must be interpreted in the context of Rom. 1-8, so too, small phrases cannot be used to teach truths that are clearly denied in the immediate context (cf. “sinner who repents,” vv. 7, 10). If all exercised repentance and faith, all could be saved, but the mystery of evil is that even in the presence of great light, many will not respond (i.e. the Pharisees).

I believe that Jesus’ death covers all sin, but the gospel demands an initial and continuing faith response.

“light a lamp” The poorer homes of this time had no windows and thus no natural light.

15:9 This repeats the theological emphasis of vv. 6-7.

15:10 “the angels of God”’ This is a rabbinical way of referring to God (as is “joy in heaven” in v. 7). Matthew has many of these phrases that refer to God without mentioning His name (circumlocutions).

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 15:11-24**

11And He said, 'A man had two sons. 12The younger of them said to his father, 'Father, give me the share of the estate that falls to me.' So he divided his wealth between them. 13And not many days later, the younger son gathered everything together and went on a journey into a distant country, and there he squandered his estate with loose living. 14Now when he had spent everything, a severe famine occurred in that country, and he began to be impoverished. 15So he went and hired himself out to one of the citizens of that country, and he sent him into his fields to feed swine. 16And he would have gladly filled his stomach with the pods that the swine were eating, and no one was giving anything to him. 17But when he came to his senses, he said, 'How many of my father’s hired men have more than enough bread, but I am dying here with hunger! 18I will get up and go to my father, and will say to him, 'Father, I have sinned against heaven, and in your sight; 19I am no longer worthy to be called your son; make me as one of your hired men.'” 20So he got up and came to his father. But while he was still a long way off, his father saw him and felt compassion for him, and ran and embraced him and kissed him. 21And the son said to him, ‘Father, I have sinned against heaven and in your sight; I am no longer worthy to be called your son.’ 22But the father said to his slaves, ‘Quickly bring out the best robe and put it on him, and put a ring on his hand and sandals on his feet; 23and bring the fattened calf, kill it, and let us eat and celebrate; 24for this son of mine was dead and has come to life again; he was lost and has been found.’ And they began to celebrate.”

15:11 “two sons” These will typify the Jews who heard Jesus: (1) the common people and (2) the religious leaders. Their response to the lostness of all humans (in this context, Israelites) before God will be very different. One group rejoices in the potential salvation of all humans, but the other is offended by God’s love for all humans.

15:12 “give me the share of the estate that falls to me” This did not belong to him until his father’s death. It would involve one-third of the estate with two thirds going to the oldest son (cf. Deut. 21:17). This shows a rebellious, unloving, independent spirit. This very question would have been unheard of in eastern culture. This implies a desire for the father’s death (cf. Kenneth E. Bailey, *Poet and Peasant*, pp. 142-206).

“So he divided his wealth between them” There are several cultural and legal reasons for an early inheritance to be given, but not at the request of a son! The father’s actions in allowing this inappropriate and culturally unheard of request does not denote God’s character, but is a literary device to accentuate God’s undeserved and overwhelming love and forgiveness later in the parable.
As for the older son, his silence at both the brother’s request and the father’s action would be unforgivable in eastern culture. He should have vigorously protested. He also will be singled out for censure at the conclusion of the parable. As a matter of fact, he represents the attitudes of the Pharisees. (Will they accept sinners like God does, or will he reject his brother?)

15:13 “gathered everything together” To transfer the farm assets into cash meant to (1) disrupt the farm and even jeopardize its future existence and (2) sell them at a very reduced price.

If land was involved, the buyer did not take possession until after the father’s death. The father would have use of it until then.

“and went on a journey” This represents the younger son’s seeking independence from the family. He will do it his way!

NASB  “there he squandered his estate with loose living”
NKJV  “there he wasted his possession with prodigal living”
NRSV  “there he squandered his property in dissolute living”
TEV   “where he wasted his money in reckless living”
NJB   “where he squandered his money on a life of debauchery”
PESHITTA “there he wasted his wealth in extravagant living”

This is from the VERB $\varsigma\zeta\varsigma$ (save) with the ALPHA PRIVATIVE (one who cannot save). All English translations translate as $\varsigma\zeta\alpha\iota\alpha$, an ADVERB which occurs only here in the NT, as immoral, godless, riotous living (cf. v. 3 and the LXX of Prov. 7:11; 28:7). However, the fifth century Syriac (Aramaic) version denotes one who is careless or thoughtless with his resources (German Bible Society’s Greek - English Lexicon of the Septuagint, lists “wastefulness” as a translation option for $\varsigma\zeta\alpha\iota\alpha$, p. 69), but not necessarily immoral (cf. Kittel, vol. 1, p. 507 and Louw and Nida, vol. 1, p. 753).

15:15 “he went and hired himself out to one of the citizens of that country” The key interpretive issue is the word “hired” ($\kappa\lambda\omicron\alpha\omicron\lambda\delta$). It is used predominately by Luke and Paul. It can mean “associate with” (cf. Acts 5:13; 9:26; 10:28), “cleave to” (cf. Matt. 19:5; Luke 10:11), or “join” (cf. Acts 8:29; 17:74). It originally meant “to glue.” Did this young foolish Jew hire himself out for wages or did he cling desperately to a local, non-Jewish farmer for life? The question is one of desperation. How desperate was the young man? How much in need?

Possibly “the citizen” was trying to get rid of the Jewish young man by asking him to feed pigs! Perhaps he was so hungry, so desperate, so in need, that he would do anything just to survive.

15:16

NASB, NKJV “he would have gladly filled his stomach with the pods”
NRSV “he would have gladly filled himself with the pods”
TEV “he wished he could fill himself with the bean pods”
NJB “he would willingly have filled himself with the husks”

The first two translations follow the ancient Greek manuscripts P75, $\nu$, B, D, L, and Augustine’s Greek text, which has the VERB $\gamma\epsilon\mu\iota\varsigma\varsigma\alpha$ and the word “stomach.” However, the last three follow the ancient Greek manuscript A and the old Latin Vulgate and Syriac versions, which have the VERB $\chi\omicron\rho\omicron\tau\alpha\zeta\varsigma\alpha$ and excludes the word “stomach.” Usually when $\nu$ and B agree over A modern textual critics follow the former manuscripts. However, the UBS4 gives the second option an “almost certain” rating. It is somewhat surprising that the NASB (1995) follows KJV.

As usual, this variant does not affect the meaning of the passage.

“the pods” There were apparently two types of this carob bean (cf. Bailey, Poet and Peasant, pp. 171-172). One is found in Syria, which is sweet and eaten by the general population. The other is a wild carob which is a short plant with black, sour berries. It does not provide enough sustenance for life. It is these wild berries that the young man wanted to eat, but he knew they would not help his hunger.

“and no one was giving anything to him” In context this may mean that other servants would not let him eat the pigs’ food. Here is the problem of a cruel world. This is a situation that this young man did not plan for or save for. He was in life-threatening need (cf. v. 17).

15:17

NASB, TEV, NJB “he came to his senses”
NKJV, NRSV, PESHITTA “he came to himself’

This is a Hebraic idiom of (1) acceptance of responsibility and repentance or (2) a person’s internal thought process, an epiphany (cf. 18:4, the exact Greek phrase). Verses 18-19 imply meaning #1.

“hired men” There were several levels of servants in rural village life of the Near East (cf. Bailey, Poet and Peasant, p. 176):
1. doulos, a domestic servant who lived with the master
2. paides, slaves who performed menial tasks but lived on the farm
3. misthos, temporary, hired workers who did not live on the farm.

In context #2 fits best as the desire of the son.

15:18 “against heaven”’ This is another circumlocution which refers to God. See note at 15:10.

15:20 “But while he was still a long way off, his father saw him... and embraced him and kissed him” The father’s expectancy and unusual actions reflect the intensity of his love.

The last two actions, “embraced him” and “kissed him,” may reflect the Septuagint of Gen. 33:4; 45:14-15, which denotes reunion. The last action, “kissed him,” could be a sign of forgiveness from II Sam. 14:33. This compound term, kata + phileô, implies fervent affection (cf. 7:38; Acts 20:37).

When interpreting parables one must look for the central truth (usually in what would be culturally shocking or unexpected) and not push (allegorize) all the details. The father’s actions in allowing the young man’s request to jeopardize the whole family was morally and culturally inappropriate. They must not be attributed as characteristics of God. God will not give us what would destroy us! He does, however, give us complete freedom to destroy ourselves! However, the father’s unconditional forgiveness and gracious restoration of such an undeserving person is surely a characteristic of God. Remember the parable’s larger context is the unforgiving and non-accepting attitude of the Pharisees (i.e. the older brother, vv. 25-32, especially v. 28).

15:21 There is a Greek manuscript variant in the verse. Some ancient texts at the end of the sentence have “your son,” but others add the remaining phrase from v. 19 (“make me as one of your hired men”). Scribes tended to fill out phrases, therefore, UBS⁴ ranks the shorter text as “certain.”

15:22 The intensity of the moment is carried by the three AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVES. The slaves are commanded to do these things immediately!

“best robe”’ This was a sign of position in the family.

“a ring” This was a sign of his restored family position and authority.

“sandals” This was a sign of a son of the owner, not a hired servant.

15:23 “the fattened calf”’ The Jews only ate red meat at very special occasions. This was the most valuable meat available.

Kenneth E. Bailey, Poet and Peasant/Through Peasant Eyes, makes the comment that by implication the killing of the fattened calf involved the whole community. There would be too much meat just for the estate. If so, this implies that the father solves the problem of the young son’s acceptance back into the community by this feast (cf. pp. 181-187).

Also notice that this lavish banquet for the rebellious son is the unexpected element of the parable. Table fellowship was a Jewish metaphor for heaven (eschatological banquet). The shock is that the younger son (symbolizing the tax collectors and sinners) is the object of the feast, while the older son (symbolizing the religious leaders) refuses to attend and makes the point that there is no feast for him. This role reversal is typical of Jesus’ teachings.

15:24 This parallels vv. 6-7 and 9-10. Heaven rejoices at the restoration of sinners!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 15:25-32

25“Now his older son was in the field, and when he came and approached the house, he heard music and dancing.
26And he summoned one of the servants and began inquiring what these things could be. 27And he said to him, ’Your brother has come, and your father has killed the fattened calf because he has received him back safe and sound.’ 28But he became angry and was not willing to go in; and his father came out and began imploring him. 29But he answered and said to his father, ’Look! For so many years I have been serving you and I have never neglected a command of yours; and yet you have never given me a young goat, so that I might celebrate with my friends; 30but when this son of yours came, who has devoured your wealth with prostitutes, you killed the fattened calf for him.’ 31And he said to him, ’Son, you have
always been with me, and all that is mine is yours. 32 But we had to celebrate and rejoice, for this brother of yours was dead and has begun to live, and was lost and has been found.'"

15:25 “older son” If the prodigal represents lost and fallen humanity, then the older son represents the self-righteous attitude of religious leaders.

This parable has two main truths: (1) God’s joy over one who repents and (2) God’s pain when part of His spiritual family will not forgive and accept other parts of the sinful family. In many ways nothing has changed. Sin and unforgiveness still live in the church building! There are two types of estrangement: (1) open rebellion and (2) hidden superiority and jealousy. Each of these sons, for opposite reasons, was out of fellowship with the father.

15:28 God loves Pharisees also!

15:29 “I have been serving you” This shows the son’s pent-up anger and resentment, possibly even jealousy and envy. The older son feels he deserves the father’s love because of his obedience and continuance (i.e. self-righteous legalism, cf. parable at Matt. 20:1-16).

“never given me a young goat” This was a less expensive animal than the fattened calf. He feels neglected.

15:30 “this son of yours” This phrase shows the depth of the older son’s anger and continued rejection of his brother.

“with prostitutes” This was only speculation on the elder son’s part.

15:31 “all that is mine is yours” The remaining inheritance belonged completely to this son. The life and livelihood of the younger son was, in reality, in the hands of the older brother. The younger son was completely at the older brother’s mercy once the father died.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. What is the major thrust of these three parables?
2. Which son represents you?
3. Why is there no conclusion to the last parable?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS4</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Parable of the Dishonest Steward</td>
<td>The Parable of the Unjust Steward</td>
<td>The Dishonest Manager</td>
<td>The Shrewd Manager</td>
<td>The Crafty Steward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16:5-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16:8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Right Use of Money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16:9-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16:13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Law and the Kingdom of God</td>
<td>The Law, the Prophets, and the Kingdom of God</td>
<td>Teaching About the Law</td>
<td>Some Sayings of Jesus</td>
<td>Against the Pharisees and Their Love of Money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16:14-15</td>
<td></td>
<td>16:16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Kingdom Stormed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16:16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Law Remains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16:17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marriage Indissoluble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1623-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16:27-31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)**

**FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL**

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.
CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS

A. Chapter 16 is related contextually to chapter 15:
1. Both were addressed to Pharisees, 15:2-3; 16:14;
2. The additional audience was the disciples, 14:33; 16:1;
3. The parables of these two chapters were designed to rebuke the attitudes of the religious leaders and to reveal to the disciples God’s redemptive and seeking heart;
4. The unifying issue of chapter 15 was God’s love for lost sinners, while chapter 16 focuses on the Pharisees’ love for money, 16:14-15. (Chapter 16 is unified by a rabbinical play on “mammon” or money.)

B. The parable (16:1-13) has caused much controversy in interpretation, for it seems to praise fraud. However, it must be understood that this is a certain type of parable, a contrasting story, which illustrates a positive truth by a negative example (cf. 18:1-8).
1. The keys to a proper interpretation of the parable
   a. who is speaking in v. 8a, Jesus or the landowner of the parable?
   b. verses 8b-13
      (1) Jesus’ comments on the problem of the love of money
      (2) the early churches’ comments (the author of the gospel)
      (3) a separate literary unit?
2. Do not read too much into the details of the parable. Look for the central truth(s).
3. There are similarities between the Prodigal Son and the Unjust Steward:
   a. a merciful father/landowner
   b. in one, a son is unfaithful; in the other, a well paid steward is unfaithful;
   c. in both, neither offers excuses for his sins but throws himself on the mercy of the father/debtors

C. This chapter does not have an obvious unifying theme. It is often hard to see the literary units. Is v. 13 an independent saying? How are vv. 16-17 and 18 related to the larger context? Luke seems to have combined several unrelated sayings of Jesus, but why and how remains uncertain. The overarching theme is the inappropriate priority of self, wealth, and this world order.

D. The account of Lazarus in vv. 19-31 is the fifth parable in a series (chapters 15-16). It seems to have been designed illustrate the truths of vv. 8b-13 and 14. The improper love of money is the issue in chapter 16.

   The Pharisees whom Jesus was addressing were like Lazarus’ brothers (v. 29). They had the Law and the Prophets, but they chose not to respond in the appropriate way! They believed in a future physical life with God, but they missed the fact that faith in Jesus is the key to this future bliss. There is a surprise reversal awaiting the religious leaders of Jesus’ day.

E. Kenneth E. Bailey, Poet and Peasant and Through Peasant Eyes, is a thought-provoking and helpful approach to interpreting the parables in Luke.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 16:1-9

1Now He was also saying to the disciples, "There was a rich man who had a manager, and this manager was reported to him as squandering his possessions. 2And he called him and said to him, 'What is this I hear about you? Give an accounting of your management, for you can no longer be manager.' 3The manager said to himself, 'What shall I do, since my master is taking the management away from me? I am not strong enough to dig; I am ashamed to beg. 4I know what I shall do, so that when I am removed from the management people will welcome me into their homes.' 5And he summoned each one of his master's debtors, and he began saying to the first, 'How much do you owe my master?' 6And he said, 'A hundred measures of oil.' And he said to him, 'Take your bill, and sit down quickly and write fifty.' 7Then he said to another, 'And how much do you owe?' And he said, 'A hundred measures of wheat.' He said to him, 'Take your bill, and write eighty.' 8And his master praised the unrighteous manager because he had acted shrewdly; for the sons of this age are more shrewd in relation to their own kind than the sons of light. 9And I say to you, make friends for yourselves by means of the wealth of unrighteousness, so that when it fails, they will receive you into the eternal dwellings."
16:1 “disciples” The term ἔμπνευς meant “learners.” The NT does not focus on decisions, but on disciples (cf. Matt. 28:19). Christianity is an initial decision of faith and repentance (cf. Mark 1:15; Acts 3:16,19; 20:21) followed by a lifestyle of faith and repentance.

Jesus is warning the disciples about the attitudes and actions (i.e. “Leaven of the Pharisees,” cf. 12:1) of the religious leaders.

NASB, NRSV, NJB “There was a”
NKJV “There was a certain”
TEV “There was once a”

The Greek term τις or τί often introduces parables in Luke (cf. 7:41; 10:30; 14:16; 15:11; 16:1,19; 19:12; 20:9[MS A]). Notice that in this series of five parables in chapters 15-16, τις introduces three of them.

NASB, NRSV, NJB “manager”
NKJV “steward”
TEV “a servant who managed”

The Greek term οἰκόνομος could refer to (1) a person hired to manage an estate (cf. Luke 12:42; 16:1,3,8); (2) an administrator or steward (cf. I Cor. 4:1-2; Titus 1:7; I Pet. 4:10); or (3) a city treasurer (cf. Rom. 16:23). This may have been an educated slave or a hired freed person.

NASB “reported”
NKJV “an accusation”
NRSV “charges”
TEV “was told”
NJB “was denounced”

This term is from the same root as “devil” [diabolos, dia plus bollos], which literally meant “to throw across” or metaphorically “to accuse.”

“squandering” This same word (diaskorpizō) was used of the Prodigal Son (cf. 15:13).

“possessions” This same word is used in 14:33.

16:2 “Give an account of your stewardship” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. From the context the steward was possibly guilty of loaning money or property (usury, cf. Exod. 22:25; Lev. 25:36; Deut. 23:19). The Talmud assigned an amount to be legally charged by a loaner in Baba Bathra 10:4. This steward exceeded this amount, possibly even by the amount to which he later reduced the bill.

“you are no longer a steward” Notice that the man was not jailed or whipped, but dismissed! This would have been surprising to the original hearers. It would have said something significant about the merciful character of the landlord.

16:3-4 The man reviewed his employment options to himself.

16:4
NASB, TEV “I know what I shall do”
NKJV “I have resolved what to do”
NRSV “I have decided what to do”
NJB “Ah, I know what I will do”

This phrase was an idiom for sudden insight! He, like the prodigal son (cf. 15:17), came to himself and chose to act decisively.

“they” This refers to the master’s debtors (cf. v. 4), for whom he has reduced their contractual obligations to the landlord.

16:6
NASB, NKJV, NJB “a hundred measures of oil”
NRSV “a hundred jugs of olive oil”
TEV “a thousand barrels of olive oil”
This was literally “100 bath,” which was a Hebrew liquid measure. The amount is uncertain but one bath equaled approximately 8 to 9 gallons. Apparently there were differing standards of the measure in Palestine in Jesus’ day. Besides, Jesus often used exaggerated numbers (hyperbole) in His parables for emphasis or shock value.

16:7
NASB, NKJV, NJB “a hundred measures of wheat”
NRSV “a hundred containers of wheat”
TEV “a thousand bushels of wheat”
This was literally “100 kor,” which was a Hebrew dry measure. The amount is uncertain but one kor equaled approximately ten to twelve bushels.

16:8
NASB, NRSV “his master”
NKJV, TEV, NJB “the master”
The Greek text does not have “his,” but “the.” The antecedent of this title has caused great discussion among commentators. It is either (1) Jesus referred to as “Lord” or (2) the landowner of the parable referred to as “lord.” In context it is the landowner (cf. vv. 3,5). It depends on where the parable stops.

“He had acted shrewdly” This phrase is the interpretive crux of the parable. The man’s decisive action in the face of impending crisis is extolled, not the manner of his actions.

The same landowner who dismissed the steward in v. 2 praised him in v. 8. This is the twist (main point) of the parable. Presumably the village tenant farmers were praising the landowner for his generosity and he, in turn, commented about the actions of the steward.

The steward was praised because he recognized the coming disaster and his guiltiness. He acted swiftly, gambling on the mercy of (1) the debtors (cf. vv. 4-5) or (2) the landlord. This reflects sinners who recognize their guilt and coming judgment and quickly respond to Jesus’ offer of forgiveness and mercy (cf. v. 16).

“The sons of this age...the sons of light” This was a Hebrew idiom. Hebrew, being an ancient language, had few adjectives and, therefore, used “son of...” as an adjectival idiom.

The Jews saw two ages (cf. Matt. 12:32; Mark 10:30; Luke 20:34-35), the current evil age (cf. Gal. 1:4; II Cor. 4:4; Eph. 2:2) and the age to come (cf. Matt. 28:20; Heb. 1:3; I John 2:15-17). See Special Topic at 9:2. Believers live in the tension-filled time in which these ages have been overlapped (the two comings of Christ). Believers live in the “already and not yet” tension of the Kingdom of God and often they do not handle it well.

“more shrewd in relation to their own kind”
NRSV “more shrewd in dealing with their own generation”
TEV “much more shrewd in handling their affairs”
NJB “more astute in dealing with their own kind”
PESHITTA “wiser in their generation”

This verse is contrasting how unbelievers act in a crisis situation and how believers should act (cf. 16:1). However, the interpretive issue is how does this relate to v. 9? What exactly is Jesus saying? See comments at v. 9.

16:8 Jesus wants His followers to live wisely (cf. Matt. 10:16), but often they are foolish!

16:9 This verse is ironic sarcasm.
1. Make friends by means of the wealth of unrighteousness.
2. When it fails (Vulgate and NKJV have “when you fail”).
3. They (cf. v. 4) will receive you into the eternal dwellings.
   a. temporal setting - people of this world, “their homes” (cf. v. 4)
   b. eschatological setting - people of God, “eternal dwelling”
The point is, “Act now!”
“He who is faithful in a very little thing is faithful also in much; and he who is unrighteous in a very little thing is unrighteous also in much. Therefore if you have not been faithful in the use of unrighteous wealth, who will entrust the true riches to you? And if you have not been faithful in the use of that which is another’s, who will give you that which is your own? No servant can serve two masters; for either he will hate the one and love the other, or else he will be devoted to one and despise the other. You cannot serve God and wealth.”

16:10 “a very little thing” This referred to earthly wealth or stewardship. Humans reveal their character in their daily choices and actions.

“in much” (twice) This refers to heavenly wealth (cf. Matt. 6:19-34).

16:11 “if” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL which was assumed to be true from the author’s perspective or for his literary purposes. Believers must use the things of this world to (1) help people come to know Christ and (2) to help believers.

“entrust” There is a word play between “faithful” (pistos, vv. 10,11,12) and “entrust” (pisteuó, FUTURE ACTIVE INDICATIVE). Believers are stewards. The question is what kind of stewards (cf. Matt. 5:13-15).

The rhetorical question of v. 11 expects a negative answer (as does v. 12). People who do not know God cannot be faithful even in small things. An unstated contrast is the point of the parable. Smart people can get other people whom they have bribed to help them in this life (cf. v. 4), but they have no resources for the next life (“eternal dwellings”).

16:12 “if” This also is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE. This rhetorical question is negated. Unbelievers are unfaithful in all things.

“that which is another’s” Many interpreters see this as a reference to God’s ownership of all things. Believers are stewards of everything and owners of nothing. This is true of the gospel and worldly resources.

“that which is your own” There is a Greek manuscript variant involving the PRONOUN. UBS⁴ text says “you” (humeteron) is “certain” (cf. MSS P⁵, N, A, D, W, and the Vulgate, Syriac, Coptic, and Armenean versions).

But, other modern, eclectic Greek texts such as Nestles’ 21st Edition have “our” (hámeteron, cf. MSS B and L). The effect on meaning is negligible, but it gives the opportunity to discuss how the NT was copied and why variants like this occurred. Often one person read a Greek text while several others wrote down what he read. Therefore, words that sound alike were often confused. The pronunciation of these two PRONOUNS was very similar, thus the variant!

16:13 “no servant can serve two masters” One cannot have two priorities (i.e. self and God). One must choose between this world’s goods or spiritual treasures (cf. Matt. 6:19-34; 10:34-39; 1 John 2:15-17). “You cannot serve God and wealth.”

“hate . . . love” This was a Hebrew idiom of comparison (cf. Gen. 29:31; Deut. 21:15; Mal. 1:2-3; Luke 14:26; 16:13; John 12:25; Rom. 9:13). God and His kingdom must be priority.
NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 16:14-15

14Now the Pharisees, who were lovers of money, were listening to all these things and were scoffing at Him. 15And He said to them, ‘You are those who justify yourselves in the sight of men, but God knows your hearts; for that which is highly esteemed among men is detestable in the sight of God.’”

16:14-18 This may be a separate unit of thought inserted by Luke from Jesus’ teachings at another time. It is related to the parable in vv. 1-13 and 19-31. The central issue is worldly wealth and the priority of self.

16:14 “Now the Pharisees, who were lovers of money” This is a unifying theme of chapter 16. It shows that although the disciples were addressed in 16:1, the Pharisees were equally a target for this truth (cf. 15:2) and the next parable (vv. 19-31).

| NASB | “and were scoffing at Him” |
| NKJV | “and they derided Him” |
| NRSV | “and they ridiculed him” |
| TEV | “they made fun of Jesus” |
| NJB | “and jeered at him” |

This is an IMPERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE, implying (1) a repeated action or (2) the beginning of an action in past time. It is a compound idiom “to turn up the noses” (cf. 23:35). This same term is used in the Septuagint in Ps. 2:4; 21:8; 34:16. This set the stage for the parable of vv. 19-31. The Pharisees heard and understood His teachings about money, but rejected them in light of their traditional understanding of money as a sign of divine blessing (cf. Deut. 28).

16:15 “You are those who justify yourselves in the sight of men” This could refer to either public, weekly alms-giving or ostentatious giving in the temple (cf. Mark 12:41-44). Luke often records Jesus’ teachings about this kind of self righteousness (cf. 10:29; 16:15; 18:9,14). This was the problem of the Pharisees!

| “God knows your hearts” We must remember that God knows the motives of the human heart, which determine the appropriateness or inappropriateness of every action (cf. I Sam. 2:7; 16:7; I Kgs. 8:39; I Chr. 28:9; II Chr. 6:30;Ps. 7:9; 44:21; 139:1-4; Prov. 15:11; 21:2; Jer. 11:20; 17:9-10; 20:12; Luke 16:15; Acts 1:24; 15:8; Rom. 8:27). |

| “for that which is highly esteemed among men is detestable in the sight of God” Here is the surprising role reversal theme again. The Pharisees were thought of as the best of the best, but God judges by a different standard (cf. Matt. 5:20,48). God Himself is the standard and all fall short (cf. Rom. 3:23). Salvation must be a grace gift because fallen humanity cannot obtain it by merit (cf. Gal. 3). God provided a way through His Messiah; all are welcomed through Him, but they would not come! |

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 16:16-17

16“The Law and the Prophets were proclaimed until John; since that time the gospel of the kingdom of God has been preached, and everyone is forcing his way into it. 17But it is easier for heaven and earth to pass away than for one stroke of a letter of the Law to fail.”

16:16-18 As a commentator I feel like throwing up my hands on these verses. They seem so unrelated and out of place. I am sure they are sayings of Jesus, but why Luke chose to put them into this context remains a mystery to me. Here is a good place to remind interpreters that clear texts must interpret difficult texts. It would be inappropriate to use these verses, or for that matter chapter 16, as the only biblical support for any doctrine or application. The overall meaning of chapters 15-16 is clear, but we must not push the details into doctrine.
16:16  “The Law and the Prophets”  These were two of the three sections of the Hebrew Canon. Therefore, this phrase refers to the entire OT being in effect (cf. v. 29; 24:44; Matt. 5:17; 7:12; 22:40; Acts 13:15; 28:23).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIAL TOPIC: THE DIVISIONS OF THE HEBREW BIBLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. The Law (Torah)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Genesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Exodus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Leviticus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Deuteronomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. The Prophets (Nevi’im)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Former Prophets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Joshua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Judges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I &amp; II Samuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I &amp; II Kings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Latter Prophets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Isaiah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Jeremiah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Ezekiel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The Twelve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Hosea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Joel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Amos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Obadiah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Jonah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Micah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Nahum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Habakkuk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Zephaniah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. Haggai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. Zechariah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l. Malachi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. The Writings (Kethubim)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Festival Books (megilloth)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Ruth (read at Pentecost)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Song of Songs (read at Passover)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Ecclesiastes (read at Feast of Booths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Lamentations (read on the day to commemorate the fall of Jerusalem)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Esther (read at Purim)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Wisdom Books</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Psalms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Proverbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Historical Books</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Ezra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Nehemiah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Chronicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Daniel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. The Writings section was still being debated by Jewish leaders (i.e. Jamnia) in the first century as to which books should be included.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“until John”  John the Baptist was the last OT messenger and the first preacher of the New Age (cf. Matt. 11:13).
“the gospel of the kingdom of God has been preached” The NASB, NRSV, and TEV include the term “gospel” or “Good News” in their translations, but this is not in the Greek text. It comes by implication from the VERB “to preach” (euangelizō), which means “to proclaim good news” (cf. 4:18; 9:6).

For “the kingdom of God” see Special Topic at 4:21.

NASB, NJB “everyone is forcing his way into it”
NKJV “everyone is pressing into it”
NRSV “everyone tries to enter it by force”
TEV “everyone forces their way in”

This refers to the enthusiasm of the religious outcasts in accepting the teachings of Jesus versus the stand-offishness and rejection of the religious leaders. This saying of Jesus is used in a very different sense in Matt. 11:12.

16:17 Jesus, though asserting a new day had come with the proclamation of the gospel of Jesus Christ, nevertheless affirmed the stability and eternality of the OT (cf. Matt. 5:17-20). Jesus rejected the oral tradition of the Jews and its interpretations (cf. Matt. 5:21-48) and even changed some OT requirements (cf. Mark 7:19, food laws; Matt. 19:7-8, divorce and remarriage), thereby showing His superiority, even over Scripture!

NASB, NRSV “one stroke of a letter”
NKJV “one tittle”
TEV “the smallest detail”
NJB “one little stroke”

The word kephaiā literally means “a horn,” which in this context, refers to the small points or lines that distinguished one Hebrew letter from another (cf. Matt. 5:18). Therefore, the TEV expresses the meaning well. However, remember how Jesus commonly used hyperbole. This probably means the OT is God’s revelation and it remains so. It is a permanent reflection of God’s character and purpose. It surely does not mean that detailed observance of all OT ceremonial and cultic requirements is God’s will for all humans. Verse 16 has asserted that a new day of openness and availability has arrived in Christ. Acts 15 clearly shows that Gentiles (Luke’s audience) do not have to become practicing Jews to become Christians. See Paul’s discussion of the purpose of the OT in Gal. 3.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 16:18

“Everyone who divorces his wife and marries another commits adultery, and he who marries one who is divorced from a husband commits adultery.”

16:18 “everyone who divorces his wife and marries another commits adultery” This must be understood in the light of the context, as one example of the Jewish leaders trying to circumvent the obvious purpose of the Mosaic Law (cf. vv. 16-17 and the passage in Deut. 24:1-4), with the interpretations of their Talmudic, rabbinical traditions (Hillel, very liberal and Shammai, very conservative).

“commits adultery” Does remarriage mean that one commits adultery? Was Jesus discussing Moses’ statements found in Deut. 24:1-4? Moses wrote this to protect the rejected women of his day, who were so vulnerable to abuse. The only appropriate reason given for the dissolution of a marriage was sexually inappropriate behavior (Shammai, cf. Matt. 5:32). If a woman was put away the community assumed she was dismissed for sexual infidelity (she was stigmatized as an adulteress). This interpretation is confirmed by the PASSIVE VOICE VERBALS (“causes her to commit adultery) of Matt. 5:32 and 19:9.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 16:19-31

19“Now there was a rich man, and he habitually dressed in purple and fine linen, joyously living in splendor every day. 20And a poor man named Lazarus was laid at his gate, covered with sores, 21and longing to be fed with the crumbs which were falling from the rich man’s table; besides, even the dogs were coming and licking his sores. 22Now the poor man died and was carried away by the angels to Abraham’s bosom; and the rich man also died and was buried. 23In Hades he lifted up his eyes, being in torment, and saw Abraham far away and Lazarus in his bosom. 24And he cried out and said, ‘Father Abraham, have mercy on me, and send Lazarus so that he may dip the tip of his finger in water and cool off my tongue, for I am in agony in this flame.’ 25But Abraham said, ‘Child, remember that during your life you received your good things, and likewise Lazarus bad things; but now he is being comforted here, and you are in agony. 26And besides all this, between us and you there is a great chasm fixed, so that those who wish to come over from here to you will not be able, and that none may cross over from there to us.’ 27And he said, ‘Then I beg you, father, that you send him to my father’s
16:19-31 “there was a rich man” This is the fifth in a series of parables in chapters 15 and 16. It is a highly unusual parable because
1. it has no introduction
2. it has no explicit application
3. a person is specifically named.
However, the context demands that it be interpreted in light of vv. 8b-13. Calling it a parable does not imply that it is not true to reality, but one cannot force the details to give believers theological answers in the area of the intermediate, disembodied state of the dead or a description of hell (because the text has “hades”).


“rich man” The Latin tradition called him *Dives* which is the Latin term for “rich.” There are several other names given to this rich man found in different geographical areas and periods (cf. *A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament* by Bruce Metzger, pp. 165-166).

“he habitually dressed in purple and fine linen;” Purple was a very expensive color derived from shellfish. This was an extravagantly rich man with beautiful outer garments and soft undergarments. He dressed in this type of clothing often (IMPERFECT MIDDLE INDICATIVE).

16:20 “Lazarus” This was the Hebrew name “Eleazar,” which meant “God is my help.” This is a word play. Nobody helps this poor man but God!

“was laid” This is a PLUPERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE, which denotes regular begging. Poor and sick people always begged in rich neighborhoods or public places (cf. Acts 3:2).

“covered with sores” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICIPLE of *helkos* (cf. LXX Exod. 9:9,10,11; Lev. 13:18). Luke would have noticed this in Jesus’ parable.

16:21 “longing to be fed” This is the same word used of the Prodigal Son with the pigs in 15:16. There is similarity between these two parables (cf. Contextual Insights, B. 3.).

“with the crumbs which were falling from the rich man’s table;” All people in this culture ate with their hands. The very wealthy used white bread to wipe their hands and then threw it on the floor (cf. Matt. 15:27).

“even the dogs were coming and licking his sores” This showed that Lazarus was too weak to fend off these scavenging animals. Dogs were not house pets in this time and culture, but street mongrels.

16:22 Notice the contrasts in this verse:
1. one apparently unburied (by implication), one properly buried
2. one carried by the angels, one’s transportation unmentioned
3. one with Abraham in paradise, one in torment apart from Abraham
Notice the commonalities.
1. both die
2. both are conscious

It is not stated why the poor one is accepted and the wealthy rejected, but in the larger context it is related to how they used their wealth (or lack of it). Their spiritual lives were not revealed by the physical circumstances (cf. Deut. 28 vs. Job and Ps. 73). The rich man’s lack of concern for the poor illustrated his selfish, earthly priorities.

One can learn the priorities of modern, western people by their checkbooks and calendars!

NASB, NKJV “Abraham’s bosom”
NRSV “to be with Abraham”
TEV “to sit beside Abraham at the feast in heaven”
“into Abraham’s embrace”

This is a parable, not a teaching passage on heaven or how one gets there! This parable has nothing to say about heaven or hell. It uses the concept of sheol or hades (the holding place of the dead which the rabbis said was divided into a righteous section called “paradise” and a wicked section called tartarus).

Abraham’s bosom was an idiom for eating next to Abraham at a feast. This would be a reference to a welcoming meal for Jews into the righteous side of hades (paradise, cf. 23:43).

16:23 “In Hades” Hades was equivalent to the OT Sheol which referred to the realm of the dead. It was distinct from Gehenna, which was the term Jesus used to describe “eternal punishment.” Gehenna was from two Hebrew words, “ge - valley” and “henna” - a contraction of “sons of Hinnom” (cf. II Kgs. 23:10; II Chr. 28:3; 33:6; Jer. 7:31). This was the valley south of Jerusalem where the Phoenician fire god (Molech) was worshiped by child sacrifice. The Jews turned it into a garbage dump. It was distinct from Hades. This term is only used one time outside the words of Jesus (cf. James. 3:6).

SPECIAL TOPIC: WHERE ARE THE DEAD?

There are four terms in the Bible which relate to the afterlife:

1. In the OT the dead are gathered to a conscious but silent and inactive holding place called Sheol. The Greek counter-point to this was Hades, the place of the unseen.

   In both the OT and NT all humans at death went to this holding place. The rabbis asserted that it was divided into a section for the wicked (Tartarus) and a section for the righteous (Paradise). There may be evidence for this in Luke 23:43 (but to be fair, Paul uses the same term for heaven in II Cor. 12:4).

2. In II Peter 2:4 (and possibly referred to in Jude 6) the term Tartarus is used for the holding place of rebellious angels. In Greek mythology it was a prison under Hades for the half-human, half-divine Titans. In Jewish inter-biblical apocalyptic literature it was a special holding place for the rebellious angels (possibly related to Gen. 6 according to I Enoch).

3. The third NT term is Gehenna translated into English by the KJV as Hell. The Hebrew term is a contraction of the Hebrew phrase “valley of the sons of Hinnom.” This valley, south of Jerusalem, was where the Phoenician fire god, Molech, was worshiped by child sacrifice. Even King Manasseh participated in this idolatry.

   The Jews of the first century had turned this area into the garbage dump for Jerusalem. Jesus used the metaphors associated with a garbage dump (fire, smoke, smell, worms) to describe eternal punishment. The term Gehenna only occurs once outside of the words of Jesus (i.e. James 3:6).

   This place was prepared for the Devil and his angels but rebellious, unrepentant humans will share their isolation. No one is in Hell (Gehenna) today. It will only be occupied after Judgment Day.

4. The last term is heaven. It is described in beautiful and expensive metaphors from the earth. The only vocabulary that biblical authors had was earthly. The Bible does not discuss or describe the afterlife, either heaven or hell, in specific terms, probably because they are beyond our ability to comprehend. The best thing about heaven is not its splendor but the presence of the Triune God and the possibility of fellowship with Him.

“He lifted up his eyes” The OT described reality in the language of description, using the five senses. This type of phenomenological language was based on God being “up” and the dead being in the ground (where they were buried). This is not anti-scientific, but pre-scientific. I Enoch 22-23 and IV Ezra 7:75-78 are Jewish inter-testamental documentation of the belief in a division of Sheol before Judgment Day makes it permanent.

“being in torment” Many have used this passage to assert that there is suffering for the wicked now (cf. vv.25,28), even before Judgment Day (cf. Matt. 25:31-46; Rev. 20:4-15). There are some OT passages of fire being related to Sheol, but remember that this is a parable. The details are not always meant to convey doctrinal truth. It is best to seek a central truth unless Jesus interprets the parable at a typological level (cf. Parable of the Soils or Wicked Tenants). There is no other NT text which teaches this truth.

16:24 “Father Abraham, have mercy on me” In a sense this was an attempt to use his Jewishness for favors. Rabbinical theology often asserted the merits of being Abraham’s descendants. He was said to guard the realm of punishment lest any Jew be led there.

“send Lazarus” The rich man still thought of Lazarus as a slave to do his bidding.

16:25 Again an unexpected role reversal! The rich man’s wealth was supposed to be a sign of God’s love (cf. Deut. 28).
This verse expresses the pain and surprise that many will feel when they discover who is with God and who is not! It also denotes the permanency of the division at death (“fixed,” PERFECT PASSIVE [implication by God] INDICATIVE). There are no second chances. Jesus is surely addressing this to Pharisees who trusted so confidently in their supposed religious standing with God.

**NASV, NRSV** “a great chasm”  
**NKJV, NJB** “a great gulf”  
**TEV** “a deep pit”  

This term chasma is used in the Septuagint for a deep pit or hole (cf. II Sam. 18:17, where Absalom was buried).

16:27-29 “they have Moses and the prophets; let them listen to them” Notice that these brothers were not damned because of their wealth, but because of their rejection of biblical revelation and its claims on their daily lives (i.e. “Let them hear them,” AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE). Humans are spiritually responsible for the light they have from natural revelation (cf. Ps. 19; Rom. 1-2) and special revelation (cf. Ps. 19:7-13; 119; Matt. 5:17-18; Luke 12:48; II Tim. 3:15-17).

16:28  
**NASB, NRSV, TEV, NJB** “warn”  
**NKJV** “testify”  

This is the compound term dia plus marturomai, which denotes an earnest warning or solemn testimony (cf. LXX Exod. 19:10; Deut. 4:26; Zech. 3:7). This very term is used only here in the Gospels, but often by Luke to describe Christian witness in Acts (cf. 2:40; 8:25; 10:42; 18:5; 20:21,23,24; 23:11; 28:23).

**“this place of torment”** In context this place refers to hades, not gehenna. It is current, not future. This is the only place in the NT that speaks of the torment of the unbelieving dead before Judgment Day. Since the details of parables are often just part of the story, one cannot use parables as the only source for a biblical doctrine.

The term “torture” is a metaphor from metallurgy. Harold K. Moulton, *Analytical Greek Lexicon Revised*, has an interesting note as to the source of this metaphor:

“Noun, lapis Lydius, a species of stone from Lydia, which being applied to metals was thought to indicate any alloy which might be mixed with them, and therefore used in the trial of metals; hence, *examination* of a person, especially by torture; in N.T. *torture, torment, severe pain*, Mat. 4.24; Lu.16.23, 28.

Verb, *to apply the lapis Lydius or touchstone; met. to examine, scrutinise, try*, either by words or torture; in N.T. *to afflict, torment; pass. to be afflicted, tormented, pained, by diseases, Mat. 8.6, 29, et al.; to be tossed, agitated, as by the waves, Mat. 14.24*” (pp. 66-67).

16:29 “if” This is a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which denotes potential action.

16:30 “repent” The Hebrew term for repentance meant a change of action. The Greek term meant a change of mind. Repentance is a willingness to change. It does not mean a total cessation of sin, but a desire for its end. As fallen humanity we live for ourselves, but as believers we live for God! Repentance and faith are God’s requirements of the New Covenant for salvation (cf. Mark 1:15; Acts 3:16,19; 20:21). Jesus said “unless you repent, you will all perish” (cf. Luke 13:3,5). Repentance is God’s will for fallen humanity (cf. Ezek. 18:23,30,32; II Pet. 3:9). See Special Topic: Repentance at 3:3.

The mystery of the sovereignty of God and human free will can be clearly demonstrated by repentance as a requirement for salvation. However, it is also a gift of God (cf. Acts 5:31; 11:18; II Tim. 2:25). There is always a tension in the biblical presentation of God’s initiating grace and mankind’s needed response. The new covenant, like the old covenant, has an “if - then” structure. There are several terms used in the NT which relate to the concept of repentance. The classical text is II Cor. 7:8-11. The terms are: (1) “sorrow,” [lupe] vv. 9,10,11, which was morally neutral; (2) “regret,” [metamelomai] vv. 8,10, which meant “sorrow over past acts.” It was used of Judas (cf. Matt. 27:3) and Esau, (cf. Heb. 12:16-18); and (3) “repentance,” [metanoeo] vv. 9,10,11, which meant a change of mind, a new character, a new direction of life. It is not sorrow that characterized repentance, but a willingness to change to conform to God’s will.

6:31 There are two CONDITIONAL SENTENCES in this verse.

1. The first one is FIRST CLASS, denoting that Moses and the Prophets are speaking.
2. The second is THIRD CLASS, denoting that these brothers should have listened to God’s revelation. This is exactly the point of the parable of the unjust steward. These brothers did not understand the need for decisive action immediately! They are really the focus of the parable.
Lazarus’ being raised from the dead did not convince the hard-hearted religious leaders in Jerusalem. It only forced them to plan Jesus’ death (cf. John 11:46; 12:9-11). A miracle is not automatically the answer to mankind’s spiritual need (cf. Matt. 7:21-23; 24:24; Mark 13:22; II Thess. 2:9-12; Rev. 13:13-14).

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. How do each the paragraph divisions of the chapter relate to the theme of the use of money? (1-8a; 8b-13; 14-18; 19-31)
2. Why is wealth dangerous?
3. What is the central truth of the parable (vv. 1-8a) and (9-31)?
4. Who is speaking and to whom are they speaking in v. 8a and 8b?
5. Are verses 19-31 a parable or a historical account? Why?
6. Can we base our theology of the intermediate state on the details of this passage? (vv. 19-31)
### LUKE 17

#### PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some Sayings of Jesus</td>
<td>Jesus Warns of Offenses</td>
<td>Sin</td>
<td>On Leading Others Astray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:1-4</td>
<td>17:1-4</td>
<td>17:1-4</td>
<td>17:1-3a</td>
<td>17:1-3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brotherly Correction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17:3b-4</td>
<td>17:3b-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faith and Duty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Faith</td>
<td>The Power of Faith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:5-6</td>
<td>17:5-10</td>
<td>17:5-6</td>
<td>17:5</td>
<td>17:5-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17:6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A Servant’s Duty</td>
<td>Humble Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:7-10</td>
<td>17:7-10</td>
<td>17:7-10</td>
<td>17:7-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Cleansing of Ten Lepers</td>
<td>Ten Lepers Cleansed</td>
<td>Ten Lepers Cleansed</td>
<td>Jesus Heals Ten Men</td>
<td>The Ten Victims of Skin-Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17:14a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17:14b-19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Coming of the Kingdom</td>
<td>The Coming of the Kingdom</td>
<td>The Kingdom is Among You</td>
<td>The Coming of the Kingdom</td>
<td>The Coming of the Kingdom of God</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The End of the Age</td>
<td>The Day of the Son of Man</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17:22-37</td>
<td>17:22-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17:22-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17:26-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17:31-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17:31-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17:37a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17:37b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)

**FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL**

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph

4. Etc.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 17:1-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1He said to His disciples, &quot;It is inevitable that stumbling blocks come, but woe to him through whom they come! 2It would be better for him if a millstone were hung around his neck and he were thrown into the sea, than that he would cause one of these little ones to stumble. 3Be on your guard! If your brother sins, rebuke him; and if he repents, forgive him. 4And if he sins against you seven times a day, and returns to you seven times, saying, 'I repent,' forgive him.&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17:1 “He said to His disciples” The context remains the same. Jesus is speaking to the Pharisees (cf. 15:2; 16:14), but at this point he has a word for the disciples who were also in the crowd (cf. 16:1; 17:5).

“‘It is inevitable’” We live in a fallen, rebellious world. Get ready!

“‘that stumbling blocks come, but woe to him through whom they come’” This is paralleled in Matt. 18. Disciples are addressed and warned (cf. Matt. 18:4-6,8-10). Jesus is referring to both the Pharisees and sinning believers. True believers are responsible for their brothers and sisters in Christ (cf. Rom. 14:1-15:13; I Cor. 8-10; Gal. 6:1-4).

Paul, in I Cor. 11:19, even asserts that these false teachers and their followers are manifested so that the true believers are clearly revealed.

17:2 “if” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE which, in this context, reaffirms the inevitability that stumbling blocks will come and, so too, will judgment.

“‘a millstone’” In the OT grain was ground by hand mills, usually one flat stone (cf. Job 41:24) and one handheld rubbing stone (cf. Jdgs. 9:53). By NT times grinding was done by two round stones (18 to 20 inches). Wooden pegs held them in place and allowed the top one to rotate. The ground grain would work its way out around the edges.

It is possible that Jesus is referring to a larger pair of stones rotated by two men (cf. Matt. 24:41) or even larger one pulled by animals (cf. Jdgs. 16:21).

“‘thrown into the sea’” Jews, being semi-desert dwellers, were always afraid of large bodies of water. Even Solomon’s fleet was manned by Phoenicians, not Jews. Drowning was a terrifying prospect.

The severity of the warning is surprising. It could possibly be
1. a way of showing how important these new believers are to God
2. a way of referring to apostasy or causing these new believers to renounce their new faith (see Special Topic at 6:46)
3. simply an eastern hyperbole, so common in Jesus’ teachings

“‘one of these little ones’” This is not referring to children, but to new believers (cf. Matt. 18 and I Epistle of Clement to the Corinthians, chapter 46).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NASB, RSV</th>
<th>“to stumble”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NKJV</td>
<td>“offend”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEV</td>
<td>“to sin”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJB</td>
<td>“the downfall”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is the term skandalizo, which literally meant a baited animal trap trigger (the NOUN is used in v. 1). It came to be used metaphorically of something that caused someone to be tempted; an impediment in one’s spiritual or moral growth; or an occasion for sinning.

It is often used of someone taking an offense to Jesus or the gospel (cf. Matt. 11:6; 13:57; I Cor. 1:23; Gal. 5:11), but this does not fit in this context if it is addressing believers. If, however, the target audience is Pharisees, then this connotation is right on target.

If believers are the audience, then it refers to godly living and forgiveness (cf. vv. 3-4). The Christian community must be one of openness, godliness, forgiveness, and fellowship. Wounded believers is a major problem (cf. I Cor. 8:12), then and now!
17:3  
NASB, NRSV  “Be on guard”  
NKJV  “Take heed to yourself”  
TEV  “So watch what you do”  
NJB  “Keep watch on yourselves”

This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE, which denotes an ongoing command. Believers must guard their actions and personal choices (cf. Heb. 2:1; II Pet. 1:19). We are our brothers’ (lost and saved) keeper!


“if . . .if” These are THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCES, which speak of potential action. It is amazing to me how much the Bible talks about forgiving as evidence of forgiveness (cf. Matt. 6:12,14-15; 18:21-35; Luke 6:38).

“your brother sins, rebuke him” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE, which denotes urgency. This is paralleled in Matt. 18:15-18 and is discussed in Gal. 6:1-5. As the family of God, we are responsible for one another.

“if he repents” This is an AORIST ACTIVE SUBJUNCTIVE with ean, which denotes a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE. See Special Topic: Repentance at 3:3.

“forgive him” This is another AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE, which denotes urgency. Believers are not to hold grudges or become bitter towards each other. Forgiveness always cleanses two hearts!

17:4  “And if he sins against you seven times a day” This is a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE. Peter asks this question in the parallel in Matt. 18:21-22.

“returns to you seven times, saying “I repent”” This may reflect the OT term for repent (shub, “turn”) and the Greek word “repent” (metanoeō). Fellowship and restoration are not affected by numbers (7 x 70 in Matt. 18:21-22), but by an attitude of acceptance, which is modeled by a gracious God and a sacrificial Messiah. Believers are to emulate the love and forgiveness of the Trinity (cf. I John 3:16).

“forgive him” This is a FUTURE ACTIVE INDICATIVE used in the sense of the AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE of verse 3.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 17:5-6

5The apostles said to the Lord, "Increase our faith!" 6And the Lord said, "If you had faith like a mustard seed, you would say to this mulberry tree, 'Be uprooted and be planted in the sea'; and it would obey you.

17:5  “Increase our faith” This is another AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE denoting urgency. In light of Jesus’ statements in vv. 1-4, the disciples felt a need for even greater faith to fulfill the ideals and requirements of the New Covenant, the gospel, the New Age! This does not refer to saving faith, but daily faith, faithfulness in working with people—imperfect, impatient, often unloving, ungrateful believers and unbelievers!

17:6  “If you had faith” The initial phrase of this CONDITIONAL SENTENCE is FIRST CLASS, which is assumed to be true, but the second phrase is introduced with “an,” which denotes a SECOND CLASS. The implication would be that Jesus knows they have faith, but will they use it appropriately (i.e. interpersonal relationships)?

“like a mustard seed” A good source of quick but accurate information about the animals and plants of the Bible is United Bible Societies’ Helps For Translators: Fauna and Flora of the Bible.

The article on “mustard” (sinapi) is on pp. 145-146. The seed referred to by Jesus is from the common black mustard plant. The seed is not actually the smallest (orchid), but was proverbial in Palestine for its smallness.

“mulberry tree” The exact type of tree that Jesus is referring to is uncertain. Only Luke uses the name of these related and often confused trees in the NT:

1. mulberry tree (sukaminos) - brought from Persia. It is referred to only here in the NT (A. T. Robertson, Word Pictures, vol. 2, p. 226, calls it a “black mulberry”)
2. sycamore tree (sukomoreia) - a large tree (cf. Luke 19:4; A. T. Robertson calls it a “white mulberry”).
The context demands a large tree in contrast to the very small seed. The meaning is that a little faith can affect large or great things (a Matthew parallel [17:20] has mountain instead of a tree).

Theologically it must be stressed that it is not the amount of faith, or the enthusiasm or commitment which a person has that causes the results, but the object of his faith. Human faith is not the key, but faith in Jesus. He is the source of the effectiveness!

“Be uprooted and be planted by the sea” These are both AORIST PASSIVE IMPERATIVES. Faith in Christ makes a visible difference in one’s situation and attitude. In context these believers loving and caring for each other was very difficult, but faith in Jesus would enable them to love and forgive one another.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 17:7-10

7"Which of you, having a slave plowing or tending sheep, will say to him when he has come in from the field, 'Come immediately and sit down to eat'? 8But will he not say to him, 'Prepare something for me to eat, and properly clothe yourself and serve me while I eat and drink; and afterward you may eat and drink'? 9He does not thank the slave because he did the things which were commanded, does he? 10So you too, when you do all the things which are commanded you, say, 'We are unworthy slaves; we have done only that which we ought to have done.'"

17:7-10 This is an entirely new literary unit. This is a very important statement that reminds us that all of our works and efforts do not merit God’s love. This is a truth that is often forgotten, especially by church workers. God always acts in grace, never as a reward for human merit. Believers are slaves who have been turned into children. We must love and care for the rest of the family.


17:8 This question expects a “yes” answer (use of ou).

17:10 Is this text saying (1) that the slave, after his long day in the field, should go and eat first before serving the owner’s meal (TEV, NJB); (2) that he should sit down with the owner and eat (NASB, NKJV, NRSV, NIV); or (3) even that he should be served by the owner (cf. 12:37, which would be another dramatic reversal of roles so characteristic of Luke)? There is surely ambiguity here, but the intent of the paragraph is clear.

The very opposite of this is found in 12:37. Eastern literature often approaches truth by presenting the opposites! Modern western interpreters often miss the significant differences between eastern and western literary forms.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 17:11-19

11While He was on the way to Jerusalem, He was passing between Samaria and Galilee. 12As He entered a village, ten leprous men who stood at a distance met Him; 13and they raised their voices, saying, "Jesus, Master, have mercy on us!" 14When He saw them, He said to them, "Go and show yourselves to the priests." And as they were going, they were cleansed. 15Now one of them, when he saw that he had been healed, turned back, glorifying God with a loud voice, 16and he fell on his face at His feet, giving thanks to Him. And he was a Samaritan. 17Then Jesus answered and said, "Were there not ten cleansed? But the nine—where are they? 18Was no one found who returned to give glory to God, except this foreigner?" 19And He said to him, "Stand up and go; your faith has made you well."

17:11-19 This is a new topic.

17:11 “While He was on the way to Jerusalem” Remember we are in a larger literary unit unique to Luke’s Gospel, structured as Jesus’ journey from Galilee to Jerusalem (cf. 9:51-19:28).

NASB “He was passing between Samaria and Galilee”
NKJV “He passed through the midst of Samaria and Galilee”
NRSV “Jesus was going through the region between Samaria and Galilee”
TEV “he went along the border between Samaria and Galilee”
NJB “he was traveling in the borderlands of Samaria and Galilee”

“Between” or “through” (i.e. dia with the ACCUSATIVE) is found in MSS N, B, and L. (1) Jesus is moving south, so Galilee should have been listed first; (2) by this time, Jesus should be far more to the south than the border of Galilee and Samaria;
or (3) Jesus is moving eastward along the border to take a traditional route south to Jerusalem. This reaffirms my contention that Luke is not primarily in chronological order, but in theological order.

17:12 “ten leprous men who stood at a distance met Him” These diseased people (lepers) were forced to live in isolated, communal settings where all normal social barriers were removed (cf. Num. 5:1-3). It seems in this context that the lepers were made up of Jews and Samaritans. The rabbis assert that this was a divine illness sent by God on sinners (cf. II Kgs. 5:25-27; 15:5; II Chr. 26:16-23).

17:13 “Master” This is the Greek term *epistates*. See note at 5:5. It was a title of respect. Whether it had theological implications is hard to know. These men had hope that Jesus could and would help them. They must have heard about Him.

17:14 “Go and show yourselves to the priests” The lepers had to act (an AORIST PASSIVE [deponent] PARTICIPLE used IN an IMPERATIVAL sense and an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE) in faith on Jesus’ pronouncement that they were cleansed although their skin was still diseased (cf. Lev. 13:14 and II Kgs. 5:8-14).

This may have been Jesus’ attempt to witness to the priests of Jerusalem even before His arrival. It also shows that Jesus fulfilled the Mosaic Law in His attentiveness to these Levitical regulations.

17:15 Only one cured leper turned back to give thanks, as did Naaman in II Kgs. 5:15.

17:16 “And he was a Samaritan” The hatred between the Jews and Samaritans began after the Assyrian exile of the Northern Ten Tribes in 722 B.C. The subsequent imported Gentile population married the remaining Jewish population and the Judean Jews considered them religious half-breeds and refused to have any social or religious contract with them whatsoever. Jesus used this intense bias in two different parables that speak of God’s love for all men (cf. 10:25-37). This context also speaks of believers’ need to love and forgive one another (cf. 17:1-6).

17:19 “Stand up and go; your faith has made you well” This construction is parallel to v. 14 (AORIST ACTIVE PARTICIPLES used in an IMPERATIVAL sense and a PRESENT MIDDLE [deponent] IMPERATIVE).

Notice that faith is the hand that received Jesus’ power. The man’s faith did not cure him; Jesus cured him by means of his faith (cf. 7:9:50; 8:48; 17:19; 18:42; Mark 5:34; 10:52; Matt. 9:22.29; 15:28).

The VERB is a PERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE implying the cure remained. The VERB is ἄφησεν, the normal term for salvation in the NT; however, here it is used in its OT sense of physical deliverance (cf. James 5:15). Surely this man was both physically and spiritually saved (purposeful ambiguity). What a tragedy physical healing would be which resulted in eternal death! The man’s request and gratitude reveal his faith in Jesus. But what of the other healthy nine?

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 17:20-21

30Now having been questioned by the Pharisees as to when the kingdom of God was coming, He answered them and said, "The kingdom of God is not coming with signs to be observed; 31nor will they say, 'Look, here it is!' or, 'There it is!' For behold, the kingdom of God is in your midst."

17:20 “Now having been questioned by the Pharisees” They had been present in the crowd which followed Jesus. They were present at all of Jesus’ public teaching times and miracles.

“when the kingdom of God was coming” The Pharisees were particularly interested in the afterlife, in contradistinction to the Sadducees, who denied it. This is similar to the questions asked by several disciples in Mark 13:4. Luke’s Gospel is unique in that it divides Jesus’ eschatological discussion into two separate passages, 17:20-37 and chapter 21. In both Matthew and Mark this eschatological passage is in one chapter (cf. Matt. 24 and Mark 13). Jesus may have repeated these teachings in different places at different times.


“not coming with signs to be observed” This is a medical term for closely watching the symptoms and making a diagnosis. Here it is used of careful observation. Luke uses it often to denote the Scribes and Pharisees watching Jesus to find something with which to condemn Him (cf. 6:7; 14:1; 20:20).

17:21 “nor will they say, ‘Look, here it is or, ‘There it is’” This introduces v. 23 (cf. Matt. 24:23,26). The implication is that Jesus’ return will be seen and known by all (cf. v. 24; Matt. 24:27).
NASB, NKJV, TEV, NRSV, NJB

This is used in a sense of (1) within each of you or (2) among you (PLURAL). In The Jerome Biblical Commentary, NT, p. 150, the three exegetical choices of the ancient church are mentioned.

1. within you
   - the Gospel of Thomas
   - Hippolytus
   - Origen
   - Athanasius
   - Ambrose
   - Jerome
   - Bede
2. in your midst
   - Ephraem
   - Cyril of Alexandria
   - Theophylact
3. within your grasp
   - Tertullian
   - Cyprian

This refers to their personal faith response to Jesus. Jesus’ personal presence brought the kingdom, and His personal return will consummate it. It is the reign of God in human hearts now that will one day be consummated over all the earth. When Jesus prays in the Lord’s Prayer that “His will be done on earth as it is in Heaven” (cf. Matt. 6:10), He is praying for the kingdom to come. See Special Topic: The Kingdom of God at 4:21.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 17:22-37

And He said to the disciples, "The days will come when you will long to see one of the days of the Son of Man, and you will not see it. 23 They will say to you, 'Look there! Look here!' Do not go away, and do not run after them. 24 For just like the lightning, when it flashes out of one part of the sky, shines to the other part of the sky, so will the Son of Man be in His day. 25 But first He must suffer many things and be rejected by this generation. 26 And just as it happened in the days of Noah, so it will be also in the days of the Son of Man: 27 they were eating, they were drinking, they were marrying, they were being given in marriage, until the day that Noah entered the ark, and the flood came and destroyed them all. 28 It was the same as happened in the days of Lot: they were eating, they were drinking, they were buying, they were selling, they were planting, they were building; 29 but on the day that Lot went out from Sodom it rained fire and brimstone from heaven and destroyed them all. 30 It will be just the same on the day that the Son of Man is revealed. 31 On that day, the one who is on the housetop and whose goods are in the house must not go down to take them out; and likewise the one who is in the field must not turn back. 32 Remember Lot's wife. 33 Whoever seeks to keep his life will lose it, and whoever loses his life will preserve it. 34 I tell you, on that night there will be two in one bed; one will be taken and the other will be left. 35 There will be two women grinding at the same place; one will be taken and the other will be left. 36 [Two men will be in the field; one will be taken and the other will be left.] 37 And answering they said to Him, "Where, Lord?" And He said to them, "Where the body is, there also the vultures will be gathered."

17:22 “The days will come when you will long to see one of the days of the Son of Man” The phrase “the days will come” seems to imply times of trials, persecution, illness, etc. Although post-millennialists have asserted that things are going to get better and better and then the Lord will return, the Bible seems to teach that things are going to get worse and worse before the Lord’s return (cf. Dan. 12:1; Rom. 8:18-23).

“the Son of Man” This seems to be a self-designation used by Jesus that comes from Ezek. 2:1 and Dan. 7:13, which implies both human and divine qualities. See fuller note at 6:5.

“you will not see it” Jesus is addressing the disciples in 17:22-27. Therefore, this must denote
1. they will be killed and suffer persecution before His return
2. there will be a delay in the Parousia (cf. II Thess. 2)
3. it will come suddenly with no advance signs or warning. Jesus clearly admitted that He did not know the time or date of His return (cf. Matt. 24:36), but this phrase implies a delay.

17:23 “They will say to you, “Look there! Look here!”” This verse is related to v. 24, which assures the believers that Jesus will have a public, visible coming of which none of His disciples will be ignorant.
NASB  “‘Do not go away, and do not run after them’”
NKJV  “‘Do not go after them or follow them’”
NRSV  “‘Do not go, do not set off in pursuit’”
TEV   “‘But don’t go out looking for it’”
NJB   “‘Make no move; do not set off in pursuit’”

These are both AORIST ACTIVE SUBJUNCTIVES used in the sense of IMPERATIVES. The AORIST SUBJUNCTIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE means “do not even start.” Believers are not to get caught up in end-time frenzy or fanatical speculation or supposed physical manifestations.

17:24 This verse is paralleled in Matt. 24:27, but is absent in Mark 13. It is asserting that Jesus’ return will be visible and obvious to all, no secret coming! Matthew 24:40-41 (Luke 17:27) in context refers to those who are killed in judgment (“as in the days of Noah”), not a select group of Gentile believers or the visible church.

There is a Greek manuscript variant related to the close of the verse. Some ancient Greek texts have “in His day” (cf. MSS Β, A, L, W, and the Vulgate and Syriac Versions). However, several other ancient manuscripts do not have it (cf. MSS P75, B, and some Coptic Versions). It is impossible to choose between these manuscripts. But as so often is the case with these variants, the thrust of the passage is not affected by either choice.

17:25 “But first He must suffer many things and be rejected by this generation” Jesus has revealed this message several times to His disciples (cf. Matt. 16:21; 17:9,12,22-23; 20:18-19; Mark 8:31; 9:12; Luke 9:22,44; 17:25). A suffering Messiah was unexpected by the Jews of Jesus’ day (cf. I Cor. 1:23), but the OT passages, as well as NT, are specific.

1. Genesis 3:15
2. Psalm 22; 118:22
3. Isaiah 8:14; 52:13-53:12
4. Zechariah 12:10
5. Luke 2:34
7. Acts 2:23

“‘this generation’” Jesus used this phrase to refer to those contemporary Palestinian Jews who heard Him speak, but did not believe (cf. 7:31; 9:41; 11:29,30,31,32,51; 16:8; 17:25; 21:32; Acts 2:40). “He came to His own and those who were His own did not receive Him” (John 1:11). This phrase is used in such a way as to clearly reveal that the way people respond to Jesus determines their destiny. The kingdom was inaugurated by Jesus’ incarnation and will be consummated at His return.

17:26 “And just as it happened in the days of Noah” Noah’s life is described in Gen. 6-9. The emphasis here is the continuation of the normal activities of life before the flood (cf. vv. 27-30; Matt. 24:36-39). Only eight people prepared for God’s coming Judgment (cf. Gen. 7:7,13).

17:28-29 “Lot” Lot’s life is described in Gen. 12:5,13-14,19.

17:30 “It will be just the same on the day that the Son of Man is revealed” This context asserts several things about the Second Coming: (1) that it will be visible and public (cf. vv. 23,24); (2) that there will be normal social life (cf. v. 27); (3) that it will be sudden; and (4) that it will be unexpected. This same revelation is described in Matt. 16:27; 24:29-44; I Cor. 1:7; I Thess. 4:12-18; II Thess. 1:7; I Pet. 1:7; and Rev. 11:15-19; 19:1-21.

17:31-32 This context has three examples which emphasize that believers should not be unduly concerned with worldly possessions or entanglements. These are used in other contexts with different applications. This leads me to believe that Jesus used the same teachings in different settings and in different ways. The three mentioned are (1) the person on the roof (cf. Matt. 24:17); (2) the man in the field; and (3) the negative example of one who turned back, Lot’s wife (cf. Gen. 19:26).

Matthew 24 seems to combine the problems which will be present at the Second Coming with the problems related to the destruction of Jerusalem by the Roman general (later Emperor), Titus in A.D. 70. Verses 31-32 (cf. Matt. 24:17-18) may refer to the destruction of Jerusalem in the sense that some took Jesus’ warnings and fled, but others did not act and were killed. Whatever the context (A.D 70 or end-time) this is a context of the fate of unprepared, unexpected unbelievers!

17:33 “Whoever seeks to keep his life will lose it” The term “keep” in the MIDDLE VOICE, means to acquire, gain, or earn. Jesus’ call to discipleship was a call to personal abandonment (cf. Gal. 2:20; I John 3:16). It is a radical decision of self denunciation.

The term “life” is literally the term psuche, often translated “soul,” but it refers to the entire person. See note at 12:19.
This same teaching is found in Luke 9:24 and Matt. 10:34-39; 16:25; Mark 8:35; John 12:25, which deals with the need for ultimate commitment to Jesus alone.

17:34 “on that night” This refers to the night of the Lord’s return (cf. v. 30).

“two in one bed” The Greek idiom can mean a man and his wife.

17:34-35 These two examples are often used as a proof-text for a secret rapture of believers (by dispensational premillennialists). However, in this context, it seems to emphasize the separation of the lost and saved at the Second Coming, by the angels (cf. Matt. 24:31; Mark 13:27). In this context it is the judgment on the unprepared, the unbelieving (“as in the day of Noah,” cf. Matt. 24:40-41). I do not believe in a secret rapture, but rather the visible return of the Lord, along the lines of I Thess. 4:13-18.

17:36 Verse 36 is not found in the early Greek manuscripts P75, Π, A, B, L, or W. It comes from Matt. 24:40 and seems to be included in this parallel passage by a later scribe. The UBS4 committee rated its omission as “certain.”

17:37 The exact meaning of this statement is uncertain. It is obvious the people who heard Jesus speak understood what He meant. It possibly (1) relates to the destruction of Jerusalem, as do vv. 31-32; (2) is a common proverb (cf. Matt. 24:48); or (3) means the spiritually dead attract God’s judgment.

The term “eagle” (aetos) is also used in a similar way in Matt. 24:28. The OT background is that the birds of prey (vultures) are attracted to battles and slaughter (cf. Job 39:26-30; Ezek. 39:17; Hab. 1:8). This implies an end-time judgment scene.

If it is true that Luke, like Matt. 24, refers to the fall of Jerusalem (cf. vv. 31-35), then it is possible that “eagle” may refer to the Roman army, whose standards were topped with eagles.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Is this chapter is primarily written for the disciples or the Pharisees?
2. Why did the Jews hate the Samaritans?
3. Will the Second Coming be expected or unexpected, visible or secret?
4. Does the NT use the term “rapture”?
   Define the concept in your own terms.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS4</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Parable of the Widow and the Judge</td>
<td>The Parable of the Persistent Widow</td>
<td>The Unjust Judge</td>
<td>The Parable of the Widow and the Judge</td>
<td>The Unscrupulous Judge and the Importunate Widow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:6-8</td>
<td>18:6-8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Parable of the Pharisee and Tax Collector</td>
<td>The Parable of the Pharisee and the Tax Collector</td>
<td>Pharisee and Tax Collector</td>
<td>The Parable of the Pharisee and the Tax Collector</td>
<td>The Pharisee and the Tax Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:9-14</td>
<td>18:9-14</td>
<td>18:9-14</td>
<td>18:9-14</td>
<td>18:9-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Children Blessed</td>
<td>Jesus Blesses Little Children</td>
<td>From Galilee to Jerusalem (18:15-19:27) Blessing the Children</td>
<td>Jesus Blesses Little Children</td>
<td>Jesus and the Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:15-17</td>
<td>18:15-17</td>
<td>18:15-17</td>
<td>18:15-17</td>
<td>18:15-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With God All Things are Possible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18:19-20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:22-23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18:22-23</td>
<td>The Danger of Riches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:24-30</td>
<td>18:24-30</td>
<td>18:24-25</td>
<td>18:24-27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:26-27</td>
<td>18:26</td>
<td>18:26</td>
<td>The Reward of Renunciation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:27</td>
<td>18:27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:29-30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18:29-30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Third Time Jesus Foretells His Death and Resurrection</td>
<td>Jesus A Third Time Predicts His Death and Resurrection</td>
<td>The Passion Foretold Again</td>
<td>Jesus Speaks a Third Time About His Death</td>
<td>Third Prophecy of the Passion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:31-34</td>
<td>18:31-34</td>
<td>18:31-34</td>
<td>18:31-33</td>
<td>18:31-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:37</td>
<td>18:37</td>
<td></td>
<td>18:37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:38</td>
<td>18:38</td>
<td></td>
<td>18:38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:39</td>
<td>18:39</td>
<td></td>
<td>18:39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:40-41a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18:40-41a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:41b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18:41b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS

A. In the Synoptics Jesus’ teaching is often illustrated by parables.

B. Parables (OT mashal) take many forms.
   1. proverb (Luke 4:23)
   2. story (Luke 15 and 16)
   3. allegory (Luke 8:4-15)
   4. simile (Luke 13:19,21; 17:6)

C. For guidelines on the interpretation of parables, see the introduction to chapter 8.

D. This chapter is connected by the question of saving faith.
   1. First parable (18:1-8), will the Son of Man find faith (persistent, prayerful faith) when He returns?
   2. Second parable (18:9-14), the wrong kind of faith (self-righteous) versus repentant faith (the sinner, tax collector).
   3. Parabolic example (18:15-17), Jesus and childlike faith without which no one can enter the kingdom.
   4. Parabolic example (18:18-30), priority faith (rich, young, moral ruler). Jesus and the Kingdom must be number one!
   5. Jesus’ sacrificial death (18:31-34) is the key to eternal life which is received by faith.
   6. Prophetic example (18:35-43) of the blind receiving their sight (physical and spiritual) which is the work of the “suffering” Messiah by faith (cf. v. 42).

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 18:1-8

1Now He was telling them a parable to show that at all times they ought to pray and not to lose heart, 2saying, "In a certain city there was a judge who did not fear God and did not respect man. 3There was a widow in that city, and she kept coming to him, saying, 'Give me legal protection from my opponent.' 4For a while he was unwilling; but afterward he said to himself, 'Even though I do not fear God nor respect man, 5yet because this widow bothers me, I will give her
legal protection, otherwise by continually coming she will wear me out.'" "And the Lord said, "Hear what the unrighteous judge said: 'now, will not God bring about justice for His elect who cry to Him day and night, and will He delay long over them?' I tell you that He will bring about justice for them quickly. However, when the Son of Man comes, will He find faith on the earth?"

18:1 “Now He was telling them a parable” This is an antithetical or contrasting parable similar to Luke 11:5-13. It is a story that relates to the exact opposite of what God is truly like. The PRONOUN “them” refers to the disciples (cf. 17:37).

- “that at all times they ought to pray and not to lose heart” Notice the Greek terms:
  1. Dei, which means “ought” or “necessary.”
  2. Pas, here in ADVERBIAL form (pantote), which means “always.”
This phrase is a mandate to keep on praying and not become discouraged (cf. Eph. 6:18). In several parallel passages in Paul’s writings, persistent prayer is linked to thanksgiving (cf. Phil. 4:6; Col. 1:3; 4:2; I Thess. 5:17-18).
  
  Prayer is a worldview; thanksgiving is an attitude; both control believers’ actions toward people and circumstances.

- “not to lose heart” The Greek term is egkakeç (cf. II Cor. 4:1,16; Gal. 6:9; Eph. 3:13; II Thess. 3:13), which is probably the same as ekkakeç, which literally means “not to give in to the bad,” but metaphorically to be faint, to be remiss, or to be slothful.

18:2 “a judge who did not fear God and did not respect man” He was not swayed by God’s opinion or mankind’s opinion. His judgments were based on personal interest or personal preference.

18:3 “a widow” Again Luke shows Jesus’ concern and care for the socially powerless and/or ostracized. Widows were often taken advantage of in Jewish society (cf. Exod. 22:21-24; Deut. 10:18; 24:17).

- “give me legal protection” This could mean vindicate or give me justice (cf. vv. 7 and 8).

18:4 “for a while he was unwilling” This is an IMPERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE, which denotes the judge’s ongoing refusal to act on behalf of the widow.

- “even though” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE (cf. Robert Nanna, A Grammatical Aid to the Greek New Testament, vol. 1, p. 123), which asserts the reality of the statements of v. 2.
  
  In a sense this is similar to 15:17. This judge had an epiphany; he came to himself. He began to realize the consequences of his decision.

18:5 “wear me out” This literally meant “to blacken one’s eye” (cf. I Cor. 9:27). Here it is used metaphorically of someone or something that continually bothers.

18:7 “not” This is a DOUBLE NEGATIVE, which was a strong way of expressing “no, never under any circumstances.” (1) Our heavenly Father is exactly the opposite of the unrighteous, inattentive, self-seeking judge, or (2) His delay has a beneficial purpose (i.e. full number of the elect, cf. Rom. 11:25; John 10:16).

- “who cry to Him day and night” This phrase characterizes the persistent prayers of the elect (cf. 11:9-13; Matt. 7:7-12). Persistence does not overcome God’s reluctance, but it demonstrates trust and conviction.

- “His elect” This is an OT way of referring to God’s people, especially as servants (cf. Isa. 42-43; 44:28-45:7).

**SPECIAL TOPIC: ELECTION**

Election is a wonderful doctrine. However, it is not a call to favoritism, but a call to be a channel, a tool, or means of others’ redemption! In the Old Testament the term was used primarily for service; in the New Testament it is used primarily for salvation which issues in service. The Bible never reconciles the seeming contradiction between God’s sovereignty and mankind’s free will, but affirms them both! A good example of the biblical tension would be Romans 9 on God’s sovereign choice and Romans 10 on mankind’s necessary response (cf. 10:11,13).

The key to this theological tension may be found in Eph. 1:4. Jesus is God’s elect man and all are potentially elect in Him (Karl Barth). Jesus is God’s “yes” to fallen mankind’s need (Karl Barth). Ephesians 1:4 also helps clarify the issue by asserting that the goal of predestination is not heaven, but holiness (Christlikeness). We are often attracted to the benefits of the gospel and ignore the responsibilities! God’s call (election) is for time as well as eternity!
Doctrines come in relation to other truths, not as single, unrelated truths. A good analogy would be a constellation versus a single star. God presents truth in eastern, not western, genres. We must not remove the tension caused by dialectical (paradoxical) pairs of doctrinal truths (God as transcendent versus God as immanent; Security vs. perseverance; Jesus as equal with the Father vs. Jesus as subservient to the Father; Christian freedom vs. Christian responsibility to a covenant partner; etc.).

The theological concept of “covenant” unites the sovereignty of God (who always takes the initiative and sets the agenda) with a mandatory initial and continuing repentant, faith response from man. Be careful of proof-texting one side of the paradox and depreciating the other! Be careful of asserting only your favorite doctrine or system of theology!

**“who cry to Him day and night”** This is a way of expressing continual action (i.e. always). The order of “day and night” reflects a Gentile idiom, while 2:37, “night and day,” reflects a Hebrew idiom. Luke was a researcher. He used his sources’ idioms; yet he was also an editor and compiler and at times his own idioms become part of his Gospel (cf. Acts 9:24; 20:31; 26:7).

**“will He delay long over them”** This is the second of two rhetorical questions in v. 7 which contrast God and the attitude of this wicked judge. The first question expects a “yes” answer and this, the second question, a “no” answer. The Greek “delay” (makrothumeo, put wrath far away) is ambiguous and may mean one of two things: (1) help for the persistent elect to grow in faith or (2) more time for the wicked to repent (cf. Rom. 2:4; II Pet. 3:9). The NASB (1970) has a marginal alternate translation, “and yet He is longsuffering over them,” which denotes the patience of God with sinners.

18:8 This is a surprising conclusion to this parable. It seems to be unrelated to the story. Jesus’ return will be the mechanism of God’s bringing justice to the elect (cf. Rev. 6:9-11).

What then does the PREPOSITIONAL PHRASE en tachei mean: (1) suddenly or (2) quickly? Is this a contrasting parable or a parable of differing motives for a delayed adjudication?


**“when the Son of Man comes”** This is an emphasis on the eschatological coming of the Messiah as Judge. The term “Son of Man” is primarily drawn from Ezek. 2:1 and Dan. 7:13, where it combines human and divine qualities.

**“will He find faith on the earth”** The NT emphasizes the physical, bodily return of Jesus Christ. However, it does not tell us when or how. It does tell us to be actively involved in the kingdom’s work and to be ready moment-by-moment for His coming. This phrase seems to reflect this two-pronged piece of advice.

“Faith” has the DEFINITE ARTICLE. This is (1) the belief that God will answer their prayers for help (cf. v. 7). His best answer will be sending His Son back into the world a second time to set all things straight as He promised; (2) M. R. Vincent, Word Studies, p. 204, takes kai as “yet” (cf. John 9:30; 16:32) which implies not a direct contrast to the wicked judge, but gives reason for God’s delay in answering His elect (the faith development); (3) Kenneth E. Bailey, Through Peasant Eyes, pp. 127-141, thinks it relates to the soon-coming event of Passion Week, described in vv. 31-34. These disciples will need persistent prayer and faith development very soon; or (4) This is faith in Christ or Christianity. The emphasis may be on what believers are praying for. Are they asking God repeatedly for personal things or kingdom things? If personal things, then believers are more like the unrighteous judge than they want to admit.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 18:9-14**

9 And He also told this parable to some people who trusted in themselves that they were righteous, and viewed others with contempt: 10”Two men went up into the temple to pray, one a Pharisee and the other a tax collector. 11The Pharisee stood and was praying this to himself: ‘God, I thank You that I am not like other people: swindlers, unjust, adulterers, or even like this tax collector. 12I fast twice a week; I pay tithes of all that I get.’ 13But the tax collector, standing some distance away, was even unwilling to lift up his eyes to heaven, but was beating his breast, saying, ‘God, be merciful to me, the sinner!’ 14I tell you this man went to his house justified rather than the other; for everyone who exalts himself will be humbled, but he who humbles himself will be exalted.”

18:9 “He also told this parable” This is the second parable about persistence in prayer.

**“to some people who trusted in themselves that they were righteous”** The term “trusted” is a PERFECT ACTIVE PARTICIPLE of the term peitho, which is translated in the NT as “persuade,” “trust” or “be assured.” Jesus addresses those Jews (and all people) who think they are right with God based on their attitudes and actions. The Jews of Jesus’ day considered prayer
of daily prayer. The setting of this parable would have been at 9 a.m. or 3 p.m. were the times of the daily sacrifice at the temple (the continual). The religious leaders of Jerusalem added noon.

18:10 “the temple to pray” There were three times of daily prayer in Judaism of the first century. Nine a.m. and three p.m. were the times of the daily sacrifice at the temple (the continual). The religious leaders of Jerusalem added noon as a third time of daily prayer. The setting of this parable would have been at 9 a.m. or 3 p.m.

18:11 “The Pharisee stood and was praying this to himself” Here was the man who was praying to God while reviewing his own accomplishments (cf. v. 12). When interpreting parables one looks for the “surprise,” the unexpected turn of events, the role reversal. This is the key in understanding the parable (cf. vv. 13-14).

The phrase, “these things to himself,” which comes after “standing,” has several different forms in the Greek manuscript tradition. It very possibly reflects an Aramaic idiom, “taking his stand, prayed” (cf. C. C. Torey, Our Translated Gospels, p. 79 and M. Black, Aramaic Approach, 3rd ed., p. 103, from Bruce M. Metzger, A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament, p. 168, footnote #1).

So the question for interpreters is, “Does this phrase refer to (1) thinking to himself (NASB, TEV) or (2) standing apart from the tax collector (NRSV)?

18:12 “I fast twice a week” The Pharisees of Jesus’ day fasted on Monday and Thursday in commemoration of Moses’ receiving the law from God. They went far beyond the OT requirement of one fast day a year (cf. Lev. 16), the Day of Atonement (cf. Zech. 7-8). In the interbiblical period the rabbis also set up another fast day to commemorate the destruction of the Temple in 586 B.C. by Nebuchadnezzar, King of Babylon (cf. Jeremiah 52; Lamentations 1-5).

18:9 “and viewed others with contempt!” The Greek term exoutheneō is used only by Luke (cf. 18:9; 23:11; Acts 4:11 [LXX]) and Paul (cf. Rom. 14:3,10; I Cor. 1:28; 6:4; 16:11; II Cor. 10:10; Gal. 4:14; I Thess. 5:20). This judgmental attitude is the major problem of legalistic, religious people. God is longsuffering, but often those who claim to know Him and belong to Him are just the opposite!

The term “others” in the NASB is literally “the rest,” which denotes the Pharisees’ judgmental attitude. From their perspective all others were unacceptable and out of the love of God. Only their group (sect) was righteous! In this specific case, this Pharisee even stands off from all the other worshipers. He may have seen himself as more righteous than other Pharisees.

Self-righteousness may be the most dangerous sin of “religious” people (cf. 10:29; 16:15; 18:9,14).

18:10 “the temple to pray” There were three times of daily prayer in Judaism of the first century. Nine a.m. and three p.m. were the times of the daily sacrifice at the temple (the continual). The religious leaders of Jerusalem added noon as a third time of daily prayer. The setting of this parable would have been at 9 a.m. or 3 p.m.

18:9 “and viewed others with contempt!” The Greek term exoutheneō is used only by Luke (cf. 18:9; 23:11; Acts 4:11 [LXX]) and Paul (cf. Rom. 14:3,10; I Cor. 1:28; 6:4; 16:11; II Cor. 10:10; Gal. 4:14; I Thess. 5:20). This judgmental attitude is the major problem of legalistic, religious people. God is longsuffering, but often those who claim to know Him and belong to Him are just the opposite!

The term “others” in the NASB is literally “the rest,” which denotes the Pharisees’ judgmental attitude. From their perspective all others were unacceptable and out of the love of God. Only their group (sect) was righteous! In this specific case, this Pharisee even stands off from all the other worshipers. He may have seen himself as more righteous than other Pharisees.

18:9 “and viewed others with contempt!” The Greek term exoutheneō is used only by Luke (cf. 18:9; 23:11; Acts 4:11 [LXX]) and Paul (cf. Rom. 14:3,10; I Cor. 1:28; 6:4; 16:11; II Cor. 10:10; Gal. 4:14; I Thess. 5:20). This judgmental attitude is the major problem of legalistic, religious people. God is longsuffering, but often those who claim to know Him and belong to Him are just the opposite!

The term “others” in the NASB is literally “the rest,” which denotes the Pharisees’ judgmental attitude. From their perspective all others were unacceptable and out of the love of God. Only their group (sect) was righteous! In this specific case, this Pharisee even stands off from all the other worshipers. He may have seen himself as more righteous than other Pharisees.

18:9 “and viewed others with contempt!” The Greek term exoutheneō is used only by Luke (cf. 18:9; 23:11; Acts 4:11 [LXX]) and Paul (cf. Rom. 14:3,10; I Cor. 1:28; 6:4; 16:11; II Cor. 10:10; Gal. 4:14; I Thess. 5:20). This judgmental attitude is the major problem of legalistic, religious people. God is longsuffering, but often those who claim to know Him and belong to Him are just the opposite!

The term “others” in the NASB is literally “the rest,” which denotes the Pharisees’ judgmental attitude. From their perspective all others were unacceptable and out of the love of God. Only their group (sect) was righteous! In this specific case, this Pharisee even stands off from all the other worshipers. He may have seen himself as more righteous than other Pharisees.
18:13 “But the tax collector, standing some distance away, was even unwilling to lift up his eyes to heaven, but was beating his breast” Notice the three phrases related to this man’s reluctance before God: (1) standing some distance away (PERFECT ACTIVE PARTICIPLE); (2) not looking up to heaven (IMPERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE with a DOUBLE NEGATIVE); and (3) beating his breast (IMPERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE).

Phrase #3 may be a gesture of repentance or agitation (cf. 23:48) by striking one’s heart (the center of the person, cf. Josephus, Antiq. 7.10.5).

Also note that everything this man does is opposite to the self-righteous Pharisee (especially noted is the “stance”: the Pharisee took his stance away from the crowd of worshipers and closer to the altar, while the tax collector took his stand away from the crowd and farther away from the altar).

This is the biblical foundation for our cultural tradition of bowing our heads and closing our eyes in prayer; however, the Jewish posture for prayer was the hands lifted, the eyes open and the face lifted to heaven. The key in prayer is not the position of the body, but of the heart!

“God, be merciful to me” This is an AORIST PASSIVE IMPERATIVE. The word “merciful” (hilaskomai) is from the same root as the term “mercy seat” or the “place of atonement” (in the Septuagint, hilastêrion) in the sacrificial system of Israel (cf. Heb. 9:5). In the Septuagint this Greek VERB is used to translate the Hebrew salach, which is exclusively used of God’s forgiveness towards sinners (cf. Robert B. Girdlestone, Synonyms of the Old Testament, p. 135). Kenneth E. Bailey, Through Peasant Eyes, p. 154, says it means in Syriac, “make an atonement for me.” Remember they are in a public worship setting at the time of the sacrifice of a lamb (twice daily) for Israel. This sinner cries out in his heart, “let that blood be for me!”

“the sinner” This parable describes two Jews: one a Pharisee who thinks he is right with God because of all he does and a tax collector who knows that he is estranged from God. He feels himself “chief of sinners” (use of the DEFINITE ARTICLE). Is it not ironic that the second went away right with God and the first went away estranged from God. What a culturally shocking role reversal!

18:14 “went to his house justified” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICIPLE which refers to believers’ position of forgiveness from a merciful God. It was a gift freely given! This is analogous to Paul’s justification by faith in Jesus Christ (cf. Rom. 4:5). The Pharisee was a moral man, but he was also a spiritually lost man because he trusted in himself and did not think he needed God’s mercy and forgiveness. This is the Jewish religionist Isaiah is talking about in Isa. 29:13 and 6:9-10.

“everyone who exalts himself will be humbled” This may be an allusion to Isa. 57:15 (cf. Isa. 66:2; Ps. 34:18; 51:17). This was first introduced in Luke 14:11 (cf. Matt. 23:12). What a shocking role reversal from the OT perspective of Deut. 27-29! The New Covenant depreciates human performance (cf. Jer. 31:31-34).

“but he who humbles himself will be exalted” The word “exalted” (hupso) is used of Pharisees in 16:15. It is used in connection with the humble in 1:52; 14:11 (cf. Matt. 23:12). This does not mean exalted among others in the society, but refers to one’s relationship with God. God raises the humble into intimacy with Himself. It is theologically parallel to “redeem,” “ransom,” or “deliver.”

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 18:15-17

And they were bringing even their babies to Him so that He would touch them, but when the disciples saw it, they began rebuking them. 16But Jesus called for them, saying, "Permit the children to come to Me, and do not hinder them, for the kingdom of God belongs to such as these. 17Truly I say to you, whoever does not receive the kingdom of God like a child will not enter it at all."

18:15ff We have been in an extended literary context from 9:51-18:14 which has no direct parallel in the other Synoptic Gospels. At v. 15 Luke returns to the Markan chronology.

“they were bringing even their babies to Him so that He would touch them” We must remember that in the Jewish traditions of this day these children were not thought to be saved by the prayer of the rabbi, but blessed for a happy, healthy, and prosperous life. They were thought to be saved because they were a part of national Israel. Therefore, this context has nothing to do with the salvation of children. We are dealing with the concept of rabbinical blessings for little ones.

In this context, we clearly see the heart of Jesus: (1) Jesus really does care for little children; (2) this is not a passage on children only, but primarily a passage on child-like faith (cf. Matt. 18:3) that adults must have to be saved; and (3) Jesus always shows love to the neglected and ostracized groups of society like children, women, widows, and notorious sinners. This passage is similar to Matt. 18 with new believers being identified as little ones who believe in Jesus (cf. Matt. 18:6).
The Greek term *brephos* can mean (1) an unborn child (cf. Luke 1:41,44); (2) a newly born baby (cf. Luke 2:12,16; Acts 7:19); or (3) older children (cf. Luke 18:15; II Tim. 3:15).


The whole purpose of Jesus’ analogy is that it must be old enough to exercise trust and faith in an adult figure (i.e. childlike faith).

18:16 This vers contains two IMPERATIVES.
1. “permit” - AORIST ACTIVE (cf. Matt. 5:40)
2. “do not hinder” - PRESENT ACTIVE with NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually means to stop an act in process.
   The verse does not imply that the Kingdom belongs to children, but to those who have childlike trust and faith in Jesus (cf. Matt. 19:13-15 and Mark 10:13-31).

18:17 “truly” This is literally “Amen.” See Special Topic at 4:24.

18:18 “A ruler” It is uncertain exactly what this title (*archēn*) means, though in John 3:1 it refers to Nicodemus being a member of the Sanhedrin (cf. 23:13,35; 24:20). It may refer to the person who was in charge of the local synagogue (cf. 8:41; 14:1). It obviously refers to a well respected leader, possibly a judge (cf. 12:58).

18:19 “Good Teacher, what shall I do to inherit eternal life?” This is exactly the same question that the Scribe asked in 10:25 with an emphasis on personal performance. This is exactly the opposite of v. 17. Eternal life and the Kingdom of God are parallel. See note on eternal life at v. 30.

18:19 “Why do you call Me good?” We must remember that this is not a theological passage dealing with the person of Jesus Christ. Many try to use this as a proof-text that Jesus did not consider Himself to be divine. This question and statement of Jesus reflects this man’s understanding about His ability to be right with God based on his own efforts. This passage is similar to Matt. 19:16, which reads “teacher, what good things shall I do to obtain eternal life.”

18:20 The Ten Commandments are listed in Exod. 20 and Deut. 5. They basically break into two aspects: (1) human’s view of and relationship to God and (2) human’s view of and relationship with Covenant brothers and sisters. This list is out of order from the Masoretic Text of both Exod. 20 and Deut. 5 as they relate to the proper relationship between Covenant people.

18:21 “And he said, ‘All these things I have kept from my youth’” This man was not lying. He is asserting that he had lived up to all the religious requirements and traditions of his day since his bar mitzvah at age 13. Paul asserts this very same truth in Phil. 3:6.

We know from Rom. 1:18 through 3:23 that all men have sinned. We must remember that only the Spirit of God reveals this truth to the human heart.

This is the first required truth of the gospel. No one needs a savior until they recognize their need. Self-righteousness is a cruel and deceptive taskmaster. The gospel is only “good news” when one recognizes the bad news of Gen. 3 and human rebellion. No human will boast before God (cf. Eph. 2:8-9).
18:22 “One thing you still lack; sell all that you possess” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. Jesus recognized this man’s priority structure. The parallel in Mark 10:21 and some ancient uncial manuscripts of Luke (A and W) tell that Jesus had great care and love for this man, but he would not lower the standard of the gospel to allow him to receive eternal life. This is not a universal requirement for all humans (cf. Zaccheus, Luke 19). But it is a recurring statement (cf. v. 28 and 12:33-34). Jesus realized that this man’s heart was not fully turned to God. Anything in our lives that keeps us from fully trusting God is an idol and must be dealt with (cf. Matt. 6:24).

It is possible that Jesus was calling this man to be a disciple like the Twelve (cf. Matt. 19:21; Mark 10:21). This man could have been a significant Christian leader, but the pull of riches (cf. 8:14) paralyzed the possibilities! Jesus used this very command (“come, follow Me”) to call others:

1. Levi (Matthew), Mark 2:14; Luke 5:27; Matt. 9:9
2. Peter and Andrew, Matt. 4:19
3. Philip, John 1:43

However, it must also be said that this is a call to discipleship for all followers, not just the Twelve (cf. Matt. 8:22; 16:24; Mark 8:34; Luke 9:23,59; John 10:27; 12:26; 21:22). The gospel is (1) the welcoming of a person (Jesus); (2) believing truth about that person (the gospel, the NT); and (3) living a life like that person (Christlikeness).

“distribute it to the poor” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. Jesus cares for the poor. He always had time for them. The issue here is not the poor as a social problem, but this man’s priority structure (cf. Matt. 6:24). Remember the Jews of this day saw wealth as a gift from God for righteous living (cf. Deut. 27-29). Paul uses this very illustration in I Cor. 13:3.

“treasure in heaven” This reminds one of Matt. 6:19-21. What one considers “treasure” reveals that person’s value structure.

“come, follow Me” “Come” is an ADVERB used in the sense of an IMPERATIVE (cf. Matt. 19:21; Mark 10:21). “Follow Me” is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE which emphasizes an ongoing following. Notice how Jesus switched the question from “doing good” to “following Him.” He, not human performance, is the key to eternal life.

The NT emphasis is not on an initial decision although that is certainly important, but on continuing faith and discipleship. The NT emphasizes relationship even before doctrinal content and lifestyle. These three criteria form the triad of assurance in the NT (cf. Mark 2:14; 8:34; 10:21; Matt. 4:19; 8:22; 9:9; 16:24; 19:21; Luke 5:17; 9:23,59; 18:22; John 1:43; 10:27; 12:26; 21:22).

18:24 “How hard it is for those who are wealthy to enter the kingdom of God” The disciples’ reaction recorded in v. 26 shows us how surprised they were that wealth was not a sign of God’s blessing. Notice that the singular VERBS and PRONOUNS starting in v. 18 now become PLURALS (general statement). Jesus is asserting here that those who have worldly things and positions tend to trust in their own resources and not in God (cf. Matt. 19:23-30; Mark 10:23-31). Jesus is addressing the problem of wealth and salvation using this ruler as an example.

18:25 “For it is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle” There have been several theories to describe this statement: (1) the term “needle’s eye” refers to a small gate in the wall of Jerusalem only a pedestrian could walk through; (2) the term “camel” (kamélōn) has been mistranslated and is really the term “rope” (kamílon); (3) this is Oriental exaggeration to make a point (cf. 6:41); or (4) this was a common proverb for the impossible. I believe either # 3 or #4 is correct. Number 1 has no historical corroboration and #2 is first found in one late uncial Greek manuscript and a few minuscule manuscripts (cf. F. F. Bruce, Answers to Questions, pp. 55-56).

Matthew and Mark record this same teaching and use the Greek term rhaphis (needle) from ῥάπταξ, to sew, but Luke uses belonai, which was used of a needle to sew up wounds (a physician’s word).

18:26 “Then who can be saved” This is the issue! It was especially the issue of traditional Judaism which interpreted wealth and social position as evidence of God’s blessing and acceptance (cf. Deut. 27-29). This parable directly addresses this false assumption, as do Job and Ps. 73. In this context, however, obedience and faith in Jesus is the key to eternal life, not human performance of Mosaic laws or personal wealth or social status.

18:27 This may be an allusion to an OT characterization of YHWH (cf. Gen. 18:14; Job 42:4; Jer. 32:17,27; Zech. 8:6; Matt. 19:26; Mark 10:27; Luke 1:37).

God loves rich people. Abraham (and all the Patriarchs), David (and all the godly Jewish kings), Nicodemus, and Joseph of Arimathea are good biblical examples. The key is where their faith and trust are put, in possessions or in God? See Special Topic: Wealth at 12:21.
18:28 Peter and all the Apostles were shocked at Jesus’ response to this moral, sincere, prosperous, Jewish leader. They wanted to reaffirm that they had left all (cf. 5:11).


18:30 The rewards of the kingdom are of the spirit/Spirit. There is a peace and joy now and there will be a face-to-face fellowship with the Triune God.

18:31 “Then He took the twelve aside and said to them” This is another of the predictions of Jesus’ death (cf. 9:22,44; 17:25). There are allusions to Jesus’ death in Jerusalem mentioned in 5:35; 12:50; and 13:32-33.

18:32 This is fulfilled in 22:63,65; 23:11.

18:33 “the third day” In Jewish reckoning of time any part of a day was counted as a full day. Jesus died before 6 p.m. on Friday; therefore, that was one day. He was in the grave all of the Sabbath; that was day two. He arose sometime before sunrise on Sunday (remember Jews start their day at 6 p.m. ); that was day three.

18:34 Notice the three parallel phrases. It is so helpful to me to know the disciples also did not fully understand Jesus’ teachings and their meanings even though they lived with Him and saw His miracles (cf. 2:50; 9:45; 18:34).

18:35 “the meaning of this statement was hidden from them” This is a PERIPHERATIC PERFECT PASSIVE. Many of Jesus’ teachings did not make sense to the Apostles until after the resurrection (cf. John 12:16) and the coming of the Spirit at Pentecost (cf. John 14:26; 15:26; 16:13-15). They could not yet see the fullness of the gospel message because it was so different from what they had been taught and were expecting.
As Jesus was approaching Jericho, a blind man was sitting by the road begging. Now hearing a crowd going by, he began to inquire what this was. They told him that Jesus of Nazareth was passing by. And he called out, saying, "Jesus, Son of David, have mercy on me!" Those who led the way were sternly telling him to be quiet; but he kept crying out all the more, "Son of David, have mercy on me!" And Jesus stopped and commanded that he be brought to Him; and when he came near, He questioned him, "What do you want Me to do for you?" And he said, "Lord, I want to regain my sight!" And Jesus said to him, "Receive your sight; your faith has made you well." Immediately he regained his sight and began following Him, glorifying God; and when all the people saw it, they gave praise to God.

The Synoptic Gospels have several variations of this same account: (1) Matthew has two blind men (cf. 20:30) or (2) Mark called this man Bartimeus (cf. 10:46). The seeming contradiction in location, entering (Luke 18:35) or leaving (Matt. 20:29; Mark 10:46) Jericho, seems to be due to the fact that there were two Jerichos, the old city and the new one built by Herod the Great.

The Gospels record the healing of many blind people. It was an OT prophecy that the Messiah would heal the blind (cf. Isa. 29:18; 35:5; 42:7,15). Physical blindness was a metaphor of spiritual blindness (cf. Isa. 42:18-19; 59:9-10; John 9).

Jesus of Nazareth See Special Topic: Jesus the Nazarene at 4:34. The fifth century uncial Greek manuscript Bezae (D) has Nazarene (cf. 4:34; 24:19).

"Jesus, Son of David, have mercy on me!" This had definite Messianic overtones related to II Sam. 7 (cf. 1:27,32; 2:4; Matt. 9:27; 12:23; 15:22; 20:30,31; 21:9,15; 22:42).

"telling him to be quiet; but he kept crying out all the more" This is an example of persistence mentioned in vv. 1-8.

"Lord!" The context implies that this is more than just a polite title. This blind man had called Jesus "Son of David." He had heard about Jesus and he acted on what he had heard.

"your faith has made you well" This phrase contains two key gospel terms:
1. faith (pistis) - this man believed that Jesus could and would help him and he acted
2. well (sensus) - this is the term usually translated "save." In the OT it referred to physical deliverance, as it does here. It also denotes spiritual salvation, which is surely the implication of the context (cf. 7:50; 8:48; 17:19).

This encounter reveals the Messianic aspect of Jesus' ministry and the faith of this blind beggar. This blind man, who had nothing, received, by faith, everything (physical and spiritual), while the rich, young ruler, who had everything, lost all that was ultimately important.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. What is the main theological thrust of the parable in vv. 2-8?
2. What is the parable of the Pharisee and the sinner meant to convey to us in our day?
3. Does the NT discuss the salvation of children?
4. What is the major truth of the parable of the Pharisee and the tax collector in vv. 18-30?
5. Is v. 19 a NT evidence that Jesus did not consider Himself to be God?
6. Why did the disciples not understand Jesus’ words about His crucifixion and death when He told them so often about these things?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paragraphs</th>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jesus and Zaccheus</td>
<td>Jesus Comes to Zaccheus’ Home</td>
<td>Zaccheus</td>
<td>Jesus and Zaccheus</td>
<td>Zaccheus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:6-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:9-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Parable of the Ten Pounds</td>
<td>The Parable of the Minas</td>
<td>Parable of the Pounds</td>
<td>The Parable of the Gold Coins</td>
<td>Parable of the Pounds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:15-27</td>
<td>19:15-26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Triumphal Entry Into Jerusalem</td>
<td>The Triumphal Entry</td>
<td>Palm Sunday</td>
<td>The Triumphant Approach to Jerusalem</td>
<td>The Messiah Enters Jerusalem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:29-40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:32-33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:34-36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:35-38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:37-40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:37-38</td>
<td>Jesus Defends His Disciples for Acclaiming Him</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:39-40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesus Weeps Over Jerusalem</td>
<td>Jesus Weeps Over Jerusalem</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lament for Jerusalem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Cleansing of the Temple</td>
<td>Jesus Cleanses the Temple</td>
<td>Cleansing the Temple</td>
<td>Jesus Goes to the Temple</td>
<td>The Expulsion of the Dealers from the Temple</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:45-46</td>
<td>19:45-46</td>
<td>19:45-46</td>
<td>19:45-46</td>
<td>19:45-46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19:45-46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jesus Teaches in the Temple</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 19:1-10
1 He entered Jericho and was passing through. 2 And there was a man called by the name of Zaccheus; he was a chief tax collector and he was rich. 3 Zaccheus was trying to see who Jesus was, and was unable because of the crowd, for he was small in stature. 4 So he ran on ahead and climbed up into a sycamore tree in order to see Him, for He was about to pass through that way. 5 When Jesus came to the place, He looked up and said to him, "Zaccheus, hurry and come down, for today I must stay at your house." 6 And he hurried and came down and received Him gladly. 7 When they saw it, they all began to grumble, saying, "He has gone to be the guest of a man who is a sinner." 8 Zaccheus stopped and said to the Lord, "Behold, Lord, half of my possessions I will give to the poor, and if I have defrauded anyone of anything, I will give back four times as much." 9 And Jesus said to him, "Today salvation has come to this house, because he, too, is a son of Abraham. 10 For the Son of Man has come to seek and to save that which was lost."

19:1 “Jericho” Jericho is one of the most ancient cities in the world. It is located about nineteen miles northeast of Jerusalem on the western side of the Jordan River close to the mouth of the Dead Sea. It was noted for its balsam wood and date palms (cf. Josephus, Antiq. 15.4.2). It was once Anthony’s gift to Cleopatra. There were apparently an old town and a new town, which helped explain the apparent discrepancy in the Synoptics between “entering” (Luke 18:35; 19:1) and “leaving” (Matt. 20:29; Mark 10:46).

- “was passing through” Luke uses this term often. It is a compound of dia and erchomai. Here it is an IMPERFECT MIDDLE (deponent) INDICATIVE, which denotes the beginning of an action. This same TENSE can emphasize repeated action in past time, but this does not make sense in this context. Context, not lexicons or Greek grammar, is the key to authorial intent. Words and forms have meaning only in a specific literary context.

Here are some examples of how Luke uses this term.
2. “to pierce through,” 3:35
3. “to pass through,” 4:30
4. “to spread abroad a rumor,” 5:15
5. “to pass over,” 8:22
6. “to pass along,” 9:4
7. “to travel through a country,” 9:6

19:2 “Zaccheus” This is a Hebrew term meaning “pure” or “innocent” (parallel of TSEDEQ). He will fulfill his name in this encounter with Jesus

- “he was a chief tax collector” The term architelēnēs is very unusual and is not found in the Septuagint, Koine Papyri, or Greek literature, but it seems to mean that he was a tax commissioner over the district of Jericho. He would have purchased this...
position from the Roman authorities. The local Jews hated and ostracized those who worked for Rome because they often, if not always, overtaxed them.

“and he was rich” Although he had wealth, he was not happy. He seems to be a perfect example of the truth found in 18:24-27. Zaccheus is a literary foil to the rich, young ruler of chapter 18. See Special Topic: Wealth at 12:21.

19:3 “was trying to see who Jesus was” This IMPERFECT TENSE here means continual action in past time. The Holy Spirit had already done His work drawing this man.

“for he was small in stature” This term usually means “age” (cf. 2:52; John 9:21,23; Heb. 11:11), but in the NT it can also mean “height” (cf. 12:25; and possibly 2:52 and Eph. 4:13; Matt. 6:27). In this context it can refer to the height or stature of Zaccheus or Jesus, but most probably of Zaccheus.

19:4 “he ran on ahead and climbed up into a sycamore tree” This is highly unusual for an Oriental of great wealth. His pride was gone. One wonders what he had heard about Jesus.

“sycamore” This is not the same as the sycamore tree in America. This tree is of the nettle family, which also includes the mulberry and fig. In Greek the term sukomorea is made up of “fig” (sukon) and “mulberry” (moron). It was a large tree with low branches, which made it easy to climb (cf. United Bible Societies, Fauna and Flora of the Bible, pp. 179-182).

19:5 “He looked up and said to him, ‘Zaccheus, hurry and come down’” This is an AORIST ACTIVE PARTICIPLE (used in the sense of an IMPERATIVE) combined with an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE which means “come down quickly.” I wonder how Jesus knew his name. Some commentators use John 1:47-48 as a parallel of Jesus’ supernatural knowledge.

“I must stay at your house” Jesus had a divine appointment with this man (use of dei). I am so glad Jesus loved outcasts, both rich and poor, male and female, Jew and Gentile!

19:6 Zaccheus was thrilled!

19:7 “When they saw it, they all began to grumble” This is another IMPERFECT TENSE. The root word for “grumble” is from the buzzing of bees (cf. 5:30). It was used in the Septuagint to describe the griping (murmuring) of Israel (cf. Exod. 15:24; 16:2,7,8; Num. 14:2). It is found only twice in the NT, both in Luke’s Gospel (cf. 15:2; 19:7). The local Jews felt that Jesus’ fellowship with sinners (those unable or unwilling to observe the Oral Traditions) made him ceremonially unclean and theologically suspect.

19:8 “Zaccheus stopped and said” Zaccheus must have heard the murmuring! This is his public statement of confession, repentance, and restitution as a sign that a brand new relationship of love and forgiveness had been established with God through this encounter with Jesus, the Messiah.

“half of my possessions I will give to the poor” This was a large amount of money. Being right with God opens one’s eyes to the needs of the poor!

Some think this refers to his regular actions, like Cornelius (cf. Acts 10:2), but in context it seems that it refers to his accumulated wealth. This man is an antithesis to the rich man in chapter 18.

“if” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which is assumed to be true. He was admitting that he had defrauded the people.

“I will give back four times as much” He was using the OT standard from Exod. 22:1 and the example in II Sam. 12:6 as restitution for a violent robbery. This was a much larger sum than Lev. 6:5 and Num. 5:7, where only an added one fifth was required as restitution. His restitution was a proof of a changed heart, not a means to it. This statement, combined with the previous promise, meant he was not a wealthy man anymore. What a contrast to chapter 18!

19:9 “And Jesus said to him, ‘Today salvation has come to this house’” A new relationship with God was evidenced by this man’s changed attitude and actions, which impacted his whole family (cf. Acts 10:2; 11:14; 16:15,31-33; 18:8). This salvation was a present reality (cf. II Cor. 6:2), as well as a future consummation.

The phrase “to this house” implies that the other members of the extended family and servants would be affected by Zaccheus’ conversion. Household evangelism is seen several times in Acts (cf. 10:2; 11:14; 16:15,31-34; 18:8). Western individualism is not the only biblical model.
“because he, too, is a son of Abraham” Apparently he was already a racial Jew, but now through faith in Jesus, he had become a true descendant of Abraham by faith (cf. Rom. 2:28-29; 4:12; Gal. 6:16). His lineage did not bring salvation, but his faith, evidenced by his public confession and restitution, surely did!

19:10 “the Son of Man has come to seek and to save that which was lost” This may be an allusion to the Septuagint of Ezek. 34:16 and it certainly relates to Luke 1:68-79. It is similar to the emphasis of Luke 15’s parables. It is also similar to the central summary statement of the Gospel of Mark (cf. Mark 10:45 and I Tim. 1:15). Theologically Jesus came for several reasons: (1) to reveal the Father; (2) to die for sin; and (3) to give converted humans an example to follow. In this context the redemptive theme is emphasized.

The word “lost” is a PERFECT ACTIVE PARTICIPLE of apollumi, which means to destroy. Here it is used as a metaphor of permanent spiritual loss.

**SPECIAL TOPIC: DESTRUCTION (APOLLUMI)**

This term has a wide semantic field, which has caused great confusion in relation to the theological concepts of eternal judgment vs. annihilation. The basic literal meaning is from apo plus ollumi, “to ruin,” “to destroy.”

The problem comes in this term’s figurative usages. This can be clearly seen in Louw and Nida’s *Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament, Based On Semantic Domains*, vol. 2, p. 30. It lists several meanings of this term.

2. fail to obtain (ex. Matt. 10:42, vol. 1, p. 566)
5. die (ex. Matt. 10:39; vol. 1, p. 266)

Gerhard Kittel, in *Theological Dictionary of the New Testament*, vol. 1, p. 394, tries to delineate the different usages by listing four meanings.

1. to destroy or kill (ex. Matt. 2:13; 27:20; Mark 3:6; 9:22; Luke 6:9; I Cor. 1:19)
2. to lose or suffer loss from (ex. Mark 9:41; Luke 15:4,8)
4. to be lost (ex. Matt. 5:29-30; Mark 2:22; Luke15: 4,6,24,32; 21:18; Acts 27:34)

Kittel then says

“in general we may say that #2 and #4 underlie statements relating to this world as in the Synoptics, whereas #1 and #3 underlie those relating to the next world, as in Paul and John” (p. 394).

Herein lies the confusion. The term has such a wide semantic usage that different NT authors use it in a variety of ways. I like Robert B. Girdlestone, *Synonyms of the Old Testament*, pp. 275-277. He relates the term to those humans who are morally destroyed and waiting eternal separation from God versus those humans who know Christ and have eternal life in Him. The latter group is “saved,” while the former group is destroyed.

I personally do not think that this term denotes annihilation. The term “eternal” is used of both eternal punishment and eternal life in Matt. 25:46. To depreciate one is to depreciate both!

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 19:11-27**

11While they were listening to these things, Jesus went on to tell a parable, because He was near Jerusalem, and they supposed that the kingdom of God was going to appear immediately. 12So He said, "A nobleman went to a distant country to receive a kingdom for himself, and then return. 13"And he called ten of his slaves, and gave them ten minas and said to them, 'Do business with this until I come back.' 14But his citizens hated him and sent a delegation after him, saying, 'We do not want this man to reign over us.' 15When he returned, after receiving the kingdom, he ordered that these slaves, to whom he had given the money, be called to him so that he might know what business they had done. 16The first appeared, saying, "Master, your mina has made ten minas more." 17And he said to him, "Well done, good slave, because you have been faithful in a very little thing, you are to be in authority over ten cities." 18The second came, saying, "Your mina, master, has made five minas." 19And he said to him also, "And you are to be over five cities." 20The third came, saying, "Master, here is your mina, which I kept put away in a handkerchief; 21for I was afraid of you, because you are an exacting man; you take up what you did not lay down and reap what you did not sow." 22He said to him, 'By your own words I will judge you, you worthless slave. Did you know that I am an exacting man, taking up what I did not lay down and reaping what
I did not sow? 23Then why did you not put my money in the bank, and having come, I would have collected it with interest? 24Then he said to the bystanders, 'Take the mina away from him and give it to the one who has the ten minas.' 25And they said to him, 'Master, he has ten minas already.' 26I tell you that to everyone who has, more shall be given, but from the one who does not have, even what he does have shall be taken away. 27But these enemies of mine, who did not want me to reign over them, bring them here and slay them in my presence.'

19:11 “a parable” See the Introduction to chapter 8 for principles for interpreting parables.

- Jesus gives two reasons for telling this parable at this time and place:
  1. He was approaching Jerusalem
  2. the crowd was expecting an immediate coming of the Kingdom


- “the kingdom of God was going to appear immediately” The Jews only expected one climactic coming of God in history through the Messiah and the setting up of the Age of Righteousness with Jews in charge! Many thought this would happen when Jesus came to Jerusalem at Passover (a kind of second deliverance). See Special Topics “The Kingdom of God” at 4:21 and “This Age and the Age to Come” at 2:17.

19:12 This is similar to Matt. 25:14-30. Obviously Jesus used the same themes in different settings for different purposes.

- NASB, NRSV, NJB “a nobleman”
- NKJV “a certain nobleman”
- TEV “there was once a man”

This parable is introduced with τις, which is normally translated “a certain.” Many of Luke’s parables are introduced with this textual marker (cf. 7:41; 10:30; 14:16; 15:11; 16:1,19; 19:12).

- “A nobleman went to a distant country to receive a kingdom for himself, and then return” Many historians see this as an allusion to Herod the Great’s death and his son Archelaus’s attempted succession (cf. v. 14, which is an unusual footnote). This historical incident is recorded in Josephus’ Antiq. 17.9,1-3; 11.1-2.

19:13 “he called ten of his slaves” Although he called ten, only three are mentioned specifically. The term doulos would denote a household servant.

- “and gave them ten minas” This is the Greek term maneh (Semitic loan word mena), which equals one hundred drachmas, (one sixth of a talent). A drachma is equivalent to a denarius, which was the day’s wage for a laborer or soldier. Therefore, this was less than one third of a year’s wage, not a large sum at all. It may reflect this master’s stinginess or frugality. See Special Topic: Coins in Use in Palestine of Jesus’ Day at 15:8.

- NASB, NRSV “do business with this until I come back”
- NKJV “do business till I come”
- TEV “See what you can earn with this while I am gone”
- NJB “Trade with these, until I get back”

This is an AORIST MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE (“do business”) followed by a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) INDICATIVE (“while I am gone”). In John 14:3 it is used of the return of Jesus. The master was testing the skills and trustworthiness of his servants. He gave them some responsibility! He will call them to account at an unspecified future date (cf. vv. 15-20).

19:17
- NASB “you are to be in authority over ten cities”
- NKJV “have authority over ten cities”
- NRSV “take charge of ten cities”
- TEV “I will put you in charge of ten cities”
- NJB “you shall have the government of ten cities”

This is a PERIPHERASTIC PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE, which denotes continuing authority.
19:20 “handkerchief”? Possibly there is an Aramaic confusion between the word “ground” (see parallel in Matt. 25:25) and “handkerchief.” The custom of the day would have this man burying the money in the ground for safekeeping. However, this term is used of a cloth in John 11:44.

19:21 “I was afraid of you” This is an IMPERFECT MIDDLE (deponent) INDICATIVE, which denotes repeated action in past time. Paralyzing fear is not a motive for effective service.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NASB, NJB</th>
<th>“you are an exacting man”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NKJV</td>
<td>“you are an austere man”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRSV</td>
<td>“you are a harsh man”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEV</td>
<td>“you are a hard man”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Greek word is used in the Septuagint of II Macc. 14:30 for “harsh,” “rough,” or “sour behavior.” It is used in the Koine Papyri for an exacting, strict, penny-pincher and letter-of-the-law type of personality.

This word is just part of the parable. It in no way describes Christ at judgment (cf. II Cor. 5:10).

19:22 Some translations make this verse a question (NASB, NRSV, NJB, NIV), but others see it as an affirmation (NKJV, TEV, NAB).

19:24-26 Remember this is a near eastern parable, which often uses hyperbole. The details of the story cannot be allegorized. These overstatements are usually part of the surprising twist which denotes the main point of the parable. Possibly this is analogous to 8:18.

The central paradox of the gospel is that salvation is free in the finished work of the Messiah, but the resulting reality is a cost-everything service (cf. Eph. 2:8-9,10). See Special Topic: Degrees of Rewards and Punishments at 10:12.

19:25 The NKJV and NRSV put this verse in brackets because some ancient Greek texts omit it (D, W, several lectionaries, as well as some old Latin, Syrian, and Coptic translations. The UBS’ translation committee rates its inclusion as “certain”!

19:27 “But bring these enemies of mine, who did not want me to reign over them, bring them here and slay them in my presence” This statement refers to v. 14. Exactly how the people who rejected the master’s reign relate to the slave who did not act, is uncertain. Possibly two groups are judged:

1. those who reject the master’s reign
2. those who refuse to act in the service of the master.

The introduction in v. 11 relates this to Jesus’ triumphal entry into Jerusalem. The people and their leaders will reject Jesus and be rejected for different reasons, related to #1. Zaccheus was fully accepted and his actions proved it, which related to #2.

### NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 19:28

28After He had said these things, He was going on ahead, going up to Jerusalem.

19:28 This paragraph division matches NRSV. This continues Luke’s theme of Jesus’ travels to Jerusalem, begun in 9:51 and here concluded in His triumphal entry.

### NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 19:29-40

29When He approached Bethphage and Bethany, near the mount that is called Olivet, He sent two of the disciples, 30saying, “Go into the village ahead of you; there, as you enter, you will find a colt tied on which no one yet has ever sat; untie it and bring it here. 31If anyone asks you, ‘Why are you untying it?’ you shall say, ‘The Lord has need of it.’” 32So those who were sent away and found it just as He had told them. 33As they were untying the colt, its owners said to them, “Why are you untying the colt?” 34They said, “The Lord has need of it.” 35They brought it to Jesus, and they threw their coats on the colt and put Jesus on it. 36As He was going, they were spreading their coats on the road. 37As soon as He was approaching, near the descent of the Mount of Olives, the whole crowd of the disciples began to praise God joyfully with a loud voice for all the miracles which they had seen, 38shouting: “BLESSED IS THE KING WHO COMES IN THE NAME OF THE LORD; Peace in heaven and glory in the highest!” 39Some of the Pharisees in the crowd said to Him, “Teacher, rebuke Your disciples.” 40But Jesus answered, “I tell you, if these become silent, the stones will cry out!”

19:29 “Bethphage” The Talmud says this was a suburb of Jerusalem. The exact location is uncertain. Its name meant “house of unripened figs.”
“Bethany” This village was about two miles away from Jerusalem on the opposite side of the ridge of which the Mount of Olives was a part. When Jesus was in Jerusalem, He normally stayed in the home of Mary, Martha, and Lazarus, which was located in Bethany.

19:30 “you will find a colt tied on which no one yet has sat” This is a prophetic (typological) fulfillment of Zech. 9:9 (cf. Matt. 21:2). It must be remembered that the donkey (mule, cf. II Sam. 18:9; I Kgs. 1:33) was a royal mount of the kings of Israel. Near eastern asses were large, stately animals (United Bible Societies, *Fauna and Flora of the Bible*, 2nd ed., pp. 5-7). This was a symbol of Jesus’ kingliness, not simply His being a man of peace. The reason it was a colt that no one had ridden is because the king had his own donkey that no one else rode (cf. I Kgs. 1:33). Jesus had either made previous arrangements (cf. vv. 31, 33-34) for this animal or he was using His supernatural knowledge.

19:31 “if” This is a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which denotes potential action.

19:36 “they were spreading their coats on the road” This was a sign of kingship (cf. II Kgs. 9:13). Luke does not mention the palm branches here. This event is called “the triumphal entry into Jerusalem” (cf. Matt. 21:1-9; Mark 11:1-10; John 12:12-15).

19:37 “near the descent of the Mount of Olives” M. R. Vincent, *Word Studies*, vol. 1, p. 208, makes the topological comment that on this pilgrim road approaching Jerusalem one can see the city briefly (v. 37), but then it is hidden again for a period. Verse 41 describes the panoramic view of the final descent when the temple comes into full view.

19:38 “BLESSED IS THE KING WHO COMES IN THE NAME OF THE LORD” This is a quote from Ps. 118:26, but is modified: (1) “the King” replaces “the one who” (cf. 13:35) and it (2) omits Mark’s “hosanna” (cf. Mark 11:9-10 because Gentiles would not know this term). This quote (and Mark’s) does not fit the MT (Masoretic Text) or the LXX (Septuagint). This is part of the Hallel (praise) psalms (Ps. 113-118) that were traditionally (1) quoted to pilgrims entering Jerusalem during the Passover season; (2) chanted while the Passover lambs were slaughtered in the temple; (3) chanted on the first day of Passover (and the other major feast days); and (4) quoted before meals during Passover week (Ps. 113-114) and after meals (Ps. 115-118). I believe much that is being said here was done every year, but the fact that the people of Jerusalem and the pilgrims applied it specifically to Jesus of Nazareth shows that they understood the uniqueness of His person and work. This was not just another Passover!

19:39 “Peace in heaven and glory in the highest” This phrase is not part of Ps. 118:26. It was part of the angel’s message to the shepherds (cf. Luke 2:14). The promised peace is about to have a surprising redemptive cost (Calvary, cf. Isa. 53).

19:40 “if” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE (using *ean*, cf. Acts 8:31).

19:41 “the stones will cry out” This is possibly a proverb of immanent divine judgment (cf. Hab. 2:11). It could relate to nature’s convulsions at God’s approach (i.e. visitation, cf. v. 44).

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 19:41-44**

41When He approached *Jerusalem*, He saw the city and wept over it, 42saying, "If you had known in this day, even you, the things which make for peace! But now they have been hidden from your eyes.

44For the days will come upon you when your enemies will throw up a barricade against you, and surround you and hem you in on every side, 45and they will level you to the ground and your children within you, and they will not leave in you one stone upon another, because you did not recognize the time of your visitation."
19:41 “He saw the city and wept over it” Here we see Jesus expressing human emotions over the tragedy of His rejection by His own people (cf. 13:34-35). The OT conditional promises have been nullified; only judgment remains!

19:42 “if” This is an incomplete SECOND CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE (A. T. Robertson, Word Pictures, vol. 2, p. 246). The Jewish leadership and most of the population of Jerusalem (“even you”) did not know the significance of Jesus’ coming.

| NASB, NRSV | “the things which make for peace” |
| NKJV | “the things that make for your peace” |
| TEV | “what is needed for peace” |
| NJB | “the way to peace” |

This refers to spiritual peace, peace with God which comes only through faith in Christ. Jesus brought this peace if they would only listen to Him and respond (cf. Isa. 48:18). Jesus was not the kind of Messiah they expected, so they rejected Him and by so doing, sealed their physical (destruction of Jerusalem) and spiritual (personal and corporate lostness) doom.

“but now they have been hidden from your eyes” This is an AORIST PASSIVE INDICATIVE. Luke mentioned this in 9:45; 10:21; 18:34. It either denotes a divine blinding (cf. Rom. 11:7,25) or a way of expressing rejection.

19:43-44 This seems to refer to the destruction of Jerusalem (in five descriptive phrases of OT siege warfare, cf. Jer. 6) under the Roman General Titus in A.D. 70 as well as possibly foreshadowing the events of eschatological judgment (cf. Luke 21; Ps. 2; Ezek. 38-39; Dan. 9:24-27; Zech. 13-14; Rev. 20:7-10).

The Bible is clear that a period of persecution precedes the Second Coming. However, some commentators believe that A.D.70 completely fulfills this prediction. They are called preterists. A good example of this position is John Bray, Matthew 24 Fulfilled.

Other commentators expect a future literal fulfillment affecting the city of Jerusalem and the nation of Israel. They are called dispensational premillennialists. Two good books are Dispensationalism Today by Charles C. Ryrie (reformed dispensationalism) and Progressive Dispensationalism by Blaising and Bock (progressive dispensationalism). There are also many who claim to be historical premillennialists, like George E. Ladd, who hold to a similar end-time agenda.

This may be a multiple fulfillment prophecy, but I think the NT universalizes the OT prophecies whereby geographical and/or racial Israel is no longer the key to the gospel. The OT prophecies have been fulfilled and now include all people.

19:43 “the days will come” This was an idiom used of (1) the eschatological coming of the bridegroom (cf. 5:35) and (2) the destruction of Jerusalem (cf. 23:29). This idiom is used in the Septuagint of God’s judgment on (1) Eli and his family (cf. I Sam. 2:31) and (2) Hezekiah’s arrogant actions turning into prophecy of Judah’s and the temple’s destruction by Babylon (cf. II Kgs. 20:17).

19:44 “Because you did not recognize” This refers to v. 42 (SECOND CLASS CONDITIONAL). The Jews should have seen evidence in Jesus’ words and actions that fulfilled OT prophecy. Their cherished traditions blinded them to the truth, however. May God have mercy on all of the fallen race of Adam!

Although Jesus never specifically mentions “the remnant” concept from the OT prophets, in effect, His disciples and followers were this believing prophetic remnant from Israel (cf. “little flock” of Luke 12:32). Even in the OT Israel as a whole was never “right” with YHWH. The “lost” of 19:10 surely includes Israel!

“the time of your visitation” From the OT this time of visitation could be for blessing or judgment (cf. Isa. 10:3; 23:17; 24:22; 29:6, episkopē in the LXX). In Luke 1:68,78 and I Pet. 2:12 it is a visitation of blessing for believers, but judgment for unbelievers (i.e. the Second Coming). It must be remembered that God’s love and grace spurned turns to God’s wrath of accountability and judgment (cf. the parable of 19:11-27).

NASB “and they will level you to the ground and your children within you”
NKJV “and level you, and your children with you”
NRSV “they will crush you to the ground, you and your children within you”
TEV “they will completely destroy you and the people within your walls”
NJB “they will crush you and the children inside your walls to the ground”

The death of children may be an allusion to Ps. 137:8-9, where the very same VERB is used in the Septuagint. The VERB literally means “to level” (cf. Isa. 3:26) but came to mean metaphorically “to dash to the ground” (cf. Hos. 10:14; Nah. 3:10). This VERB occurs only here in the NT. As salvation affected Zaccheus’ family (cf. 19:9), so too, is judgment a corporate experience (i.e. all the inhabitants of Jerusalem, cf. vv. 44-45).
I often ponder this corporate aspect of biblical faith, since I have grown up in a western, individual-focused culture. However, the Bible speaks of judgment to the third and fourth generations (cf. Deut. 5:9), but covenant loyalty to a thousand generations (cf. Deut. 5:10; 7:9)! This has helped me in my confidence that God will work with my children and their children (but this does not eliminate personal choice and consequences).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 19:45-46

45Jesus entered the temple and began to drive out those who were selling, saying to them, "It is written, 'AND MY HOUSE SHALL BE A HOUSE OF PRAYER,' but you have made it a ROBBERS' DEN."

19:45 “Jesus entered the temple and began to drive out those who were selling” This seems to be a prophetic fulfillment of Mal. 3:1-2 (“suddenly” is combined with “judgment”). John 2:13ff records a cleansing of the Temple earlier in Jesus’ ministry, while the Synoptics record a cleansing in the last week of His ministry. Because of the thematic organization and freedom of the four Gospel writers, it is uncertain whether there were only one or two cleansings of the temple. The Sadducees owned the commercial rights on the Mount of Olives and in the Court of the Gentiles. They were cheating the people (1) with their exorbitant charges to exchange common coins into the Tyrian shekel and (2) their quick disqualifications of sacrificial animals brought from home. The sacrificial animals available through these merchants were very expensive.

This act of Jesus
1. reveals His authority
2. reveals the corruption in God’s house
3. seals His death by the Jewish leaders (Sadducees, Herodians, and Pharisees, cf. v. 47)

19:46 “‘It is written’” This is an idiom for Scripture. Exactly why Luke did not quote the entire passage (cf. Isa. 56:7), which continues (in both MT and LXX), “unto all nations” is uncertain, because it would seem to fit his recurrent theme of a universal love of God through Jesus for all humans (of which Zaccheus is an immediate example).

“but you have made it A ROBBERS’ DEN” Luke is combining Isa. 57:6 with Jer. 7:11 (“a den of robbers”). Jeremiah 7 is his famous temple sermon addressed to those who are trusting in the temple instead of YHWH.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 19:47-48

47And He was teaching daily in the temple; but the chief priests and the scribes and the leading men among the people were trying to destroy Him, 48and they could not find anything that they might do, for all the people were hanging on to every word He said.

19:47 “He was teaching daily in the temple” This is a PERIPHERASTIC IMPERFECT. Jesus taught regularly in public during this last week of His life. Part of the Temple area was dedicated to teaching. It was known as the Portico of Solomon and it surrounded the Court of the Women; therefore, all visitors to the Temple (Jewish men and women, as well as Gentiles) could hear Him.

19:48 This verse is the culmination of the hostility which began in 6:11 and 11:53-54. It is quite sad because the leaders refused to listen to Jesus because they were threatened by His popularity. However, His popularity never lasted because the crowd heard the call to total commitment and service that was required and they were unwilling to pay the cost. The leaders wanted a different gospel and the people an easier gospel!
DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Compare the attitude of Zaccheus in vv. 1-10 with the attitude of the rich young ruler in vv. 18-23.
2. What is the central theological truth of the parable found in vv. 12-27?
3. What is the significance of the Triumphal Entry?
4. Why did Jesus cleanse the Temple? Why did the religious leaders allow Him to cleanse the Temple? How many times did He cleanse the Temple?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Authority of Jesus Questioned</td>
<td>Jesus’ Authority Questioned</td>
<td>On Jesus’ Authority</td>
<td>The Question About Jesus’ Authority</td>
<td>The Jews Question Jesus’ Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20:3-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20:5-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20:8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Parable of the Vineyard and the Tenants</td>
<td>The Parable of the Wicked Vinedressers</td>
<td>Parable of the Vineyard</td>
<td>The Parable of the Tenants in the Vineyard</td>
<td>Parable of the Wicked Tenants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20:15b-16a</td>
<td>20:15b-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20:16b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20:17-18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Question About Paying Taxes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20:19-22</td>
<td>20:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paying Taxes to Caesar</td>
<td>The Pharisees: Is It Lawful to Pay Taxes to Caesar?</td>
<td>Paying Taxes to Caesar</td>
<td>On Tribute to Caesar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20:23-24a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20:24b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20:25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20:26</td>
<td>20:26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Question About the Resurrection</td>
<td>The Sadducees: What About the Resurrection?</td>
<td>Questions About the Resurrection</td>
<td>The Question About Rising From Death</td>
<td>The Resurrection of the Dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20:27-40</td>
<td>20:34-38</td>
<td>20:34-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Question About David’s Son</td>
<td>Jesus: How Can David Call His Descendant Lord?</td>
<td>David’s Son</td>
<td>The Question About the Messiah</td>
<td>Christ Not Only Son but Also Lord of David</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Denouncing of the Scribes</td>
<td>Beware of the Scribes</td>
<td>On Pride and Humility</td>
<td>Jesus Warns Against the Teachers of the Law</td>
<td>The Scribes Condemned by Jesus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:45-47</td>
<td>20:45-47</td>
<td>20:45-47</td>
<td>20:45-47</td>
<td>20:45-47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS TO 20:1-47

This chapter is a series of confrontations.

A. Religious leaders attack Jesus
   1. the chief priest, scribes, and elders (i.e. the Sanhedrin) ask the crucial question about the source of Jesus’ authority, vv. 1-8
   2. the Sanhedrin sends spies to try to catch Him by asking controversial, political questions meant to provide accusation which could be presented to the Roman authorities, vv. 19-26
   3. the Sadducees ask a theological question related to the resurrection, vv. 27-40

B. Jesus challenges the attackers
   1. He responds to the Sanhedrin’s question
      a. with a question, vv. 3-8
      b. with a parable of God’s rejection of Israel and her leadership, vv. 9-18
   2. He responds to those trying to catch Him in a controversial political issue by a powerful, practical answer relating to human responsibility to God and government, vv. 23-25
   3. He responds to the Sadducees’ tricky, ridiculous question about Leverite (brother-in-law) marriage by asking them a question, vv. 41-44
   4. He responds to all of them by a stern warning to the crowd, vv. 45-47

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 20:1-8

1On one of the days while He was teaching the people in the temple and preaching the gospel, the chief priests and the scribes with the elders confronted Him, and they spoke, saying to Him, "Tell us by what authority You are doing these things, or who is the one who gave You this authority?" 2Jesus answered and said to them, "I will also ask you a question, and you tell Me: "Was the baptism of John from heaven or from men?" 3They reasoned among themselves, saying, "If we say, 'From heaven,' He will say, 'Why did you not believe him?' "But if we say, 'From men,' all the people will stone us to death, for they are convinced that John was a prophet." 4So they answered that they did not know where it came from. 5And Jesus said to them, "Nor will I tell you by what authority I do these things."

**20:1** This opening phrase shows that Jesus repeatedly and regularly taught in public in full view. He imparted truth to whomever would come, listen, and receive. Christianity is open to all. There are no required academic degrees or special callings. Whosoever will may come to God’s banquet of truth and eat (cf. Isa. 55:1-13). Be careful of special teachers, speakers, and preachers who claim secret knowledge or special insight! No gurus or illuminati in biblical Christianity!
“the chief priests and the scribes” This is the official designation for the Sanhedrin, which was the supreme court of the Jews made up of seventy leaders in Jerusalem. See Special Topic: The Sanhedrin at 9:22.

NASB, NKJV “confronted Him”
NRSV, TEV “came”
NJB “came up”

Luke uses the Greek word ἐπιστᾶμι often in his writings, but it is found in the rest of the NT only in Paul’s writings. It has several connotations:
1. to approach suddenly, 2:9; 21:34; 24:4; Acts 12:7; 23:27
2. to assault, 20:1 (and possibly 10:40); Acts 4:1; 6:12; 17:5

Remember that context, not a pre-set definition, determines meaning. Words have semantic ranges and only a context (sentence, paragraph) can denote which connotation is meant by the original author.

These religious leaders (Sanhedrin controlled by Sadducees) were concerned with both Jesus’ popularity and His cleansing of the temple (cf. 19:45-46). Jesus confronted their authority (the temple) with His own authority (He was the new temple of God, cf. Matt. 26:41; 27:40; Mark 14:58).

20:2 “‘Tell us by what authority You are doing these things, or who is the one who gave You this authority’” This question of authority (ἐξουσία) is a central issue that all humans must think through (1) about God (world religions); (2) about the Bible (holy books); and (3) about Jesus of Nazareth (holy persons). Humans are incurably religious creatures, but who speaks the truth?

SPECIAL TOPIC: LUKE’S USE OF EXOUSIA (AUTHORITY)

It is interesting to observe Luke’s use of exousia (authority, power, or legal right).
1. In 4:6 Satan claims to be able to give Jesus authority.
2. In 4:32,36 the Jewish people were amazed at how Jesus taught using His personal authority.
3. In 9:1 He gave His power and authority to His Apostles.
4. In 10:19 He gave His authority to the seventy missionaries.
5. In 20:2,8 the central question of Jesus’ authority is asked.
6. In 22:53 evil has been allowed authority to condemn and kill Jesus.

Although not in Luke, Matthew’s introduction to the Great Commission, “all authority has been given to Me in heaven and on earth,” is a marvelous statement (Matt. 28:18).

Jesus’ answer to their question would have been
1. God’s spoken words at His
   a. baptism (Luke 3:21-22)
   b. transfiguration (Luke 9:35)
2. OT fulfilled prophecy
   a. tribe of Judah (cf. Gen. 49:10)
   b. family of Jesse (cf. II Sam.7)
   c. born in Bethlehem (cf. Micah 5:2)
   d. Born during the fourth empire (Rome) of Dan. 2
   e. helped the poor, blind, needy (Isaiah)
3. His exorcisms revealed His power and authority over Satan and his kingdom.
4. His resuscitations of the dead showed His power over physical life and death.
5. His miracles all reveal His power and authority over the temporal, spacial, and physical.
   a. nature
   b. feedings
   c. healings
   d. mind readings
   e. catching fish

20:3 “‘Tell Me: “Was the baptism of John from heaven or from men”’” Jesus’ counter question asks them about the source of John’s authority to baptize Jews. John the Baptist had previously witnessed to Jesus’ person and authority (cf. 7:18-23; John 1:29). If they answered the question affirmatively, they would have their answer about Jesus’ authority. Neither John or Jesus
were official scribes or teachers. Yet both clearly exhibited God’s power and authority, which the people recognized and affirmed. The question of authority is not only a theological issue, but a practical matter of motives, actions, and results. Jesus’ authority was clearly revealed in His life, teachings, and actions.

20:5 “if” This is a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which denotes potential action (so is v. 6).

- Self-interest, not truth, was the main issue in their deliberation.

20:6 “all the people will stone us” Although this term does not appear in the Septuagint, this is the OT response to blasphemy (cf. Lev. 24:14-23; Num. 15:35-36; Deut. 13:1-5; 21:21). Speaking the truth was important. Lying or false statements had serious consequences, especially for those who claimed to speak for God.

- “for they are convinced that John was a prophet” The term “convinced” is a PERIPHRASTIC PERFECT PASSIVE. The people had a settled conviction that John the Baptist was a prophet.

20:7 They sidestepped the question. They had an opinion, but they would not put themselves in jeopardy. Honesty was mitigated by self-interest. Jesus refused to respond to these hypocrites (cf. v. 8). They did not want truth; they were not seeking information, but looking for an opportunity to condemn and attack for self interest!

---

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 20:9-18**

> 9And He began to tell the people this parable: "A man planted a vineyard and rented it out to vine-growers, and went on a journey for a long time.  10At the harvest time he sent a slave to the vine-growers, so that they would give him some of the produce of the vineyard; but the vine-growers beat him and sent him away empty-handed.  11And he proceeded to send another slave; and they beat him also and treated him shamefully and sent him away empty-handed.  12And he proceeded to send a third; and this one also they wounded and cast out.  13The owner of the vineyard said, 'What shall I do? I will send my beloved son; perhaps they will respect him.'  14But when the vine-growers saw him, they reasoned with one another, saying, 'This is the heir; let us kill him so that the inheritance will be ours.'  15So they threw him out of the vineyard and killed him. What, then, will the owner of the vineyard do to them?  16He will come and destroy these vine-growers and will give the vineyard to others.” When they heard it, they said, “May it never be!”  17But Jesus looked at them and said, "What then is this that is written: 'THE STONE WHICH THE BUILDERS REJECTED, THIS BECAME THE CHIEF CORNER STONE'?  18Everyone who falls on that stone will be broken to pieces; but on whomever it falls, it will scatter him like dust."

---

20:9 This is paralleled in Mark 12:1-12 and Matthew 21:33-46.

- “And He began to tell the people this parable” See the introduction to chapter 8 for helpful guidelines for interpreting parables. This parable refers to either (1) the nation of Israel (cf. Isa. 5) or (2) the Jewish leadership (cf. v. 19). This is the strongest rejection of first century Judaism in the NT. Rejection of Jesus is rejection of God (cf. I John 5:10-12). This is a hard, shocking, culturally unacceptable (post-modernity), exclusivistic teaching, but true (if the NT is inspired!)

- “a man planted a vineyard” In the Markan parallel (12:1-11) Mark (who records and organizes Peter’s sermons in Rome) introduces the parable with a quote from the Septuagint of Isa. 5:1-2. The grapevine was one of the symbols for the nation of Israel (as was the fig tree in Matt. 21:18-22 and Mark 11:12-14,20-25, but not in Luke).

  It is hard to determine whether God rejected
  1. Israel’s illegal, non-Aaronic leaders
  2. her self-righteous legalism
  3. the unbelief of the entire nation

  There is a Greek manuscript variant in this verse. Some manuscripts (MSS A and W, as well as the Syrian and Armenian versions and the Diatessaron) add tis (a certain man). Luke often uses tis to introduce parables (cf. 7:41; 10:30; 12:16; 14:16; 15:11; 16:1,19; 19:12). Therefore, I think it may be original even though it is missing in MSS B, L, and the Vulgate and Coptic versions, as well as the Greek texts used by Origen and Augustine. The UBS4 gives its inclusion a “C” rating (difficulty in deciding).

20:10 This parable reflects the culturally expected landowner/tenant farmer relationship of first century Palestine. The landowner received a portion of the yield.
“beat him and sent him away empty handed” Here is the “surprise” or unexpected twist of the parable (cf. vv. 11-12,15). This repeated response would have shocked everyone!

20:12 “wounded” We get the English word “trauma” from this Greek word. Only Luke the physician uses it in the NT (cf. 10:34; 20:12; Acts 19:16).

20:13,15 “my beloved son. . .they threw him out of the vineyard and killed him” These two statements seem to relate specifically to the life of Jesus of Nazareth at the hands of the religious leaders (i.e. My Beloved Son, cf. 3:22; 9:35; Matt. 3:17; 17:5; Mark 9:7!)

20:16 “give the vineyard to others” This word seems to refer to Gentiles (cf. Rom. 11; John 10:16).

In Mark’s parallel Jesus asks the crowd a question (cf. Mark 12:9). Their answer seals their own doom!

“they said, ‘May it never be’” There are two ways to interpret this: (1) the Jewish leaders knew Jesus was talking directly to them (cf. Matt. 21:45 or (2) this refers to the answer of the crowd, who in Mark 12:9 are shocked by the actions of the wicked tenants, not the landlord giving his vineyard to other tenants.

They answered with an idiom which is an AORIST MIDDLE (deponent) OPTATIVE (a wish or prayer). This phrase is found several times in the Septuagint (cf. Gen. 44:17; Josh. 22:29; 24:26; I Kgs. 20:3). This is the only place it appears in the Gospels. Paul uses this same rare form often (cf. Rom. 3:4,6,31; 6:2,15; 7:7,13; 9:14; 11:1,11; I Cor. 6:15; Gal. 2:17; 3:21; 6:14). Jesus’ parable totally shocked these religious leaders. Judgment and transfer of the OT promises from Israel to others was too much to hear!

20:17 “What then is this that is written” This verse is a quote from the Septuagint of Ps. 118:22, which is part of the Hallel Psalms, quoted during Passover. The emphasis of this passage is on the one (Jesus) they deemed unworthy who had become chief and central leader and Savior. This OT quote showed that the Messiah would be rejected by Israel’s leaders.

“the stone” See Special Topic below.

SPECIAL TOPIC: CORNERSTONE

I. OT Usages
A. The concept of a stone as a hard durable item which made a good foundation was used to describe YHWH (cf. Ps. 18:1).
B. It then developed into a Messianic title (cf. Gen. 49:24; Ps. 118:22; Isa. 28:16).
C. It came to represent a judgment from YHWH by the Messiah (cf. Isa. 8:14; Dan. 2:34-35,44-45).
D. This developed into a building metaphor.
   1. a foundation stone (called “the cornerstone”), the first placed, which was secure and set the angles for the rest of the building
   2. it could also refer to the final stone put in place, (called “the cap stone” from the Hebrew rush, “head”), which holds the walls together (cf. Zech. 4:7; Eph. 2:20,21),
   3. it could refer to the “key stone,” which is in the center of the doorway arch and holds the weight of the entire wall.

II. NT Usages
B. Paul uses Ps. 118 in connection with YHWH’s rejection of faithless, rebellious Israel (cf. Rom. 9:33)
C. Paul uses the concept of a “cap stone” in Eph. 2:20-22 in reference to Christ
D. Peter uses this concept of Jesus in I Pet. 2:1-10. Jesus is the cornerstone and believers are the living stones (i.e. believers as temples, cf. I Cor. 6:19), built on Him (Jesus is the new Temple, cf. Mark 14:58; Matt. 12:6; John 2:19-20).
   The Jews rejected the very foundation of their hope when they rejected Jesus as Messiah

III. Theological Statements
A. YHWH allowed David/Solomon to build a temple. He told them that if they kept the covenant He would bless them and be with them, but if they did not the temple would be in ruins (cf. I Kgs. 9:1-9)!
B. Rabbinical Judaism focused on form and ritual and neglected the personal aspect of faith (this is not a blanket statement; there were godly rabbis). God seeks a daily, personal, godly relationship with those created in His image (cf. Gen. 1:26-27). Luke 20:17-18 contains frightening words of judgment.

C. Jesus used the concept of a temple to represent His physical body. This continues and expands the concept of personal faith in Jesus as the Messiah which is key to a relationship with YHWH.

D. Salvation is meant to restore the image of God in human beings so that fellowship with God is possible. The goal of Christianity is Christlikeness now. Believers are to become living stones built on/patterned after Christ (the new temple).

E. Jesus is the foundation of our faith and the cap stone of our faith (i.e. the Alpha and Omega). Yet also the stone of stumbling and the rock of offense. To miss Him is to miss everything. There can be no middle ground here!

20:18 ‘‘Everyone who falls on that stone’’ Notice that the person’s own choices and actions destroy himself/herself. This is an obvious Messianic reference. Rejecting Jesus results in being rejected by God!

‘‘will be broken to pieces’’ This is a FUTURE PASSIVE INDICATIVE of a word used several times in the Septuagint in judgment contexts (cf. Jdgs. 5:26; 9:53; Ps. 110:5; and Micah 3:3).

‘‘but on whomever it falls, it will scatter him like dust’’ Unbelief has eternal consequences (cf. Isa. 8:14-15; Dan. 2:34-35).

The ‘‘everyone’’ and ‘‘whomever’’ express the truth that judgment is for any and all who reject God’s representative, the Messiah, regardless of position, nationality, or rank.

‘‘like dust’’ This is the word used of winnowing grain so as to remove the husk which is scattered to the winds. This is also a judgment concept from the Septuagint (cf. Isa. 17:13; 30:22,24; 41:16; Jer. 30:27; Amos 9:9).

Verse 18 would be crystal clear to the Jewish leaders who heard it. Complete, total, and final judgment is coming!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 20:19-26

19 The scribes and the chief priests tried to lay hands on Him that very hour, and they feared the people; for they understood that He spoke this parable against them. 20 So they watched Him, and sent spies who pretended to be righteous, in order that they might catch Him in some statement, so that they could deliver Him to the rule and the authority of the governor. 21 They questioned Him, saying, 'Teacher, we know that You speak and teach correctly, and You are not partial to any, but teach the way of God in truth. 22 Is it lawful for us to pay taxes to Caesar, or not?' 23 But He detected their trickery and said to them, 24 'Show Me a denarius. Whose likeness and inscription does it have?' They said, 'Caesar's.' 25 And He said to them, 'Then render to Caesar the things that are Caesar's, and to God the things that are God's.' 26 And they were unable to catch Him in a saying in the presence of the people; and being amazed at His answer, they became silent.

20:19 “The scribes and the chief priests tried to lay hands on Him that very hour” They clearly understood Jesus’ words of condemnation. This parable’s meaning was not at all hidden from them (cf. Matt. 21:45). This parable and the cleansing of the temple sealed Jesus’ death.

“and they feared the people” They were afraid of either (1) the people’s wrath (cf. 20:6; 22:2) or (2) Rome’s reaction to a riot (cf. v. 20). In this context #1 is best.

“for they understood that He spoke this parable against them” It is obvious that the religious leaders recognized that the previous parable was specifically addressed to them (cf. Matt. 22:45).

20:20 “they watched Him” We learn from Matt. 22:15-16 and Mark 12:13 of this unlikely alliance between the Pharisees and the Herodians.

“spies” This was “someone hired to lie in wait” or “ambush” (cf. LXX Josh. 8:9; Ezek. 35:5; I Macc. 10:52). It was an OT idiom related to premeditated murder.

“pretended to be righteous” This is a theatrical word for “play acting” or hypocrisy (cf. LXX Job 34:30; 36:13; II Macc. 5:25; 6:21,24,25).
“to the rule and authority of the governor” The term “governor” referred to the Roman ruler (cf. Matt. 27:2; Luke 2:2; 3:1). This means that these spies had to come up with a political charge, not a dispute over the Mosaic Law.

The Sanhedrin did not have the official authority to enact the death penalty. From time to time they did participate in mob violence to remove people who threatened them, like Stephen in Acts 7.

Jesus was accused of blasphemy and should have been stoned. However, based on the curse of Deut. 21:23, where the scribes of Jesus’ day believed that being crucified meant being cursed by God, they wanted Jesus, this Messianic pretender, to be crucified!

I think this is one reason Paul had such a problem with Jesus being the promised Messiah, but after his personal encounter with Jesus on the Damascus Road (Acts 9), he saw that Jesus became the “curse” for us (cf. Gal. 3:13).

20:21 “You are not partial to any” This is literally “lift the face.” This originally meant no judicial favoritism by a Hebrew judge lifting a person’s face to see who he was before pronouncing judgment.

They are trying to use flattery to trick Jesus into making a compromising statement about Roman taxation.

20:22 “Is it lawful?” This implies “is it lawful under the commands of God?” They were asking a political question under the guise of a religious question.

“taxes” Both Matthew (22:27) and Mark (12:14) have “poll tax” (καταστολή). This was an annual personal tax on males between fourteen and sixty five years of age and women between twelve and sixty five (cf. James S. Jeffers, The Greco-Roman World, p. 143). It was one denarius and it went into the Emperor’s treasury. Luke has phoros (20:22; 23:2; also Paul in Rom. 13:6,7), usually translated “tribute.” Roman taxes were the major bone of contention with the Zealots. The Jewish leaders were hoping to catch Jesus on the horns of the dilemma between the people (Zealots) and Rome.

20:23 “He detected their trickery” See the parallel in Matt. 22:18.

20:24 “Show me a denarius” This was a Roman silver coin imprinted with the image of Tiberius Caesar, who reigned from A.D. 14-27. On one side was his image with the caption “Tiberius Caesar Augustus the Son of Divine Augustus.” On the opposite side was the statement “Highest Priest.” This coin represented Roman power and rule. It also asserted deity to the Caesar.

20:25 “Then render to Caesar the things that are Caesar’s, and to God the things that are God’s” Jesus asserted that humans do owe allegiance (apodidomi, meaning to give back what is due, to discharge an obligation) to the political authority under which they live (an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE, cf. Rom. 13:1-7; I Tim. 2:1-4; Titus 3:1). However, He also asserts that when the claims of government enter the area of God’s authority, believers must reject civil authority and receive whatever consequences there may be (cf. John’s Revelation). It is amazing to me how much of the modern discussion of the political concept of the separation of church and state has been built on this passage that has little to do with that issue. Most of our American political concerns about this issue come from historical precedents, not Scripture.

20:26 Later this same group of spies lied and perverted Jesus’ statements (cf. 23:2).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 20:27-33

27 Now there came to Him some of the Sadducees (who say that there is no resurrection), 28and they questioned Him, saying, "Teacher, Moses wrote for us that IF A MAN'S BROTHER DIES, having a wife, AND HE IS CHILDLESS, HIS BROTHER SHOULD MARRY THE WIFE AND RAISE UP CHILDREN TO HIS BROTHER. 29Now there were seven brothers; and the first took a wife and died childless; 30and the second 31and the third married her; and in the same way all seven died, leaving no children. 32Finally the woman died also. 33In the resurrection therefore, which one's wife will she be? For all seven had married her."

20:27 “the Sadducees” These were the wealthy aristocrats who supported the status quo because of their place of power. They controlled the high priesthood, which one family purchased from the Romans. Josephus said that they rejected the oral tradition so popular with the Pharisees and affirmed only the writings of Moses. They also rejected any concept of the afterlife (cf. Acts 23:8) that was popular among the Pharisees (cf. Acts 23:8; Josephus’ Wars of the Jews 2.8.14; The Antiquities of the Jews 18.1.4, which means they did not take seriously Job 14:14; 19:25-27 or Dan. 12:1-2. This is the only mention of this particular political religious group in the Gospel of Luke). See Special Topic below.
SPECIAL TOPIC: SADDUCEES

I. Origin of the Group
   A. Most scholars believe the name comes from Zadok, one of David’s high priests (cf. II Sam. 8:17; 15:24). Later, Solomon exiled Abiathar for supporting the rebellion of Adonijah (cf. I Kgs. 2:26-27) and recognized Zadok as the only High Priest (cf. I Kgs. 2:35). After the Babylonian exile this priestly line was reestablished in Joshua or Jeshua (cf. Hag. 1:1). This Levitical family was chosen to administer the temple. Later those who were of this priestly tradition and their supporters were called Sadducees.
   B. A ninth century A.D. rabbinical tradition (Aboth of Rabbi Nathan) says Zadok was a disciple of Antigonus of Sokho (second century B.C.). Zadok misunderstood a famous saying of his mentor involving “after dead rewards” and developed a theology that denied an afterlife and thereby also denied the resurrection of the body.
   C. Later within Judaism the Sadducees are identified with the Boethusians. Boethus was also a disciple of Antigonus of Sokho. He developed a theology similar to Zadok, which also denied an afterlife.
   D. The name Sadducee does not appear until the days of John Hyrcanus (135-104 B.C.), cited by Josephus (cf. Antiquities 13.10.5-6). In Antiquities 13.5.9 Josephus says there existed “three schools of thought”: Pharisees, Sadducees, and Essenes.
   E. There is a rival theory that they came from the time of the Seleucid rulers’ attempts to Hellenize the priesthood under Antiochus IV Epiphanes (175-163 B.C.). During the Maccabean revolt, a new priesthood was started in Simon Maccabees (142-135 B.C.) and his descendants (cf. I Macc. 14:41). These new Hasmonean high priests were the possible start of the aristocratic Sadducees. The Pharisees developed during this same time from the Hasidim (i.e. “the separated ones,” cf. I Macc. 2:42; 7:5-23).
   F. There is the modern theory (i.e. T. W. Manson), that Sadducee is a transliteration of the Greek term sundikoi. This term referred to local authorities who interfaced with Roman authority. This may explain why some Sadducees were not aristocratic priests, but were members of the Sanhedrin.

II. Distinctive Beliefs
   A. They were the conservative priestly faction during the Hasmonean and Roman periods.
   B. They were especially concerned with temple procedures, protocol, rituals, and liturgy.
   C. They held to the written Torah (i.e. Gen. - Deut.) as authoritative, but rejected the Oral Tradition (i.e. Talmud).
   D. They, therefore, rejected many of the cherished developed doctrines of the Pharisees
      2. the immortality of the soul (cf. Antiquities 18.1.3-4; Wars 2.8.14)
      3. the existence of an elaborate hierarchy of angels (cf. Acts 23:8)
      4. they took the “eye-for-an-eye” (lex talionis) literally and supported physical punishment and the death penalty (instead of a monetary settlement)
   E. Another area of theological dispute was predestination vs. free will. Of the three groups mentioned by Josephus
      1. the Essenes affirmed a kind of determinism
      2. the Sadducees emphasized human free will (cf. Antiquities 13.5.9; Wars 2.8.4)
      3. the Pharisees held somewhat of a balancing position between the other two
   F. In one sense the conflicts between the two groups (i.e. Sadducees - Pharisees) mirrored the tension between priests and prophets in the OT.
      Another tension arose from the fact that the Sadducees represented the social and landed gentry. They were the aristocrats (cf. Josephus’ Antiquities 13.10.6; 18.1.4-5; 20.9.1), while the Pharisees and scribes were the scholars and pious among the people of the land. This tension could be characterized as the temple in Jerusalem vs. the local synagogues throughout the land.
      Another tension may have represented the Sadducean rejection of the influence of Zoroastrianism on Pharisaic theology. One example is a highly developed angelology, a dualism between YHWH and Satan and an elaborate view of the afterlife in glowing physical terms. These excesses by the Essenes and Pharisees caused a reaction in the Sadducees. They return to the conservative position of “Moses-only” theology in an attempt to thwart the speculations of other Jewish groups.

III. Sources of Information
   A. Josephus is the chief source of information about the Sadducees. He was biased both by his commitment to the Pharisees and his interests in portraying a positive image of Jewish life to the Romans.
B. The other source of information is the rabbinical literature. However, here, too, a strong bias is evident. The Sadducees denied the relevance and authority of the Oral Tradition of the Elders (i.e. the Talmud). These Pharisaic writings obviously describe their opponents in negative, possibly exaggerated (straw man tactics) ways.

C. No known writings of the Sadducees themselves have survived. With the destruction of Jerusalem and the Temple in A.D. 70 all documents and influence of the priestly elite were destroyed. They wanted to maintain regional peace, and the only way to do that in the first century was to cooperate with Rome (cf. John 11:48-50).

20:28 “Moses wrote for us that” This refers to Deut. 25:5-10 in the Septuagint, commonly called the “Leverite marriage.” The term “Leverite” comes from the Latin word levir, which means “brother-in-law,” not “from the Tribe of Levi.” The issue at stake was inheritance (cf. Num. 27:6-11; Ruth 4:1-2). Josephus records that it was still practiced in Palestine in Jesus’ day (cf. Antiq. 4.8.23).

“if” This is a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which denotes potential action.

20:33 This question assumes that the afterlife will mimic earthly, physical life.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 20:34-40

34 Jesus said to them, “The sons of this age marry and are given in marriage, 35 but those who are considered worthy to attain to that age and the resurrection from the dead, neither marry nor are given in marriage; 36 for they cannot even die anymore, because they are like angels, and are sons of God, being sons of the resurrection. 37 But that the dead are raised, even Moses showed, in the passage about the burning bush, where he calls the Lord THE GOD OF ABRAHAM, AND THE GOD OF ISAAC, AND THE GOD OF JACOB. 38 Now He is not the God of the dead but of the living; for all live to Him.” 39 Some of the scribes answered and said, “Teacher, You have spoken well.” 40 For they did not have courage to question Him any longer about anything.

20:34 “the sons of this age” “Sons of” is a Semitic idiom of description. See Special Topic: This Age and the Age to Come at 9:2.

“the resurrection from the dead” This was a tenet of Pharisaic theology based on Job 14:7-15; 19:25-27; Dan. 12:1-2. However, they viewed it as just a continuation of the present life. Jesus clearly implies that the new age is different from the social structures of this age. Marriage was a crucial structure of Eden before the Fall of Gen. 3. God’s command to be fruitful and multiply was a recurrent theme (cf. Gen. 1:28; 9:1,7).

20:35 “those who are considered worthy to attain to that age” Jesus is answering the Sadducees and does so within Jewish (Pharisaic) theology. The phrase “considered worthy” is used in the Septuagint in II Macc. 13:12; III Macc. 3:21; 4:11; IV Macc. 18:3 and also in Greek literature for those “deemed worthy.” This is not Jesus affirming a works-righteousness, but an attempt to address these religious leaders. The NT teaches that one is only “worthy” in Christ (cf. Acts 13:46).

“neither marry nor are given in marriage” The social structures connected to procreation, so common of this world (age), will not continue into the spiritual, eternal realm. Sex will not be needed for societal stability or offspring. The family unit will not survive death, but intimate family fellowship in God’s extended family will!

20:36 “they are like the angels” Notice that the context is emphasizing, not sexlessness, but the state of immortality (cf. 20:36a). I tentatively believe some angels once had a capacity for cohabitation, or at least some did, based on Gen. 6:1-4; Jude 6; and the Book of I Enoch. See Special Topic below.

SPECIAL TOPIC: “the sons of God” in Genesis 6

A. There is great controversy over the identification of the phrase “the sons of God.” There have been three major interpretations

1. the phrase refers to the godly line of Seth (cf. Gen 5)
2. the phrase refers to a group of angelic beings
3. the phrase refers to the kings or tyrants of Cain’s line (cf. Gen. 4)

B. Evidence for the phrase referring to Seth’s line
1. the immediate literary context of Gen. 4 and 5 shows the development of the rebellious line of Cain and the godly line of Seth. Therefore, contextual evidence seems to favor the godly line of Seth
2. the rabbis have been divided over their understanding of this passage. Some assert that it refers to Seth (but most to angels).
3. the phrase “the sons of God,” though most often used for angelic beings, rarely refers to human beings
   a. Deut. 32:5
   b. Psalm 73:15; 82:1-4
   c. Hosea 1:10

C. Evidence for the phrase referring to angelic beings
1. this has been the most common traditional understanding of the passage. The larger context of Genesis could support this view as another example of supernatural evil trying to thwart God’s will for mankind (the rabbis say out of jealousy)
2. the phrase is used overwhelmingly for angels
   a. Job 1:6
   b. Job 2:1
   c. Job 38:7
   d. Daniel 3:25
   e. Psalm 29:91; 89:6,7
3. the intertestamental book of I Enoch, which was very popular among believers in the NT period, along with the Genesis Apocryphon from the Dead Sea Scrolls and Jubilees 5:1, interprets these as rebellious angels (I Enoch 12:4; 19:1; 21:1-10)
4. the immediate context of Genesis 6 seems to imply that “the mighty men who were of old, men of renown” came from this improper mixing of the orders of creation
5. I Enoch even asserts that Noah’s Flood came to destroy this angelic/human union which was hostile towards YHWH and His plan for creation (cf. I Enoch 7:1ff; 15:1ff; 86:1ff)

D. Evidence for the phrase referring to kings or tyrants
1. there are several ancient translations that support this view
   a. Targum or Onkelos (second century A.D.) translates “sons of God” as “Sons of nobles”
   b. Symmachus (second century A.D.) Greek translation of the OT, translated “sons of God” as “the sons of the kings”
   c. the term elohim is sometimes used of Israelite leaders (cf. Ex. 21:6; 22:8; Ps. 82:1,6)
   d. Nephilim is linked to Gibborim in Gen 6:4. Gibborim is plural of Gibbor meaning “a mighty man of valor; strength; wealth or power”
2. this interpretation and its evidence is taken from Hard Sayings of the Bible, pp. 106-108.

E. Historical evidence of the advocates of both usages
1. the phrase refers to Sethites
   a. Cyril of Alexander
   b. Theodoret
   c. Augustine
   d. Jerome
   e. Calvin
   f. Kyle
2. the phrase refers to angelic beings
   a. writers of the Septuagint
   b. Philo
   c. Josephus (Antiquities 1.3.1)
d. Justin Martyr  
e. Clement of Alexandria  
f. Tertullian  
g. Luther  
h. Ewald  
i. Deilitzsch  
j. Hengstenberg  
k. Olford  

F. How are the “Nephilim” of Gen. 6:4 related to the “sons of God” and “the daughters of men” of Gen. 6:1-2?  
1. They are the giants that resulted from the union between angels and human women (cf. Num. 13:33).  
2. They do not relate at all. They are simply mentioned as being on the earth in the days of the events of Gen. 6:1-2 and also afterwards.  

G. It is only fair to disclose my own understanding of this controversial text. First, let me remind all of us that the text in Genesis is brief and ambiguous. Moses’ first hearers must have had additional historical insight or Moses used oral or written tradition from the Patriarchal period that he himself did not fully understand. This issue is not a crucial theological subject. We are often curious about things the Scriptures only hint at. It would be very unfortunate to build an elaborate theology out of this and similar fragments of biblical information. If we needed this information God would have provided it in a more clear and complete form. I personally believe it was angels and humans because:  
1. the phrase “sons of God” is used consistently, if not exclusively, for angels in the OT  
2. the Septuagint (Alexandrian) translates (late first century B.C.) “sons of God” as “angels of God”  
3. the pseudepigraphal apocalyptic book of I Enoch (possibly written about 200 B.C.) is very specific that it refers to angels (cf. chapters 6-7)  
4. II Pet. 2 and Jude speak of angels who sinned and did not keep their proper abode  
   I know that to some this seems to contradict Matt. 22:30, but these specific angels are neither in heaven nor earth, but in a special prison (Tartarus).  

I think that one reason many of the events of Gen. 1-11 are found in other cultures (i.e. similar creation accounts, similar flood accounts, similar accounts of angels taking women) is because all humans were together and had some knowledge of YHWH during this period, but after the tower of Babel’s dispersion this knowledge became corrupted and adapted to a polytheistic model.  
A good example of this is Greek mythology where the half human/half superhuman giants called Titans are imprisoned in Tartarus, this very name used only once in the Bible (II Pet. 2) for the holding place of the angels that kept not their proper abode. In rabbinical theology Hades was divided into a section for the righteous (paradise) and a section for the wicked (Tartarus).
NT it is those who receive God’s Messiah by faith. These are the “worthy ones” who become “the sons of God” of the new age of righteousness by resurrection.

20:39 “Some of the scribes answered and said” These must have been Pharisees because they rejoiced in Jesus’ routing of the Sadducees’ theological argument.

20:40 This verse refers to the Pharisees of vv. 19-26 and the Sadducees of vv. 27-39. It is a strong DOUBLE NEGATIVE. Nobody dared ask Jesus any more theological questions (cf. vv. 41-44).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 20:41-44

41 Then He said to them, "How is it that they say the Christ is David’s son? 42 For David himself says in the book of Psalms, ’THE LORD SAID TO MY LORD, ’SIT AT MY RIGHT HAND, 43 UNTIL I MAKE YOUR ENEMIES A FOOTSTOOL FOR YOUR FEET.’" 44 Therefore David calls Him 'Lord,' and how is He his son?"

20:41 Jesus now asks them a Bible question!

20:42 “For David himself says in the book of Psalms” This is a quote from the Septuagint of Ps. 110:1. In this verse both terms for “Lord” appear. The first “LORD” translates YHWH; the second “Lord” translates Adon (owner, master, husband, lord). This second “lord” refers to the Messiah who comes after David.

20:44 The thrust of Jesus’ question seems to be
1. the pre-existence (deity) of the Messiah
2. the human, Davidic descent of the Messiah (cf. 1:32-33; 3:31)
No one expected the Messiah to be incarnate deity. They were expecting a divinely empowered human, like the Judges or Kings of Israel.

This very issue of the full humanity and deity of the Messiah becomes the theological issue of the gnostic false teachers (cf. Colossians, Ephesians, I John, the Pastorals). I John 4:1-3 even asserts that to deny either aspect makes one an antichrist!

There are hints of this truth in the OT, especially Dan. 7:13, where a son of man (human being) comes before the Ancient of Days (YHWH) and receives the eternal kingdom. This dual aspect of “son of man” may be why Jesus used this title for Himself. Psalm 110 and Dan. 7:13 are linked in Jesus’ response to the high priest in 22:69 and Mark 14:62.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 20:45-47

45 And while all the people were listening, He said to the disciples, 46 “Beware of the scribes, who like to walk around in long robes, and love respectful greetings in the market places, and chief seats in the synagogues and places of honor at banquets, 47 who devour widows’ houses, and for appearance’s sake offer long prayers. These will receive greater condemnation.”

20:46 “Beware of the scribes” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE of a compound Greek word from prous (for) and echo (I). Here it is followed by apo, which denotes “guard against” (cf. Matt. 6:1; 7:13).
Spiritual leaders must always be on guard for self-righteous, arrogant spirits. These were very sincere and highly motivated religious men, but they totally missed God because of their preconceived notions and self-centered attitudes (cf. 6:39-40; Matt. 15:14; 23:16,24).

Jesus delineates some of their inappropriate actions:
1. They wear long religious robes to show everyone who they are, v. 46.
2. They love to be greeted with respectful greeting and titles in public, v. 46.
3. They want the best seats in the synagogue (i.e. on the raised platform near the scrolls), v. 46.
4. They want the best seats at banquets next to the host at the head table, v. 46.
5. They legally, but unethically, trick widows out of their homes and inheritances, v. 47.
6. They pray long prayers so all will notice their wisdom and piety, v. 47.

This sounds more like my day and its religious ethics than I feel comfortable admitting!


DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why was the issue of the authority behind John’s baptism so crucial to the question the Sanhedrin asked Jesus?
2. What is the central truth of the parable in vv. 9-16? To whom does it refer?
3. Why has so much been made of v. 25 in America?
4. What implication does v. 36 have for our view of heaven and sexuality?
5. Are there degrees of heaven and hell?
### LUKE 21

#### PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Widow’s Offering</td>
<td>The Widow’s Two Mites</td>
<td>The Widow’s Offering</td>
<td>The Widow’s Offering</td>
<td>The Widow’s Mite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Destruction of the Temple foretold</td>
<td>Jesus Predicts the Destruction of the Temple</td>
<td>Destruction of the Temple Foretold</td>
<td>Jesus Speaks of the Destruction of the Temple</td>
<td>Discourse on the Destruction of Jerusalem: Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:5-6</td>
<td>21:5-6</td>
<td>21:5-6</td>
<td>21:5-6</td>
<td>21:5-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs and Persecutions</td>
<td>Signs of the Times and the End of the Age</td>
<td>On the End of the Age</td>
<td>Troubles and Persecutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21:8-9</td>
<td>21:8-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21:9-11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21:10-19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21:12-19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destruction of Jerusalem Foretold</td>
<td>The Destruction of Jerusalem</td>
<td>Jesus Speaks of the Destruction of Jerusalem</td>
<td>The Siege</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21:20-24</td>
<td>The Disaster and the Age of the Gentiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21:24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Coming of the Son of Man</td>
<td>The Coming of the Son of Man</td>
<td>The Coming of the Son of Man</td>
<td>Cosmic Disasters and the Glorious Appearing of the Son of Man</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Lesson of the Fig Tree</td>
<td>The Parable of the Fig Tree</td>
<td>The Lesson of the Fig Tree</td>
<td>The Time of His Coming</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21:32-33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhortation to Watch</td>
<td>The Importance of Watching</td>
<td>The Need to Watch</td>
<td>Be on the Alert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21:34-36</td>
<td>The Last Days of Jesus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)

**FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL**

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.
Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 21:1-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1And He looked up and saw the rich putting their gifts into the treasury. 2And He saw a poor widow putting in two small copper coins. 3And He said, &quot;Truly I say to you, this poor widow put in more than all of them; 4for they all out of their surplus put into the offering; but she out of her poverty put in all that she had to live on.&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21:1-4 This is paralleled in Mark 12:41-44.

21:1 “the treasury” This is a compound word of “treasure” and “guard” (cf. LXX II Kgs. 23:11; Esth. 3:9; Ezra 5:17; 6:1; 7:20). Jewish tradition (Alfred Edersheim, Temple, pp. 48-49) asserts that there were thirteen trumpet-shaped, metal boxes located in the Court of the Women, where Jesus regularly taught (cf. 20:1). Each one of them was designated for a different charitable purpose (cf. John 8:20). Archaeology has never confirmed the existence of these metal containers.

21:2 “a poor widow” Luke chooses from Jesus’ words and actions to cast the religious and social outcasts of His day in a positive light. Here a poor widow set the standard of sacrificial giving that all disciples should emulate.

21:3 “Truly” This is the Greek term ἀληθῶς (cf. 9:27; 12:44), which is used synonymously with ἀμήν in v. 32. The parallel in Mark 12:43 has ἀμήν. See Special Topic: Amen at 4:24.

21:4
NASB “into the offering”
NKJV “in offerings for God”
NRSV “have contributed”
TEV “offered their gifts”
NJB “put in money”

Literally this is “put into the gifts” (cf. MSS Ῥ, B, and L), but several other uncial manuscripts (cf. MSS A, D, W) and most ancient versions add “of God” to clarify the context. The UBS’ committee rated the shorter text as “almost certain” (B).

21:4 “out of their surplus” This same principle of spiritual giving is found in II Cor. 8:12. It is surprising that the NT does not discuss regular giving principles. II Corinthians 8-9 deals with the Gentile churches’ one -time gift to the mother church in Jerusalem. Tithing is an OT principle.

21:4 “put in all that she had to live on” This woman trusted in God’s daily provision (cf. Matt. 6:33). Luke records many of Jesus’ teachings about worldly possessions and wealth. Giving is a spiritual thermometer. It reveals our motives and priorities.
21:5 “And while some were talking about the temple” In Mark 13 (and Matt. 24) it is the inner circle of disciples that mention the beautiful temple as they all sat on the Mount of Olives viewing Jerusalem and the temple across the Kidron Valley, but Luke puts the discussion of the beauty of Herod’s Temple in the Court of the Women in the temple itself. This Temple was not completely finished until A.D. 63, seven years before it was destroyed by Titus’ army. This remodeled and enlarged national shrine became the object of Jewish religion (cf. Jer. 7). The same discussion is found in Mark 13:1 and Matt. 24:1-2.

NASB “beautiful stones” Herod’s temple was build with huge polished limestones (i.e. mezzeh), which were 25 x 8 x 12 cubits (a cubit is 18-21”). Josephus records this information in Antiq. 15.11.3.

21:6 “there will not be left one stone upon another which will not be torn down” This is a prediction of extensive destruction. It exactly describes the Roman destruction of Jerusalem under Titus in A.D. 70. The only stones left were the foundation stones of Solomon’s Temple that are today known as the “Wailing Wall.” This destruction was prophesied in Micah 3:12 and Jer. 26:18.

21:7 “They questioned Him” From Mark 13:3 we know that “they” refers to Peter, James, John, and Andrew. They seem to have asked three questions: (1) when will the Temple be destroyed?; (2) what will be the sign of the coming destruction? and (3) when will this age end and the new age begin? (recorded only in Matt. 24:3).

Luke focuses on the destruction of Jerusalem, while Matthew and Mark focus on the end of the age. Jesus seems to merge these two topics in this teaching moment. Synoptic scholars have often mentioned that Luke seems to choose from Jesus’ teachings to emphasize a delayed second coming.

The OT prophets took the crises of their days and projected them into an eschatological setting. Luke chooses to focus on an immediate, temporal judgment event (the destruction of Jerusalem and the temple), while Matthew and Mark focus on the end of the age (Second Coming, Judgment Day).

21:8 “See to it that you are not misled” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE and an AORIST PASSIVE SUBJUNCTIVE. It seems to refer to the many Messianic imposters between the death of Jesus and the Roman War of A.D. 60-70. It was this nationalistic religious fanaticism that led to the total destruction of Jerusalem (cf. Josephus, Wars of the Jews 6.54).

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 21:5-6

5And while some were talking about the temple, that it was adorned with beautiful stones and votive gifts, He said, 6"As for these things which you are looking at, the days will come in which there will not be left one stone upon another which will not be torn down."

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 21:7-9

7They questioned Him, saying, "Teacher, when therefore will these things happen? And what will be the sign when these things are about to take place?" 8And He said, "See to it that you are not misled; for many will come in My name, saying, 'I am He,' and, 'The time is near'. Do not go after them. 9"When you hear of wars and disturbances, do not be terrified; for these things must take place first, but the end does not follow immediately."

NASB “votive gifts” Herod’s temple was build with huge polished limestones (i.e. mezzeh), which were 25 x 8 x 12 cubits (a cubit is 18-21”). Josephus records this information in Antiq. 15.11.3.

NASB “votive offerings” This is the Greek term anathēma, which is used only here in the NT. In the Septuagint it can refer to (1) a votive offering (cf. II Macc. 9:16) or (2) an accursed thing (i.e. something given to God and thereby becomes holy and cannot be used by human beings, cf. Deut. 7:26). One example of this was Herod’s lavish gift of a huge golden grapevine that hung on the Temple’s wall. The grapes were as tall as a man (cf. Josephus, Antiq. 15.2.3). This grapevine was a symbol of national Israel (cf. Ps. 80:8; Isa. 5:1-7).

NASB “voted gifts” NASB “votive offerings”

This is the Greek word "planet" from which the English word “planet” is derived. It refers to the unusual orbit of the planets compared to the constellations (stars). It is usually translated “error,” but came to be used metaphorically of deceiving or leading astray (cf. Matt. 24:4,5,11,24).
This is Jesus’ use of the OT covenant name for God (cf. Exod. 3:14) as a designation of Himself (cf. John 8:24,58; 13:19; 18:5). Here it means many false prophets would claim to be the Jewish Messiah of Deut. 18:18-19 (cf. Acts 3:20-23).

This is the message of the pseudo-Messiahs. This is another example of the delayed Second Coming in Luke. This refers to the time period between Jesus’ death and the destruction of Jerusalem.

This is an AORIST PASSIVE (deponent) SUBJUNCTIVE used in the sense of an IMPERATIVE (Mark 13:7 is a PRESENT IMPERATIVE). It has the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually denotes stopping an act in progress. These false messiahs had a large following.

These are precursor signs that are observable in every age. They designate the kind of world we live in, not uniquely the world immediately before the Second Coming of Jesus Christ (cf. John L. Bray, Matthew 24 Fulfilled, pp. 25,28).

This is another AORIST PASSIVE SUBJUNCTIVE used in the sense of an IMPERATIVE. It is also an idiom from the Septuagint.

Then He continued by saying to them, “Nation will rise against nation and kingdom against kingdom, and there will be great earthquakes, and in various places plagues and famines; and there will be terrors and great signs from heaven.

The Markan parallel calls these precursor events “the beginning of the birth pangs of the new age” (cf. Mark 13:7-8). Both Mark and Luke have the word dei (must), which means necessity. God’s children need to know and trust that all things are working out according to His plan!

The reason these bad events seem so magnified today is not their increased occurrences, but modern communication. We know of disasters and wars which happen in far away places almost immediately.

The author uses OT apocalyptic language to describe these events. Peter says that the prophecy of Joel 2:28-32, which also uses apocalyptic language, was fulfilled at Pentecost (cf. Acts 2), which shows it was not meant to be understood literally (cf. D. Brent Sandy, Plowshares & Pruning Hooks: Rethinking the Language of Biblical Prophecy and Apocalyptic).

There are several slightly different versions of the phrase in the Greek manuscript tradition. The variations do not alter the sense of the phrase in context. There is no way to determine which of the five variants is original.

But before all these things, they will lay their hands on you and will persecute you, delivering you to the synagogues and prisons, bringing you before kings and governors for My name’s sake. It will lead to an opportunity for your testimony. So make up your minds not to prepare beforehand to defend yourselves; for I will give you utterance and wisdom which none of your opponents will be able to resist or refute. But you will be betrayed even by parents and brothers and relatives and friends, and they will put some of you to death, and you will be hated by all because of My name. Yet not a hair of your head will perish. By your endurance you will gain your lives.

There have been many examples both in Acts and in church history of this kind of persecution of believers.

Persecution can lead to proclamation opportunities (e.g. Paul in prison in Rome). It is surprising that Luke does not retain Mark’s wording at this point because it fits Luke’s purpose in writing his Gospel so well (i.e. Mark 13:10, “and the Gospel must be preached to all the nations”)

This does not refer to regular sermon preparation and Bible lessons, but to specific testimony in the face of persecution and trials before governmental authorities (cf. 12:11-12).

“you will be betrayed even by parents and brothers and relatives and friends” Faith in Christ will divide families and lifelong friends (cf. Matt. 10:21; Mark 13:12).

“you will be hated by all because of My name” This is a PERIPHRASTIC FUTURE PASSIVE. The presence of “all” shows it is hyperbole (exaggeration). Christians will be hated because of their identification with Jesus of Nazareth. The early
church was accused of (1) atheism; (2) treason; (3) incest; and (4) cannibalism, which were all related to a misunderstanding of Christian doctrines, terminologies, and worship procedures. Persecution for Christ’s sake is a NT evidence of believer’s salvation and effective Christian living.

21:18 “Yet not a hair of your head will perish” This is a strong DOUBLE NEGATIVE. Verse 18 seems to contradict v. 16. However, it must be understood that the main thrust here is (1) God is in control of history; (2) physical death is not ultimate for believers.

Jesus used this same OT idiom of protection (cf. I Sam. 14:45; II Sam. 14:11; I Kgs. 1:52) in previous sermons (cf. 12:7; Matt. 10:30).

“perish” This is the Greek term *apollumi*. See Special Topic at 19:10.

Robert B. Girdlestone, *Synonyms of the Old Testament*, p. 276, points out that there are several places where this term cannot be translated annihilation, “but such injury as makes the object practically useless for its original purpose.”

1. the waste of ointment, Matt. 26:8
2. destruction of old wine skins, Matt. 9:17
3. destruction of hair, Luke 21:18
4. destruction of food, John 6:27
5. destruction of gold, 1 Pet. 1:7
6. destruction of the world, II Peter. 3:6
7. destruction of the physical body, Matt. 2:13; 8:25; 12:14; 21:41; 22:7; 26:52; 27:20; Rom. 2:12; 14:15; and I Cor. 8:11

This never refers to the annihilation of the person, but the end of bodily existence. It is also commonly used in a moral sense. “All men are regarded as morally destroyed, i.e. they have failed to carry out the intention for which the race was called into being” (p. 276). God’s response to this problem was Jesus Christ (cf. John 3:15-16 and II Pet. 3:9). Those who reject the gospel are now subject to a further destruction, which does involve body and spirit (cf. I Cor. 1:18; II Cor. 2:15; 4:3; II Thess. 2:10). For the opposite opinion see Fudge, *The Fire That Consumes*.

21:19 This same emphasis on perseverance is found in v. 36. See Special Topic: Perseverance at 8:13.

There is a Greek manuscript variant in the VERB.

1. AORIST MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE (cf. MSS *B, D, L, W*)
2. FUTURE MIDDLE INDICATIVE (cf. MSS A, B, and most ancient versions)

The UBS⁴ chooses #1, but “with difficulty” (“C” rating). The UBS³ gave #1 a D rating, “with great difficulty.”

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 21:20-24

“20″But when you see Jerusalem surrounded by armies, then recognize that her desolation is near. 21″Then those who are in Judea must flee to the mountains, and those who are in the midst of the city must leave, and those who are in the country must not enter the city; 22″because these are days of vengeance, so that all things which are written will be fulfilled. 23″Woe to those who are pregnant and to those who are nursing babies in those days; for there will be great distress upon the land and wrath to this people; 24″and they will fall by the edge of the sword, and will be led captive into all the nations; and Jerusalem will be trampled under foot by the Gentiles until the times of the Gentiles are fulfilled.”

21:20 “when you see Jerusalem surrounded by armies” Vespasian was Nero’s general who subdued Palestine, but at Nero’s death he had to return to Rome where three Emperors (Galba, Ortho and Vitrello) followed in less than one year. Vespasian became Emperor and his son, Titus, finally destroyed the city and the Temple in A.D. 70 after a five month siege of Jerusalem beginning in April when the city was flooded with pilgrims.

21:21 “Then those who are in Judea must flee to the mountains” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. Tradition (Eusebius, *Eccl. His.* 3.5.2-3) asserts that when the Christians saw the Roman armies coming, they took this prophetic message and fled to the Trans-Jordan region of Decapolis to a city named Pella and most of them were spared the horrors of the siege and destruction of Jerusalem.

21:23 This obviously refers to the destruction of Jerusalem only, not to the Second Coming. The problem was the rapid flight that was necessary.

Jesus answers the questions of the disciples, but merges the fall of Jerusalem and the Second Coming. Notice also the synonymous parallelism which is so common in OT wisdom literature. Verses 23 and 24a form three double parallel lines.

21:24 “and they will fall by the edge of the sword” Josephus tells us that 1,100,000 were killed and 97,000 were taken prisoner (*Wars of the Jews*, 6.9.3). Josephus often exaggerated his numbers, but the terror and horror of this event is accurate.
The captured Jews were sold as slaves throughout the Roman Empire (as were all defeated peoples). Two-thirds of the population of the Roman Empire were slaves.

There are several theories related to this phrase (one must read Rom. 11:12,25): (1) Gentiles as God’s instrument of judgment on Israel; (2) the Gentiles in political control of Palestine; (3) the believing Gentiles receiving Israel’s OT blessing and mandate of evangelism; or (4) the Good News being preached even to the Gentiles.

Again this is an example of OT apocalyptic language concerning God’s breaking into history. Because of Peter’s use of Joel 2:28-32 in Acts 2, this should not be taken literally.

Apocalyptic literature has much in common with OT wisdom literature. In this text there is a good example of synonymous parallelism. The metaphor of the sea for the nations comes from Daniel (cf. 7:2-3).

This phrase is unique to Luke. It refers to the known inhabited world.

This seems to refer to all mankind. This seems to preclude a secret rapture.

“Son of Man” is Jesus’ self-chosen title. It apparently comes from Ezek. 2:1 and Dan. 7:13, where it combines humanity and deity (cf. Matt. 17:5). The presence of a cloud fits OT and NT allusions to a cloud being the transportation of deity. It is present at many of the major events of the end-time (cf. Matt. 24:30; 26:64; I Thess. 4:17; Rev. 1:7).

These prophecies of Mark 13, Matt. 24, and Luke 21 are meant to encourage
1. those believers living between Jesus’ death and the destruction of Jerusalem
2. those believers who suffer persecution in every age
3. those last generation Christians who will face the wrath of the Antichrist and his followers (cf. II Thess. 2 and Revelation)
These prophecies may become strikingly literal for the last generation of persecuted believers, but it is impossible to speculate about which, how, and when. Jesus revealed these things to encourage us, not to divide us.

These are both AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVES. Believers’ courage in the face of persecution and death is a powerful witness to the unbelievers who tremble in fear (cf. vv. 25-26).

Verses 29-36 are built on the promise of v. 28. It shows that Christians amid the sufferings of the end-time still have great confidence.

This means “purchased release.” It is the common OT term for God’s gracious acts toward His people. See Special Topic: Ransom/Redeem at 1:68.


Then He told them a parable: “Behold the fig tree and all the trees; 36 as soon as they put forth leaves, you see it and know for yourselves that summer is now near. 31 So you also, when you see these things happening, recognize that the kingdom of God is near. 32 Truly I say to you, this generation will not pass away until all things take place. 33 Heaven and earth will pass away, but My words will not pass away."
21:29 “He told them a parable” This is also recorded in Matt. 24:32-35 and Mark 13:28-31. The little phrase “and all the trees” is unique to Luke and widens the warning to the whole world. Although believers cannot know the specific time of Jesus’ coming, they can know the general time (cf. vv. 30-31).


21:32 “Truly I say to you” See note at v. 3.

“this generation will not pass away until all things take place” This is a strong DOUBLE NEGATIVE. There has been much discussion about the interpretation of this verse. There are two major theories: (1) this refers specifically to the destruction of Jerusalem in A.D. 70 or (2) this phrase is used in its OT sense of a people (cf. Deut. 32:5,20). Both are true and both fit this context.

21:33 This is another strong DOUBLE NEGATIVE. It is a powerful affirmation of the eternity of God’s promises and is repeated in 16:17 and Matt. 5:18. Believers can trust

1. God’s character
2. God’s promises
3. God’s Son
4. God’s presence
5. God’s purposes

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 21:34-36

34“Be on guard, so that your hearts will not be weighted down with dissipation and drunkenness and the worries of life, and that day will not come on you suddenly like a trap; 35for it will come upon all those who dwell on the face of all the earth. 36But keep on the alert at all times, praying that you may have strength to escape all these things that are about to take place, and to stand before the Son of Man.”

21:34 “Be on guard” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. It is a recurrent warning (cf. 12:40,45 Mark 4:19; Matt. 24:42-44) and is the major thrust of Jesus’ eschatological teachings: (1) be ready; (2) be watching; and (3) be active in My service.

“hearts” See Special Topic: Heart at 1:51. In this context “yourselves” and “hearts” are parallel.

“weighted down with dissipation and drunkenness and the worries of life” This is addressed to the Apostles. The Christian life is a tension-filled pilgrimage. Many believers have damaged their witness and effective ministry by the temptations and cares of this age. Salvation is not the end of the struggle; sometimes it is the beginning.

The parallel of the soils in Mark 4 and Matt. 13 is a shocking witness to the need for fruit bearing and perseverance, not just initial response (germination). Salvation is an ongoing relationship (cf. Matt. 10:32; Mark 13:13), not only a ticket to heaven or an insurance policy! Daily choices reveal the heart and the true self. Faithfulness and perseverance are evidence of a free salvation. See Special Topic: The Need to Persevere at 8:31.

21:35 This may be an allusion to Isa. 24:17 in the Septuagint. If so, then the verse division slightly changes.

The Second Coming (“that day,” v. 34) will impact all human beings living and dead. All will give an account to God of the gift of life (cf. Matt. 25:31-46; II Cor. 5:10; Rev. 20:11-15).

For those who know God in Christ, it is a day of resurrection, reunion, and rejoicing. But, for those who have not responded to the gospel, it is a day of gloom, doom, rejection, and permanent judgment (separation).

21:36 “keep on the alert at all times” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE denoting constant attention (cf. Mark 13:33; Eph. 6:18). This verse reminds me of Eph. 6:19. There is a daily price to be paid!

Paul uses a form of this term to describe his suffering for Christ and the gospel in II Cor. 6:5 and 11:27.

“praying” This is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) PARTICIPLE used in the sense of an IMPERATIVE. Luke uses this term often in the sense of

1. beseech or pray, 5:12; 8:38; 10:2; 21:36; 22:32; Acts 4:31; 8:22,24; 10:2
2. beg, 8:28; 9:38,40; Acts 21:39; 26:3
3. please tell, Acts 8:34

All of these senses are found in the Septuagint.
NASB, NRSV  “that you may have strength to escape”  
NKJV  “that you may be counted worthy to escape”  
TEV  “that you will have the strength to go safely through”  
NJB  “for the strength to survive”  

This is a purpose clause (hina) with an AORIST ACTIVE SUBJUNCTIVE (a note of contingency) and an AORIST ACTIVE INFINITIVE. Some believers will be caught unaware and unprepared when the events of the end-time begin to rapidly unfold. They will have to face Christ ashamed and will receive no reward (cf. I Cor. 3:10-15; II Cor. 5:10).

There is a Greek manuscript variant in this phrase.
1. kataxiôthete (AORIST PASSIVE SUBJUNCTIVE), “may be judged/counted worthy” (cf. MSS A, C, D)
2. katischus’te (AORIST ACTIVE SUBJUNCTIVE), “may have strength” (cf. MSS Ν, B, L, W and in UBS⁴)

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 21:37-38**

37Now during the day He was teaching in the temple, but at evening He would go out and spend the night on the mount that is called Olivet. 38And all the people would get up early in the morning to come to Him in the temple to listen to Him.

21:37 “during the day He was teaching in the temple” Jesus did not hide or cut back on His public ministry (cf. 20:1).

“spend the night on the mount that is called Olivet” This refers to the fact that Jesus camped out on the Mount of Olives several nights and did not spent every night with Mary, Martha, and Lazarus in Bethany. This place was well known to Judas and will be the site of Jesus’ arrest.

21:38 This shows Jesus’ popularity with both the pilgrims attending the Passover and the local townspeople. This popularity was one of several reasons that caused the religious leaders to fear Him.

**DISCUSSION QUESTIONS**

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. What spiritual truth about stewardship was Jesus communicating in vv. 1-4?
2. Why were the Jews so proud of the Temple?
3. Why is the discussion of the destruction of Jerusalem mixed with end-time events of the End of the Age?
4. What does the phrase in verse 25, “the times of the Gentiles,” mean?
5. What is the major emphasis of Jesus’ teachings concerning His Second Coming?
6. Do vv. 34-36 refer to believers? If so what is the implication?
## LUKE 22

### PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paragraph</th>
<th>UBS4</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Plot to Kill Jesus</td>
<td>The Plot to Kill Jesus</td>
<td>Jesus’ Death 22:1-23:56</td>
<td>The Plot Against Jesus</td>
<td>The Conspiracy Against Jesus: Judas Betrays Him</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judas Agrees to Betray Jesus</td>
<td>22:3-6</td>
<td>22:3-6</td>
<td>22:3-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Preparation of the Passover</td>
<td>Jesus and His Disciples Prepare the Passover</td>
<td>The Last Supper</td>
<td>Jesus Prepares to Eat the Passover Meal</td>
<td>Preparation for the Passover Supper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Institution of the Lord’s Supper</td>
<td>Jesus Institutes the Lord’s Supper</td>
<td>The Lord’s Supper</td>
<td>The Supper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Dispute About Greatness</td>
<td>The Disciples Argue About Greatness</td>
<td>The Argument About Greatness</td>
<td>Who is Greatest?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter’s Denial Foretold</td>
<td>Jesus Predicts Peter’s Denial</td>
<td>Jesus Predicts Peter’s Denial</td>
<td>Peter’s Denial and Repentance Foretold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:35-38</td>
<td>22:35b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A Time of Crisis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### The Prayer on the Mount of Olives
22:36-37
22:38a
22:38b

### The Prayer in the Garden Gethsemane
|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|

### The Betrayal and Arrest of Jesus
|----------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------|----------|

### Peter’s Denial of Jesus
|----------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------|----------|

### The Mocking and Beating of Jesus
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jesus Mocked by the Guards</td>
<td>22:63-65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Jesus Before the Council
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22:66-23:1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22:70a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22:70b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22:71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)**

**FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL**

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.
Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS

A. I Corinthians 11:20ff is the first written account of the Last Supper. Luke, in many ways, follows I Corinthians.

B. The only account of the dialog during the Lord’s Supper is John 13-17, though no reference to the actual meal is included.

C. There are two great textual difficulties related to this chapter, vv. 17-20 and vv. 43-44.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 22:1-2**

1Now the Feast of Unleavened Bread, which is called the Passover, was approaching. 2The chief priests and the scribes were seeking how they might put Him to death; for they were afraid of the people.

22:1 “the Feast of Unleavened Bread, which is called the Passover” These two feasts are discussed in Exod. 12 (Passover, vv. 1-14,21-36 and Unleavened Bread, vv. 15-20). Originally they were separate feasts, but were later combined into one eight-day feast (cf. Num. 28:16-31) beginning on the 14th of Nisan (March-April). The Passover Feast commemorates the Death Angel passing over the Jewish slaves’ homes in Egypt and the deliverance of God’s people from the Egyptians as promised in Gen. 15:12-21.

22:2 “The chief priests and the scribes” This refers to the Sanhedrin, the Supreme Court of the Jews in Jerusalem. See Special Topic: Sanhedrin at 9:22.

*how they might put Him to death* The religious leaders felt that Jesus (1) was heretical; (2) could cause problems with Rome (cf. Matt. 26:5); and/or (3) made them to feel jealous. The Gospel of John mentions several plots to kill Jesus (cf. 7:30,44; 8:59; 10:31,39; 11:53).


It is also used in the LXX of Pharaoh’s daughter taking Moses from the Nile (cf. Exod. 2:5,10,14 and also by Luke in Acts 7:21).

The other Synoptic Gospels use the terms απολλυμαι or αποκτειναι for these murderous plots by these Jerusalem leaders.

Luke, being the only Gentile writer of the NT, had a different vocabulary from the other Gospel writers whose primary language was Aramaic. Luke is also heavily influenced by the terminology and vocabulary of the Greek translation of the NT, the Septuagint.

*for they were afraid of the people* This is a recurrent theme (cf. Matt. 21:26,44; Mark 11:18,32; 12:12; Luke 20:19).
22:3 “And Satan entered into Judas” Luke mentions Satan often (cf. 4:13; 10:18; 13:16; and 22:3,31). Judas had heard, fellowshiped with, and observed the Lord Jesus at close range for several years, but apparently he still had no personal relationship with Him by faith (cf. Matt. 7:21-23). Peter undergoes the same intensity of temptation as does Judas, but with drastically different results. Much discussion has taken place over the motives of Judas’ treachery: (1) it was primarily monetary (cf. John 12:6); (2) it was primarily political (cf. William Klassen, Judas Betrayer of Friend of Jesus?); (3) it was spiritual (cf. John 13:27).

On the subject of Satanic influence or demon possession, there are several good resources (listed in the order of those I trust).
1. Merrill F. Unger, Biblical Demonology, Demons in the World Today
2. Clinton E. Arnold, Three Crucial Questions About Spiritual Warfare
3. Kurt Koch, Christian Counseling and Occultism, Demonology Past and Present
4. C. Fred Dickason, Demon Possession and the Christian
5. John P. Newport, Demons, Demons, Demons
6. John Warwick Montgomery, Principalities and Powers
Also see my Special Topics at 4:2 (Satan) and 4:33 (The Demonic).

Be careful of cultural myths and superstitions. Satan affects Peter in Matt. 16:23 to tempt Jesus in the very same way—to avoid His substitutionary death. Satan is consistent. He is trying any way possible to stop Jesus’ redemptive work on our behalf.

1. Satan’ temptation of Jesus, Luke 4; Matt. 4
2. Peter, Matt. 16
3. Judas and the Sanhedrin, here

Jesus even describes Judas as a devil in John 6:70. The Bible does not discuss the subject of demon possession and influence as it relates to believers. But, believers are obviously affected by personal choices and personal evil!

“Iscariot” The etymology of this word is somewhat obscure; however, there are several possibilities:
1. Kerioth, a city of Judah (cf. Josh. 15:25)
2. Kartan, a city in Galilee (cf. Josh. 21:32)
3. Karādes, a date palm grove in Jerusalem or Jericho
4. scortea, an apron or leather bag (cf. John 13:29)
5. ascara, strangling (Hebrew) from Matt. 27:5
6. an assassin’s knife (Greek), meaning he was a Zealot like Simon (cf. Luke 6:15).

“belonging to the number of the twelve” These were the special disciples whom Jesus chose to intimately reveal Himself to and train to become the “new Israel.” A really good book on Jesus’ training methodology is Robert E. Coleman, The Master Plan of Evangelism. These men became known as “the Twelve.” See Special Topic at 6:13.

22:4 “officers” This refers to the Temple police. One of the divisions of the Levites was charged with guarding, maintaining order, and inflicting punishment on the Temple mount. The priests guarded the temple itself, but the temple police (we learn from Philo, De Specialibus Legibus (Loeb 7, 1.156) were assigned to (1) opening and closing the outer doors of the temple area; (2) guarding the opening between the Court of the Gentiles and the Court of the Women; and (3) patrolling the commercial area known as the Court of the Gentiles. At night there were twenty-one guards posted around the temple area’s perimeter (M. M. dd. 1.1).

They were under the control of the Sanhedrin, which usually met in the temple. They are usually referred to
1. as officials or attendants, John 7:32,45,46; 18:18
2. at Jesus’ arrest, John 18:3,12
3. at the night trials, John 18:18,36
   a. at Peter’s denial, Matt. 26:58; Mark 14:54,65; John 18:18
   b. at Jesus’ sentencing by Pilate, John 19:6
4. in Acts 4:1; 5:22-26; 21:30

22:5 “they were glad” They rejoiced because now they could arrest Jesus quietly and discreetly in private without the pilgrims or townspeople knowing anything about it (cf. v. 6).

“and agreed to give him money” We learn from Matt. 26:15 that it was thirty pieces of silver, which fulfills the prophecy of Zech. 1:12.
22:6 “and began seeking a good opportunity to betray Him” The night of the Passover observance would have been an opportune time because everyone was home with their family groups on this special holy evening.

“apart from the crowd” We must remember that Jesus was a very popular person in Galilee and during this festival hundreds and hundreds of people from Galilee were in Jerusalem. This is the very reason the High Priest had decided to wait in Mark 14:2, but when one of His own offered to betray Him, they changed their minds.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 22:7-13

7Then came the first day of Unleavened Bread on which the Passover lamb had to be sacrificed. 8And Jesus sent Peter and John, saying, "Go and prepare the Passover for us, so that we may eat it." 9They said to Him, "Where do You want us to prepare it?" 10And He said to them, "When you have entered the city, a man will meet you carrying a pitcher of water; follow him into the house that he enters. 11And you shall say to the owner of the house, 'The Teacher says to you, 'Where is the guest room in which I may eat the Passover with My disciples?'" 12And he will show you a large, furnished upper room; prepare it there." 13And they left and found everything just as He had told them; and they prepared the Passover.

22:7 “Then came the first day of Unleavened Bread on which the Passover lamb had to be sacrificed” There is a chronological discrepancy between the Synoptic Gospels (Passover) and John (the day of preparation, cf. Exod. 12:6; John 13:1; 18:28) on exactly what day the Lord’s Supper took place. Remember that Jewish days start at dusk because of Gen. 1. The day of Jesus’ crucifixion is uniform in all four Gospels as being Friday. If one retraces the lunar calendar back to Jesus’ day in A.D. 30, the 14th of Nisan (cf. Lev. 23:5-6) fell on a Thursday, the 15th of Nisan on a Friday, which fits exactly.

22:8 “And Jesus sent Peter and John” Only Luke names these two preparers. Usually James is included with this inner circle of Apostles, but not here.

“Go and prepare” This is a good example of an idiomatic use of a PARTICIPLE before an IMPERATIVE, where both are used as IMPERATIVES (cf. Matt. 28:19).

This preparation would have been done on the afternoon of the 14th of Nisan. The meal would be eaten that night (the 15th of Nisan).

22:9 “Where do You want us to prepare it?” The disciples did not know the exact location, possibly because Jesus did not want Judas’ betrayal to interrupt the meal.

22:10 “a man will meet you carrying a pitcher of water” Woman usually carried water in pitchers, men sometimes carried it in animal skins. This is another of many examples in the Gospels that can be interpreted as (1) the supernatural knowledge of Jesus or (2) a pre-arranged setting. The people of Jerusalem and surrounding areas opened their homes during these festival occasions for pilgrims.

22:11 “And you shall say to the owner of the house” This may have been John Mark’s home, which became the disciples’ meeting place in Jerusalem known as the upper room (cf. Acts 12:12).

“the guest room” See note at 2:7, where it is translated “inn.”

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 22:14-23

14When the hour had come, He reclined at the table, and the apostles with Him. 15And He said to them, "I have earnestly desired to eat this Passover with you before I suffer; 16for I say to you, I shall never again eat it until it is fulfilled in the kingdom of God." 17And when He had taken a cup and given thanks, He said, "Take this and share it among yourselves; 18for I say to you, I will not drink of the fruit of the vine from now on until the kingdom of God comes." 19And when He had taken some bread and given thanks, He broke it and gave it to them, saying, "This is My body which is given for you; do this in remembrance of Me." 20And in the same way He took the cup after they had eaten, saying, "This cup which is poured out for you is the new covenant in My blood. 21But behold, the hand of the one betraying Me is with Mine on the table. 22For indeed, the Son of Man is going as it has been determined; but woe to that man by whom He is betrayed!!" 23And they began to discuss among themselves which one of them it might be who was going to do this thing.

22:14 “When the hour had come” This would be twilight on the 15th of Nisan.
“He reclined at the table” Remember the Lord’s Supper was done as all Jewish meals, by reclining on the left elbow around a horseshoe-shaped, low table.

**22:15** This verse has two wordplays.
1. “with desire (epithumia) I desired (epethumasa),” which is a common idiom in the Septuagint for “strongly desired”
2. “passover” (pascha) and “suffer” (paschados)

Jesus had forewarned them several times (cf. 9:22-27; Mark 8:31-9:1; Matt. 16:21-28; 17:9,12,22-23; 20:18-19) of His upcoming suffering in Jerusalem at the instigation of the Jewish authorities and the cruel Roman justice (crucifixion).

**22:16** “I shall never again eat it until it is fulfilled in the Kingdom of God”’ This is a strong DOUBLE NEGATIVE, which refers to the Passover meal. It seems to be a reference to the Messianic banquet (cf. vv. 18,30; 14:15; Matt. 8:11; 26:29; Rev. 19:9).

This metaphor of intimate fellowship is hard to interpret. It obviously refers to table fellowship, which was so important in ancient Israel and the Near East. However, is it to be understood literally? Resurrected bodies do not need physical food. It is this type of idiomatic language about the afterlife that caused the Pharisees to think of it in such earthly, physical terms (Islam also). Humans have many questions about the afterlife, but the Bible speaks of it in symbol, idiom, analogy, and metaphor. Perhaps I Cor. 2:9, which is a quote from Isa. 64:4 and 65:17, is best!


**22:17-20** There is a manuscript variant in these verses. The long text (vv. 17-20) is recorded in the NASB, NRSV, NJB, NKJV, TEv. It is not in Manuscript D, on which the King James Version is normally based, but it is in the other four, most ancient witnesses (MSS P75, N, A, B) and is quoted by Justin Martyr around A.D. 150. In the short text (vv. 17-19a), the wine comes before the bread, which follows the order of I Cor. 10:16 (and the Didache 9:1-3). If the longer text is followed, then the order is reversed which is found in Matthew, Mark, and I Cor. 11:23-27.

There are two good discussions of this textual problem:
2. Bart D. Ehrman, The Orthodox Corruption of Scripture, pp. 198-209

This textual problem does not affect any Christian doctrine (because of the parallels in Matthew and Mark), but only a proper reading of Luke and his purposes and idiosyncrasies as an author. At the stage of textual criticism there is no way to determine the reading of the autograph copy (original handwritten copy) of Luke. Both forms were known to second century Christians.

**22:17 “a cup”** There are four cups of blessing during the Seder service. I believe that Jesus used the third cup of blessing as the point of departure from the national meal of Israel to the new meal of the church.

---

### SPECIAL TOPIC: ORDER OF PASSOVER SERVICE IN FIRST CENTURY JUDAISM

**A. Prayer**

**B. Cup of wine**

**C. Hand washing by host and passing of basin to all**

**D. Dip of bitter herbs and sauce**

**E. Lamb and main meal**

**F. Prayer and second dip of bitter herbs and sauce**


**H. Singing of the first part of Hallel Psalm 113-114 and prayer**

**I. Master of ceremony makes sop for each one after washing his hands**

**J. All eat until filled; finish with a piece of lamb**

**K. Third cup of wine after washing hands**

**L. Singing second part of Hallel Psalm 115-118**

**M. Fourth cup of wine**

Many believe that the institution of the Lord’s Supper occurred at “K.”

**22:18** “the fruit of the vine”’ See Special Topic below.
I. Biblical Terms
   A. Old Testament
      1. Yayin - This is the general term for wine, which is used 141 times. The etymology is uncertain because it is not from a Hebrew root. It always means fermented fruit juice, usually grape. Some typical passages are Gen. 9:21; Exod. 29:40; Num. 15:5,10.
      2. Tirosh - This is “new wine.” Because of climatic conditions of the Near East, fermentation started as soon as six hours after extracting the juice. This term refers to wine in the process of fermenting. For some typical passages, see Deut. 12:17; 18:4; Isa. 62:8-9; Hos. 4:11.
      3. Asis - This is obviously alcoholic beverages (Joel 1:5; Isa. 49:26).
      4. Sekar - This is the term “strong drink.” The Hebrew root is used in the term “drunk” or “drunkard.” It had something added to it to make it more intoxicating. It is parallel to yayin (cf. Prov. 20:1; 31:6; Isa. 28:7).
   B. New Testament
      1. Oinos - the Greek equivalent of yayin Neos oinos (new wine) - the Greek equivalent of tirosh (cf. Mark 2:22).

II. Biblical Usage
   A. Old Testament
      1. Wine is a gift of God (Gen. 27:28; Ps. 104:14-15; Eccl. 9:7; Hos. 2:8-9; Joel 2:19,24; Amos 9:13; Zech. 10:7).
      2. Wine is a part of a sacrificial offering (Exod. 29:40; Lev. 23:13; Num. 15:7,10; 28:14; Deut. 14:26; Judg. 9:13).
      3. Wine is used as medicine (II Sam. 16:2; Prov. 31:6-7).
      4. Wine can be a real problem (Noah- Gen. 9:21; Lot- Gen. 19:33,35; Samson- Judg. 16:19; Nabali-I Sam. 25:36; Uriah- II Sam. 11:13; Ammon- II Sam. 13:28; Elah- I Kin. 16:9; Benhadad- I Kin. 20:12; Rulers- Amos 6:6; and Ladies- Amos 4).
      5. Wine can be abused (Prov. 20:1; 23:29-35; 31:4-5; Isa. 5:11,22; 19:14; 28:7-8; Hosea 4:11).
      6. Wine was prohibited to certain groups (Priests on duty, Lev. 10:9; Ezek. 44:21; Nazarites, Num. 6; and Rulers, Prov. 31:4-5; Isa. 56:11-12; Hosea 7:5).
      7. Wine is used in an eschatological setting (Amos 9:13; Joel 3:18; Zech. 9:17).
   B. Interbiblical
      1. Wine in moderation is very helpful (Ecclesiasticus 31:27-30).
      2. The rabbis say, “Wine is the greatest of all medicine, where wine is lacking, then drugs are needed.” (BB 58b).
   C. New Testament
      1. Jesus changed a large quantity of water into wine (John 2:1-11).
      3. Peter was accused of drunkenness on “new wine” at Pentecost (Acts 2:13).
      4. Wine can be used as medicine (Mark 15:23; Luke 10:34; I Tim. 5:23).
      5. Leaders are not to be abusers. This does not mean total abstainers (I Tim. 3:3,8; Titus 1:7; 2:3; I Pet. 4:3).
      7. Drunkenness is deplored (Matt. 24:49; Luke 11:45; 21:34; I Cor. 5:11-13; 6:10; Gal. 5:21; I Pet. 4:3; Rom. 13:13-14).

III. Theological Insight
   A. Dialectical tension
      1. Wine is a gift from God.
      2. Drunkenness is a major problem.
      3. Believers in some cultures must limit their freedoms for the sake of the gospel (Matt. 15:1-20; Mark 7:1-23; I Cor. 8-10; Rom. 14:1-15:13).
   B. Tendency to go beyond given bounds
      1. God is the source of all good things (creation is “very good,” Gen. 1:31).
      2. Fallen mankind has abused all of God’s gifts by taking them beyond God-given bounds.
C. Abuse is in us, not in things. There is nothing evil in the physical creation (cf. Mark 7:18-23; Rom. 14:14,20; I Cor. 10:25-26; I Tim. 4:4; Titus 1:15).

IV. First Century Jewish Culture and Fermentation
A. Fermentation begins very soon, approximately 6 hours after the grape is crushed, especially in hot climates with non-hygienic conditions.
B. Jewish tradition says that when a slight foam appeared on the surface (sign of fermentation), it is liable to the wine-tithe (Ma aseroth 1:7). It was called “new wine” or “sweet wine.”
C. The primary violent fermentation was complete after one week.
D. The secondary fermentation took about 40 days. At this state it is considered “aged wine” and could be offered on the altar (Edhuyyoth 6:1).
E. Wine that had rested on its lees (old wine) was considered good, but it had to be strained well before use.
F. Wine was considered to be properly aged usually after one year of fermentation. Three years was the longest period of time that wine could be safely stored. It was called “old wine” and had to be diluted with water.
G. Only in the last 100 years with a sterile environment and chemical additives has fermentation been postponed. The ancient world could not stop the natural process of fermentation.

V. Closing Statements
A. Be sure your experience, theology, and biblical interpretation do not depreciate Jesus and first century Jewish and/or Christian culture! They were obviously not total abstainers.
B. I am not advocating the social use of alcohol. However, many have overstated the Bible’s position on this subject and now claim superior righteousness based on a cultural/denominational bias.
C. For me, Romans 14:1-15:13 and I Corinthians 8-10 have provided insight and guidelines based on love and respect for fellow believers and the spread of the gospel in every culture, not personal freedom or judgmental criticism. If the Bible is the only source for faith and practice, then maybe we must all rethink this issue.
D. If we push total abstinence as God’s will, what do we imply about Jesus, as well as believers in modern cultures that regularly use wine (e.g. Europe, Israel, Argentina)?

22:19 “some bread” Notice that the lamb is not mentioned. This meal has a completely new relevance for the church and is not linked inseparably to an annual Feast of national Israel. It symbolized a new deliverance (exodus) from sin (cf. Jer. 31:31-34).

““This is My body”” There have been four major understandings of this meal in the church: (1) Roman Catholic transubstantiation, which means that this is in reality the body of Christ; (2) Martin Luther’s con-substantiation, which is slightly less literal than number 1; (3) John Calvin’s spiritual presence, which is slightly less literal than numbers 1 and 2; and finally (4) Zwingli’s symbolic (Baptist) understanding. The interpretation that the elements actually become the body and blood of Christ comes from John 6:43-58 which, in context, is the feeding of the five thousand and the Jews expectation that the Messiah would feed them as Moses did, not the Lord’s Supper.

““do this in remembrance of Me”” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. The phrase is unique to Luke’s Gospel. The word anamnēsis occurs twice in Paul’s account of the Lord’s Supper in I Cor. 11:24,25. Luke may have gotten his terminology from Paul’s churches. This is probably why there are several non-Lukan forms and words in Luke 22:19b-20.

22:20
NASB, NRSV, TEV, NJB “poured out”
NKJV “shed”
The general term cheō means “to pour.” The intensified form ekcheō has two developed connotations:
1. innocent blood shed, Matt. 23:35; Acts 22:20
2. a sacrifice given, Matt. 26:28; Mark 14:24; Luke 22:20

“the new covenant in My blood”” This new covenant is mentioned in Jer. 31:31-34, Ezek. 36:22-36, and Zech. 9:11. It was horrid for Jews to think of drinking blood (cf. Lev. 17:14). It is obvious that Jesus is referring to His sacrificial death and not of literally drinking His blood!
A. The Gospel of John does not record the Lord’s Supper itself, although chapters 13-17 record the dialogue and prayer in the Upper Room. This omission may be intentional. The church of the late first century began to view the ordinances in a sacramental sense. They saw them as channels of grace. John may have been reacting to this developing sacramental view by not recording Jesus’ baptism or the Lord’s Supper.

B. John 6 is in the context of the feeding of the five thousand. However, many use it to teach a sacramental view of the Eucharist. This is the source of the Roman Catholic doctrine of transubstantiation (vv. 53-56).

   The question over how chapter 6 relates to the Eucharist shows the dual nature of the Gospels. Obviously, the Gospels relate to Jesus’ words and life, yet they were written decades later and expressed the individual authors’ community of faith. So there are three levels of authorial intent: (1) the Spirit; (2) Jesus and the original hearers; and (3) the Gospel writers and their readers. How is one to interpret? The only verifiable method must be a contextual, grammatical, lexical approach, informed by a historical setting.

C. We must remember that the audience was Jewish and the cultural background was the rabbinical expectation of the Messiah being a super-Moses (cf. vv. 30-31), especially in regard to the Exodus experiences like “manna.” The rabbis would use Ps. 72:16 as a proof text. Jesus’ unusual statements (cf. vv. 60-62, 66), were meant to counteract the crowd’s false Messianic expectations (cf. vv. 14-15).

D. The early church fathers did not all agree that this passage refers to the Lord’s Supper. Clement of Alexandria, Origen and Eusebius never mention the Lord’s Supper in their discussions on this passage.

E. The metaphors of this passage are very similar to Jesus’ words used with the “woman at the well” in John 4. Earthly water and bread are used as metaphors of eternal life and spiritual realities.

F. This multiplying of bread is the only miracle recorded in all four Gospels!

22:21 “the hand of the one betraying Me is with Mine on the table” In Luke, Judas participates in the entire Lord’s Supper. In John 13:21-30 he leaves before the Supper is begun. In Matthew and Mark he takes part in half of the Supper. We must continue to remember that the Gospels are not modern histories, but evangelistic tracts! Judas’ betrayal is a fulfillment of Ps. 41:9 (cf. John 13:18).

22:22 “the Son of Man is going as it has been determined” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICIPLE of horizō, which means a boundary or limit. We get the English word “horizon” from this Greek term. Jesus’ sacrificial death is part of the pre-determined plan of God (cf. Gen. 3:15; Isa. 52:13-53:12; Acts 2:23; 3:18; 4:28; 10:42; 13:29; 17:26,31). Jesus’s death was not an afterthought or plan B! Jesus came to die (cf. Mark 10:45; John 3:16; II Cor. 5:21)!

“but woe to that man by whom He is betrayed” It is the Gospel of John that mentions Judas’ treachery early and often (cf. 6:70; 12:4; 13:2,26,27; 17:12; 18:2-5).

22:23 This verse shows the confusion and uncertainty of the Twelve. They had been with Jesus for several years. They had heard His teachings and seen His miracles, yet they did not understand! They were not even sure which one of them was the betrayer!

   Passages like this are an encouragement to me in my doubts, confusions, and fear. Christianity is a life of faith, trust, hope, fear, and uncertainty: get used to it! The wonder of wonders is that through it all there is peace, joy, contentment, and assurance!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 22:24-27

   24And there arose also a dispute among them as to which one of them was regarded to be greatest. 25And He said to them, "The kings of the Gentiles lord it over them; and those who have authority over them are called 'Benefactors.' 26But it is not this way with you, but the one who is the greatest among you must become like the youngest, and the leader like the servant. 27For who is greater, the one who reclines at the table or the one who serves? Is it not the one who reclines at the table? But I am among you as the one who serves."
22:24 “And there arose also a dispute among them as to which one of them was regarded to be greatest” Every time Jesus related His death, the disciples began to argue over who would be His successor (cf. Matt. 18:1-5; 20:24-28; Mark 9:33-37; 10:41-45; Luke 9:46-48). The Greek word for “dispute” implies one ready to argue. The context of John 13 involves this same issue. The larger context is the dialogue in the “guest room” during the Lord’s Supper, John 13-17. They still had in their minds an earthly kingdom, a Jewish kingdom (cf. Acts 1:6). They were arguing over which one of them would take Jesus’ place as leader.

22:25-27 Jesus uses several words denoting powerful men: “kings,” “those who have authority,” “benefactors” (used of Syrian kings). These all refer to people in power. Christ’s leaders must be servant leaders. Jesus demonstrated this for them as He washed their feet in John 13:3-5 and supremely when He died on the cross. God’s leaders must be Kingdom people, Great Commission people!

The fall involved selfishness; faith in Jesus promotes and provides selflessness (cf. Gal. 2:20). We are saved to serve; we live to serve! It is all about Jesus, not all about us (cf. 9:48).

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 22:28-30**

28“You are those who have stood by Me in My trials; 29and just as My Father has granted Me a kingdom, I grant you 30that you may eat and drink at My table in My kingdom, and you will sit on thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel.”

22:29-30 Jesus knew who He was and why He came (cf. John 15:1-7). He has the authority (cf. Matt. 28:18) to appoint His followers a place that was given to Him by the Father (a place at the head table).

22:30 “and you will sit on thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel” The exact time, purpose, and people to be ruled is uncertain (cf. Matt. 19:28; II Tim. 2:11-12; Rev. 3:21). This phrase surely links the OT Israel and the NT church in an inseparable embrace.

**SPECIAL TOPIC: REIGNING IN THE KINGDOM OF GOD**

The concept of reigning with Christ is part of the larger theological category called “the Kingdom of God.” This is a carry-over from the OT concept of God as the true king of Israel (cf. I Sam. 8:7). He symbolically reigned (cf. I Sam. 8:7; 10:17-19) through a descendant from the tribe of Judah (cf. Gen. 49:10) and the family of Jesse (cf. II Sam. 7).

Jesus is the promised fulfillment of OT prophecy concerning the Messiah. He inaugurated the Kingdom of God with His incarnation at Bethlehem. The Kingdom of God became the central pillar of Jesus’ preaching. The Kingdom had fully come in Him (cf. Matt: 10:7; 11:12; 12:28; Mark 1:15; Luke 10:9,11; 11:20; 16:16; 17:20-21).

However, the Kingdom was also future (eschatological). It was present but not consummated (cf. Matt. 6:10; 8:11; 16:28; 22:1-14; 26:29; Luke 9:27; 11:2; 13:29; 14:10-24; 22:16,18). Jesus came the first time as a suffering servant (cf. Isa. 52:13-53:12), as humble (cf. Zech. 9:9), but He will return as King of Kings (cf. Matt. 2:2; 21:5; 27:11-14). The concept of “reigning” is surely a part of this “kingdom” theology. God has given the kingdom to Jesus’ followers (see Luke 12:32).

The concept of reigning with Christ has several aspects and questions:

1. Do the passages which assert that God has given believers “the kingdom” through Christ refer to “reigning” (cf. Matt. 5:3,10; Luke 12:32)?
2. Do Jesus’ words to the original disciples in the first century Jewish context refer to all believers (cf. Matt. 19:28; Luke 22:28-30)?
3. Does Paul’s emphasis on reigning in this life now contrast or complement the above texts (cf. Rom. 5:17; I Cor. 4:8)?
4. How are suffering and reigning related (cf. Rom. 8:17; II Tim. 2:11-12; I Pet. 4:13; Rev. 1:9)?
5. The recurrent theme of Revelation is sharing the glorified Christ’s reign
   a. earthly, 5:10
   b. millennial, 20:5,6
   c. eternal, 2:26; 3:21; 22:5; and Dan. 7:14,18,27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 22:31-34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31&quot;Simon, Simon, behold, Satan has demanded permission to sift you like wheat; 32but I have prayed for you, that your faith may not fail; and you, when once you have turned again, strengthen your brothers.&quot; 33But he said to Him, &quot;Lord, with You I am ready to go both to prison and to death!&quot; 34And He said, 'I say to you, Peter, the rooster will not crow today until you have denied three times that you know Me.'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NASB “Simon, Simon” The doubling of a name was a way of gently chiding (cf. 6:46; 10:41; 22:31; Acts 9:4; 22:7; 26:14). Notice Jesus calls him Simon and not Peter (rock). He will be anything but a rock in the next few hours.

NASB “Satan has demanded permission to sift you like wheat”
NKJV “Satan has asked for you, that he may sift you as wheat”
NRSV “Satan has demanded to sift all of you like wheat”
TEV “Satan has received permission to test all of you, to separate the good from the bad, as a farmer separates the wheat from the chaff”
NJB “Satan has got his wish to sift all of you like wheat”

The “you” is PLURAL. This means all of the disciples. This sounds much like Job 1:12; 2:6. Satan must ask God’s permission before he acts. The TEV and NJB catch the connotation of the VERB ἐκαίνει (here an AORIST MIDDLE INDICATIVE) as it was used in the papyri (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary of the Greek Testament, p. 221).

Sifting was a process of (1) shaking grain through a strainer to remove dirt and small stones and other impurities before preparing it to eat or (2) separating the grain from the chaff by winnowing. Here it is metaphorical of a time of testing.

22:32 “but I have prayed for you” The PRONOUN ἐγὼ is fronted, implying “I myself.” Jesus has prayer specifically for Peter. Jesus prayed for His disciples then and now in John 17. Jesus continues to pray for all believers (cf. Heb. 7:25; 9:24; I John 2:1). This same VERB is used in 21:36 for believers praying and keeping watch.

“that your faith may not fail” This is a sobering thought. Peter will deny any knowledge of Jesus three times, with an oath! But Peter repents and reestablishes his relationship by faith (Judas does not).

If the strong leader of the Apostolic group is open to Satanic attack and failure, why not the rest of Jesus’ followers (past and present)?

“when once you have turned again” Even in the midst of temptation, Jesus strengthens Peter by this statement. I believe John 21 is Peter’s official reinstatement as leader of the Apostolic group after his denial. He will preach the first Christian sermon at Pentecost in Acts 2!

22:33 This verse clearly shows the struggle of the will. Peter truly wanted to follow and serve his Lord, but there is a terrible conflict in the fallen human heart. Self, self-interest, and self-preservation become ultimate issues (cf. Rom. 7). Peter was willing to die for Jesus at the arrest in the garden of Gethsemane, but not at the fire outside the high priest’s home (cf. Matt. 26:41; Mark 14:38).

22:34 “the rooster will not crow” The time of the crowing (before 3 a.m.) and the number of crowings (cf. Mark. 14:30) are examples of Jesus’ supernatural knowledge.

“that you know Me” Remember these are Hebrew (Aramaic) thinkers and speakers being recorded in Koine Greek. The term “know” is a PERFECT ACTIVE INFINITIVE denoting a past act come to a settled state of being. The Hebrew connotation of “know” is not facts about, but intimate personal relationship (cf. Gen. 4:1; Jer. 1:5). Peter was asserting that he had never had a personal relationship with Jesus!
22:35 **“When I sent you out without money belt and bag and sandals”** This relates to the mission trips of the Twelve and the Seventy (cf. 9:3; 10:4). This reminds them of a ministry time when they had to totally depend on God for sustenance, protection, and provision.

**“you did not lack anything did you”** This question expects a “no” answer.

22:36 **“and whoever has no sword is to sell his coat and buy one”** Peter apparently took this literally as did the other disciples (see v. 38). This is probably the background of Peter’s cutting off the ear of the High Priest’s servant. I do not believe Jesus was speaking literally, but figuratively of the struggle ahead.

There is a paradox between divine provision and human preparation. Both are needed. It is part of the faith covenant relationship with God. Believers are not blessed or effective because of their efforts, but because they are blessed they freely give themselves to the spiritual tasks assigned by God.

This verse, which contains three AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVES, shows that not all commands are to be taken literally. Human language has a complex relationship to historical setting and literary context. Part of the image of God in mankind is our linguistic abilities.

22:37 **“that this which is written must be fulfilled in Me”** This refers to OT prophecy (here Isa. 53:12). The VERB “is written” is a PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICIPLE, which is an idiom for inspired OT Scripture. Jesus’ life, teachings, and actions had OT prophetic implications.

The OT and NT authors believed God was intimately involved in His creation. He reveals Himself to humans in Scripture. One of the powerful ways He substantiates the validity and authority of His revelation (Bible) is predictive prophecy. Many of the OT prophecies are typological fulfillments (something happens to Israel or her leaders that later also occur in Jesus’ life, cf. Ps. 22; Hos. 11:1) and others like this one specifically record future events unique to the Messiah (cf. Isa. 53; Micah 5:2). No other “holy book” of world religions has predictive prophecy. It clearly shows the supernatural nature of the Bible and God’s control and knowledge of history.

**NASB**  “for that which refers to Me has its fulfillment”

**NKJV**  “for the things concerning Me have an end”

**NRSV**  “and indeed what is written about me is being fulfilled”

**TEV**  “what was written about me is coming true”

**NJB**  “Yes, what it says about me is even now reaching its fulfillment”

This could refer to (1) prophetic Scripture about the Messiah or (2) Jesus’ life work of accomplishing the redemptive will and plan of God, about to be finished. His hour has come. Charles B. Williams, *The New Testament In the Language of the People* has “yes that saying about me has its fulfillment,” which makes v. 37 Hebrew synonymous parallelism.

**“HE WAS NUMBERED WITH TRANSGRESSORS”** This is a quote from a Servant Song of Isaiah 52:13-53:12 (cf. 53:12). It is the most specific OT prophecy of the Messiah’s rejection and subsequent death. The Messiah will suffer (cf. Gen. 3:15!)

22:38 **“It is enough”** This either refers to the fact that (1) there are enough swords or (2) this is enough of this kind of talk (cf. TEV footnote).

Both A. T. Robertson (*Word Pictures*) and Joseph A. Fitzmyer (*Anchor Bible*, vol. 28A) assume that Jesus is speaking metaphorically and that the Apostles have taken Him literally. Since He cannot communicate to them because of their world view/mind set, He terminates the discussion. They take this approach because it sounds so out of character for Jesus to advocate buying swords for a physical battle between His disciples and a large group of soldiers.

F. F. Bruce, *Questions and Answers*, says:

“Our Lord speaks here with a certain sad irony: since the Son of Man is about to be numbered with transgressors (more particularly, with bandits like the two who were crucified with Him), why should His followers not dress accordingly and wear swords as well as other articles which they had formerly been forbidden to take with them? Taking Him up literally, the disciples revealed that they had two swords with them. But He, realizing that they had not
understood Him, dismissed the matter: “Enough!” He said, “that will do.” He certainly did not wish them to use the swords for defending Him (as the sequel makes plain), and two swords would have been inadequate for their own self-defense” (p. 63).
This is basically the understanding found in *Hard Sayings of the Bible*, pp. 486-487.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 22:39-46**

39 And He came out and proceeded as was His custom to the Mount of Olives; and the disciples also followed Him.
40 When He arrived at the place, He said to them, "Pray that you may not enter into temptation." 41 And He withdrew from them about a stone's throw, and He knelt down and began to pray,
42 saying, "Father, if You are willing, remove this cup from Me; yet not My will, but Yours be done." 43 Now an angel from heaven appeared to Him, strengthening Him. 44 And being in agony He was praying very fervently; and His sweat became like drops of blood, falling down upon the ground.
45 When He rose from prayer, He came to the disciples and found them sleeping from sorrow, 46 and said to them, "Why are you sleeping? Get up and pray that you may not enter into temptation."

22:39 “as was His custom to the Mount of Olives” Apparently Jesus used this place often for prayer. There is also the possibility that this was His camp site while in Jerusalem.
   The Mount of Olives is really a ridge to the east of Jerusalem running about 2.5 miles. It is about 300-400' higher than the city. This makes it a beautiful place to overlook the holy city and the temple. Jesus apparently camped out here while in Jerusalem (cf. 21:37).

22:40 “When He arrived at the place” Luke never mentions the garden of Gethsemane as Mark (cf. 14:32) and Matthew (cf. 26:36) do.

“Pray that you may not enter into temptation” “Pray” is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE, which denotes an ongoing command. Jesus faced His hour of trial through His constant fellowship with the Father in prayer. Luke, of all the Gospels, emphasizes Jesus’ prayer life.
   The term “temptation” is the NOUN form of the VERB peirazō. See Special Topic at 4:2.

22:41 Jesus came to Gethsemane with all His Apostles (except Judas) to pray. Apparently He left the larger group along with Peter, James, and John. He then left them and went a short distance away and began to pray (IMPERFECT MIDDLE [deponent] INDICATIVE), which denotes the beginning of an action in past time or the recurrence of an action (cf. Matt. 26:39,42,44).

“knelt down” Matthew and Mark have Jesus prostrate on the ground (cf. Matt. 26:39; Mark 14:35). Luke has Jesus kneeling. The normal position of Jewish prayer was standing with the eyes and hands lifted to heaven. This experience was not normal in any sense!

22:42 “Father” See Special Topic below.

**SPECIAL TOPIC: FATHER**

The OT introduces the intimate familial metaphor of God as Father: (1) the nation of Israel is often described as YHWH’s “son” (cf. Hos. 11:1; Mal. 3:17); (2) even earlier in Deuteronomy the analogy of God as Father is used (1:31); (3) in Deut. 32 Israel is called “his children” and God is called “your father”; (4) this analogy is stated in Ps. 103:13 and developed in Ps. 68:5 (the father of orphans); and (5) it was common in the prophets (cf. Isa. 1:2; 63:8; Israel as son, God as Father, 63:16; 64:8; Jer. 3:4,19; 31:9).
   Jesus spoke Aramaic, which means that many of the places where “Father” appears as the Greek Pater it may reflect the Aramaic Abba (cf. 14:36). This familial term “Daddy” or “Papa” reflects Jesus’ intimacy with the Father; His revealing this to His followers also encourages our own intimacy with the Father. The term “Father” was used only in the OT for YHWH, but Jesus uses it often and pervasively. It is a major revelation of our new relationship with God through Christ.

“if” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which implies Jesus’ request was possible. This phrase is repeated in all three Synoptic Gospels (cf. Matt. 26:39 and Mark 14:35).
**“remove this cup from Me”** We are on extremely holy ground here as Jesus’ human nature struggles with the Father’s will. This was an OT metaphor for one’s destiny (cf. Ps. 16:5; 23:5; Jer. 51:2; Matt. 20:22). It was usually used in a judgmental (i.e. negative) sense (cf. Ps. 11:6; 75:8; Isa. 51:17,22; Jer. 25:15-16,27-28; 49:12; Lam. 4:21; Ezek. 23:31-33; Hab. 2:16). This idiom is often associated with drunkenness, which is another OT metaphor for judgment (cf. Job 21:20; Isa. 29:9; 63:6; Jer. 25:15-16,27-28). Jesus wants out! Fear is not sin. He faced fear with faith; so must we!

**“yet not My will, but Yours be done”** In this context the true humanity and faith of Jesus shines forth! Though His human nature cries out for deliverance, His heart is set on fulfilling the Father’s eternal plan of substitutionary atonement (cf. Gen. 3:15; Isa. 53; Mark 10:45; Luke 22:22; Acts 2:23; 3:18; 4:28; 13:29; II Cor. 5:21; Eph. 2:11-3:13).

The “to be” VERB is a PRESENT MIDDLE (deponent) IMPERATIVE. The temptation was to bypass the cross! This was exactly Satan’s temptation in the wilderness in chapter 4 (see James S. Stewart, *The Life and Teaching of Jesus Christ*, pp. 39-46).

**22:43-44** These verses are found in the ancient manuscript Greek uncial \(N^*, N^2, D, K, L, X, \) and Delta. They are also found in the quotations of Justin, Martyr, Irenaeus, Hippolytus, Eusebius, and Jerome. However, they are omitted in MSS P69 (probably)75, \(N^*, A, N, T, \) and W, as well as the manuscripts used by Clement of Alexandria and Origen. The UBS\(^4\) ranks their omission as “certain” (A).

Bart D. Ehrman, *The Orthodox Corruption of Scripture*, pp. 187-194, assumes these verses are an early second century addition to refute docetic (agnostic) Christologies who denied Christ’s humanity and suffering. The church’s conflict with Christological heresies was the source of many of the early manuscript changes.

The NASB and NRSV bracket these verses, while NKJV, TEV, and NIV have a footnote which says, “some ancient manuscripts omit verses 43 and 44.” This information is unique to Luke’s Gospel.

22:45 “sleeping from sorrow” Only Luke adds this note to explain why the disciples could not stay awake.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 22:47-53</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“While He was still speaking, behold, a crowd came, and the one called Judas, one of the twelve, was preceding them; and he approached Jesus to kiss Him. <strong>But Jesus said to him, &quot;Judas, are you betraying the Son of Man with a kiss?&quot;</strong> <strong>When those who were around Him saw what was going to happen, they said, &quot;Lord, shall we strike with the sword?&quot;</strong> <strong>And one of them struck the slave of the high priest and cut off his right ear.</strong> <strong>But Jesus answered and said, &quot;Stop! No more of this.&quot; And He touched his ear and healed him.</strong> <strong>Then Jesus said to the chief priests and officers of the temple and elders who had come against Him, &quot;Have you come out with swords and clubs as you would against a robber? While I was with you daily in the temple, you did not lay hands on Me; but this hour and the power of darkness are yours.&quot;</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22:47 “a crowd” Luke often mentions the crowd of people who followed Jesus to hear His teaching and observe His miracles. It is ironic that now in this verse and 23:4 they are enemies, but in 23:48 (the cross) the crowd who came to watch, grieves and disperses.

**“and he approached Jesus to kiss Him”** This was a typical greeting of a student for his rabbi (cf. Mark 14:45). It was a sign of affection (cf. v. 48), but here it was a way of pointing to Jesus so that He could be arrested (cf. Mark 14:44; Matt. 26:49).

22:50 John 18:10 names the disciple (Peter) and the High Priest’s slave (Malchus).

---

22:51

NASB ““Stop, no more of this”"

NKJV ““Permit even this””

NRSV ““No more of this””

TEV ““Enough of this””

NJB ““that is enough””

This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. This has three possible meanings.

1. if He is addressing the disciples, it means allow this to happen to Me
2. if He is addressing the crowd, it means we will put up no more struggle
3. the NASB (1970) footnote relates this phrase to Jesus’ healing of the severed ear, also implying no more violence

**“and He touched his ear and healed him”** Matthew, Mark, and John all mention that Peter cut off the High Priest’s slave’s ear. Only Luke records the healing. It is uncertain whether Jesus (1) stopped the bleeding or (2) restored the ear. Luke the physician is interested in this.
I wonder whether Malchus became a believer. This must have been a very dramatic moment for all of these men sent to arrest Jesus!

22:52 “chief priests” The reason for the PLURAL is that since the Romans occupied Palestine, the High Priesthood had been a political plumb to be purchased. It is doubtful that the High Priest himself came to the garden, but probably his representatives from the Sanhedrin (elders).

“with swords and clubs” The Romans would have had swords and the Temple police (officers, see note at v. 4) would have had the clubs.

22:53 This verse relates to the temple police and the representatives of the Sanhedrin. It is a penetrating question and accusation. As Jesus had His prophetic “hour” (DEFINITE ARTICLE, cf. Matt. 26:45; Mark 14:35,41), so too, did these forces and pawns of evil (authority of darkness). Jesus’ arrest, trials, death, and resurrection were all part of God’s plan of redemption.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 22:54-62

54Having arrested Him, they led Him away and brought Him to the house of the high priest; but Peter was following at a distance. 55After they had kindled a fire in the middle of the courtyard and had sat down together, Peter was sitting among them. 56And a servant-girl, seeing him as he sat in the firelight and looking intently at him, said, "This man was with Him too." 57But he denied it, saying, "Woman, I do not know Him." 58A little later, another saw him and said, "You are one of them too!" But Peter said, "Man, I am not!" 59After about an hour had passed, another man began to insist, saying, "Certainly this man also was with Him, for he is a Galilean too." 60But Peter said, "Man, I do not know what you are talking about." Immediately, while he was still speaking, a rooster crowed. 61The Lord turned and looked at Peter. And Peter remembered the word of the Lord, how He had told him, "Before a rooster crows today, you will deny Me three times." 62And he went out and wept bitterly.

22:54 “they led Him away and brought Him to the house of the high priest” Possibly Annas and Caiaphas lived in one large home (cf. Matt. 26:57-58; John 18:13,15,24). The order of trials seems to be (1) before Annas; (2) before Caiaphas; (3) before the entire Sanhedrin; (4) before Pilate; (5) before Herod; and (6) again before Pilate.

“but Peter was following at a distance” The Gethsemane arrest caused most of the disciples to flee in fear of arrest. However, John may have known people in the High Priest’s family, for apparently he was present at the trials before the Jewish leaders. Peter, too, did not completely desert Jesus, but followed at a distance. He could not stay with Jesus, but he could not leave either (cf. Matt. 26:58; Mark 14:54).

22:55 “they had kindled a fire” This seems to refer to (1) the Temple Police or (2) the high priests.

22:56 “a servant-girl” There is a great variety among the Gospels on the who and the when of Peter’s accusers. It is obvious that several around the fire recognized him and challenged him.

22:57 “I do not know Him” The interpretive key to this phrase is not the VERB, but the Hebrew connotation of “know.” Peter is denying any personal relationship with Jesus of Nazareth.

22:58 Here Peter denies he was part of Jesus’ group of disciples.

22:59 “Certainly this man also was with Him, for he is a Galilean too” This refers to Peter’s accent. The pronunciation of gutturals in Aramaic was different between Jerusalem and Galilee. Peter denies even his accent!

22:61 “The Lord turned and looked at Peter” This was not done in anger, but in sorrow and compassion. Possibly Jesus was being moved from Annas to Caiaphas within the same house. This fulfilled Jesus’ prophecy in v. 34. This starts Peter’s sorrowful repentance (cf. v. 62; Matt. 26:75)!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 22:63-65

63Now the men who were holding Jesus in custody were mocking Him and beating Him, 64and they blindfolded Him and were asking Him, saying, "Prophesy, who is the one who hit You?" 65And they were saying many other things against Him, blaspheming.
22:63 “the men who were holding Jesus in custody were mocking Him and beating Him” Jesus was beaten by the Jewish guards, Herod’s guards, and the Roman guards. These beatings may be a fulfillment of Isa. 53:2.

22:64-65 These Roman soldiers took out their anger and frustration against the exclusivism and continued rebellion of the Jewish population on Jesus. He became the object of their ridicule!

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 22:66-71**

66 When it was day, the Council of elders of the people assembled, both chief priests and scribes, and they led Him away to their council chamber, saying, 67 "If you are the Christ, tell us." But He said to them, "If I tell you, you will not believe; 68 and if I ask a question, you will not answer. 69 But from now on THE SON OF MAN WILL BE SEATED AT THE RIGHT HAND of the power OF GOD." 70 And they all said, "Are You the Son of God, then?" And He said to them, "Yes, I am." 71 Then they said, "What further need do we have of testimony? For we have heard it ourselves from His own mouth."

22:66 “When it was day” If the night trial occurred on Thursday (Nisan 14), then this occurred on the Friday morning (Nisan 14). Jesus will be crucified by noon (Nisan 14, cf. 23:44) and buried before the beginning of the Sabbath at twilight on Friday (Nisan 15, cf. 23:54).

The timing and order of these trials vary from Gospel to Gospel. We must remember these are not western histories or biographies, but salvation tracts targeted to certain people groups. The variety does not diminish the inspiration or trustworthiness of the events themselves!

“the Council of elders of the people assembled” This was done by the Sanhedrin (See Special Topic at 9:22) to give a semblance of legality to the illegal nighttime trial.

**SPECIAL TOPIC: ILLEGALITIES OF THE SANHEDRIN'S NIGHT TRIAL**

A. No capital trials were to be held at night.
B. A capital trial and punishment must not occur on the same day.
C. No trials were to be held on a feast day or the eve of a feast.
D. This particular morning was reserved for the saying of phylacteries (cf. Deut. 6:8; 11:18)
E. This morning was also the time of the offering of gifts in the Temple (cf. Ex. 23:15).

22:67 “If” The first “if” in this verse is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL. It usually denotes the reality of a statement, but here it is used sarcastically, which shows how literary context affects grammatical form. There are no hard and fast rules. Context, context, context is crucial!

“the Christ”
“the Messiah”

Here is a good example of Aramaic speakers being recorded in Greek. They are asking Jesus if He is the promised Anointed One of God—the Messiah!

“tell us” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. They want a clear “yes” or “no.”

“if” The second “if” in this verse is a THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL, which denotes potential action.

“you will not believe” The Sanhedrin did not want information about Jesus. They wanted to condemn Him. Remember this encounter was after two lengthy night trials before Annas and Caiaphas (v. 54). Whatever Jesus said, their minds and hearts were already hardened. No faith response was possible. The unpardonable sin had occurred. See Special Topic at 5:24.

This verse (as does v. 68) has the grammatical form of the strongest negation in Koine Greek.

1. the DOUBLE NEGATIVE, ou + mé
2. AORIST SUBJUNCTIVE
22:68 “if” This is another THIRD CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE. Jesus had tried, on many occasions, to enter into a dialog with the Jerusalem leadership, but they would not or could not answer His questions. Jesus knew them well!

"not" This is a strong DOUBLE NEGATIVE with the AORIST SUBJUNCTIVE, which is emphatic negation!

22:69 “THE SON OF MAN WILL BE SEATED AT THE RIGHT HAND OF THE POWER OF GOD” This is an incomplete sentence which is a reference to Ps. 110:1, a specifically Messianic text, related to “the” special descendant of David linked to the coming Messiah. The phrase “at God’s right hand” is an anthropomorphic phrase speaking of God as if He were a man. In reality God is Spirit and has no body and, therefore, no need of a physical throne or hand. Jesus answered their question in unmistakable OT prophetic terms!

22:70 “and they all said” Notice the PLURAL. Those present spoke with one voice!

“Are You the Son of God, then” We learn from Mark 14:55-59 that the false witnesses had failed in their accusations. At this point the Sandehrin tried to get Jesus to incriminate Himself. This was illegal in Jewish Law. The phrase “Son of God” is used in the OT for the nation of Israel, the king of Israel, and the Messiah. It definitely had Messianic connotations. See Special Topic at 1:35.

NASB “Yes, I am”
NKJV “You rightly say that I am”
NRSV, TEV “you say that I am”
NJB “it is you who say I am”

Jesus, in a round-about way, affirms that He is the Messiah (cf. Mark 14:62). He knew they would take this title in such a way as to accuse him before Rome. Moffat’s translation at this point is very helpful to catch the subtle connotation of this phrase. “That is your word, not mine, I would not put it like that, but since you have, I cannot deny it” (cf. Matt. 26:64).

A. T. Robertson, Word Pictures In the New Testament, vol. 2, p. 277, makes the pertinent analysis that Jesus admits to being
1. the Christ (Messiah), v. 67
2. the Son of Man at God’s right hand, v. 69
3. the Son of God, v. 70
All of these phrases are used in a parallel way.

22:71 This verse shows the purpose of their questioning. They wanted Him to admit to what they considered blasphemy.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. What does it mean that Satan entered Judas?
2. Is Judas responsible for his acts since they were predestined?
3. Why did Judas betray Jesus?
4. How is the Lord’s Supper related to the Passover meal?
5. Why do the Gospels differ as to the day the Lord’s Supper was instituted?
6. Why are the Gospel account of Peter’s denial so different?
7. Why did the Sanhedrin reject Jesus’ Messiahship?
## LUKE 23

### PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UBS4</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jesus Brought Before Pilate</td>
<td>Jesus Handed Over to Pontius Pilate</td>
<td>Jesus Before Pilate</td>
<td>Jesus Before Pilate</td>
<td>Jesus Before Pilate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:3a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:3b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesus Before Herod</td>
<td>Jesus Faces Herod</td>
<td>Jesus Before Herod Antipas</td>
<td>Jesus Before Herod</td>
<td>Jesus Before Herod</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:8-12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesus Sentenced to Die</td>
<td>Taking the Place of Barabbas</td>
<td>Jesus Before Pilate</td>
<td>Jesus Is Sentenced to Death</td>
<td>Jesus Before Pilate Again</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:20-21</td>
<td>23:20-23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:23-25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Crucifixion</td>
<td>The King On A Cross</td>
<td>The Crucifixion</td>
<td>Jesus Is Crucified</td>
<td>The Way to Calvary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:27-31</td>
<td>23:26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:33-34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:34b-35</td>
<td>The Crucified Christ is Mocked</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:35-38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:36-37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:38</td>
<td>The Good Thief</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:40-42</td>
<td>23:39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Death of Jesus | Jesus Dies on the Cross | The Death of Jesus | The Death of Jesus
---|---|---|---
After the Death
23:56b | 23:56

READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 23:1-5

1Then the whole body of them got up and brought Him before Pilate. 2And they began to accuse Him, saying, "We found this man misleading our nation and forbidding to pay taxes to Caesar, and saying that He Himself is Christ, a King." 3So Pilate asked Him, saying, "Are You the King of the Jews?" And He answered him and said, "It is as you say." 4Then Pilate said to the chief priests and the crowds, "I find no guilt in this man." 5But they kept on insisting, saying, "He stirs up the people, teaching all over Judea, starting from Galilee even as far as this place."

23:1 The NJB puts this verse as the conclusion of the paragraph beginning in 22:66. This is because “the whole body” refers to the Sanhedrin, who met early in the morning in an attempt to legitimize their judicial proceedings of the night before.

23:2 “they began to accuse Him, saying” Although Jesus was condemned by the Sanhedrin for blasphemy, the charges they brought before Pilate relate to sedition: (1) corrupting the nation; (2) forbidding to pay taxes to Caesar; (3) claiming to be a king himself (the Jewish Messiah).

23:3 “Pilate asked Him, saying ‘Are You the King of the Jews?’ And He answered him and said, ‘It is as you say’” Jesus answered in the affirmative, but with qualifications (in a sense similar to His answer to the High Priests in 22:70). Pilate would
have understood this as a “no” to the political charge of sedition. The main purpose of Luke and John is to show that Jesus and His followers are no threat to Roman authority (cf. Matt. 26:25; John 18:36-37).

23:4
NASB “‘I find no guilt in this man’”
NKJV “‘I find no fault in this Man’”
NRSV “‘I find no basis for an accusation against this man’”
TEV “‘I find no reason to condemn this man’”
NJB “‘I find no case against this man’”

Luke emphasizes this truth over and over, as does John, that Jesus was not treasonous and that Pilate understood this (cf. vv. 14,15,22; John 18:38; 19:4,6).

23:5
NASB “but they kept on insisting”
NKJV “but they were the more fierce”
NRSV “but they were insistent”
TEV “but they insisted even more strongly”
NJB “but they persisted”

This is an IMPERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE. The Greek term *epischuo* appears only here in the NT, but is used twice in the Septuagint (cf. I Macc. 6:6; Sir. 29:1) in the same sense. The other Gospel writers use the term *katagoreo* (cf. Matt. 27:12; Mark 15:3; John 18:29; even Luke in 23:10). Luke often uses his own vocabulary even when following Mark’s gospel. The doctrine of inspiration must include the Holy Spirit’s using the vocabulary of the individual NT authors. Their words are directed by God, but not dictated. The NT authors were not typewriters.

NASB, NKJV,
NRSV “‘He stirs up the people’”
TEV “‘he is starting a riot among the people’”
NJB “‘He is inflaming the people’”

Here Luke uses the same VERB as Mark (15:11). This word is not found in the Septuagint.

“starting from Galilee” The Jewish authorities added this to further condemn Jesus as a traitor to Rome. Galilee was a hotbed of seditious activity. However, Pilate used this as an opportunity to pass the judicial responsibility to Herod (cf. vv. 6-7).

23:6
NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 23:6-7

> When Pilate heard it, he asked whether the man was a Galilean. And when he learned that He belonged to Herod’s jurisdiction, he sent Him to Herod, who himself also was in Jerusalem at that time.

This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE. Pilate assumed Jesus was from Galilee.

23:7 “Herod’s jurisdiction” This refers to Herod Antipas. See Special Topic: Herod the Great and His Family at 3:1.

“who himself also was in Jerusalem at that time” Passover was one of the three major annual Jewish feasts (cf. Lev. 23). All Jewish males were expected to attend. Certainly all Jewish leaders would be present.

23:8
NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 23:8-12

> Now Herod was very glad when he saw Jesus; for he had wanted to see Him for a long time, because he had been hearing about Him and was hoping to see some sign performed by Him. And he questioned Him at some length; but He answered him nothing. And the chief priests and the scribes were standing there, accusing Him vehemently. And Herod with his soldiers, after treating Him with contempt and mocking Him, dressed Him in a gorgeous robe and sent Him back to Pilate. Now Herod and Pilate became friends with one another that very day; for before they had been enemies with each other.

“Now Herod was very glad when he saw Jesus” Only Luke records the trial before Herod. Herod was very interested in Jesus (cf. Matt. 14:1-2; Luke 9:9). Luke’s source of information may have been Manaen, who grew up with Herod (cf. Acts 13:1).
“to see some sign performed by Him” Herod was curious about Jesus. He had heard of His miracles. Herein is the problem of the miraculous. It can lead to mere curiosity and amazement, but not to personal faith and repentance as the appropriate response to the gospel message. Satan can and will perform miracles (cf. Matt. 24:24; Mark 13:22; Rev. 13:13; 16:14; 20:20). The OT warns of these false prophets in Deut. 13:1-3!

23:9 “but He answered him nothing” This may be a fulfillment of Isa. 53:7b and e. Jesus did not initially reply to
1. the Sanhedrin (cf. Matt. 26:63), but later did briefly (cf. Matt. 26:63-64)
2. Pilate (cf. Matt. 27:12,14; Mark 15:5; John 19:9), but later did (cf. John 18:34,36-37; 19:11)

23:10 The Sanhedrin accompanied Jesus to Herod and vehemently accused Him. The ADVERB “vehemently” (euton*sizeof{e}) is used only in Luke’s writings (cf. 23:10; Acts 18:28), but is in the Septuagint (cf. Josh. 6:8). They may have expanded their charges before Herod to include blasphemy. Herod would have been sensitive to order and stability in the temple.

23:11 This is an example of the kinds of historical problems commentators face in trying to merge the four accounts of Jesus’ life. Luke records that Herod’s soldiers mocked Jesus as King, but Matthew (27:27-31), Mark (15:16-20), and John (19:1-5) record that it was the Roman soldiers. Herein enter the interpretive options:
1. both groups of soldiers mocked Jesus
2. only Herod’s soldiers did
3. only the Roman soldiers did

We must remember that the Gospels are not western histories, but eastern evangelistic tracts. The event is crucial, but the details must remain uncertain. Gospel writers had the authority under inspiration to arrange, adapt, and select events from Jesus’ life. They did not have the authority to invent words, actions, and events! Soldiers mocked Jesus! Who, when, and why must remain ambiguous.

NASB, NKJV “a gorgeous robe”
NRSV “an elegant robe”
TEV “a fine robe”
NJB “a rich cloak”

The Greek term lampros basically means “bright” or “shining,” possibly “gaudy.” Mark describes the robe as purple (color of royalty); Matthew as scarlet (a soldier’s cloak). They were mocking Him as a supposed king!

23:12 This friendship between Pilate and Herod is unique to Luke, modern historians have no idea about what this involves.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 23:13-17

13Pilate summoned the chief priests and the rulers and the people, 14and said to them, "You brought this man to me as one who incites the people to rebellion, and behold, having examined Him before you, I have found no guilt in this man regarding the charges which you make against Him. 15No, nor has Herod, for he sent Him back to us; and behold, nothing deserving death has been done by Him. 16Therefore I will punish Him and release Him." 17 [Now he was obliged to release to them at the feast one prisoner.]

23:13 This is surprising since the Sanhedrin brought Jesus to Pilate (cf. v. 1) and accompanied Him to Herod (cf. v. 10). Possibly Pilate took some time to conduct other business before returning to the trial of Jesus.

23:15 “has been done by Him” This is a PERIPHRASTIC PERFECT PASSIVE. Jesus had not done anything during His life worthy of crucifixion. Here Luke emphasizes that the highest Roman official rejects the Jewish charges against Jesus!

23:16 “Therefore I will punish Him and release Him” This was a common procedure in Roman law. This punishment was mild compared to the severe flogging that occurred before crucifixion. It is from the root pais (child) and was used of discipline in II Cor. 11:32 and Heb. 12:6,7,10, but has a harsher connotation in II Cor. 6:9.

There are many factors involved in Pilate’s actions, but the most significant ones were
1. he acknowledged Jesus’ innocence
2. he understood the Sanhedrin’s manipulation and threats (cf. John 19:12)
3. his own wife had warned him (cf. Matt. 27:19-20)
4. he was afraid of a riot during the Feast days (cf. Luke 23:18)
5. Pilate was very superstitious himself (cf. John 19:7-8).
Verse 17 is omitted from manuscripts P75, A, B, K, L, T, 0124, and the old Latin and Sahidic versions, but is in the uncial manuscripts \( \text{unc} \), D (after v. 19), W, and 063. This information is included in Matt. 27:15; Mark 15:6; and John 18:39. The NASB and NKJV include the text with brackets. The NRSV, TEV, and NJB omit the verse and put it in a footnote.

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 23:18-25**

18But they cried out all together, saying, "Away with this man, and release for us Barabbas!" 19(He was one who had been thrown into prison for an insurrection made in the city, and for murder.) 20Pilate, wanting to release Jesus, addressed them again, 21but they kept on calling out, saying, "Crucify, crucify Him!" 22And he said to them the third time, "Why, what evil has this man done? I have found in Him no guilt demanding death; therefore I will punish Him and release Him." 23But they were insistent, with loud voices asking that He be crucified. And their voices began to prevail.

24And Pilate pronounced sentence that their demand be granted. 25And he released the man they were asking for who had been thrown into prison for insurrection and murder, but he delivered Jesus to their will.

---

23:18 “they cried out all together” This “they” includes the Sanhedrin and a mob (cf. v. 13). The makeup of this mob is not specified, but it surely did not include the many pilgrims from Galilee and Perea, Jesus’ supporters from Jerusalem, and some members of the leadership (like Nicodemus and Joseph of Arimathea). It is quite possible that the supporters of Barabbas (zealots) had been informed and recruited for this very purpose or the family and friends of the Sadducean leadership.

23:20 This is an amazing verse. Why did Pilate want to release Jesus?
1. his sense of Roman justice
2. his animosity for the Jewish leadership
3. his personal superstition or his wife’s warning
4. his desire not to cause a riot at Passover

23:21 “Crucify, crucify Him!” These are both PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVES. This shout of condemnation by the mob is recorded in all four Gospels.
1. Matthew 27:22,23, AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE
2. Mark 15:13,14, AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE
5. John 19:6 (twice), AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE

The Gospels do not dwell on the physical aspect of Jesus’ death (cf. Ps. 22:16). This form of death was developed in Mesopotamia and was taken over by the Greeks and Romans. It was meant to be an extended, excruciating death taking several days. Its purpose was to humiliate and cause fear as a deterrent to rebellion against Rome. A thorough article is in the Zondervan Pictorial Bible Encyclopedia, Vol. 1, pp. 1040-42.

23:22 “a third time” Luke repeats Pilate’s statements of Jesus’ innocence three times for emphasis! Jesus was not seditious!

23:23 “But they were insistent” This is an IMPERFECT MIDDLE (deponent) INDICATIVE, which denotes repeated action. The Jewish authorities in essence blackmailed Pilate (cf. John 19:12).

23:25 “but he delivered Jesus to their will” This same term is used in the Septuagint in Isa. 53:12, “was delivered up.”

---

**NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 23:26**

26When they led Him away, they seized a man, Simon of Cyrene, coming in from the country, and placed on him the cross to carry behind Jesus.
23:26 “Simon of Cyrene” This was apparently a Jew of the Diaspora. There were many Jews from Cyrene (North Africa) in Jerusalem as the presence of their own Synagogue suggests (cf. Acts 2:10; 6:9; 11:20; 13:1). I doubt that this was a black man. Cyrene was founded by Greek traders (seventh century B.C.) and many Greek-speaking Jews migrated to this city. He seems to have been a Jew attending the feast days. He might have later become a very well-known person in the church (cf. Luke 15:21; possibly Rom. 16:13).

“coming in from the country” He was probably staying in the suburbs because there was no place in Jerusalem for most of the pilgrims to stay. The surrounding suburbs opened their homes to these annual pilgrims.

“and placed on him the cross” We are not certain of the exact shape of the cross (a little “t,” a capital “T,” or an “X”) nor are we certain what part of the cross was carried by condemned prisoners of the first century. Jesus was so badly beaten (cf. Isa. 52:14; 53:3; Luke 22:63; 23:11; Matt. 20:19; Mark 10:34; 15:15; John 19:1) that He was unable to fulfill this aspect of the crucifixion. The Roman soldiers had the option to solicit civilian aid at any point for any reason.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 23:27-31

27And following Him was a large crowd of the people, and of women who were mourning and lamenting Him. 28But Jesus turning to them said, "Daughters of Jerusalem, stop weeping for Me, but weep for yourselves and for your children. 29For behold, the days are coming when they will say, 'Blessed are the barren, and the wombs that never bore, and the breasts that never nursed.' 30Then they will begin TO SAY TO THE MOUNTAINS, 'FALL ON US,' AND TO THE HILLS, 'COVER US.' 31For if they do these things when the tree is green, what will happen when it is dry?"

23:27 “And following Him was a large crowd of the people” This probably refers to the pilgrims who were just awakening and realizing what had taken place in the early morning hours.

“women who were mourning and lamenting Him” This seems to refer to the women of Jerusalem, not to the women who accompanied the disciples (cf. vv. 49,55-56; 18:13) because Jesus calls them “daughters of Jerusalem” (cf. v. 28).

23:28 “stop weeping for Me” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE with the NEGATIVE PARTICLE, which usually denotes stopping an act in process.

“but week for yourselves and for your children” This is a PRESENT ACTIVE IMPERATIVE. It probably refers to the predicted fall of Jerusalem in A.D. 70 (cf. Matt. 24; Mark 13; Luke 21). Because of the mob’s self-curse in Matt. 27:25, it may refer to the spiritual and eschatological consequences of unbelief.

23:29 “For behold, the days are coming” This refers to the destruction of Jerusalem in A.D. 70 by Titus, but it may also foreshadow the eschatological second coming of Jesus in judgment.

“Blessed are the barren” This was strong irony for these Jewish women, for whom barrenness was seen as a curse from God.

23:30 This is a quote from Hos. 10:8 addressed to rebellious Israel or perhaps an allusion to Isa. 2:19, which is a context of judgment. This OT text is also quoted in Rev. 6:16.

23:31 This apparently is a common proverb that in essence means “if they can treat me like this when I am innocent, then what will they do to you?”

“if” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which is assumed to be true from the author’s perspective or for his/her literary purposes.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 23:32

32Two others also, who were criminals, were being led away to be put to death with Him.

23:32 “Two others also, who were criminals, were being led away to be put to death with Him” This is a fulfillment of prophecy (cf. Isa. 53:9; Matt. 27:38).
NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 23:33-38

33When they came to the place called The Skull, there they crucified Him and the criminals, one on the right and the other on the left. 34But Jesus was saying, "Father, forgive them; for they do not know what they are doing." And they cast lots, dividing up His garments among themselves. 35And the people stood by, looking on. And even the rulers were sneering at Him, saying, "He saved others; let Him save Himself if this is the Christ of God, His Chosen One." 36The soldiers also mocked Him, coming up to Him, offering Him sour wine, 37and saying, "If You are the King of the Jews, save Yourself!" 38Now there was also an inscription above Him, "THIS IS THE KING OF THE JEWS."

23:33
NASB, NRSV,
TEV, NJB,
NIV “The Skull”
NKJV “Calvary”

In Greek and English this is called “the cranium”; in Aramaic, “Golgatha”; in Latin, “calvaria.” The exact location and topological description of this site is uncertain. The term does not refer to the full skull, but just the forehead. It seems to be a low, bald hill located on at least one or possibly two major roads entering Jerusalem. Remember the purpose of capital punishment was the deterrence of further rebellion.

“there they crucified Him” The accounts of the crucifixion are not meant to solicit our sympathy, for the horror of Calvary is not in the physical pain, but in the spiritual rebellion of humanity that made it necessary.

23:34 The first part of v. 34, “Father, forgive them; for they do not know what they are doing,” is found in the Greek manuscripts א, א, כ, ד, ל, and 0250. It is also found in the Greek manuscripts used by Marcion, the Diatessaron, Justin, Irenaeus, Clement, Origen, Eusebius, Chrysostoma, Jerome (Vulgate), and Augustine. It is omitted in P75, א, ב, ד, ו, and 070. The UBS4 (1993) rates its omission as “certain.” The UBS5 (1975) rates its omission as “difficulty in deciding.” There is no parallel in the other Gospels.

“And they cast lots, dividing up His garments among themselves” This was the reward for the Roman soldiers. All of the condemned criminal’s belongings belonged to those who crucified him. It seems to fulfill the prophecy of Ps. 22:18 (cf. Matt. 27:35; John 19:24).

23:35 “even the rulers were sneering at Him” This also seems to be a fulfillment of Psalm 22:6-8.

“‘He saved others; let Him save Himself if this is the Christ of God, His Chosen One’” We must remember that crucifixion, as viewed by First Century Judaism, was a curse from God (cf. Deut. 21:33). This is exactly why the Sanhedrin wanted Him crucified as a Messianic pretender.

“if” This is a FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE, which is normally a way of asserting the truth of an assertion, but it is used in sarcasm! All FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCES are not true to reality, but true from the author’s perspective or, as here, for the speakers’ (the rulers) purposes (mockery).

“offering Him sour wine” Jesus originally refused the drugged wine from the soldiers (cf. Matt. 27:34; Mark 15:23), but later He accepted the sour cheap wine (oxós, cf. John 19:29). This was not a gesture of compassion from the soldiers, but a way to extend the agony of crucifixion and thereby amplify its deterrent effect. This is a fulfillment from the LXX of Ps. 69:21, which also used oxós. I believe Jesus was so thirsty that He could not speak His last words for us to hear and, therefore, accepted the liquid. This is recorded in all four Gospels.

23:37 “if” This is another FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL used in mockery (cf. v. 35).

“Now there was also an inscription above Him, ‘THIS IS THE KING OF THE JEWS’” John tells us it was in three languages (cf. John 19:20). Apparently Pilate did this to goad the Jewish leaders (cf. John 19:19-21). Usually the crime for which the person was being crucified was displayed above the head on the cross.

Some early Greek manuscripts add “written in three languages,” after “above Him” which comes from John 19:20. This phrase is omitted in P75, א, ב, ל, and 070. The UBS4 committee ranks its omission as “certain.”
NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 23:39-43

39One of the criminals who hanged there was hurling abuse at Him, saying, "Are You not the Christ? Save Yourself and us!" 40But the other answered, and rebuking him said, "Do you not even fear God, since you are under the same sentence of condemnation? 41And we indeed are suffering justly, for we are receiving what we deserve for our deeds; but this man has done nothing wrong." 42And he was saying, "Jesus, remember me when You come in Your kingdom!" 43And He said to him, "Truly I say to you, today you shall be with Me in Paradise."

23:39 The mockery continues (IMPERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE).

"Are You not the Christ?" This question grammatically expects a "yes" answer, but it is mockery.

23:40 "But the other answered, and rebuking him said" In Matt. 27:40 both thieves at first insulted Jesus; however, one of them repented (cf. vv. 40-41) and turned to Jesus for help (cf. v. 42). The beautiful thing is that Jesus responded as He always did and always does!

23:42 This dying criminal with probably little knowledge of the gospel is freely accepted and forgiven. Oh the reach of the mercy and grace of God!

"today" If this is to be taken literally and Jesus did not ascend to heaven (cf. Acts 1:9) for some forty days (cf. Acts 1:3), then this cannot refer to heaven in this context, but to the righteous part of hades (see note below).

For me the most precious part of Jesus’ statement is “you shall be with Me”! Jesus’ presence is what makes paradise, paradise!

"Paradise" This is a Persian loan word for a nobleman’s walled garden used in the Septuagint (of Gen. 2:8; 13:10) for the Garden of Eden. The rabbis usually used this term for one of the divisions of Hades or Sheol, where the righteous abide (i.e. Abraham’s bosom, cf. 16:22,23; I Levi 18:10-11; Ps. Sol. 14:3; I Enoch 17-19; 60:7,8,23; 61:12). Paul uses this in the sense of heaven in II Cor. 12:3 (also see Rev. 2:7). It is my opinion that Jesus went to Hades after His death on the cross (cf. I Pet. 3:19: 4:16) and some thirty to forty hours later, He arose from the dead. When He ascended forty days later, He took all of those in the righteous part of Sheol with Him (cf. Eph. 4:7-10). Now Paul can say in II Cor. 5:6,8, “to be absent from the body is to be present with the Lord.”

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 23:44-49

44It was now about the sixth hour, and darkness fell over the whole land until the ninth hour, 45because the sun was obscured; and the veil of the temple was torn in two. 46And Jesus, crying out with a loud voice, said, "Father, INTO YOUR HANDS I COMMIT MY SPIRIT." Having said this, He breathed His last. 47Now when the centurion saw what had happened, he began praising God, saying, "Certainly this man was innocent." 48And all the crowds who came together for this spectacle, when they observed what had happened, began to return, beating their breasts. 49And all His acquaintances and the women who accompanied Him from Galilee were standing at a distance, seeing these things.

23:44 “It was now about the sixth hour” There is some confusion over whether this is Roman time or Greek time. Here is my comment from Mark 15:1:

Mark, like all Jewish writings, does not focus on specific times. It is probable that the Jews of Jesus’ day divided the night and day into twelve hours each (cf. John 11:9), with three four-hour segments. The twenty-four hour day comes from Babylon. The Greeks and Jews borrowed it from them. The sundial was divided into twelve segments.

In chapter 15 Mark has several time markers;
1. sunrise, v. 1 (around 6 A.M. depending on the time of the year)
2. third hour, v. 25 (around 9 A.M.)
3. sixth hour, v. 33 (around noon)
4. ninth hour, v. 34 (around 3 P.M.)
5. evening, v. 42 (sunset, around 6 P.M.)

“and darkness fell over the whole land” This is one of the OT judgment signs, either in a covenantal sense (cf. Exod. 10:21; Deut. 28:28-29) or an apocalyptic sense (cf. Joel 2:2; Amos 8:9-10; Zeph. 1:15). This is a symbol of God the Father taking His presence away from His Son, who bore the sin of all humanity. This is what Jesus feared most in Gethsemane (expressed by “My God! My God! Why have you forsaken me?” in Mark 15:34). Jesus became a sin offering and bore the sin of all the world (cf.
II Cor. 5:21). He experienced personal separation from the Father. Darkness was a symbol of God the Father turning away from His Son.

23:45 “the sun being obscured” We get the English word “eclipse” from this Greek word, but it was technically not an eclipse, but an act of God. There are several Greek manuscript variants of the unusual phrase, but none change the obvious meaning of the text.

[the veil of the temple was torn in two] Mark 15:38 tells us that it was torn from top to bottom (God’s action). The way to intimacy with God had been fully opened for all. A Talmudic tradition says the “doors of the Temple opened automatically” during the crucifixion. Here is a quote from my commentary on Mark 15:38:

“There were two curtains to the inner shrine of the Temple, one in the Holy Place and a second before the Holy of Holies. If the second was ripped no one would have seen it except the priests, unless the first one was regularly pulled back and tied to the sides. These curtains are described in Exod. 26:31-37. In Jesus’ day, in Herod’s remodeled Temple, this curtain was 60’ by 30’ and about 4” thick! If the outer one was ripped all worshipers in the different outer courts would have seen it. This seems to show that the way to intimate fellowship with God has been reestablished by Christ’s death (cf. Gen. 3:15; Exod. 26:31-35). In Matt. 27:51-53 other miracles are recorded as attesting signs.”

23:46 “Jesus, crying out with a loud voice” This is paralleled in
1. Matt. 27:50, but His words are not given
2. Mark 15:37, but His words are not given
3. John 19:30, where Jesus says, “It is finished.”

The term “spirit” in this context refers to that part of a person that survives physical death.

[He breathed His last] The last exhalation was seen as the departing of the spirit (expiring). The same Hebrew word (ruah) denoted (1) breath; (2) spirit, and (3) wind. Therefore, this is a Semitic idiom for death (cf. TEV).

23:47 “when the centurion saw what had happened, he began praising God saying, ‘Certainly this man was innocent’” Matthew (27:54) and Mark (15:39) have “a son of God.” Plummer catches the essence of this phrase when he translates “he was a good man and quite right in calling God His Father.” This is not a sign that this Roman guard was saved, but he recognized that Jesus was an extraordinary man and died in a very unusual manner. Here is my commentary from Matt. 27:54:

“There is no ARTICLE with son. This implied that although this soldier was surely impressed by all that happened he was not converted. He asserts Jesus was “a son of God” not Lord. However in the parallel in Luke 23:47 he is proclaiming Jesus as righteous or innocent. The irony is that this Roman soldier saw what the Jewish leaders did not (cf. Matt. 27:19; John 1:11).

This is literally “this man was a son of God.” The image of God in mankind has been restored! Intimate fellowship is again possible. However the absence of the article does not automatically mean it is not definite (cf. Matt. 4:3,6; 14:33; 27:43; and Luke 4:3,9). This was a hardened Roman soldier. He had seen many men die (cf. Matt. 27:54). This may be “the focal passage” of Mark because this Gospel was specifically written to Romans. It has many Latin words and very few OT quotes. Also Jewish customs and Aramaic phrases are translated and explained. Here is a Roman centurion professing faith in a crucified Jewish insurrectionist!

It is possibly purposeful that passers by, chief priests, and even fellow prisoners mock Jesus, but the Roman centurion responds in affirmation and awe!”

23:49 “all the crowd” This is obviously hyperbole because the Jewish leaders were not grieved over Jesus’ death.

[beating their breasts] This was a sign of grief and/or repentance (cf. 18:13). Many of the crowd who stayed to the very end were Jesus’ supporters and friends (but no apostles except John, cf. John 19:20-27). The women who traveled with Jesus were also there (cf. Mark 15:40-41).

There are several later Greek manuscript additions which intensify the grief. See Bruce M. Metzger, A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament, p. 182.

23:49 “and the women who accompanied Him from Galilee” It is possible that this large group of women were the financial base for Jesus and the disciples during His teaching ministry. See Special Topic: The Women Who Followed Jesus at 8:3.
23:50 “And a man named Joseph” He seems to have been a secret disciple, along with Nicodemus (cf. Matt. 27:57; John 12:42). However, after Jesus’ death he went publicly to ask Pilate for Jesus’ body (cf. John 19:38). It was dangerous to be identified as a friend of a crucified insurrectionist.  

As an orthodox Jew of his day, Joseph would have made himself ceremonially unclean to observe the Passover Sabbath by  
1. going into a Gentile house  
2. touching a dead body  

However, he may have been attempting to remove the curse of Deut. 21:22-23. Usually the Romans let the bodies of the crucified remain unburied at the place of death, but because the Jews were so squeamish about unburied bodies, the Romans allowed them to bury their dead.

☐ “a member of the Council” See Special Topic: The Sanhedrin at 9:22.

☐ “a good and righteous man” Joseph had become a believer (cf. Matt. 27:57), but this phrase is a Hebrew idiom of his standing within Judaism. This does not imply his sinlessness, but that, like Noah (cf. Job 1:1), they had responded positively to all they understood of God’s will and way. Nicodemus would have been seen in this same way (cf. John 3:1).

23:51 “(he had not consented to their plan and action)” This is a comment from the author and his research (cf. 1:1-4).

☐ “Arimathea” The term means “height” and is apparently another name for Ramah, which was located five miles northeast of Jerusalem.

☐ “who was waiting for the kingdom of God” This is an IMPERFECT MIDDLE (deponent) INDICATIVE. See Special Topic at 4:21.

23:52 “this man went to Pilate” This would have made him ceremonially unclean to participate in the high Sabbath of Passover week. This would also have identified him with Jesus, a crucified insurrectionist. This was a bold and decisive act.

☐ “asked for the body of Jesus” Normally the Romans left the bodies on the cross to decay, as a deterrent to rebellion. These bodies were the property of Rome. They were usually not given back to the families for proper burial, which was especially important to Jews. This was a special, unusual request. It was granted because of the Jewish sensibilities about dead bodies ceremonially polluting the land during the Passover season.

23:53 “wrapped it in a linen cloth” Nicodemus was also there (cf. John 19:39-40). They quickly prepared Jesus’ body (i.e. because of the rapid approach of the Sabbath at 6 p.m.) according to Jewish tradition. The Jews did not practice embalming as the Egyptians did, but they had a set procedure involving linen wraps and spices.

☐ “in a tomb cut into the rock” This is another fulfillment of predictive prophecy (cf. Isa. 53:9; Matt. 27:66).  

Jesus was not buried in the ground, but in Joseph’s family crypt. It was hollowed out of a rock cliff and would have included several burial slabs. There were many of these in the Jerusalem area.

☐ “where no one had even lain” This phrase has three negatives! The VERB is a PERIPHRASTIC IMPERFECT PASSIVE. The tomb was prepared, but had never held a corpse (cf. John 19:41).

23:54 “It was the preparation day” In Jesus’ day Passover was an eight-day feast (Feast of Unleavened Bread and Passover, cf. Exod. 12); thus, it had two Sabbaths. This term can also refer to the normal preparations for a Sabbath or the special preparations for the Passover meal.

☐ “and the Sabbath was about to begin” Let me quote from my commentary on Mark 15:42, “where the evening had already come”:  

“Mark is the only Gospel that mentions this. Exodus 12:6 has “evenings” as if there were two: (1) 3:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m. and (2) 6:00 p.m. and later. Context implies it must have been after 3:00 p.m. (the time of the evening sacrifice), but before 6:00 p.m. (the start of the Passover Sabbath, which would have been the 15th of Nisan).”
23:55 “the women who had come with Him out of Galilee followed” See Special Topic: The Women Who Followed Jesus at 8:3.

23:56 “they returned and prepared spices and perfumes” Although these women had seen Joseph and Nicodemus prepare and place the body of Jesus in a tomb, apparently because of the time limitations (i.e. between 3 - 6 p.m.) something of the normal Jewish burial procedures (possibly the aromatic candles or some particular spices) may have been left out, and these women were going to properly finish the traditional procedures.

**DISCUSSION QUESTIONS**

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why is it so significant that Pilate repeated over and over that Jesus was innocent?
2. Why would Jesus not speak to Herod?
3. Who made up the crowd that asked for Barabbas?
4. Was Simon of Cyrene a Jew or a black man?
5. What does the term “Calvary” mean?
6. What does the term “paradise” refer to?
7. Was the Roman guard saved in v. 47?
## LUKE 24

### PARAGRAPH DIVISIONS OF MODERN TRANSLATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UBS⁴</th>
<th>NKJV</th>
<th>NRSV</th>
<th>TEV</th>
<th>NJB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Resurrection of Jesus</td>
<td>He Is Risen</td>
<td>The First Easter</td>
<td>The Resurrection</td>
<td>The Empty Tomb. The Angel’s Message</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24:8-12</td>
<td>The Apostles Refuse to Believe the Women</td>
<td>24:9-11</td>
<td>Peter at the Tomb</td>
<td>24:12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Walk to Emmaus</td>
<td>The Road to Emmaus</td>
<td>On the Road to Emmaus</td>
<td>The Walk to Emmaus</td>
<td>The Road to Emmaus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24:17b-18</td>
<td></td>
<td>24:18-24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24:19a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24:19b-24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Disciples Eyes Opened</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24:33-34</td>
<td></td>
<td>24:33-35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24:35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance to the Disciples</td>
<td>Jesus Appears to His Disciples</td>
<td>Commissioning of the Disciples</td>
<td>Jesus Appears to His Disciples</td>
<td>Jesus Appears to the Apostles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24:36-43</td>
<td>24:36-43</td>
<td>24:36-43</td>
<td>24:36</td>
<td>24:36-43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Scriptures Opened</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24:45-49</td>
<td></td>
<td>24:45-49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ascension of Jesus</td>
<td>The Ascension</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jesus is Taken Up to Heaven</td>
<td>The Ascension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
READING CYCLE THREE (see p. vii)
FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR’S INTENT AT THE PARAGRAPH LEVEL

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

Read the chapter in one sitting. Identify the subjects. Compare your subject divisions with the five translations above. Paragraphing is not inspired, but it is the key to following the original author’s intent, which is the heart of interpretation. Every paragraph has one and only one subject.

1. First paragraph
2. Second paragraph
3. Third paragraph
4. Etc.

CONTEXTUAL INSIGHTS

A. The details of the post-resurrection events differ among the four Gospels. This exemplifies the genuineness of the eyewitness accounts and also the evangelistic purposes of each Gospel to a select target group.

B. Remember the Gospel writers were not writing a history in the western, modern sense, but recording selected facts to better present the Christian message. Their purpose is not historical record, but primarily theological truth (cf. John 20:3-31). The Bible’s primary purpose is to bring us to a personal confrontation with a holy God through His crucified Son.

C. Luke’s sources about the post-resurrection period is different from the other Gospels. In Luke the forty day period between Jesus’ appearance in the upper room and His ascension from the Mount of Olives are structured as if they all happened in one day (Easter Sunday)!

D. There are several Greek manuscript variations in this chapter. Luke contains most of the shorter readings found in the western family (MSS D and W) of Greek manuscripts when compared to the Alexandrian family (MSS N and B). Here is a list of the shorter readings (from A. T. Robertson, An Introduction to the Textual Criticism of the New Testament, pp. 226-227).

1. Luke 5:39
3. Luke 12:19,21,39
5. Luke 24:3,6,9,12,36,40,52,53

Notice how many of these shorter (possibly original) readings are in chapter 24!

WORD AND PHRASE STUDY

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 24:1-12

1But on the first day of the week, at early dawn, they came to the tomb bringing the spices which they had prepared.
2And they found the stone rolled away from the tomb, 3but when they entered, they did not find the body of the Lord Jesus.
4While they were perplexed about this, behold, two men suddenly stood near them in dazzling clothing; 5and as the women were terrified and bowed their faces to the ground, the men said to them, "Why do you seek the living One among the dead? 6He is not here, but He has risen. Remember how He spoke to you while He was still in Galilee, 7saying that the Son of Man must be delivered into the hands of sinful men, and be crucified, and the third day rise again." 8And they
remembered His words, and returned from the tomb and reported all these things to the eleven and to all the rest. Now they were Mary Magdalene and Joanna and Mary the mother of James; also the other women with them were telling these things to the apostles. But these words appeared to them as nonsense, and they would not believe them. But Peter got up and ran to the tomb; stooping and looking in, he saw the linen wrappings only; and he went away to his home, marveling at what had happened.

24:1 “the first day of the week” This first workday ran from Saturday at twilight to Sunday at twilight. This goes back to Gen. 1:5,8,13,19,23,31, where evening is always mentioned first. This was the very day that the first fruits were offered in the Temple. Jesus appears to the disciples several weeks in a row on Sunday night. This sets the precedent for Sunday as a special meeting day for believers to commemorate the Lord’s resurrection (cf. John 20:19,20; Luke 24:36ff; Acts 20:7; I Cor. 16:2).

“early dawn” This is literally “at deep dawn.” John’s Gospel says it was still dark (cf. John 20:1). It seems the women left the places they were staying while in Jerusalem before dawn, but arrived at the tomb after sunrise.

“they” These women are named in 24:10 and Mark 16:1. See Special Topic: Women Who Followed Jesus at 8:3.

“the tomb” We get the English word “memorial” from this Greek word.

“bringing the spices” These were to anoint the body (cf. Mark 16:1). Apparently they did not know of Joseph and Nicodemas’ activity or their hurried preparations had been incomplete.

SPECIAL TOPIC: BURIAL SPICES

A. Myrrh, a fragrant gum from Arabian trees
   1. This spice is mentioned twelve times in the OT, mostly in wisdom literature as a perfume
   2. It was one of the gifts brought by the Magi to baby Jesus (cf. Matt. 2:11)
   3. Its symbolism is striking
      a. used in “holy anointing oil” (Exod. 30:23-25)
      b. used as a gift for a king (Matt. 2:11)
      c. used to anoint Jesus at His burial (cf. John 19:39 and symbolically in John 11:2). This was according to Jewish customs described in the Talmud (i.e. Berakhoth 53a).

B. Aloes, a fragrant type of wood
   1. fragrant perfume was made from this type of tree (cf. Num. 24:6; Ps. 45:8; Pro. 7:17; Song of Songs 4:14)
   2. it was used, mixed with myrrh, by the Egyptians as part of the embalming process
   3. Nicodemus brought a large amount of this to Jesus’ burial and anointed Him with it (cf. John 19:39). This was according to Jewish customs described in the Talmud (i.e. Betsah 6a).

24:2 “the stone rolled away” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE PARTICIPLE. The type of tomb in which Jesus had been laid had a grove in front of the rock wall face into which a round stone was placed to seal the tomb. This was a very large and heavy stone (cf. Mark 16:4), which these women could not have moved. Matthew 28:2 states that an earthquake, apparently caused by an angel, knocked the stone away from the door of the tomb. The stone’s removal was not to let Jesus out, but to let us in!

24:3 This verse is an important witness to the humanity of Jesus, which was challenged by an early heretical movement later called Gnosticism (see definition in the glossary appendix). These women fully expected to find Jesus’ physical body.

24:4 “two men suddenly stood near them in dazzling clothing” This refers to angels (cf. v. 23; John 20:12).
   Angels are always depicted as males except in Zech. 5:9/ The PARTICIPLE “dazzling” is used by Luke only here and in 17:24, where it refers to the transfiguration event. Luke uses the related term “lightning” several times also (cf. 10:18; 11:36; 17:24). Matthew uses this term to describe the angel (cf. Matt. 28:3).

24:5 “bowed their faces to the ground” This was a sign of respect and awe (cf. Mark 16:8).
“the living One among the dead” “The living One” is an ARTICLE with a PRESENT ACTIVE PARTICIPLE. Jesus cannot be found in a tomb (among the dead ones) because He has been raised! This phrase is unique to Luke.

24:6 “He is not here, but He has risen” The resurrection is the central pillar of the Christian faith (cf. I Cor. 15). This shows God’s approval of Jesus’ life and sacrifice. This is a recurrent theme of Peter (cf. Acts 2:24-28,32, 3:15,26; 4:10; 5:30; 10:40; I Pet. 1:13, 3:18,21), and Paul (Acts 13:30,33,34,37; 17:31; Rom. 4:24, 8:11; 10:9; II Cor. 4:14). This is confirmation of the Father’s acceptance of the Son’s substitutionary death (cf. I Cor. 15). Theologically all three persons of the Trinity were active in Christ’s resurrection: the Father (Acts 2:24; 3:15; 4:10; 5:30; 10:40; 13:30,33,34; 17:31); the Spirit (Rom. 8:11); and the Son (John 2:19-22; 10:17-18).

This phrase, though disputed by Westcott and Hort, is found in MSS P75, N, A, B, C1 (C’ has the same phrase without “but”), L, and 070. It is only omitted in MS D and several old Latin MSS. This same angelic comment is found in Matt. 28:6 and Mark 16:6.

24:7 “‘Remember how He spoke to you while He was still in Galilee’” This refers to Jesus’ predictions about His death (cf. 9:21-22,44; 17:25; 18:31-34). This is a good example of the similarities and differences between the Synoptic Gospels. Matthew has the angel telling them to tell the Apostles to meet Him on a mountain in Galilee (cf. Matt. 26:32; 28:7,10), while Luke has the angel telling them to remember Jesus’ words spoken in Galilee.

Did the angel say both things?
Did one of the Gospel writers or their sources hear it differently?
Did one of the gospel writers or their sources deliberately modify the angel’s message?

These questions are unanswerable. However, believers assert that the Holy Spirit led the Gospel writers, so we must allow these divergent accounts to exist side-by-side and affirm their inspiration! We do not know how, when, or who, humanly speaking, wrote and/or arranged the Gospels!!!

24:8 “they. . .the eleven and all the rest” There were many besides the women and the Apostles who had heard Jesus’ teachings and experienced these post-resurrection events (cf. vv. 33,36; Matt. 28:17; I Cor. 15:5; Acts 1:15).

24:10 This list of women is slightly different from the one in Mark 16:1. This entire verse is omitted by several ancient Greek manuscripts (cf. MSS A, D, W, and the old Latin, and two Syriac versions). The UBS4 rates its inclusion as “almost certain.”

“Mary Magdalene” Jesus appeared to this woman first (cf. Mark 16:9; Luke 8:2).

“Joanna” The only other NT reference to Joanna is 8:3.

“Mary the mother of James” She was the mother of James the Less and Joseph (cf. Mark. 15:40; Matt. 27:56).

“the other women” This includes Salome, James and John’s mother (cf. Mark. 15:40; Matt. 27:56). See Special Topic at 8:3.

24:11 NASB, TEV “nonsense” NKJV “like idle tales” NRSV “an idle tale” NJB “pure nonsense” The term lēros is found only once in the Septuagint (IV Macc. 5:11) and only here in the NT. This is a medical term for hysteria or fever-caused hallucinations.
24:11 “they would not believe them” This is an IMPERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE. These women told them several times, but the Apostles did not believe. It is so surprising that the Sanhedrin took Jesus’ predictions about His resurrection seriously (posted a guard), but the Apostles were totally surprised. This negative comment is evidence of an accurate account.

24:12 This is present in all major Greek manuscripts (P75, ἀ, B, L, W. 070, 079) except D and several old Latin MSS. The UBS4 rates it inclusion as “almost certain,” but Bart D. Ehrman, The Orthodox Corruption of Scripture, pp. 212-217, agrees with Westcott and Hort that its inclusion is the original text (cf. RSV, NEB, REB). This text is very similar to John 20:3,5,6,10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 24:13-27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 And behold, two of them were going that very day to a village named Emmaus, which was about seven miles from Jerusalem. 14 And they were talking with each other about all these things which had taken place. 15 While they were talking and discussing, Jesus Himself approached and began traveling with them. 16 But their eyes were prevented from recognizing Him. 17 And He said to them, “What are these words that you are exchanging with one another as you are walking?” And they stood still, looking sad. 18 One of them, named Cleopas, answered and said to Him, “Are You the only one visiting Jerusalem and unaware of the things which have happened here in these days?” 19 And He said to them, “What things?” And they said to Him, “The things about Jesus the Nazarene, who was a prophet mighty in deed and word in the sight of God and all the people, and how the chief priests and our rulers delivered Him to the sentence of death, and crucified Him. 20 But we were hoping that it was He who was going to redeem Israel. Indeed, besides all this, it is the third day since these things happened. 21 But also some women among us amazed us. When they were at the tomb early in the morning, and did not find His body, they came, saying that they had also seen a vision of angels who said that He was alive. 22 Some of those who were with us went to the tomb and found it just exactly as the women also had said; but Him they did not see.” 25 And He said to them, “O foolish men and slow of heart to believe in all that the prophets have spoken! 26 Was it not necessary for the Christ to suffer these things and to enter into His glory?” 27 Then beginning with Moses and with all the prophets, He explained to them the things concerning Himself in all the Scriptures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24:13 “two of them” The Bible does not say exactly who these two were, but possibly it was Cleopas (cf. 24:18) and his wife or two believers leaving the Passover feast.

“that very day” This must have been Resurrection Sunday (cf. v. 22). This was the first work day after Passover and the day on which the first fruits of the barley harvest were offered at the Temple. Jesus was the first fruits from the dead (cf. I Cor. 15:20,23).

“Emmaus” The site is uncertain, but there are several possibilities.
1. About twenty miles west of Jerusalem on the Jaffa road. This is where Judas Maccabaeus attacked and burned the Seleucid General Gorgias’ camp in 166 B.C. (I Macc. 3:40,57; 4:1-15).
2. About seven miles northwest of Jerusalem where the Crusaders found an ancient Roman fort called “Castellum Emmaus.”
3. About four miles to the west of Jerusalem where the Roman Emperor Vespasian located 800 soldiers (Josephus, Wars 7.6.6).
4. About nine miles west of Jerusalem where a Crusader church was built over the ruins of a Roman fort. (Information taken from The Zondervan Pictorial Encyclopedia of the Bible, vol. 2, pp. 291-301).

“seven miles from Jerusalem” This is really 60 Roman stadia. The city must be close enough to Jerusalem for these two to walk to it and then return to Jerusalem in one day.

There is a Greek variant related to the distance:
1. “60 stadia” is found in P75, A, B, D, K2, L, W, X. 063, 012.

24:15 “Jesus” Luke uses the name “Jesus” several times without the ARTICLE (cf. 4:1; 8:41; 9:36,50; 18:37,40; 22:48; 23:28). This, therefore, is not a grammatical way of highlighting Jesus’ first resurrection appearance.

24:16 “their eyes were prevented from recognizing Him” This is an IMPERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE. The PASSIVE implies the activity of God (see note at v. 31). Jesus’ physical appearance was altered to some extent. Several followers did not immediately recognize Him (cf. John 20:11; Matt. 28:16-17; John 21:1-7).
1. Mary of Magdala, John 20:11
2. several apostles, John 21:1-7
3. 500 brothers, Matt. 28:16-17
24:17 “looking sad” This could mean “puzzled,” “shocked,” or “surprised.” They could not believe that someone had not heard about the events of the last week in Jerusalem. It was the talk of the town (cf. v. 18).

24:18 “visiting” During the three main annual feasts, Jerusalem swelled to three times its normal population due to pilgrims from the Diaspora. They thought Jesus was just another pilgrim.

24:19 “What things” Jesus, by asking them questions, was forcing them to articulate the events of the past few days and testify to Him (cf. vv. 19-24).

“Jesus the Nazarene” There are two spellings of the term:
1. Ναζαρηνός, P75, Ν, B, L, 070, 079, 0124, and some old Latin and Vulgate versions.

“a prophet mighty in deed and word” This was honorific (cf. 7:16,39; 9:8,19). Within Judaism for one to be inspired, as a writer of Scripture, one had to be a prophet. The term here refers not to a predictor, but a powerful forth-teller of YHWH’s message. However, this one was not just one prophet in a series. He was the prophet of Deut. 18:15,18. They had not fully grasped the implications of the person and work of Christ.

“and all the people” This is a typical hyperbole (e.g. 18:43). Eastern literature (and speech) is much more figurative and exaggerated. This is one reason westerners tend to misunderstand the NT.

24:20 “the chief priests and our rulers” This same phrase is in 23:13. The Jewish leadership was responsible for Jesus’ death. The Sanhedrin did not have this power under Roman occupation (cf. Luke 9:22). They had to trump up a charge that the Romans would deem worthy of death! Also, they wanted Him crucified because of the rabbinical curse attached to crucifixion in Deut. 21:23. This is the very charge made by Peter in his first sermon in Acts.

24:21 “were hoping” This is an IMPERFECT ACTIVE INDICATIVE, which implies they were no longer “hoping.”

“it was He who was going to redeem Israel” They still had a nationalistic, militaristic mind-set (cf. Acts 1:6-7). The Jews only expected one coming of the Messiah and that coming was to benefit and restore Israel to power and preeminence.

“redeem” This is a term from the slave market which meant “to buy back” (cf. Mark 10:45). See Special Topic at 1:68.

“third day” The Jews had a tradition that the spirit stayed near the body for three days, but beyond this period no resuscitation was possible (cf. John 11:6 and 39).

24:24 “Some of those who were with us” From John’s Gospel we know this was John and Peter (cf. John 20:3-10 and possibly Peter only from Luke 24:12.

24:25 The early sermons in Acts often allude to the OT prophecies about the Messiah (Gen. 3:15; Deut. 18:15; II Sam. 7; Ps. 16:10,22,118; Isa. 53). I think it was Jesus Himself who informed these two disciples, who relayed the information to the Apostles in the upper room (cf. v. 27). This post-resurrection appearance becomes a crucial interpretive event for the early church (as does v. 45). It is surprising that this encounter is unique to the Gospel of Luke.

“O foolish men and slow of heart” This is a rebuke of these disciples’ lack of OT knowledge. What would Jesus say to His church today about their level of Bible knowledge? Doubt, fear, and confusion are the practical result of willful Bible knowledge! We have not because we read not!

24:26 “it was necessary for the Christ to suffer” This is what surprised the Jews (cf. I Cor. 1:23); however, it had been predicted in the OT (cf. Gen. 3:15; Ps. 22; Isa. 53; Matt. 16:2, also see C. H. Dodd, According to the Scriptures, p. 117). First century Judaism did not emphasize this at all.

“and to enter into His glory” This pattern of suffering preceding glory becomes a principle of spiritual maturity (cf. Rom. 8:17; Heb. 5:8).

24:27 This verse and v. 45 give us insight into the Kerygma of Acts. See Special Topic below.
SPECIAL TOPIC: THE KERYGMA OF THE EARLY CHURCH

A. The promises by God made in the Old Testament have now been fulfilled with the coming of Jesus the Messiah (Acts 2:30; 3:19,24; 10:43; 26:6-7,22; Rom. 1:2-4; 1 Tim. 3:16; Heb. 1:1-2; 1 Peter 1:10-12; 2 Peter 1:18-19).

B. Jesus was anointed as Messiah by God at His baptism (Acts 10:38).

C. Jesus began His ministry in Galilee after His baptism (Acts 10:37).

D. His ministry was characterized by doing good and performing mighty works by means of the power of God (Mark 10:45; Acts 2:22; 10:38).

E. The Messiah was crucified according to the purpose of God (Mark 10:45; John 3:16; Acts 2:23; 3:13-15,18; 4:11; 10:39; 26:23; Rom. 8:34; I Cor. 1:17-18; 15:3; Gal. 1:4; Heb. 1:3; I Peter 1:2,19; 3:18; I John 4:10).

F. He was raised from the dead and appeared to His disciples (Acts 2:24,31-32; 3:15,26; 10:40-41; 17:31; 26:23; Rom. 8:34; 10:9; I Cor. 15:4-7,12ff; I Thess. 1:10; I Tim. 3:16; I Peter 1:2; 3:18,21).

G. Jesus was exalted by God and given the name “Lord” (Acts 2:25-29,33-36; 3:13; 10:36; Rom. 8:34; 10:9; I Tim. 3:16; Heb. 1:3; I Peter 3:22).


I. He will come again for judgment and the restoration of all things (Acts 3:20-21; 10:42; 17:31; I Cor. 15:20-28; I Thess. 1:10).

J. All who hear the message should repent and be baptized (Acts 2:21,38; 3:19; 10:43,47-48; 17:30; 26:20; Rom. 1:17; 10:9; I Peter 3:21).

This schema served as the essential proclamation of the early church, though different authors of the New Testament may leave out a portion or emphasize other particulars in their preaching. The entire Gospel of Mark closely follows the Petrine aspect of the kerygma. Mark is traditionally seen as structuring Peter’s sermons, preached in Rome, into a written Gospel. Both Matthew and Luke follow Mark’s basic structure.

"with the eleven" This shows two things: (1) Peter is the spokesman, but still part of

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 24:28-35

28 And they approached the village where they were going, and He acted as though He were going farther. 29 But they urged Him, saying, "Stay with us, for it is getting toward evening, and the day is now nearly over." So He went in to stay with them. 30 When He had reclined at the table with them, He took the bread and blessed it, and breaking it, He began giving it to them. 31 Then their eyes were opened and they recognized Him; and He vanished from their sight. 32 They said to one another, "Were not our hearts burning within us while He was speaking to us on the road, while He was explaining the Scriptures to us?" 33 And they got up that very hour and returned to Jerusalem, and found gathered together the eleven and those who were with them, 34 saying, "The Lord has really risen and has appeared to Simon." 35 They began to relate their experiences on the road and how He was recognized by them in the breaking of the bread.

24:28-30 This is a very detailed eyewitness account. Luke probably interviewed these two.

24:31 “Then their eyes were opened” This is an AORIST PASSIVE INDICATIVE, which is a reversal of the IMPERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE of v. 16. From v. 35 we learn that they recognized Jesus’ characteristic way of blessing the food.

Luke uses this term “opened” (dianoigÇ) three times in this context:
1. Their eyes were opened, v. 31
2. Their understanding of OT Scripture increased, v. 32
3. The Apostles’ minds are opened to Scripture, v. 45.

The Bible is divine revelation, not human discovery. Spiritual truth is a gift from God to blinded, sinful humanity.

“and He vanished from their sight” This may be an allusion to II Kgs. 6:17 (LXX dianoigÇ). The exact mechanism of this is as mysterious as Jesus suddenly appearing in the Upper Room in v. 36 or Philip’s experience in the desert (cf. Acts 8:39). The spiritual realm is multi-dimensional, not spacial-temporal.
24:32 “‘Were not our hearts burning within us’” This is a PERIPHRASTIC IMPERFECT PASSIVE (A. T. Robertson calls it a MIDDLE VOICE). It was one exciting Bible study (cf. Ps. 19:7-14)! It (καίο) is used metaphorically in the LXX of Deut. 32:22, but in a judgment sense.

24:33 “and those who were with them” (cf. vv. 33,36; Acts 1:15)

24:34 “saying” This must refer to the eleven speaking to the two new arrivals (cf. NJB).

“has appeared to Simon” Jesus appeared to the one who had denied Him. We have no biblical account of this meeting.

24:35 “began to relate” This is another of many IMPERFECTS in this context, which can mean the beginning of something or the repeating of something in past time. They rehearse in detail what happened. They now affirmed the women’s testimony of vv. 22-23.

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 24:36-43

36While they were telling these things, He Himself stood in their midst. 37But they were startled and frightened and thought that they were seeing a spirit. 38And He said to them, "Why are you troubled, and why do doubts arise in your hearts? 39See My hands and My feet, that it is I Myself; touch Me and see, for a spirit does not have flesh and bones as you see that I have." 40And when He had said this, He showed them His hands and His feet. 41While they still could not believe it because of their joy and amazement, He said to them, "Have you anything here to eat?" 42They gave Him a piece of a broiled fish; 43and He took it and ate it before them.

24:36 Some ancient Greek manuscripts (P75, N, A, B, K, L, X, and many later ones) add “Peace to you” (cf. John 20:19,26). The UBS 4 rates its inclusion as “almost certain.” This is a typical Hebrew greeting (cf. 10:5). In many ways the Gospels of John and Luke share similar accounts of the Passion and its aftermath

24:37 “they were startled and frightened” These disciples had heard Jesus predict His suffering and death several times, but somehow they did not take it seriously. Now they were surprised by His resurrection.

“and thought they were seeing a spirit” In the Matthew (14:26) and Mark (6:49) parallels the word phantasma, from which we get the English word “phantom,” is used. Luke is using the term pneuma in a specialized sense (cf. I Pet. 3:19). When he records Jesus’ words in 23:46 he uses the term in the more normal sense of a personal aspect, which is not dependant on a physical form (cf. v. 39).

24:38 This is a mild reprimand in the form of two rhetorical questions. Doubts and fears are common to humanity, especially in the presence of the spiritual realm. However, they can become stepping stones to great faith and assurance.

The first question is a PERIPHRASTIC PERFECT PASSIVE, the second a PRESENT ACTIVE INDICATIVE. The verbal forms in this context are difficult to translate because they deal with a past event described in dialogue.

1. the two on the road to Emmaus  
2. the two and Jesus  
3. the two and those in the upper room.

24:39 “‘See My hands and My feet’” Jesus wanted to assure them of His bodily resurrection. He retained the marks of crucifixion because they are His badge of honor. Psalm 22:16 and here are the only texts which mention His feet being pierced. John 20:27 mentions His hands and side.

“that it is I Myself” This is a very emphatic statement—ego (I), eimi (I Am), autos (Myself).

“touch Me” This is an AORIST ACTIVE IMPERATIVE (as is “and see”). The early church used verses 39-42 to refute Gnosticism, which was a depreciation of the physical realm (cf. I John 1:1-3). See Special Topic on Gnosticism at 2:40.

24:44 “which are written about Me” This seems to be a summary statement of Jesus’ 40 day post-resurrection appearances (cf. vv. 25-26).

“Moses. . .Prophets. . .Psalms” These represent the three divisions of the Hebrew Canon: Law, Prophets, and Writings. This context says something of the Christocentric unity of the Old Testament.
“must be fulfilled” Jesus is found in the OT in symbol, type, and direct prophecy (cf. Matt. 5:17ff).

24:45 “He opened their minds” See note at v. 31. Humanity cannot understand spiritual truths unaided by God. This is the task usually assigned to the Spirit (cf. John 14-16), but sometime attributed to Jesus (cf. Acts 16:14).

24:46 “Thus it is written” This is a PERFECT PASSIVE INDICATIVE, which was an idiom for asserting the inspiration of Scripture (cf. v. 44).

“the Christ would suffer” “The Christ” = “the Messiah.” This truth was the stumbling block for the Jews (cf. I Cor. 1:23; 2:2), but crucial for sacrificial redemption.

“rise again from the dead” See Special Topic at 9:22.

“the third day” This was a predicted event (cf. Hosea 6:2; Jonah 1:17; Matt. 12:40; 16:4; I cor. 15:4).

24:47 This is the key purpose of Jesus’ mission. It fully reflects the heart, character, and purpose of God since Gen. 3. To miss this verse is to miss the main thrust of Christianity. Believers must keep the main thing the main thing. All else is secondary to this task of worldwide gospel proclamation. Evangelism is not an option, but a mandate!

“repentance” In Greek the term speaks of “a change of mind.” In Hebrew it speaks of “a change of action.” Both are involved. This is the negative aspect of salvation, as faith is the positive aspect (cf. Mark 1:15; 6:12; Matt. 4:12; 11:20; Luke 13:3,5; Acts 20:21). See Special Topic at 3:3.


“in His name” Jesus’ “name” is a Semitic idiom for
  1. His power
  2. His person
  3. His authority
  4. His character.

So it means both content and manner! Not only what we proclaim, but the lives of those who proclaim are crucial!

“to all the nations” This universal element must have surprised these Jewish believers. This very thing is predicted in Matt. 28:14; 28:19; Mark 13:10.

24:48 Here is the Apostolic mandate!

24:49 “I am sending” The Spirit proceeds from both the Father and the Son. This verse shows Jesus’ authority in executing the Father’s will.

“the promise of My Father” This refers to the Holy Spirit (cf. John 14-16; 20:22; Acts 1:4). Every promise Jesus made to the Apostles in the Upper Room at the Last Supper was fulfilled on Resurrection Sunday!

“stay in the city” These were mostly Galilean people. They would not have stayed in hostile Jerusalem otherwise (cf. Acts 1:4).

“clothed with power” This is an AORIST MIDDLE SUBJUNCTIVE. This is a common biblical metaphor for the spiritual life (cf. Job 29:14; Ps. 132:9; Isa. 59:17; 61:10; Rom. 13:14; Gal. 3:27; Eph. 4:24; Col. 3:10,12). The spiritual life is as much a gift and empowering from God as is spiritual salvation, but it must be received and implemented. It is not automatic!

NASB (UPDATED) TEXT: 24:50-53

50 And He led them out as far as Bethany, and He lifted up His hands and blessed them. 51 While He was blessing them, He parted from them and was carried up into heaven. 52 And they, after worshiping Him, returned to Jerusalem with great joy, and were continually in the temple praising God.
24:50 “Bethany” Lazarus’ home was about one and one half miles from Jerusalem on the Mount of Olives which was the extension of the same ridge.

.lifted up His hands” This was the normal position of Jewish prayer, but here it is probably a priestly gesture (cf. Lev. 9:22).

.blessed them” The prayer is not recorded (but Jesus’ high priestly prayer in John 17 is).

24:51 “was carried up into heaven” The other Gospels tell us “in a cloud,” which was the transportation of deity (cf. Dan. 7:13).

This phrase is omitted in MSS \*\*, D, and some old Latin and Syrian versions. However, the phrase which mentions the ascension is referred to in Acts 1:2. It is present in P\textsuperscript{3}, \textsuperscript{N}, A, B, D, K, L, W, and X. The UBS\textsuperscript{3} ranks its inclusion as “almost certain.”

Jesus’ ascension is His return to pre-existent glory (cf. John 17:5). He is honored for His accomplished task. See Millard Erickson, Christian Theology, 2nd ed., pp. 796-797.

24:52 “after worshiping Him” This is another phrase present in all of the ancient Greek texts except D and some old Latin manuscripts. This chapter has the largest number of these so-called “Western non-interpolations” by Westcott and Hort (vv. 24:3, 6, 9, 12, 36, 40, 52, 53). These textual critics believed that the Alexandrian family of Greek manuscripts was closer to the original than the other families of manuscripts except in twenty-seven shorter readings found in the western family.

.with great joy” Luke’s Gospel emphasizes “joy” (cf. 1:14; 2:10; 8:13; 10:17; 15:7, 10; 24:41, 52). This is so different from their reaction in vv. 37-38.

24:53 “in the temple” These were still Jewish people. There was no place large enough to accommodate the believing disciples.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

This is a study guide commentary, which means that you are responsible for your own interpretation of the Bible. Each of us must walk in the light we have. You, the Bible, and the Holy Spirit are priority in interpretation. You must not relinquish this to a commentator.

These discussion questions are provided to help you think through the major issues of this section of the book. They are meant to be thought-provoking, not definitive.

1. Why did Jesus appear to His followers so often after His resurrection?
2. Were the disciples expecting a resurrection?
3. Why did they not recognize Jesus immediately? Will we recognize one another?
4. Why did Jesus offer so many proofs to them of His physical body’s reality?
5. Why are there differing accounts of the resurrection in an inspired Bible?
6. Why did Jesus appear to them for forty days?
7. Are angels still active in our world today?
APPENDIX ONE

BRIEF DEFINITIONS OF GREEK GRAMMATICAL TERMS

Koine Greek, often called Hellenistic Greek, was the common language of the Mediterranean world beginning with Alexander the Great's (336-323 B.C.) conquest and lasting about eight hundred years (300 B.C.-A.D. 500). It was not just a simplified, classical Greek, but in many ways a newer form of Greek that became the second language of the ancient near east and Mediterranean world.

The Greek of the New Testament was unique in some ways because its users, except Luke and the author of Hebrews, probably used Aramaic as their primary language. Therefore, their writing was influenced by the idioms and structural forms of Aramaic. Also, they read and quoted the Septuagint (Greek translation of the OT) which was also written in Koine Greek. But the Septuagint was also written by Jewish scholars whose mother tongue was not Greek.

This serves as a reminder that we cannot push the New Testament into a tight grammatical structure. It is unique and yet has much in common with (1) the Septuagint; (2) Jewish writings such as those of Josephus; and (3) the papyri found in Egypt. How then do we approach a grammatical analysis of the New Testament?

The grammatical features of Koine Greek and New Testament Koine Greek are fluid. In many ways it was a time of simplification of grammar. Context will be our major guide. Words only have meaning in a larger context, therefore, grammatical structure can only be understood in light of (1) a particular author's style; and (2) a particular context. No conclusive definitions of Greek forms and structures are possible.

Koine Greek was primarily a verbal language. Often the key to interpretation is the type and form of the verbals. In most main clauses the verb will occur first, showing its preeminence. In analyzing the Greek verb three pieces of information must be noted: (1) the basic emphasis of the tense, voice and mood (accidence or morphology); (2) the basic meaning of the particular verb (lexicography); and (3) the flow of the context (syntax).

I. TENSE

A. Tense or aspect involves the relationship of the verbs to completed action or incomplete action. This is often called "perfective" and "imperfective."
1. Perfective tenses focus on the occurrence of an action. No further information is given except that something happened! Its start, continuation or culmination is not addressed.
2. Imperfective tenses focus on the continuing process of an action. It can be described in terms of linear action, durative action, progressive action, etc.

B. Tenses can be categorized by how the author sees the action as progressing
1. It occurred = AORIST
2. It occurred and the results abide = PERFECT
3. It was occurring in the past and the results were abiding, but not now = PLUPERFECT
4. It is occurring = PRESENT
5. It was occurring = IMPERFECT
6. It will occur = FUTURE
A concrete example of how these tenses help in interpretation would be the term "save." It was used in several different tenses to show both its process and culmination:
1. AORIST - "saved" (cf. Rom. 8:24)
2. PERFECT - "have been saved and the result continues" (cf. Eph. 2:5,8)
3. PRESENT - "being saved" (cf. I Cor. 1:18; 15:2)
4. FUTURE - "shall be saved" (cf. Rom. 5:9, 10; 10:9)

C. In focusing on verb tenses, interpreters look for the reason the original author chose to express himself in a certain tense. The standard "no frills" tense was the AORIST. It was the regular "unspecific," "unmarked," or "unflagged" verb form. It can be used in a wide variety of ways which the context must specify. It simply was stating that something occurred. The past time aspect is only intended in the INDICATIVE MOOD. If any other tense was used, something more specific was being emphasized. But what?
1. PERFECT TENSE. This speaks of a completed action with abiding results. In some ways it was a combination of the AORIST and PRESENT TENSES. Usually the focus is on the abiding results or the completion of an act. Example: Eph. 2:5 & 8, "you have been and continue to be saved."

2. PLUPERFECT TENSE. This was like the PERFECT except the abiding results have ceased. Example: "Peter was standing at the door outside" (John 18:16).

3. PRESENT TENSE. This speaks of an incomplete or imperfect action. The focus is usually on the continuation of the event. Example: "Everyone abiding in Him does not continue sinning," "everyone having been begotten of God does not continue to commit sin" (I John 3:6 & 9).

4. IMPERFECT TENSE. In this tense the relationship to the PRESENT TENSE is analogous to the relationship between the PERFECT and the PLUPERFECT. The IMPERFECT speaks of incomplete action that was occurring but has now ceased or the beginning of an action in the past. Example: "Then all Jerusalem were continuing to go out to him" or "then all Jerusalem began to go out to him" (Matt. 3:5).

5. FUTURE TENSE. This speaks of an action that was usually projected into a future time frame. It focused on the potential for an occurrence rather than an actual occurrence. It often speaks of the certainty of the event. Example: "Blessed are . . .they will . . ." (Matt. 5:4-9).

II. VOICE

A. Voice describes the relationship between the action of the verb and its subject.

B. ACTIVE VOICE was the normal, expected, unemphasized way to assert that the subject was performing the action of the verb.

C. The PASSIVE VOICE means that the subject was receiving the action of the verb produced by an outside agent. The outside agent producing the action was indicated in the Greek NT by the following prepositions and cases:
   1. a personal direct agent by hupo with the ABLATIVE CASE (cf. Matt.1:22; Acts 22:30).
   2. a personal intermediate agent by dia with the ABLATIVE CASE (cf. Matt. 1:22).
   3. an impersonal agent usually by en with the INSTRUMENTAL CASE.
   4. sometimes either a personal or impersonal agent by the INSTRUMENTAL CASE alone.

D. The MIDDLE VOICE means that the subject produces the action of the verb and is also directly involved in the action of the verb. It is often called the voice of heightened personal interest. This construction emphasized the subject of the clause or sentence in some way. This construction is not found in English. It has a wide possibility of meanings and translations in Greek. Some examples of the form are:
   1. REFLEXIVE - the direct action of the subject on itself. Example: "Hanged himself" (Matt. 27:5).
   2. INTENSIVE - the subject produces the action for itself. Example: "Satan himself masquerades as an angel of light" (II Cor. 11:14).
   3. RECIPROCAL - the interplay of two subjects. Example: "They counseled with one another" (Matt. 26:4).

III. MOOD (or "MODE")

A. There are four moods in Koine Greek. They indicate the relation of the verb to reality, at least within the author's own mind. The moods are divided into two broad categories: that which indicated reality (INDICATIVE) and that which indicated potentiality (SUBJUNCTIVE, IMPERATIVE and OPTATIVE).

B. The INDICATIVE MOOD was the normal mood for expressing action that had occurred or was occurring, at least in the author's mind. It was the only Greek mood that expressed a definite time, and even here this aspect was secondary.

C. The SUBJUNCTIVE MOOD expressed probable future action. Something had not yet happened but the chances were likely that it would. It had much in common with the FUTURE INDICATIVE. The difference was that the SUBJUNCTIVE expresses some degree of doubt. In English this is often expressed by the terms "could," "would," "may," or "might."

D. The OPTATIVE MOOD expressed a wish which was theoretically possible. It was considered one step further from reality than the SUBJUNCTIVE. The OPTATIVE expressed possibility under certain conditions. The OPTATIVE was rare in the New Testament. Its most frequent usage is Paul's famous phrase, "May it never be" (KJV, "God forbid"), used fifteen times (cf. Rom. 3:4, 6, 31; 6:2, 15; 7:7, 13; 9:14; 11:1, 11; I Cor. 6:15; Gal. 2:17; 3:21; 6:14). Other examples are found in Thess. 1:38, 20:16, Acts 8:20, and I Thess. 3:11.
E. The IMPERATIVE MOOD emphasized a command which was possible, but the emphasis was on the intent of the speaker. It asserted only volitional possibility and was conditioned on the choices of another. There was a special use of the IMPERATIVE in prayers and 3rd person requests. These commands were found only in the PRESENT and AORIST tenses in the NT.

F. Some grammars categorize PARTICIPLES as another type of mood. They are very common in the Greek NT, usually defined as a verbal adjective. They are translated in conjunction with the main verb to which they relate. A wide variety was possible in translating participles. It is best to consult several English translations. The Bible in Twenty Six Translations published by Baker is a great help here.

G. The AORIST ACTIVE INDICATIVE was the normal or "unmarked" way to record an occurrence. Any other tense, voice or mood had some specific interpretive significance that the original author wanted to communicate.

IV. For the person not familiar with Greek the following study aids will provide the needed information:

E. Academically accredited Koine Greek correspondence courses are available through Moody Bible Institute in Chicago, IL.

V. NOUNS

A. Syntactically, nouns are classified by case. Case was that inflected form of a noun that showed its relationship to the verb and other parts of the sentence. In Koine Greek many of the case functions were indicated by prepositions. Since the case form was able to identify several different relationships, the prepositions developed to give clearer separation to these possible functions.

B. Greek cases are categorized in the following eight ways:
1. The NOMINATIVE CASE was used for naming and it usually was the subject of the sentence or clause. It was also used for predicate nouns and adjectives with the linking verbs "to be" or "become."
2. The GENITIVE CASE was used for description and usually assigned an attribute or quality to the word to which it was related. It answered the question, "What kind?" It was often expressed by the use of the English preposition "of."
3. The ABLATIVE CASE used the same inflected form as the GENITIVE, but it was used to describe separation. It usually denoted separation from a point in time, space, source, origin or degree. It was often expressed by the use of the English preposition "from."
4. The DATIVE CASE was used to describe personal interest. This could denote a positive or negative aspect. Often this was the indirect object. It was often expressed by the English preposition "to."
5. The LOCATIVE CASE was the same inflected form as the DATIVE, but it described position or location in space, time or logical limits. It was often expressed by the English prepositions "in, on, at, among, during, by, upon, and beside."
6. The INSTRUMENTAL CASE was the same inflected form as the DATIVE and LOCATIVE cases. It expressed means or association. It was often expressed by the English prepositions, "by" or "with."
7. The ACCUSATIVE CASE was used to describe the conclusion of an action. It expressed limitation. Its main use was the direct object. It answered the question, "How far?" or "To what extent?"
8. The VOCATIVE CASE was used for direct address.
VI. CONJUNCTIONS AND CONNECTORS

A. Greek is a very precise language because it has so many connectives. They connect thoughts (clauses, sentences, and paragraphs). They are so common that their absence (asyndeton) is often exegetically significant. As a matter of fact, these conjunctions and connectors show the direction of the author’s thought. They often are crucial in determining what exactly he is trying to communicate.

B. Here is a list of some of the conjunctions and connectors and their meanings (this information has been gleaned mostly from H. E. Dana and Julius K. Mantey’s *A Manual Grammar of the Greek New Testament*).

1. Time connectors
   a. epei, epeid ἐ, hopote, ἡσ, hote, hotan (subj.) - “when”
   b. heσ - “while”
   c. hotan, epan (subj.) - “whenever”
   d. ἡσ, achri, mechri (subj.) - “until”
   e. priv (infin.) - “before”
   f. ἡσ - “since,” “when,” “as”

2. Logical connectors
   a. Purpose
      (1) hina (subj.), hopos (subj.), ἡσ - “in order that,” “that”
      (2) hoste (articular accusative infinitive) - “that”
      (3) pros (articular accusative infinitive) or eis (articular accusative infinitive) - “that”
   b. Result (there is a close association between the grammatical forms of purpose and result)
      (1) hoste (infinitive, this is the most common) - “in order that,” “thus”
      (2) hiva (subj.) - “so that”
      (3) ara - “so”
   c. Causal or reason
      (1) gar (cause/effect or reason/conclusion) - “for,” “because”
      (2) dioti, hotiy - “because”
      (3) epei, epeidē, ἡσ - “since”
      (4) dia (with accusative) and (with articular infin.) - “because”
   d. Inferential
      (1) ara, poinun, hoste - “therefore”
      (2) dio (strongest inferential conjunction) - “on which account,” “wherefore,” “therefore”
      (3) oun - “therefore,” “so,” “then,” “consequently”
      (4) toinoun - “accordingly”
   e. Adversative or contrast
      (1) alla (strong adversative) - “but,” “except”
      (2) de - “but,” “however,” “yet,” “on the other hand”
      (3) kai - “but”
      (4) mentoi, oun - “however”
      (5) plan - “never-the-less” (mostly in Luke)
      (6) oun - “however”
   f. Comparison
      (1) ἡσ, kathos (introduce comparative clauses)
      (2) kata (in compounds, katho, kathoti, kathasper, kathaper)
      (3) hosos (in Hebrews)
      (4) ἐ - “than”
   g. Continuative or series
      (1) de - “and,” “now”
      (2) kai - “and”
      (3) tei - “and”
      (4) hina, oun - “that”
      (5) oun - “then” (in John)

3. Emphatic usages
   a. alla - “certainty,” “yea,” “in fact”
   b. ara - “indeed,” “certainly,” “really”
   c. gar - “but really,” “certainly,” “indeed”
   d. de - “indeed”
VII. CONDITIONAL SENTENCES

A. A CONDITIONAL SENTENCE is one that contains one or more conditional clauses. This grammatical structure aids interpretation because it provides the conditions, reasons or causes why the action of the main verb does or does not occur. There were four types of conditional sentences. They move from that which was assumed to be true from the author's perspective or for his purpose to that which was only a wish.

B. The FIRST CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE expressed action or being which was assumed to be true from the writer's perspective or for his purposes even though it was expressed with an "if." In several contexts it could be translated "since" (cf. Matt. 4:3; Rom. 8:31). However, this does not mean to imply that all FIRST CLASSES are true to reality. Often they were used to make a point in an argument or to highlight a fallacy (cf. Matt. 12:27).

C. The SECOND CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE is often called "contrary to fact." It states something that was untrue to reality to make a point:
1. "If He were really a prophet, which He is not, He would know who and of what character the woman is who is clinging to Him, but He does not" (Thess. 7:39).
2. "If you really believed Moses, which you do not, you would believe me, which you do not" (John 5:46).
3. "If I were still trying to be pleasing to men, which I am not, I would not be a slave of Christ at all, which I am" (Gal. 1:10).

D. The THIRD CLASS speaks of possible future action. It often assumes the probability of that action. It usually implies a contingency. The action of the main verb is contingent on the action in the "it" clause. Examples from I John: 1:6-10; 2:4,6,9,15,20,21,24,29; 3:21; 4:20; 5:14,16.

E. The FOURTH CLASS is the farthest removed from possibility. It is rare in the NT. As a matter of fact, there is no complete FOURTH CLASS CONDITIONAL SENTENCE in which both parts of the condition fit the definition. An example of a partial FOURTH CLASS is the opening clause in I Pet. 3:14. An example of a partial FOURTH CLASS in the concluding clause is Acts 8:31.

VIII. PROHIBITIONS

A. The PRESENT IMPERATIVE with ME PARTICLE often (but not exclusively) has the emphasis of stopping an act already in process. Some examples: "stop storing up your riches on earth. . ." (Matt. 6:19); "stop worrying about your life. . ." (Matt. 6:25); "stop offering to sin the parts of your bodies as instruments of wrongdoing. . ." (Rom. 6:13); "you must stop offending the Holy Spirit of God. . ." (Eph. 4:30); and "stop getting drunk on wine. . ." (5:18).

B. The AORIST SUBJUNCTIVE with ME PARTICLE has the emphasis of "do not even begin or start an act." Some examples: "Do not even begin to suppose that. . ." (Matt. 5:17); "never start to worry. . ." (Matt. 6:31); "you must never be ashamed. . ." (II Tim. 1:8).

C. The DOUBLE NEGATIVE with the SUBJUNCTIVE MOOD is a very emphatic negation. "Never, no never" or "not under any circumstance." Some examples: "he will never, no never experience death" (John 8:51); "I will never, no, never. . ." (I Cor. 8:13).

IX. THE ARTICLE

A. In Koine Greek the definite article "the" had a use similar to English. Its basic function was that of "a pointer," a way to draw attention to a word, name or phrase. The use varies from author to author in the New Testament. The definite article could also function
1. as a contrasting device like a demonstrative pronoun;
2. as a sign to refer to a previously introduced subject or person;
3. as a way to identify the subject in a sentence with a linking verb. Examples: "God is Spirit" John 4:24; "God is light" I John 1:5; "God is love" 4:8,16.
B. Koine Greek did not have an indefinite article like the English "a" or "an". The absence of the definite article could mean
1. a focus on the characteristics or quality of something
2. a focus on the category of something

C. The NT authors varied widely as to how the article was employed.

X. WAYS OF SHOWING EMPHASIS IN THE GREEK NEW TESTAMENT

A. The techniques for showing emphasis vary from author to author in the New Testament. The most consistent and formal writers were Luke and the author of Hebrews.

B. We have stated earlier that the AORIST ACTIVE INDICATIVE was standard and unmarked for emphasis, but any other tense, voice or mood had interpretive significance. This is not to imply that the AORIST ACTIVE INDICATIVE was not often used in a significant grammatical sense. Example: Rom. 6:10 (twice).

C. Word order in Koine Greek
   1. Koine Greek was an inflected language which was not dependent, like English, on word order. Therefore, the author could vary the normal expected order to show
      a. what the author wanted to emphasize to the reader;
      b. what the author thought would be surprising to the reader;
      c. what the author felt deeply about.
   2. The normal word order in Greek is still an unsettled issue. However, the supposed normal order is:
      a. for linking verbs
         (1) verb
         (2) subject
         (3) complement
      b. for transitive verbs
         (1) verb
         (2) subject
         (3) object
         (4) indirect object
         (5) prepositional phrase
      c. for noun phrases
         (1) noun
         (2) modifier
         (3) prepositional phrase
   3. Word order can be an extremely important exegetical point. Examples:
      a. "right hand they gave to me and Barnabas of fellowship" (Gal. 2:9). The phrase "right hand of fellowship" is split and fronted to show its significance.
      b. "with Christ" (Gal. 2:20), was placed first. His death was central.
      c. "It was bit by bit and in many different ways" (Heb. 1:1), was placed first. It was how God revealed Himself that was being contrasted, not the fact of revelation.

D. Usually some degree of emphasis was shown by
   1. The repetition of the pronoun which was already present in the verb's inflected form. Example: "I, myself, will surely be with you. . ." (Matt. 28:20).
   2. The absence of an expected conjunction, or other connecting device between words, phrases, clauses or sentences. This is called an asyndeton ("not bound"). The connecting device was expected, so its absence would draw attention. Examples:
      a. The Beatitudes, Matt. 5:3ff (emphasized the list)
      b. John 14:1 (new topic)
      c. Romans 9:1 (new section)
      d. II Cor. 12:20 (emphasize the list)
   3. The repetition of words or phrases present in a given context. Examples: "to the praise of His glory" (Eph. 1:6, 12 & 14). This phrase was used to show the work of each person of the Trinity.
   4. The use of an idiom or word (sound) play between terms
a. euphemisms - substitute words for taboo subjects like "sleep" for death (John 11:11-14) or "feet" for male genitalia (Ruth 3:7-8; I Sam. 24:3).

b. circumlocutions - substitute words for God's name, like "Kingdom of heaven" (Matt. 3:21) or "a voice from heaven" (Matt. 3:17).

c. figures of speech
   (1) impossible exaggerations (Matt. 3:9; 5:29-30; 19:24).
   (2) mild over statements (Matt. 3:5; Acts 2:36).
   (3) personifications (I Cor. 15:55).
   (4) irony (Gal. 5:12)
   (5) poetic passages (Phil. 2:6-11).
   (6) sound plays between words
      (a) "church"
         (i) "church" (Eph. 3:21)
         (ii) "calling" (Eph. 4:1,4)
         (iii) "called" (Eph. 4:1,4)
      (b) "free"
         (i) "free woman" (Gal. 4:31)
         (ii) "freedom" (Gal. 5:1)
         (iii) "free" (Gal. 5:1)

d. idiomatic language - language which is usually cultural and language specific:
   (1) This was the figurative use of "food" (John 4:31-34).
   (2) This was the figurative use of "Temple" (John 2:19; Matt. 26:61).
   (3) This was a Hebrew idiom of compassion, "hate" (Gen. 29:31; Deut. 21:15; Thess. 14:36; John 12:25; Rom. 9:13).
   (4) "All" versus "many." Compare Isa. 53:6 ("all") with 53:11 & 12 ("many"). The terms are synonymous as Rom. 5:18 and 19 show.

5. The use of a full linguistic phrase instead of a single word. Example: "The Lord Jesus Christ."

6. The special use of *autos*
   a. when with the article (attributive position) it was translated "same."
   b. when without the article (predicate position) it was translated as an intensive reflexive pronoun—"himself," "herself," or "itself."

E. The non-Greek reading Bible student can identify emphasis in several ways:
   1. The use of an analytical lexicon and interlinear Greek/English text.
   2. The comparison of English translations, particularly from the differing theories of translations. Example: comparing a "word for word" translation (KJV, NKJV, ASV, NASB, RSV, NRSV) with a "dynamic equivalent" (Williams, NIV, NEB, REB, JB, NJB, TEV). A good help here would be *The Bible in Twenty-Six Translations* published by Baker.
   3. The use of *The Emphasized Bible* by Joseph Bryant Rotherham (Kregel, 1994).
   4. The use of a very literal translation

The study of grammar is tedious but necessary for proper interpretation. These brief definitions, comments and examples are meant to encourage and equip non-Greek reading persons to use the grammatical notes provided in this volume. Surely these definitions are oversimplified. They should not be used in a dogmatic, inflexible manner, but as stepping stones toward a greater understanding of New Testament syntax. Hopefully these definitions will also enable readers to understand the comments of other study aids such as technical commentaries on the New Testament.

We must be able to verify our interpretation based on items of information found in the texts of the Bible. Grammar is one of the most helpful of these items; other items would include historical setting, literary context, contemporary word usage, and parallel passages.
APPENDIX TWO

TEXTUAL CRITICISM

This subject will be dealt with in such a way as to explain the textual notes found in this commentary. The following outline will be utilized

I. The textual sources of our English Bible
   A. Old Testament
   B. New Testament

II. Brief explanation of the problems and theories of "lower criticism" also called "textual criticism."

III. Suggested sources for further reading

I. The textual sources of our English Bible
   A. Old Testament
      1. Masoretic text (MT) - The Hebrew consonantal text was set by Rabbi Aquiba in A.D. 100. The vowel points, accents, marginal notes, punctuation and apparatus points started being added in the sixth century A.D. and were finished in the ninth century A.D. It was done by a family of Jewish scholars known as the Masoretes. The textual form they used was the same as the one in the Mishnah, Talmud, Targums, Peshitta, and Vulgate.
      2. Septuagint (LXX) - Tradition says the Septuagint was produced by 70 Jewish scholars in 70 days for the Alexandria library under the sponsorship of King Ptolemy II (285-246 B.C.) The translation was supposedly requested by a Jewish leader living in Alexandria. This tradition comes from "Letter of Aristeas." The LXX frequently was based on a differing Hebrew textual tradition from the text of Rabbi Aquiba (MT).
      3. Dead Sea Scrolls (DSS) - The Dead Sea Scrolls were written in the Roman B.C. period (200 B.C. to A.D. 70) by a sect of Jewish separatists called the "Essenes." The Hebrew manuscripts, found in several sites around the Dead Sea, show a somewhat different Hebrew textual family behind both the MT and the LXX.
      4. Some specific examples of how the comparison of these texts have helped interpreters understand the Old Testament
         a. The LXX has helped translators and scholars understand the MT
            (1) the LXX of Isa. 52:14, "As many shall be amazed at him."
            (2) the MT of Isa. 52:14, "Just as many were astonished over you."
            (3) in Isa. 52:15 the pronoun distinction of the LXX is confirmed
               (a) LXX, "so will many nations marvel at him"
               (b) MT, "so he sprinkles many nations"
         b. The DSS have helped translators and scholars understand the MT
            (1) the DSS of Isa. 21:8, "then the seer cried, Upon a watchtower I stand. . ."
            (2) the MT of Isa. 21:8, "and I cried a lion! My Lord, I always stand on the watch tower by day. . ."
         c. Both the LXX and DSS have helped clarify Isa. 53:11
            (1) LXX & DSS, "after the travail of his soul he will see light, he will be satisfied"
            (2) MT, "he shall see. . .of the travail of his soul, He shall be satisfied"
   B. New Testament
      1. Over 5,300 manuscripts of all or parts of the Greek New Testament are extant. About 85 are written on papyri and 268 are manuscripts written in all capital letters (uncials). Later, about the ninth century A.D., a running script (minuscule) was developed. The Greek manuscripts in written form number about 2,700. We also have about 2,100 copies of lists of Scripture texts used in worship that we call lectionaries.
      2. About 85 Greek manuscripts containing parts of the New Testament written on papyrus are housed in museums. Some are dated from the second century A.D., but most are from the third and fourth centuries A.D. None of these MSS contain the whole New Testament. Just because these are the oldest copies of the New Testament does not automatically mean they have fewer variants. Many of these were copied rapidly for a local use. Care was not exercised in the process. Therefore, they contain many variants.
      3. Codex Sinaiticus, known by the Hebrew letter א (aleph) or (01), found at St. Catherine's monastery on Mt. Sinai by Tischendorf. It dates from the fourth century A.D. and contains both the LXX of the OT and the Greek NT. It is of "the Alexandrian Text" type.
      4. Codex Alexandrinus, known as "A" or (02), a fifth century Greek manuscript which was found in Alexandria, Egypt.
5. Codex Vaticanus, known as "B" or (03), found in the Vatican's library in Rome and dates from the middle of the fourth century A.D. It contains both LXX of the Old Testament and Greek New Testament. It is of "the Alexandrian Text" type.

6. Codex Ephraemi, known as "C" or (04), a fifth century Greek manuscript which was partially destroyed.

7. Codex Bezae, known as "D" or (05), a fifth or sixth century Greek manuscript. It is the chief representative of what is called "The Western Text." It contains many additions and was the main Greek witness for the King James translation.

8. The NT MSS can be grouped into three, possibly four, families that share certain characteristics.
   a. Alexandrian text from Egypt
      (1) P75, P66 (about A.D. 200), which record the Gospels
      (2) P46 (about A.D. 225), which records Paul's letters
      (3) P72 (about A.D. 225-250), which records Peter and Jude
      (4) Codex B, called Vaticanus (about A.D. 325), which includes the whole OT and NT
      (5) Origen quotes from this text type
      (6) other MSS which show this text type are Ν, C, L, W, 33
   b. Western text from North Africa
      (1) quotes from North African church fathers, Tertullian, Cyprian, and the Old Latin translation
      (2) quotes from Irenaeus
      (3) quotes from Tatian and Old Syriac translation
      (4) Codex D "Bezae" follow this text type
   c. Eastern Byzantine text from Constantinople
      (1) this text type is reflected in over 80% of the 5,300 MSS
      (2) quoted by Antioch of Syria’s church fathers, Cappadoceans, Chrysostom, and Therodoret
      (3) Codex A, in the Gospels only
      (4) Codex E (eighth century) for full NT
   d. the fourth possible type is "Caesarean" from Palestine
      (1) it is primarily seen only in Mark
      (2) some witnesses to it are P45 and W

II. The problems and theories of "lower criticism" or " textual criticism."

A. How the variants occurred
   1. inadvertent or accidental (vast majority of occurrences)
      a. slip of the eye in hand copying which reads the second instance of two similar words and thereby omits all of the words in between (homoioteleuton)
      (1) slip of the eye in omitting a double letter word or phrase (haplography)
      (2) slip of the mind in repeating a phrase or line of a Greek text (dittography)
      b. slip of the ear in copying by oral dictation where a misspelling occurs (itacism). Often the misspelling implies or spells a similar-sounding Greek word.
   c. the earliest Greek texts had no chapter or verse divisions, little or no punctuation and no division between words. It is possible to divide the letters in different places forming different words.
   2. intentional
      a. changes were made to improve the grammatical form of the text copied
      b. changes were made to bring the text into conformity with other biblical texts (harmonization of parallels)
      c. changes were made by combining two or more variant readings into one long combined text (conflation)
      d. changes were made to correct a perceived problem in the text (cf. I Cor. 11:27 and I John 5:7-8)
      e. some additional information as to the historical setting or proper interpretation of the text was placed in the margin by one scribe but placed into the text by a second scribe (cf. John 5:4)

B. The basic tenets of textual criticism (logical guidelines for determining the original reading of a text when variants exist)
   1. the most awkward or grammatically unusual text is probably the original
   2. the shortest text is probably the original
   3. the older text is given more weight because of its historical proximity to the original, everything else being equal
   4. MSS that are geographically diverse usually have the original reading
   5. doctrinally weaker texts, especially those relating to major theological discussions of the period of manuscript changes, like the Trinity in I John 5:7-8, are to be preferred.
   6. the text that can best explain the origin of the other variants
   7. two quotes that help show the balance in these troubling variants
"No Christian doctrine hangs upon a debatable text; and the student of the NT must beware of wanting his text to be more orthodox or doctrinally stronger than is the inspired original" (p. 68).

b. W. A. Criswell told Greg Garrison of The Birmingham News that he (Criswell) doesn't believe every word in the Bible is inspired, "at least not every word that has been given to the modern public by centuries of translators." Criswell said: "I very much am a believer in the textual criticism. As such, I think, the last half of the 16th chapter of Mark is heresy: it's not inspired, it's just concocted...When you compare those manuscripts way back yonder, there was no such thing as that conclusion of the Book of Mark. Somebody added it..."

The patriarch of the SBC inerrantists also claimed that "interpolation" is also evident in John 5, the account of Jesus at the pool of Bethesda. And he discusses the two different accounts of the suicide of Judas (cf. Matt. 27 and Acts 1): "It's just a different view of the suicide," Criswell said. "If it is in the Bible, there is an explanation for it. And the two accounts of the suicide of Judas are in the Bible," Criswell added, "Textual criticism is a wonderful science in itself. It is not ephemeral, it's not impertinent. It's dynamic and central..."

III. Manuscript problems (textual criticism)

A. Suggested sources for further reading

1. *Biblical Criticism: Historical, Literary and Textual*, by R.H. Harrison


3. *Introduction to New Testament Textual Criticism*, by J. H Greenlee
**APPENDIX THREE**

**GLOSSARY**

**Adoptionism.** This was one of the early views of Jesus’ relation to deity. It basically asserted that Jesus was a normal human in every way and was adopted in a special sense by God at his baptism (cf. Matt. 3:17; Mark 1:11) or at His resurrection (cf. Rom. 1:4). Jesus lived such an exemplary life that God, at some point, (baptism, resurrection) adopted Him as His “son” (cf. Rom. 1:4; Phi. 2:9). This was an early church and eighth century minority view. Instead of God becoming a man (the Incarnation) it reverses this and now man becomes God!

It is difficult to verbalize how Jesus, God the Son, pre-existent deity, was rewarded or extolled for an exemplary life. If He was already God, how could He be rewarded? If He had pre-existent divine glory how could He be honored more? Although it is hard for us to comprehend, the Father somehow honored Jesus in a special sense for His perfect fulfillment of the Father’s will.

**Alexandrian School.** This method of biblical interpretation was developed in Alexandria, Egypt in the second century A.D. It uses the basic interpretive principles of Philo, who was a follower of Plato. It is often called the allegorical method. It held sway in the church until the time of the Reformation. Its most able proponents were Origen and Augustine. See Moises Silva, Has The Church Misread The Bible? (Academic, 1987)

**Alexandrinus.** This fifth-century Greek manuscript from Alexandria, Egypt includes the Old Testament, Apocrypha, and most of the New Testament. It is one of our major witnesses to the entire Greek New Testament (except parts of Matthew, John, and II Corinthians). When this manuscript, which is designated "A," and the manuscript designated "B" (Vaticanus) agree on a reading, it is considered to be original by most scholars in most instances.

**Allegory.** This is a type of biblical interpretation which originally developed within Alexandrian Judaism. It was popularized by Philo of Alexandria. Its basic thrust is the desire to make the Scripture relevant to one's culture or philosophical system by ignoring the Bible's historical setting and/or literary context. It seeks a hidden or spiritual meaning behind every text of Scripture. It must be admitted that Jesus, in Matthew 13, and Paul, in Galatians 4, used allegory to communicate truth. This, however, was in the form of typology, not strictly allegory.

**Analytical lexicon.** This is a type of research tool which allows one to identify every Greek form in the New Testament. It is a compilation, in Greek alphabetical order, of forms and basic definitions. In combination with an interlinear translation, it allows non-Greek reading believers to analyze New Testament Greek grammatical and syntactic forms.

**Analogy of Scripture.** This is the phrase used to describe the view that all of the Bible is inspired by God and is, therefore, not contradictory but complementary. This presuppositional affirmation is the basis for the use of parallel passages in interpreting a biblical text.

**Ambiguity.** This refers to the uncertainty that results in a written document when there are two or more possible meanings or when two or more things are being referred to at the same time. It is possible that John uses purposeful ambiguity (double entendres).

**Anthropomorphic.** Meaning “having characteristics associated with human beings,” this term is used to describe our religious language about God. It comes from the Greek term for mankind. It means that we speak about God as if He were a man. God is described in physical, sociological, and psychological terms which relate to human beings (cf. Gen. 3:8; I Kgs. 22:19-23). This, of course, is only an analogy. However, there are no categories or terms other than human ones for us to use. Therefore, our knowledge of God, though true, is limited.

**Antiochian School.** This method of biblical interpretation was developed in Antioch, Syria in the third century A.D. as a reaction to the allegorical method of Alexandria, Egypt. Its basic thrust was to focus on the historical meaning of the Bible. It interpreted the Bible as normal, human literature. This school became involved in the controversy over whether Christ had two natures (Nestorianism) or one nature (fully God and fully man). It was labeled heretical by the Roman Catholic Church and relocated to Persia but the school had little significance. Its basic hermeneutical principles later became interpretive principles of the Classical Protestant Reformers (Luther and Calvin).
**Antithetical.** This is one of three descriptive terms used to denote the relationship between lines of Hebrew poetry. It relates to lines of poetry which are opposite in meaning (cf. Prov. 10:1, 15:1).

**Apocalyptic literature.** This was predominantly, possibly even uniquely, a Jewish genre. It was a cryptic type of writing used in times of invasion and occupation of the Jews by foreign world powers. It assumes that a personal, redemptive God created and controls world events, and that Israel is of special interest and care to Him. This literature promises ultimate victory through God’s special effort.

It is highly symbolic and fanciful with many cryptic terms. It often expressed truth in colors, numbers, visions, dreams, angelic mediation, secret code words and often a sharp dualism between good and evil.

Some examples of this genre are (1) in the OT, Ezekiel (chapters 36-48), Daniel (chapters 7-12), Zechariah; and (2) in the NT, Matt.24; Mark 13; II Thess. 2 and Revelation.

**Apologist (Apologetics).** This is from the Greek root for "legal defense." This is a specific discipline within theology which seeks to give evidence and rational arguments for the Christian faith.

**A priori.** This is basically synonymous with the term "presupposition." It involves reasoning from previously accepted definitions, principles or positions which are assumed to be true. It is that which is accepted without examination or analysis.

**Arianism.** Arius was a presbyter in the church at Alexandria Egypt in the third and early fourth century. He affirmed that Jesus was pre-existent but not divine (not of the same essence as the Father), possibly following Proverbs 8:22-31. He was challenged by the bishop of Alexandria, who started (A.D. 318) a controversy which lasted many years. Arianism became the official creed of the Eastern Church. The Council of Nicaea in A.D. 325 condemned Arius and asserted the full equality and deity of the Son.

**Aristotle.** He was one of the philosophers of ancient Greece, a pupil of Plato and teacher of Alexander the Great. His influence, even today, reaches into many areas of modern studies. This is because he emphasized knowledge through observation and classification. This is one of the tenets of the scientific method.

**Autographs.** This is the name given to the original writings of the Bible. These original, handwritten manuscripts have all been lost. Only copies of copies remain. This is the source of many of the textual variants in the Hebrew and Greek manuscripts and ancient versions.

**Bezae.** This is a Greek and Latin manuscript of the sixth century A.D. It is designated by "D." It contains the Gospels and Acts and some of the General Epistles. It is characterized by numerous scribal additions. It forms the basis for the "Textus Receptus," the major Greek manuscript tradition behind the King James Version.

**Bias.** This is the term used to describe a strong predisposition toward an object or point of view. It is the mindset in which impartiality is impossible regarding a particular object or point of view. It is a prejudiced position.

**Biblical Authority.** This term is used in a very specialized sense. It is defined as understanding what the original author said to his day and applying this truth to our day. Biblical authority is usually defined as viewing the Bible itself as our only authoritative guide. However, in light of current, improper interpretations, I have limited the concept to the Bible as interpreted by the tenets of the historical-grammatical method.

**Canon.** This is a term used to describe writings which are believed to be uniquely inspired. It is used regarding both the Old and New Testament Scriptures.

**Christocentric.** This is a term used to describe the centrality of Jesus. I use it in connection with the concept that Jesus is Lord of all the Bible. The Old Testament points toward Him and He is its fulfillment and goal (cf. Matt. 5:17-48).

**Commentary.** This is a specialized type of research book. It gives the general background of a biblical book. It then tries to explain the meaning of each section of the book. Some focus on application, while others deal with the text in a more technical way. These books are helpful, but should be used after one has done his own preliminary study. The commentator's interpretations should never be accepted uncritically. Comparing several commentaries from different theological perspectives is usually helpful.

**Concordance.** This is a type of research tool for Bible study. It lists every occurrence of every word in the Old and New Testaments. It helps in several ways: (1) determining the Hebrew or Greek word which lies behind any particular English word; (2) comparing passages where the same Hebrew or Greek word was used; (3) showing where two different Hebrew or Greek terms
are translated by the same English word; (4) showing the frequency of the use of certain words in certain books or authors; (5) helping one find a passage in the Bible (cf. Walter Clark’s *How to Use New Testament Greek Study Aids*, pp. 54-55).

**Dead Sea Scrolls.** This refers to a series of ancient texts written in Hebrew and Aramaic which were found near the Dead Sea in 1947. They were the religious libraries of sectarian Judaism of the first century. The pressure of Roman occupation and the zealot wars of the 60's caused them to conceal the scrolls in hermetically sealed pottery jars in caves or holes. They have helped us understand the historical setting of first century Palestine and have confirmed the Masoretic Text as being very accurate, at least as far back as the early B.C. era. They are designated by the abbreviation "DSS."

**Deductive.** This method of logic or reasoning moves from general principles to specific applications by means of reason. It is opposite from inductive reasoning, which reflects the scientific method by moving from observed specifics to general conclusions (theories).

**Dialectical.** This is the method of reasoning whereby that which seems contradictory or paradoxical is held together in a tension, seeking a unified answer which includes both sides of the paradox. Many biblical doctrines have dialectical pairs, predestination—free will; security—perseverance; faith—works; decision—discipleship; Christian freedom—Christian responsibility.

**Diaspora.** This is the technical Greek term used by Palestinian Jews to describe other Jews who live outside the geographical boundaries of the Promised Land.

**Dynamic equivalent.** This is a theory of Bible translation. Bible translation can be viewed as a continuum from “word to word” correspondence, where an English word must be supplied for every Hebrew or Greek word, to a “paraphrase” where only the thought is translated with less regard to the original wording or phrasing. In between these two theories is “the dynamic equivalent” which attempts to take the original text seriously, but translates it in modern grammatical forms and idioms. A really good discussion of these various theories of translations is found in Fee and Stuart’s *How to Read the Bible For All Its Worth*, p. 35 and in Robert Bratcher’s Introduction to the TEV.

**Eclectic.** This term is used in connection with textual criticism. It refers to the practice of choosing readings from different Greek manuscripts in order to arrive at a text which is supposed to be close to the original autographs. It rejects the view that any one family of Greek manuscripts captures the originals.

**Eisegesis.** This is the opposite of exegesis. If exegesis is a "leading out" of the original author's intent, this term implies a "leading in" of a foreign idea or opinion.

**Etymology.** This is an aspect of word study that tries to ascertain the original meaning of a word. From this root meaning, specialized usages are more easily identified. In interpretation, etymology is not the main focus, rather the contemporary meaning and usage of a word.

**Exegesis.** This is the technical term for the practice of interpreting a specific passage. It means "to lead out" (of the text) implying that our purpose is to understand the original author's intent in light of historical setting, literary context, syntax and contemporary word meaning.

**Genre.** This is a French term that denotes different types of literature. The thrust of the term is the division of literary forms into categories which share common characteristics: historical narrative, poetry, proverb, apocalyptic and legislation.

**Gnosticism.** Most of our knowledge of this heresy comes from the gnostic writings of the second century. However, the incipient ideas were present in the first century (and before).

Some stated tenets of Valentinian and Cerinthian Gnosticism of the second century are: (1) matter and spirit were co-eternal (an ontological dualism). Matter is evil, spirit is good. God, who is spirit, cannot be directly involved with molding evil matter; (2) there are emanations (*eons* or angelic levels) between God and matter. The last or lowest one was YHWH of the OT, who formed the universe (*kosmos*); (3) Jesus was an emanation like YHWH but higher on the scale, closer to the true God. Some put Him as the highest but still less than God and certainly not incarnate Deity (cf. John 1:14). Since matter is evil, Jesus could not have a human body and still be Divine. He was a spiritual phantom (cf. I John 1:1-3; 4:1-6); and (4) salvation was obtained through faith in Jesus plus special knowledge, which is only known by special persons. Knowledge (passwords) was needed to pass through heavenly spheres. Jewish legalism was also required to reach God.
The gnostic false teachers advocated two opposite ethical systems: (1) for some, lifestyle was totally unrelated to salvation. For them, salvation and spirituality were encapsulated into secret knowledge (passwords) through the angelic spheres (*eons*); or (2) for others, lifestyle was crucial to salvation. They emphasized an ascetic lifestyle as evidence of true spirituality.

**Hermeneutics.** This is the technical term for the principles which guide exegesis. It is both a set of specific guidelines and an art/gift. Biblical, or sacred, hermeneutics is usually divided into two categories: general principles and special principles. These relate to the different types of literature found in the Bible. Each different type (genre) has its own unique guidelines but also shares some common assumptions and procedures of interpretation.

**Higher Criticism.** This is the procedure of biblical interpretation which focuses on the historical setting and literary structure of a particular biblical book.

**Idiom.** This word is used for the phrases found in different cultures which have specialized meaning not connected to the usual meaning of the individual terms. Some modern examples are: "that was awfully good," or "you just kill me." The Bible also contains these types of phrases.

**Illumination.** This is the name given to the concept that God has spoken to mankind. The full concept is usually expressed by three terms: (1) revelation—God has acted in human history; (2) inspiration—He has given the proper interpretation of His acts and their meaning to certain chosen men to record for mankind; and (3) illumination—He has given His Spirit to help mankind understand His self-disclosure.

**Inductive.** This is a method of logic or reasoning which moves from the particulars to the whole. It is the empirical method of modern science. This is basically the approach of Aristotle.

**Interlinear.** This is a type of research tool which allows those who do not read a biblical language to be able to analyze its meaning and structure. It places the English translation on a word for word level immediately under the original biblical language. This tool, combined with an "analytical lexicon," will give the forms and basic definitions of Hebrew and Greek.

**Inspiration.** This is the concept that God has spoken to mankind by guiding the biblical authors to accurately and clearly record His revelation. The full concept is usually expressed by three terms: (1) revelation—God has acted in human history; (2) inspiration—He has given the proper interpretation of His acts and their meaning to certain chosen men to record for mankind; and (3) illumination—He has given His Spirit to help mankind understand His self-disclosure.

**Language of description.** This is used in connection with the idioms in which the Old Testament is written. It speaks of our world in terms of the way things appear to the five senses. It is not a scientific description, nor was it meant to be.

**Legalism.** This attitude is characterized by an over-emphasis on rules or ritual. It tends to rely on the human performance of regulations as a means of acceptance by God. It tends to deprecate relationship and elevates performance, both of which are important aspects of the covenantal relationship between a holy God and sinful humanity.

**Literal.** This is another name for the textually-focused and historical method of hermeneutics from Antioch. It means that interpretation involves the normal and obvious meaning of human language, although it still recognizes the presence of figurative language.

**Literary genre.** This refers to the distinct forms that human communication can take, such as poetry or historical narrative. Each type of literature has its own special hermeneutical procedures in addition to the general principles for all written literature.

**Literary unit.** This refers to the major thought divisions of a biblical book. It can be made up of a few verses, paragraphs or chapters. It is a self-contained unit with a central subject.

**Lower criticism.** See "textual criticism."

**Manuscript.** This term relates to the different copies of the Greek New Testament. Usually they are divided into the different types by (1) material on which they are written (papyrus, leather), or (2) the form of the writing itself (all capitals or running script). It is abbreviated by "MS" (singular) or "MSS" (plural).

**Masoretic Text.** This refers to the ninth century A.D. Hebrew manuscripts of the Old Testament produced by generations of Jewish scholars which contain vowel points and other textual notes. It forms the basic text for our English Old Testament.
text has been historically confirmed by the Hebrew MSS, especially Isaiah, known from the Dead Sea Scrolls. It is abbreviated by "MT."

**Metonymy.** This is a figure of speech in which the name of one thing is used to represent something else associated with it. As an example, “the kettle is boiling” actually means “the water within the kettle is boiling.”

**Muratorian Fragments.** This is a list of the canonical books of the New Testament. It was written in Rome before A.D. 200. It gives the same twenty-seven books as the Protestant NT. This clearly shows the local churches in different parts of the Roman Empire had “practically” set the canon before the major church councils of the fourth century.

**Natural revelation.** This is one category of God’s self-disclosure to man. It involves the natural order (Rom. 1:19-20) and the moral consciousness (Rom. 2:14-15). It is spoken of in Ps. 19:1-6 and Rom. 1-2. It is distinct from special revelation, which is God’s specific self-disclosure in the Bible and supremely in Jesus of Nazareth.

This theological category is being re-emphasized by the “old earth” movement among Christian scientists (e.g. the writings of Hugh Ross). They use this category to assert that all truth is God’s truth. Nature is an open door to knowledge about God; it is different from special revelation (the Bible). It allows modern science the freedom to research the natural order. In my opinion it is a wonderful new opportunity to witness to the modern scientific western world.

**Nestorianism.** Nestorius was the patriarch of Constantinople in the fifth century. He was trained in Antioch of Syria and affirmed that Jesus had two natures, one fully human and one fully divine. This view deviated from the orthodox one nature view of Alexandria. Nestorius’ main concern was the title “mother of God,” given to Mary. Nestorius was opposed by Cyril of Alexandria and, by implication, his own Antiochian training. Antioch was the headquarters of the historical-grammatical-textual approach to biblical interpretation, while Alexandria was the headquarters of the four-fold (allegorical) school of interpretation. Nestorius was ultimately removed from office and exiled.

**Original author.** This refers to the actual authors/writers of Scripture.

**Papyri.** This is a type of writing material from Egypt. It is made from river reeds. It is the material upon which our oldest copies of the Greek New Testament are written.

**Parallel passages.** They are part of the concept that all of the Bible is God-given and, therefore, is its own best interpreter and balancer of paradoxical truths. This is also helpful when one is attempting to interpret an unclear or ambiguous passage. They also help one find the clearest passage on a given subject as well as all other Scriptural aspects of a given subject.

**Paraphrase.** This is the name of a theory of Bible translation. Bible translation can be viewed as a continuum from “word to word” correspondence, where an English word must be supplied for every Hebrew or Greek word to a “paraphrase” where only the thought is translated with less regard to the original wording or phrasing. In between these two theories is “the dynamic equivalent” which attempts to take serious the original text but translates it in modern grammatical forms and idioms. A really good discussion of these various theories of translations is found in Fee and Stuart’s *How to Read the Bible For All Its Worth*, p. 35.

**Paragraph.** This is the basic interpretive literary unit in prose. It contains one central thought and its development. If we stay with its major thrust we will not major on minors or miss the original author’s intent.

**Parochialism.** This relates to biases which are locked into a local theological/cultural setting. It does not recognize the transcultural nature of biblical truth or its application.

**Paradox.** This refers to those truths which seem to be contradictory, yet both are true, although in tension with each other. They frame truth by presenting if from opposite sides. Much biblical truth is presented in paradoxical (or dialectical) pairs. Biblical truths are not isolated stars, but are constellations made up of the pattern of stars.

**Plato.** He was one of the philosophers of ancient Greece. His philosophy greatly influenced the early church through the scholars of Alexandria, Egypt, and later, Augustine. He posited that everything on earth was illusionary and a mere copy of a spiritual archetype. Theologians later equated Plato’s “forms/ideas” with the spiritual realm.

**Presupposition.** This refers to our preconceived understanding of a matter. Often we form opinions or judgments about issues before we approach the Scriptures themselves. This predisposition is also known as a bias, an *a priori* position, an assumption or a preunderstanding.
Proof-texting. This is the practice of interpreting Scripture by quoting a verse without regard for its immediate context or larger context in its literary unit. This removes the verses from the original author's intent and usually involves the attempt to prove a personal opinion while asserting biblical authority.

Rabbinical Judaism. This stage of the life of the Jewish people began in Babylonian Exile (586-538 B.C.). As the influence of the Priests and the Temple was removed, local synagogues became the focus of Jewish life. These local centers of Jewish culture, fellowship, worship and Bible study became the focus of the national religious life. In Jesus' day this "religion of the scribes" was parallel to that of the priests. At the fall of Jerusalem in 70 A.D. the scribal form, dominated by the Pharisees, controlled the direction of Jewish religious life. It is characterized by a practical, legalistic interpretation of the Torah as explained in the oral tradition (Talmud).

Revelation. This is the name given to the concept that God has spoken to mankind. The full concept is usually expressed by three terms: (1) revelation—God has acted in human history; (2) inspiration—He has given the proper interpretation of His acts and their meaning to certain chosen men to record for mankind; and (3) illumination—He has given His Spirit to help mankind understand His self-disclosure.

Semantic field. This refers to the total range of meanings associated with a word. It is basically the different connotations a word has in different contexts.

Septuagint. This is the name given to the Greek translation of the Hebrew Old Testament. Tradition says that it was written in seventy days by seventy Jewish scholars for the library of Alexandria, Egypt. The traditional date is around 250 B.C. (in reality it possibly took over one hundred years to complete). This translation is significant because (1) it gives us an ancient text to compare with the Masoretic Hebrew text; (2) it shows us the state of Jewish interpretation in the third and second century B.C.; (3) it gives us the Jewish Messianic understanding before the rejection of Jesus. Its abbreviation is "LXX."

Sinaiticus. This is a Greek manuscript of the fourth century A.D. It was found by the German scholar, Tischendorf, at St. Catherine's monastery on Jebel Musa, the traditional site of Mt. Sinai. This manuscript is designated by the first letter of the Hebrew alphabet called “aleph” \( \aleph \). It contains both the Old and the entire New Testaments. It is one of our most ancient uncial MSS.

Spiritualizing. This term is synonymous with allegorizing in the sense that it removes the historical and literary context of a passage and interprets it on the basis of other criteria.

Synonymous. This refers to terms with exact or very similar meanings (although in reality no two words have a complete semantic overlap). They are so closely related that they can replace each other in a sentence without loss of meaning. It is also used to designate one of the three forms of Hebrew poetic parallelism. In this sense it refers to two lines of poetry that express the same truth (cf. Ps. 103:3).

Syntax. This is a Greek term which refers to the structure of a sentence. It relates to the ways parts of a sentence are put together to make a complete thought.

Synthetical. This is one of the three terms that relates to types of Hebrew poetry. This term speaks of lines of poetry which build on one another in a cumulative sense, sometimes called “climatic” (cf. Ps. 19:7-9).

Systematic theology. This is a stage of interpretation which tries to relate the truths of the Bible in a unified and rational manner. It is a logical, rather than mere historical, presentation of Christian theology by categories (God, man, sin, salvation, etc.).

Talmud. This is the title for the codification of the Jewish Oral Tradition. The Jews believe it was given orally by God to Moses on Mt. Sinai. In reality it appears to be the collective wisdom of the Jewish teachers through the years. There are two different written versions of the Talmud: the Babylonian and the shorter, unfinished Palestinian.

Textual criticism. This is the study of the manuscripts of the Bible. Textual criticism is necessary because no originals exist and the copies differ from each other. It attempts to explain the variations and arrive (as close as possible) to the original wording of the autographs of the Old and New Testaments. It is often called "lower criticism."

Textus Receptus. This designation developed into Elzevir’s edition of the Greek NT in 1633 AD. Basically it is a form of the Greek NT that was produced from a few late Greek manuscripts and Latin versions of Erasmus (1510-1535), Stephanus (1546-1559) and Elzevir (1624-1678). In An Introduction to the Textual Criticism of the New Testament, p. 27, A. T. Robertson says
“the Byzantine text is practically the Textus Receptus.” The Byzantine text is the least valuable of the three families of early Greek manuscripts (Western, Alexandrian and Byzantine). It contains the accumulation errors of centuries of hand-copied texts. However, A.T. Robertson also says “the Textus Receptus has preserved for us a substantially accurate text” (p. 21). This Greek manuscript tradition (especially Erasmus’ third edition of 1522) forms the basis of the King James Version of 1611 A.D.

**Torah.** This is the Hebrew term for “teaching.” It came to be the official title for the writings of Moses (Genesis through Deuteronomy). It is, for the Jews, the most authoritative division of the Hebrew canon.

**Typological.** This is a specialized type of interpretation. Usually it involves New Testament truth found in Old Testament passages by means of an analogical symbol. This category of hermeneutics was a major element of the Alexandrian method. Because of the abuse of this type of interpretation, one should limit its use to specific examples recorded in the New Testament.

**Vaticanus.** This is the Greek manuscript of the fourth century A.D. It was found in the Vatican's library. It originally contained all the Old Testament, Apocrypha and New Testament. However, some parts were lost (Genesis, Psalms, Hebrews, the Pastorals, Philemon and Revelation). It is a very helpful manuscript in determining the original wording of the autographs. It is designated by a capital "B."

**Vulgate.** This is the name of Jerome’s Latin translation of the Bible. It became the basic or "common" translation for the Roman Catholic Church. It was done in the 380's A.D.

**Wisdom literature.** This was a genre of literature common in the ancient near east (and modern world). It basically was an attempt to instruct a new generation on guidelines for successful living through poetry, proverb, or essay. It was addressed more to the individual than to corporate society. It did not use allusions to history, but was based on life experiences and observation. In the Bible, Job through Song of Songs assumed the presence and worship of YHWH, but this religious world view is not explicit in every human experience every time.

As a genre it stated general truths. However, this genre cannot be used in every specific situation. These are general statements that do not always apply to every individual situation.

These sages dared to ask the hard questions of life. Often they challenged traditional religious views (Job and Ecclesiastes). They form a balance and tension to the easy answers about life’s tragedies.

**World picture and worldview.** These are companion terms. They are both philosophical concepts related to creation. The term "world picture" refers to "the how" of creation while "worldview" relates to "the Who." These terms are relevant to the interpretation that Gen. 1-2 deals primarily with the Who, not the how, of creation.

**YHWH.** This is the Covenant name for God in the Old Testament. It is defined in Exod. 3:14. It is the CAUSATIVE form of the Hebrew term "to be." The Jews were afraid to pronounce the name, lest they take it in vain; therefore, they substituted the Hebrew term *Adonai*, "lord." This is how this covenant name is translated in English.
APPENDIX FOUR
DOCTRINAL STATEMENT

I do not particularly care for statements of faith or creeds. I prefer to affirm the Bible itself. However, I realize that a statement of faith will provide those who are unfamiliar with me a way to evaluate my doctrinal perspective. In our day of so much theological error and deception, the following brief summary of my theology is offered.

1. The Bible, both the Old and New Testament, is the inspired, infallible, authoritative, eternal Word of God. It is the self-revelation of God recorded by men under supernatural leadership. It is our only source of clear truth about God and His purposes. It is also the only source of faith and practice for His church.

2. There is only one eternal, creator, redeemer God. He is the creator of all things, visible and invisible. He has revealed Himself as loving and caring although He is also fair and just. He has revealed Himself in three distinct persons: Father, Son and Spirit; truly separate and yet the same in essence.

3. God is actively in control of His world. There is both an eternal plan for His creation that is unalterable and an individually focused one that allows human free will. Nothing happens without God's knowledge and permission, yet He allows individual choices both among angels and humans. Jesus is the Father's Elect Man and all are potentially elect in Him. God's foreknowledge of events does not reduce humans to a determined pre-written script. All of us are responsible for our thoughts and deeds.

4. Mankind, though created in God's image and free from sin, chose to rebel against God. Although tempted by a supernatural agent, Adam and Eve were responsible for their willful self-centeredness. Their rebellion has affected humanity and creation. We are all in need of God's mercy and grace both for our corporate condition in Adam and our individual volitional rebellion.

5. God has provided a means of forgiveness and restoration for fallen humanity. Jesus Christ, God's unique son, became a man, lived a sinless life, and by means of his substitutionary death, paid the penalty for mankind's sin. He is the only way to restoration and fellowship with God. There is no other means of salvation except through faith in His finished work.

6. Each of us must personally receive God's offer of forgiveness and restoration in Jesus. This is accomplished by means of volitional trust in God's promises through Jesus and a willful turning from known sin.

7. All of us are fully forgiven and restored based upon our trust in Christ and repentance from sin. However, the evidence for this new relationship is seen in a changed, and changing, life. The goal of God for humanity is not only heaven someday, but Christlikeness now. Those who are truly redeemed, though occasionally sinning, will continue in faith and repentance throughout their lives.

8. The Holy Spirit is "the other Jesus." He is present in the world to lead the lost to Christ and develop Christlikeness in the saved. The gifts of the Spirit are given at salvation. They are the life and ministry of Jesus divided among His body, the Church. The gifts which are basically the attitudes and motives of Jesus need to be motivated by the fruit of the Spirit. The Spirit is active in our day as He was in the biblical times.

9. The Father has made the resurrected Jesus Christ the Judge of all things. He will return to earth to judge all mankind. Those who have trusted Jesus and whose names are written in the Lamb's book of life will receive their eternal glorified bodies at His return. They will be with Him forever. However, those who have refused to respond to God's truth will be separated eternally from the joys of fellowship with the Triune God. They will be condemned along with the Devil and his angels.

This is surely not complete or thorough but I hope it will give you the theological flavor of my heart. I like the statement:

"In essentials—unity. In peripherals—freedom, In all things—love."